The two-step development of a duplex retina involves distinct events of cone and rod neurogenesis and differentiation
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A B S T R A C T

Unlike in mammals, persistent postembryonic retinal growth is a characteristic feature of fish, which includes major remodeling events that affect all cell types including photoreceptors. Consequently, visual capabilities change during development, where retinal sensitivity to different wavelengths of light (photopic vision), -and to limited photons (scotopic vision) are central capabilities for survival. Differently from well-established model fish, Atlantic cod has a prolonged larval stage where only cone photoreceptors are present. Rods do not appear until juvenile transition (metamorphosis), a hallmark of indirect developing species. Previously we showed that whole gene families of lws (red-sensitive) and sws1 (UV-sensitive) opsins have been lost in cod, while rh2a (green-sensitive) and sws2 (blue-sensitive) genes have tandem duplicated. Here, we provide a comprehensive characterization of a two-step developing duplex retina in Atlantic cod. The study focuses on cone subtype dynamics and delayed rod neurogenesis and differentiation in all cod life stages. Using transcriptomic and histological approaches we show that different opsins disappear in a topographic manner during development where central to peripheral retina is a key axis of expressional change. Early cone differentiation was initiated in dorso- temporal retina different from previously described in fish. Rods first appeared during initiation of metamorphosis and expression of the nuclear receptor transcription factor nr2e3-1, suggest involvement in rod specification. The indirect developmental strategy thus allows for separate studies of cones and rods development, which in nature correlates with visual changes linked to habitat shifts. The clustering of key retinal genes according to life stage, suggests that Atlantic cod with its sequenced genome may be an important resource for identification of underlying factors required for development and function of photopic and scotopic vision.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In contrast to the situation in mammals, a unique feature of the teleost eye is continued retinal growth and plasticity associated with postembryonic changes and development (Evans and Fernald, 1990). The two most extreme developmental programs that fish may follow are the indirect and direct development (Balon, 1985). Typical for the indirect developing species are the production of numerous progeny, where only a few survive into the specialized larval form (altricial strategy) (Balon, 1985). The direct developing fishes on the other hand, complete development prior to hatching and display a compression of the developmental time (embryonization), and loss of a true larval stage (Matsuda, 1987). When it comes to retina development, the most profound changes are seen in marine fish that follow an indirect developmental program. Marine fish larvae typically hatch with an undeveloped retina and undergo a lengthy pure-cone larval stage during which major body and retinal growth also take place (Balon, 1985). After a time that differs from species to species, the larva metamorphoses into a juvenile. This process affects the retina at several levels, including individual cell types and the expression of visual pigments, the production of new cell types and increased cellular interconnectivity (Evans and Fernald, 1990; Stenkamp, 2007).

The visual photopigment component opsin mediates
absorption of light in both rods and cones. While rods responsible for dim-light vision express only rhodopsin (RH1), the four major classes of vertebrate cone opsins used for bright-light color vision are: Short wavelength-sensitive 1 and 2 (SWS1, SWS2), medium wavelength-sensitive (RH2), and long wavelength-sensitive (LWS) (Yokoyama, 2000). Cone opsins are categorized according to the pigment’s peak sensitivity within the UV, blue, green and red regions of the electromagnetic spectrum, respectively (Yokoyama, 2000). Differential expression of visual opsins, together with change of chromophore types during ontogeny and spatially within the retina, occurs in both fish and mammals (Levine and MacNichol, 1982; Ahnelt and Kolb, 2000). However, the presence of multiple opsin paralog genes, commonly closely linked into tandem repeats in the genome, is, with a few exceptions (e.g. primate M/LWS) a characteristic feature of fish (Ibbotson et al., 1992; Rennison et al., 2012). These tandem-linked genes may be spatially or temporally regulated, and thus may tune sensitivity to light (Tsujimura et al., 2007).

In species such as zebrafish that have a direct development scheme, duplex retina formation (cones and rods) takes place in the embryo and prior to hatching (Raymond et al., 1995). However, in two-step retina development, the major remodeling is developmentally delayed and controlled by mechanisms that affect the identity of the expressed cone opsins, the ratio of cone to rod neurogenesis and the formation of regular cone mosaics (Evans and Brownman, 2004). It is still unclear how these processes are regulated and to what extent the changes that occur during metamorphosis recapitulate the mechanisms that are active in the embryonic retina (Evans and Fernald, 1990). In zebrafish and goldfish, retinal neurogenesis is initiated asynchronously within all retinal cell layers, causing spreading out of fan-shaped waves of neurogenic differentiation that are complete during the larval phase (Raymond et al., 1995; Malicki, 2004; Neumann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2000; Hu and Easter, 1999; Stenkamp et al., 1996; Schmitt and Dowling, 1996). As a result, both rods and cones follow a similar initial differentiation pattern in spite of originating in different stem cell populations; namely the inner nuclear layer (INL) Müller glia and the ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) multipotent lineage, respectively (Raymond et al., 1995; Stenkamp, 1996; Hitchcock and Kakuk-Atkins, 2004; Otteson et al., 2001). Although ventrally initiated differentiation waves have been considered to be a general feature of teleosts (Stenkamp, 2007), there are some exceptions (Kitambi and Malicki, 2008; Cheng et al., 2007) that indicate alternative regulation of retinal stem cells. Studies focusing on cone vs rod identity have taken a “candidate gene” expression approach in both fish and mammals where a number of factors, mainly transcription factors have been suggested to be either rod- or cone-specific (Stenkamp, 2007; Swaroop et al., 2010). The nuclear receptor subfamily 2, group E, member 3 (Nr2e3) is an orphan nuclear receptor which, together with the neural retina leucine zipper (Nrl) and cone-rod-homeobox (Crx), may both suppress cone genes and commit cells to a rod fate, and also serve as a co-activator of rod genes (Oh, 2008; Chen et al., 2005; Cheng, 2004). In zebrafish, however, the array of photoreceptor (PRC) transcription factors (TF), including Nr2e3, expressed by rod lineage cells is no different from the corresponding set expressed by cells destined to become cones, in that a truly rod-specific marker does not exist (Stenkamp, 2011). Hence, a two-step developing retina provides a unique system for the study of a pure-cone retina and subsequent rod neurogenesis, as these processes are separate in both time and developmental stage.

Atlantic cod follow an indirect path of ontogeny marked by metamorphosis (larvae-juvenile transition), in the course of which the larval pure-cone retina is transformed into a duplex retina in the juvenile by the development of the rods (Pedersen and Falk-Petersen, 1992; Tupper and Boutilier, 1995). Hence, vision changes from photopic to include scotopic vision concurrent with changes in life history. Combined with its sequenced and annotated genome and the possibility of segregating cone and rod neurogenesis temporally, we suggest that Atlantic cod could serve as an important comparative model species for studies of photoreceptor neurogenesis. We have previously showed that rod color vision is based on only blue-sensitive SWS2 (SWS2A and SWS2B) and green-sensitive RH2 (RH2A-1/2/3) opsins, where members of each opsin family are linked in tandem repeats, and different sets of opsins are expressed during the larval stage than in adults (Valen et al., 2014). In this study, we show that Atlantic cod provide a natural model system for photoreceptor specific studies, as development of cones and rods are temporally distinct events linked to developmental stages and metamorphic transition. The study shows that several retinal genes including the visual opsins are ontogenetically regulated. The cod retina thus allows for finer discrimination of photoreceptor specification and differentiation. We suggest that the use of comparative models with alternative developmental strategies could provide important answers and a more comprehensive and in-depth understanding of retinal development, plasticity and the functions of the underlying factors that regulate spatiotemporal gene expression.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

All Atlantic cod material used in the current study was obtained from Austevoll Aquaculture Research Station, outside Bergen, Norway. The station has the required permission for catch and maintenance of Atlantic cod and a general permission to run as a Research Animal facility and to conduct experiments involving all animal studies, as described by Karlsen et al. (2015) (copepod group only). For information and permits regarding material used prior to first-feeding, see; Valen et al. (2014). All material was sampled in a light-adapted state, and sampled for gene expression analysis according to Valen et al. (2014). Since sampling of tissue was done post mortem, the fish was not considered as a research animal according to the Norwegian Animals in Research act. Hence further analysis on tissues obtained from these animals did not require any additional approval. Atlantic cod is not considered being an endangered species in Norway (national IUNN redlist: http://www.biodiversity.no/).

2.2. Atlantic cod staging

In the current study stages representing cod embryos and early larvae (prior to first feeding) will be referred to as days post fertilization (dpf; 1–18 dpf), from first feeding termed; days post hatching (dph) until end of metamorphosis, and thereafter; early juvenile and late juvenile (until maturation at ~1–2 year in captivity; then termed adult). In the current study embryos hatched at ~14–15 dpf, and started exogenous feeding at 18 dpf (~4 dph). The larval stages used include 4-, 11-, 22-, 29-, 37-, and 53 dph (previously termed 0–5 (Karlsen et al., 2015), while the early juvenile stage correspond to 74- and 90 dph, and late juvenile (1-year). The metamorphosis period covers stages; 29-, 37-, and 53 dph, representing initial, mid and late metamorphosis, respectively. Standard length from 4 to 53 dph and early juvenile has previously been reported (Karlsen et al., 2015), and average total length of late juvenile cod (1-year) was 32 cm. In the current study
the 53 dph stage will also be termed early juvenile in the discussion.

2.3. RNA transcriptomics

The RNA extraction and sequencing was performed as previously described (Penglase et al., 2015). In short, total RNA from each biological triPLICATE was extracted from pools and cDNA sequencing libraries preparation and sequencing was performed by the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (NSC, Oslo, Norway) using the Illumina TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, USA). The raw data has been deposited and can be found at The Sequence Read Archive (SRA) at NCBI (Accession ID: SRP056073). The RNA-Seq data was mapped to the coding sequences of the cod gene models (Star et al., 2011), using the Burrows-Wheeler aligner (Li and Durbin, 2009). Reads not mapping with at least 90% identity, or not mapping to exons, or with both pairs mapping on the same strand were removed. The reads were normalized by the total number of mapped sequences. Only genes with 10 reads or more in at least one of the samples were included for further analysis.

2.4. Heat maps

The transcriptomic profiles of 100 retinal genes were analyzed in the pure cone and duplex retina-lifes stages and included genes mainly involved in phototransduction pathway and eye/retina development and differentiation (transcription factors), but also genes linked to metamorphosis and growth. The gene selection was based on annotated function mostly in retina of other species (mainly zebrafish and mouse). To visualize expression patterns hierarchical clustering was performed using Euclidean distance of high level mean and variance normalized RNA expression data using J-express (Dysvik and Jonassen, 2001). See Supplementary Table S1 for normalized read counts of all genes displayed in the heatmap.

2.5. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) of visual opsins

A qPCR was performed to confirm differentially expressed opsin genes (sws2a, sws2b, rh2a-1, -2, and -3, and rh1), including three reference genes (ef1a, rpl4 and ubiquitin) in a total of five developmental stages (4-, 22-, 37- and 54 dph, and 1-year juvenile). To ensure enough RNA to analyze all genes, total RNA was extracted from whole larvae at 4 dph; including N=3 pools ten larvae each, and at 22 dph; including N=3 pools three larvae each. For the 37- and 54 dph stages, total RNA was extracted from dissected eyes, with N=3 pools of both right and left eye of 4 fish (one pool 8 eyes, and total N=24). For the 1-year cod, RNA from the right eye of 9 individuals was extracted. Hence the qPCR data from 4 and 22 dph is not directly comparable to the 37 and 54 dph, and 1-year stage. Total RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and cDNA reversely transcribed using 700 ng total RNA and Oligo(dT15-18) in conjunction with the SuperScript III kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as recommended by the manufactures and described in detail by Valen et al. (2014). A minus RT (no reverse-transcriptase enzyme) control of total RNA from all stages showed no signal for neither of the genes. RNA integrity was tested using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA), with RNA integrity number (RIN) values above 8.3 confirming sufficient RNA quality (Fleige et al., 2006). Specific primers for all visual opsins were designed by placing primers in non-conserved areas (see Table 1 for; genebank accession number, primer info and product length). The qPCR assays were run on the CFX96™Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, USA) with the following cycling conditions: 95 °C for 3 min, 40 cycles of; 95 °C for 10 s, using relative expression of target to reference gene method and correcting for assay efficiency variation (Pfaffl, 2004). Each reaction was carried out on a volume of 12.5 μl using

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>GenBank accession number</th>
<th>Primers for qPCR and cloning/ISH probe*</th>
<th>Sequence (5’-3’):</th>
<th>Product size, base pairs (bp):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rh1</td>
<td>AF385832</td>
<td>GM_RH1_Fw1</td>
<td>CCAATCCGCAACCTAGAAGC</td>
<td>1233 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_RH1_Rv1</td>
<td>GGCTCTGCTCTCTTGGCAAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh2a-1</td>
<td>AF385824</td>
<td>GM_RH2A-1_Fwd1</td>
<td>GATTTTGCTGGAGTAGATACAACT</td>
<td>202 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_RH2A-1_Rev1</td>
<td>ACAAAGAAGAGAAGAAGACT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh2a-2</td>
<td>KJ725350</td>
<td>GM_RH2A-2_Fwd1</td>
<td>TCTACCTGCGTAAATGCTCAAA</td>
<td>105 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_RH2A-2_Rev1</td>
<td>ACACATTCAACACACTTCACTTG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sws2a</td>
<td>AF385822</td>
<td>GM_SWS2a_Fwd1</td>
<td>AGCTGATGTCGACTGACAGTGT</td>
<td>105 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_SWS2a_Rev1</td>
<td>TTTAGTATGATGATGATGATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sws2b</td>
<td>KJ72532</td>
<td>GM_SWS2b_Fwd1</td>
<td>AGCAAAATGGTGCGATAGAAC</td>
<td>145 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_SWS2b_Rev1</td>
<td>AGACTTGGTTGCGATAGAAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six7</td>
<td>KX173792</td>
<td>GM_Six7_F2</td>
<td>ACCCAATCCGCAACCTAGAAGC</td>
<td>148 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_Six7_R2</td>
<td>GGCTCTGCTCTCTTGGCAAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efi1a</td>
<td>EX721840</td>
<td>GM_Efi1a_Fw1</td>
<td>GGCTCTGCTCTCTTGGCAAC</td>
<td>148 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_Efi1a_Rv1</td>
<td>GATTTTGCTGGAGTAGATACAACT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rpl4</td>
<td>EX725958</td>
<td>GM_Rpl4_Fwd1</td>
<td>ACAAAGAAGAGAAGAAGACT</td>
<td>1209 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_Rpl4_Rv1</td>
<td>TCTACCTGCGTAAATGCTCAAA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ubiquitin</td>
<td>EX735613</td>
<td>GM_Ubiquitin_Fw1</td>
<td>AGCTGATGTCGACTGACAGTGT</td>
<td>1209 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GM_Ubiquitin_Rv1</td>
<td>TTTAGTATGATGATGATGATG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Primers used for qRT-PCR (qPCR) on Atlantic cod visual opsins, and for cloning and in situ hybridization (ISH) of nr2e3-1 and pcna. Primers used for rh1, nr2e3-1 and pcna RNA ISH probe included sequences of T3 (CATTAACCTCAGCTAAGGCA) and T7 (TAATACGACTCACTATAGG) promoter 5’ to the forward and reverse primers used for cloning (highlighted with *), respectively (according to Valen et al. (2014)).
the iTaq™ universal SYBR® Green supermix (Bio-Rad). Melting curve analysis was performed on all genes (65 °C to 95 °C, with increment of 0.5 °C for 5 s) that showed a single peak, indicating amplification of a single product (no signal was detected in the non-template control (NTC)). The gene expression values were normalized to an internal housekeeping gene; ubiquitin, ranked as the best out of three genes using the NormFinder algorithm (MDL, 2004, Denmark).

All statistical analyses were performed in Statistica 12.0. (StatSoft, Inc., Round Rock USA). A one-way ANOVA was performed to determine differentially expressed genes using stage as an independent variable. In case of significant ANOVAs, Tukey-HSD post-hoc test was used to identify differences. Homogeneity of variances and normality of distributions were tested using Levine's test and Shapiro-Wilk test, respectively (Zar, 1996). As qPCR on the 4- and 20 dph stage was performed on whole larvae, and 37-, 53 dph and 1 year stage on dissected eyes; statistical analysis was separated for these groups.

2.6. In situ hybridization (ISH)

In the current study we used ISH RNA probes for cone opsins and the procedure previously described for both whole mount and sections (Valen et al., 2014), on embryonic [12–17 days post fertilization; ~60% hatched at 15 dpf], larval, and juvenile stages, and where the 4–54 dph represent the same material used for RNA-Seq. The synthesis of specific probes for rh1, nr2e3-1, pcna and six7 was carried out in a similar procedure as for cone opsins, and additional details concerning gene accession number, primers and product length are described in Table 1. For fluorescent double-labeling ISH (FISH) of sws2a-sws2b and nr2e3-rh1, we followed the procedure previously described (Eilertsen et al., 2014). Fast Red (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) (for sws2a, rh1) and TSA™ Plus Fluorescein system (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, USA) (for sws2b, nr2e3-1) was used in combination. Enzymatic reactions with alkaline phosphatase (AP) linked to DIG-(Roche) (sws2a, rh1), and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) linked to fluorescein (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) (sws2b, nr2e3-1) was conjugated to RNA probes, respectively. After visualization of anti-DIG-AP probes with Fast Red, sections were blocked for 1 h in 2% Blocking reagent (Roche Diagnostics) in 2 × 5C before incubating with anti-fluorescein-POD and TSA visualization. A similar procedure was followed for cone mosaic studies (described below) where Fast Red-anti-DIG-AP was used on sws2a and TSA-anti-fluorescein-POD on rh2a-1. For additional information regarding antibodies, see; Eilertsen et al. (2014). All sections where mounted in ProLong® Gold Antifade Mountant with DAPI (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Based on a z-stack of sws2a-sws2b images, a movie was prepared to better visualize co-localization of transcripts (see Supplementary Movie 1).

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2016.06.041.

2.7. TUNEL staining for apoptotic cells

In order to identify the presence of apoptotic cells related to plasticity of retinal photoreceptors, a TUNEL stain was performed on cryosections of 37 dph cod larvae. The stain was performed using the TACS® 2 TdT-DAB In Situ Apoptosis Detection Kit (Tre- vigen, Maryland, USA), following manufacturer’s instructions. Careful analysis of cod did not show any sign of apoptosis at this stage, although apoptotic cells were detected in extra-retinal tissues including brain. Hence, the data from this analysis is not shown in the current manuscript.

3. Results

3.1. Establishment of photopic vision: initiation of cone differentiation

All opsin-expressing cones except RH2A-1 appeared by 13 dpf in a peripheral dorso-temporal area of retina (Fig. 1: A/B/C/D/E1 and -4). Rh2a-1 expression was first detected at 15dpf in the same area, however extending farther towards the nasal and temporal areas of the retina (Fig. 1C2, C5). No expression of any of the genes was detected at 12dpf (data not shown), which is also confirmed by our previous real time-PCR at the same stage (Valen, 2014; Suppl. Fig. S3). Differentiation of all cone types, except rh2a-1, then spread bi-directionally towards the nasal, ventral and central retina, eventually covering most regions of the retina (Fig. 1: A/B/C/D/E2, -3, -5 and -6). RH2A-1-cones showed a similar but delayed differentiation pattern, and were restricted to the dorso-temporal region at the time (17 dpf) at which RH2A-3/2 cones were dominating (Fig. 1C/D/E3 and C/D/E6).

3.2. Transformation of the pure-cone retina and life-stage transition

The tandem-organized rh2 opsin genes showed the most dramatic change in expression (Fig. 2). The rh2a-2 and -3 opsins that dominated during early larval (15 dpf-11 dph) stages were shut off in the late juvenile during metamorphosis; first from the central, then dorsal and ventral retina (Fig. 2E1–F10). This was consistent with quantitative mRNA expression levels (Fig. 3). Concurrent with a drop in rh2a-2/3 expression, the restricted dorso-temporally expressed rh2a-1 in early larvae was extended ventrally to dominate all retinal regions during metamorphosis (Fig. 2D1–D10). The increase in rh2a-1 expression cones was supported with quantitative rh2a-1 levels (Fig. 3), which also showed that rh2a-1 is the only rh2 gene expressed in later juvenile stages (50 dpf and 1 year juvenile) (Fig. 3A,B,D). The two sws2 genes (Fig. 2A12–B10) were less regulated. However sws2b expression also disappeared, first from central, then the dorsal retina during metamorphosis (Fig. 2A2–J2). Sws2b expression was not detected by qPCR in the 1 year cod (Fig. 3D). The SWS2A cones appeared to be more regularly spaced during metamorphosis (Fig. 2A4, -5, -9, 10). Yet, a dramatic change into a strict mosaic pattern of sws2a expressing single cones, and rh2a-1 expressing double cones was not detected during larvae-juvenile transformation (data not shown). A sws2b-sws2a expression transition zone near the ventral CMZ was detected in larval and early juvenile stages (Fig. 2C1–10), where a region populated exclusively by SWS2B cones was found closest to the CMZ, and then by sws2a cones when moving from CMZ towards central retina (Fig. 2C1, C6–C10). In the 4 dpf larvae some cones positioned in the sws2b-sws2a transition zone seemed to co-express sws2a and sws2b (Fig. 2C1, C6 and C7, and Supplementary Movie 1).

3.3. Development of scotopic vision: delayed rod neurotransgenesis and retina remodeling

Differientated rods expressing rh1 were first detected at the onset of metamorphosis (29 dpf) in a few scattered cells, first in the dorsal, followed by the ventral retina (not in or close to CMZ) (Fig. 4A, A1 and A4). This was consistent with quantitative rh1 expression levels (Fig. 3). Differientated rods then dramatically increased in number during mid-metamorphosis (37 dpf), mostly in the dorsal, followed by ventral retina, but appeared less in the central retina (Fig. 4A2 and A5). In the early juvenile stage (53 dpf), differentiated rods were found in all regions (Fig. 4A3 and A6), and high expression of rh1 was sustained in the late juvenile stage (Fig. 3D4). Proliferating cells (pcna positive labeling)
Fig. 1. Early initiation of cone photoreceptor differentiation in Atlantic cod. Whole mount in situ hybridization (right hand side, A1-E6) using cone opsin subtype specific riboprobes were used to identify the spatio-temporal pattern of early cone differentiation in 13-, 15- and 17 dpf cod larvae (prior, during and post hatching, respectively). Left hand side represent a schematic summary of all differentiated cones (SWS2; A′ and B′, and RH2; C′, D′ and E′) from viewed in a left-eye transversal plane; peripheral to central (left to right), and dorsal-ventral retina (top to bottom) (A′–E′). Right hand side show expressed cone opsins in both dorsal view (A/B/C/D/E1–3) and lateral view (A/B/C/D/E4–6), and show that all cone opsins except rh2a-1 is expressed at 13 dpf in cones restricted to a peripheral dorsal-temporal region of retina, highlighted with a black curve in lateral view. Topographic location of rh2a-1 expressing cones was first identified in 15 dpf larvae (C2, C5), also in a dorsal-temporal region. Arrows in lateral view indicate location of embryonic fissure. Scale bar: 100 μm.
Fig. 2. Retinal transformation in cone opsins during postembryonic growth. Left handside: A schematic summary of sectional in situ hybridization (ISH) results of *sws2a* and *sws2b* (**A′**, **B′), *sws2a-sw2b* (**C′**), and *rh2a-1, rh2a-2* and *rh2a-3** (**D′, E′, F′**) mRNA expression viewed in a transversal plane with size adjusted to respective developmental stages. Developmental stages with a duplex retina are marked with grey background, and black boxes on SWS2A in 53 dph indicate regions where ISH results are shown (dorsal and central retina, transversal) for all cone opsins on right hand side (**A1**–**B10, and **D1**–**F10**) using specific DIG labelled riboprobes visualized with the alkaline phosphatase enzyme and chromogenic NBT/BCIP stain. Developmental stage is listed on top. Double-labeling fluorescent ISH (**sws2a** and **sws2b**) is shown schematically on left hand side with black boxes indicating central and ventral regions (**) where FISH expression is shown on right hand side (C1–C10). *Sw2a* is visualized with Fast Red (red), and *sws2b* with TSA system (green), shown in separate channels in C1 (**sws2b**) and C6 (**sws2b**) and combined for 4 dph larvae (C2, C7), and combined for 29 dph (C3, C8), 37 dph (C4, C9) and 53 dph (C5, C10). Arrows illustrate ISH staining of mRNA. Scale bar: 100 μm (chromogenic visualization) and 50 μm (FISH-double labeling).
were mainly restricted to CMZ prior to rod differentiation (prior to 29 dph not shown). However, at onset of metamorphosis, pcna expression was detected in inner nuclear layer (INL) and INL-outer nuclear layer (ONL) margin, some with fusiform shape (Fig. 4B′, B1 and B4). During mid-metamorphosis proliferating cells were also detected in basal regions of the dorsal and ventral ONL, and then mainly in INL as the central retina was approached (Fig. 4B2 and B5). The same pattern was seen in the early juvenile; however it was more extensive in ONL towards the central retina, and also more apically in ONL (Fig. 4B3 and B6).

3.4. Developmental profile of retinal genes including nr2e3-1 and six7 spatiotemporal expression

Retinal genes clustered into three main groups based on expression profile, representing highest expression during the pure-cone stages: Cluster 1 (56 genes including sws2a, sws2b, rh2a-2, rh2a-3 and six7), retina transition phase: Cluster 2 (24 genes including rh2a-1), and a duplex-retina: Cluster 3 (20 genes including rh1), respectively (Fig. 5). Rh1 appeared in Cluster 3 together with nr2e3-1. The highest number of genes is represented in Cluster 1 where 21 genes have annotated function in the phototransduction pathway, and 25 genes associated with transcriptional regulation. For additional information concerning gene molecular function based on gene ontology (GO) annotation and Ensemble no., see Supplemental Table S1. Significant different expressed genes (p<0.05) between stages is noted with different letters color coded according to gene description in A.
Fig. 4. Delayed rod neurogenesis and development of a duplex retina during Atlantic cod metamorphosis. Left row: Schematic summary of rhodopsin (rh1) (A’), pcna (B’), nr2e3-1 (C’), and six7 (D’) mRNA expression in Atlantic cod during stages around metamorphosis (29-, 37-, and 54 dph) and at 11 dpf (for six7). Black boxes indicate retinal region (dorsal and central) where in situ hybridization (ISH) is shown for the same stages for rh1 (A1–A6), pcna (B1–B6), nr2e3-1 (C1–C6) and six7 (E1–E4), using chromogenic (NBT-BCIP) stain for all but A2 and A5; which were visualized with Fast Red and given a blue pseudocolor. For six7 the schematic representation of expression (D’) is shown as an overlap of the 11 dph stage (shown in grey) and the 37 dph stage (shown in purple). For rh1 the temporal sequence and location of initial appearance of differentiated rods are indicated with numbers 1. (first expressed) and 2. (subsequent expression). A double labeling fluorescent ISH was performed on rh1 (Fast Red-red) and nr2e3-1 (TSA-green) during mid metamorphosis (37 dph) (D1–D6), where separate channels are shown for rh1 (Fast Red) in D1 and D4, and nr2e3-1 (TSA) in D2 and D5, and overlay in D3 and D6; in dorsal and in proximity to central retina, respectively. Arrows* indicate stained mRNA expression, while the weak purple color in some outer segments most likely is caused by background stain. Scale bar: 100 μm (A1–C6) and 50 μm (D1–E4).* The arrow in 4B6 likely points to low expression of pcna in the photoreceptor layer.
Fig. 5. Transcriptomic profile of retinal genes in stages of pure cone-, transforming, and duplex retina. Heat map from hierarchical clustering using Euclidean distance of high level mean and variance normalized RNA-Seq expression data on 100 retinal genes (see Section 2). Green color illustrates peak gene expression, while blue illustrates lowest level of expression. To distinguish between paralogs we have added a sequential number to the gene name; Swiss-prot ID (i.e. pde6d_1 and pde6d_2). See Supplementary Table S1 for normalized RNA-Seq counts of all genes displayed in the heatmap.
had yet to be differentiated) (Fig. 4D1–D4). Expression of the six7 gene was detected in ONL throughout retina in the 11 dph larvae, however was lost from the central retina during the 37 dph stage (Fig. 4D; E1–E4). The high expression of six7 detected in retina during early larval stages, and lower expression in later developmental stages is consistent with the Cluster 1 expression profile (Fig. 5).

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of main findings

Our main findings in this study of a retina with stepwise and separate life-stage development of photopic and scotopic vision are as follows: 1) The differentiation and maintenance of specific cones change with development and involve a topographic gradient where CMZ appears to retain the “larval program” during juvenile transformation. 2) Development of scotopic vision is concurrent with life-stage transition and loss of cone subtypes, where differentiating rods follow a gradient with increased abundance in distinct retinal regions. 3) Transcriptomic analysis of indirect developing species provides an efficient tool for the characterization of factors required for photopic and scotopic vision development (and function). In the latter case, both the nr2e3-1 gene along with rh1, and six7 along with cone opsin paralogs, are clearly regulated according to life-stage transition.

4.2. Early establishment of photopic vision

The embryonic neurogenic differentiation of cones is an attractive process, as the patterning of these cones is different than that of the peripheral CMZ differentiated cones of the growing retina of later developmental stages. In cod, cones first exit the cell cycle and differentiate in the dorsal retina, which is the opposite of the ventral initiation in the cyprinids zebrafish and goldfish (Raymond et al., 1995; Hu and Easter, 1999; Stenkamp et al., 1996; Schmitt and Dowling, 1999). Salmon, on the other hand, undergo centro-temporal initiation of some cone types that may represent a more evolutionarily conserved differentiation pattern than the well-established ventronasal “neurogenic initiation centre” of cyprinids (Cheng et al., 2007). It has been suggested that embryonic waves of neurogenesis reflect an earlier patterning gradient, laid down when the optic primordium was a solid paddle-shaped mass (Li et al., 2000), where both atonal and shh genes have been shown to play a role in neurogenesis (Neumann and Nuessler-Volhard, 2000; Masai et al., 2000; Stenkamp et al., 2000). Whether these patterning genes display an alternative gradient in cod that mainly affects cones is currently unknown, as is the functional significance related to development of visual function. It should be noted that cones cannot gather visual information before all neuronal classes (except rods) have established synaptic connections, and features such as photoreceptor outer segments and long ganglion processes that extend to the midbrain (Hu and Easter, 1999; Young, 1985; Holt et al., 1988; Attardi and Sperry, 1963; Burrill and Easter, 1995; Lemke and Reber, 2005).

4.3. Transformation of a pure-cone retina: opsin plasticity and cone re-organization

The continued expression of all cone opsins in proximity to the CMZ area during metamorphosis suggests the maintenance of a larval CMZ cone identity program. All cone opsins are expressed in ventral CMZ in the early juvenile, although sws2b expressing cones was not detected in dorsal retina at this stage. Together with the weak expression of rh2a-3 in dorsal retina of the 37 dph cod, it may suggest that there is also a dorsal-ventral CMZ gradient present. The major spatiotemporal regulation of cone subtypes in cod, particularly of those that express rh2 opsins (also sws2b), further suggests that there are gene and/or cell-type regulatory mechanisms that act differentially on retinal regions during development. Interestingly, the loss of rh2a-2/3 and sws2b expression from the central retina suggests the involvement of other mechanisms than CMZ inhibition of differentiation (control), a notion that is supported by the prolonged expression of opsins in CMZ region. Alternatively, the central retina may lack expressional activation signals that may still be present in the peripheral retina (or is under expressional inhibition). This is in contrast to rh2a-1, where expression is not spatiotemporally regulated once it has been expressed in all retinal regions in the larva.

Interestingly, a similar rh2a-2/3 CMZ to central retina gradient, was also observed for the six7 homeobox transcription factor. Recent studies have suggested a dual role for six7 in photoreceptor regulation that involves both control of rod number, and survival of green sensitive cone precursors (Ogawa et al., 2015; Sotolongo-Lopez et al., 2016). Although these studies suggest that Six7 is required for zebrafish rh2 expression and green cone survival, our expression data may point towards a differential role of six7 in cod related to rh2 paralog. This is supported by the overlapping expression pattern of six7 and rh2a-2/3 in early larval stages, and during metamorphosis (in proximity to CMZ). Yet, cod rh2a-1 expression pattern is not similar to six7, neither in larvae nor during juvenile transformation. Furthermore, in agreement with an increase in zebrafish rod number caused by six7 knockdown, the decrease in six7 expression during cod metamorphosis coincides with appearance of rods (Sotolongo-Lopez et al., 2016). Altogether, future studies are needed to unravel the exact role of six7 in photoreceptor regulation in cod.

In zebrafish spatiotemporal regulation of tandem-linked cone opsins is achieved through shared locus-control regions (lcr) acting on downstream promotor activation (Tsujimura et al., 2015; Takechi and Kawamura, 2005; Chinen et al., 2003). Duplicated genes may thus use shared regulatory regions in a competitive manner in order to obtain differential gene expression, and this competition may be under developmental control. Rh2, hws and sws2 genes have all been shown to have binding sites for transcription factors involved in retinal differentiation in zebrafish (Tsujimura et al., 2007, 2010; Takechi et al., 2008; Chen et al., 1997; Furukawa et al., 1997). However, it remains to be shown whether the same mechanism operates on cod cone opsins. It should be noted that there does not seem to be an obvious link between the syntenic organization of cod rh2 genes, and the order of expression changes observed (Valen et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the delayed onset and continued expression of cod rh2a-1, combined with peripheral expression of rh2a-2 and rh2a-3, does indicate at least two different developmental modes of expression control that may involve six7.

The retention through metamorphosis of SW52B expressing cones in the ventral retina near to the CMZ, suggests the presence of SW52B-differentiation signals in this region. However, cones positioned slightly more centrally (and older) express both sws2a and sws2b, followed by cones that express only sws2a in more central and dorsal regions. This may suggest that these cones may transition from one fate to another; depending on time of birth and retinal position, and also that the larval activation of SW52B is maintained in the ventral CMZ. Contrary to previous notions of a single visual pigment/opsin per photoreceptor (Mazzoni et al., 2004), several studies have reported co-expression of multiple opsins (Szél et al., 2000; Arikawa et al., 2003; Dalton et al., 2014; Isayama et al., 2014). In salmonids, opsin co-expression is a transient event related to a thyroid hormone-induced switch from UV- to blue-sensitive opsin in single cones during metamorphosis,
probably related to change in life style and prey preference (Cheng and Flamarique, 2007; Flamarique, 2013). Also in mammals, opsin co-expression has been reported in several studies (Hunt and Peichl, 2014). In cichlids, double-cones co-express rh2 and lws, related to sensitivity tuning within specific retinal regions, which is thought to improve the perception of the background (Dalton et al., 2014). It has also been suggested that the expression of multiple sws2 paralogs differentially tunes the retina to either violet or blue wavelengths, although the mechanisms by which this might take place is not known (Carleton et al., 2008). Although we do not have spectral absorbance data on the cod opsin SWS2B subtype, phylogeny places the cod SWS2B type among the violet-sensitive SWS2 opsin branch of other species (Valen et al., 2014). Whether the expression of multiple opsin subtypes in ventral retina is a consequence of expression regulation, or/and has a functional role related to habitat shift during larva-juvenile transformation is unknown.

The loss of rh2a-2 and rh2a-3 expression further supports our previous findings that the adult mosaic pattern is based on sws2a expressing single cones, and rh2a-1 expressing double cones (Valen et al., 2014). Although the sws2a expressing single cones appeared more regularly during the time of rod differentiation, future studies are needed to characterize the exact morphometrics of cone mosaic (re)organization in cod. A reorganization of retina during metamorphosis, including fusion of single cones into double cones, and appearance of rods, has previously been shown in winter flounder (Evans and Fernald, 1993). In zebrafish the larval retina is retained within the adult retina and does not reorganize to form the adult pattern of regular rows (Allison et al., 2010). However, the loss of larval expressed rh2a-2/3 from the central retina in cod, combined with continued CMZ expression, suggests the presence of more plastic mechanisms than the mere retention of the larval cone structure and generation of mosaics from post-larval CMZ growth. It has been suggested that processes as different as apoptosis, cell movement and lateral cell induction are involved (Allison et al., 2010). Yet, no signs of apoptotic cells were detected during cod metamorphosis (37 dph), a time when rh2a-2/rh2a-3 expression is disappearing, suggesting that loss of cone subtypes through apoptosis is not a major mechanism in cod. However, we also hypothesize that spatial transition of cone fate through rh2a opsin switch may represent a mechanism involved in establishment of the adult cone pattern. All in all, the re-organization of the cod retina into the adult structure probably includes both the CMZ and the central retinal regulation of cones. Interestingly, both UV and red-sensitive LWS cones have been suggested to play guiding roles in cone mosaic organization of model fish (Wan and Stenkamp, 2000; Raymond, 2014; Stenkamp and Cameron, 2002). However this raises the question of how identity repetition is achieved in a retina that completely lacks sws1 and lws genes.

4.4. Metamorphic development of scotopic vision: rod neurogenesis

The delayed appearance of rods in cod at the onset of larval-juvenile transition follows a two-step development of retina that involves developmental upregulation of cell proliferation in the INL and INL-ONL margin. The fusiform cell shape of some proliferating cells suggests that these are Müller glia-derived rod precursors (Raymond and Rivlin, 1987; Julian et al., 1998). Although the Müller glia rod-lineage is different from the stem-cell path of cones, newly differentiated rods first appear in dorsal retina, which subsequently contains the highest abundance of rods (expressing rhodopsin). This suggests a regional difference in signals leading to rhodopsin activation, yet it remains speculative at the moment whether this may be linked to the embryonic dorsal cone opsin activation. However, as rod cells differentiate in more randomly distributed spots and also in other retinal areas (ventral), rather than spreading from a confined area as do cones, the underlying patterning of rod neurogenesis is probably different. Early studies in zebrafish showed that expression of the rhodopsin gene was an early marker of differentiated cells, and expression was initiated in post-mitotic rod progenitor cells (Knight and Raymond, 1990). Hence the observed rhodopsin expression pattern likely reflects the pattern of rod progenitor cells that have undergone terminal mitosis, and areas without expression may represent continuously dividing rod progenitors.

The detection of secondary differentiated rods in the ventral retina (a pattern maintained through metamorphosis), may support a model of two spatially distinct rod-initiation domains, as suggested in medaka (Kitambi and Malicki, 2008), rather than the single ventral rod domain of cyprinids. Which domain is of most ancient origin is not known, and in salmonids rods follow a similar pattern to that of cones, which spread from the centro-temporal retina (Cheng et al., 2007). During the later stages of cod metamorphosis recognized by a rapid increase in rods, proliferative cells were found mainly in the ONL, in accordance with rod precursors dividing faster in the ONL than the INL (Otteson et al., 2001; Julian et al., 1998). Rod production has been shown to be under IGF control that regulates body growth, so that the precursor proliferation and regulation of rods are slowing in species with a well-defined period of body growth (e.g. zebrafish), unlike in those characterized by continued growth (cod) (Marcus et al., 1999). The developmental upregulation of cell proliferation during rod genesis may have parallels in regeneration processes or normal periods of rapid growth in other teleosts (Stenkamp, 2011; Cid et al., 2013; Faillace et al., 2002). Indirectly developing retinas might therefore provide a valuable model for the role of factors and pathways involved in rod cell activation and stem cell regulation in general.

4.5. Nr2e3-1 expression pattern is spatiotemporally linked to rod differentiation and metamorphosis

The similar spatiotemporal patterns of expression of nr2e3-1 and rhodopsin during metamorphosis in cod suggest that Nr2e3 have a role in rod specification, as has been shown in zebrafish and mammals (Cheng et al., 2004; Nelson et al., 2008), although it follows a step-wise mode of regulation. In cod, nr2e3-1 seems to precede the expression of rhodopsin that probably represents cells just prior to rod differentiation, as has been observed in zebrafish and mice (Chen et al., 2005; Kitambi and Hauptmann, 2007). Pre-metamorphic expression of nr2e3-1 is restricted to CMZ, and may suggest a different role prior to rod genesis, a role that could be related to cone opsin regulation (Chen et al., 2005), although this remains to be demonstrated. Although the mechanisms that regulate rod fate vs cones is still somewhat unclear, Crx has been shown to bind to the conserved otx sequence in the zebrafish rod opsin promoter (Kawamura et al., 2005). A temporal hierarchy of rod PRC transcriptional control with Crx and Nrl above Nr2e3 has been suggested as a model (Peng et al., 2005). Whether and how the cod rod opsin promoter is regulated by Nr2e3 and is combined with other factors remains to be shown. Interestingly, we found that the cluster of genes that includes rhodopsin and nr2e3-1 also includes the kcnv2 gene that has been shown to be under the control of both Crx and Nrl in humans (Aslanidis et al., 2014). Furthermore, given that retinal transformation is linked to metamorphosis, thyroid hormone (TH) is probably a key upstream signaling factor that has been shown to influence cone subtypes and opsin expression in fish and mammals (Applebury et al., 2007; Cheng et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2001; Temple et al., 2008). In mice, the presence of the thyroid hormone receptor (TRβ2) plays a role in both the specification of cone subtypes and spatial repression.
that involves chromatin remodeling and permanently gene silencing in differentiated cones (Ng et al., 2001). However, the role of TH in rod neurogenesis (Nr2e3-Crx-Nrl) pathways remains to be identified.

In the current study we have provided a comprehensive and detailed analysis of photoreceptor differentiation in a species that follows a step-wise development of duplex retina. We have shown that the pure-cone retina of larval cod allows for specific studies of cones and opsin expression. These findings may provide a valuable basis for future studies involving identification and isolation of factors involved in the photoreceptor-specific pathway, and also in cone subset determination. Our identification and analysis of nr2e3-1, suggest involvement in rod specification linked to metamorphosis. Our study thus shows that nr2e3-1 is regulated according to life-stage transition. The clustering of several key retinal genes according to life stage, exemplifies the power of cod retina as a comparative model system. Yet, functional analysis of these candidates in cod is challenged by long generation time and seasonal restricted material, among others. However, when combined with established fish models, the benefits of a step-wise developing retina could potentially involve detection of key factors and gene regulatory hierarchies, which have previously been undetected.

Altogether, the stepwise development of cod retina reflects a life-stage specific regulation of photoreceptor pathways, which are a key element of a lifelong plastic, yet functional retina. The duplex retina of adult cod is capable of both color discrimination and high-sensitivity dim-light vision, which is a prerequisite for complex visual-guided behaviors essential for survival in a fluctuating light environment.
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