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Objective To determine the causes of stillbirths and neonatal deaths in the community in rural Tanzania and to evaluate whether the deaths were avoidable under the prevailing circumstances.

Design Review of stillbirths and neonatal deaths.

Setting Rural northern Tanzania, Mbulu and Hanang districts.

Sample One hundred and nineteen stillbirth and neonatal deaths identified in a prospective cohort of antenatal attendees and 21 stillbirths and neonatal deaths identified retrospectively in a household survey in seven rural communities.

Methods Verbal autopsy was done to reach a diagnosis, in many cases supplemented with information from antenatal records and hospital records. The avoidability of deaths under the prevailing circumstances was assessed for each case. An account of risk factors detectable at antenatal clinic was done and compared with the woman’s recall of the risk assessment and recall of being referred.

Main outcome measures Avoidability of stillbirths and neonatal deaths.

Results There were 60 stillbirths, 49 early neonatal deaths and 27 late neonatal deaths. Infection-related deaths were most common (n = 53), followed by asphyxia-related deaths (n = 32) and immaturity-related deaths (n = 20). Malaria was the most common infectious agent observed (21 children and 20 mothers). Twenty-one deaths (15%) were probably avoidable and 13 (10%) were possibly avoidable. A patient-oriented avoidable factor was identified in 17 (51%) and a provider-oriented avoidable factor was identified in 22 cases (65%). Twenty-six of the 34 avoidable deaths had risk factors, but only two of the women were aware of it and only one recalled being referred to a hospital for the risk factor. There were eight deaths among the 133 mothers who experienced a perinatal death.

Conclusions Our data indicate that prevention and adequate treatment of infections and asphyxia in the newborn should have high priority in low-income settings. The relatively low proportion of avoidable stillbirths and neonatal deaths may be partly due to accessible emergency obstetric care in the area. Future efforts should emphasise improving the communication between midwife and women at the antenatal clinics, preparing the women—and their families—for the delivery and to be ready for complications.

INTRODUCTION

According to the World Health Report 2001, perinatal conditions account for more than 4% (2.4 million) of the deaths in the world, most of them in developing countries. Population-based studies in various regions of Tanzania have shown perinatal mortality rates of 125/1000, 82/1000, 68/1000 and 58/1000 births. Some perinatal and neonatal conditions are almost invariably fatal, whereas others are more easily managed. Therefore, an assessment of avoidability of deaths may help identify the areas most likely to succeed in preventing these deaths and may also give an indication of the performance of the health system and the health-seeking behaviour in the area. It has been suggested that the reduction of perinatal mortality rate in high-income countries was due to improved socioeconomic and environmental conditions. Studies on the perinatal mortality in the 19th century suggest that the introduction of midwifery-assisted deliveries was instrumental in reducing the perinatal mortality rate, but more so for reducing maternal mortality. In addition, simple improvements of hospital routines and perinatal audits have been shown to reduce perinatal mortality.

A relatively low perinatal mortality rate was observed in the rural districts of Hanang and Mbulu of northern Tanzania (unpublished observations). The objective of the present study was to determine the underlying causes of
stillbirths and neonatal deaths in the community and to evaluate whether the deaths were avoidable under the prevailing circumstances.

METHODS

We defined a stillbirth as the birth of a dead fetus of at least 28 weeks of gestation. Early neonatal deaths were deaths of live born babies during the first seven completed days after birth, and late neonatal deaths occurred after 7 completed days and before 28 completed days. Perinatal mortality includes stillbirths and early neonatal deaths, and the rates are given per 1000 births. Neonatal mortality includes both early and late neonatal deaths, and the rates are given per 1000 live births.

The study was conducted within two administrative divisions, Dongobesh in Mbulu district and Basotu in Hanang district, covering 42 villages in the northern highlands of Tanzania. The average altitude is 1700 m (range 1300–2100) above sea level. Most people in this rural area are peasants with small fields and livestock. The health services are provided by government institutions as well as non-governmental organisations. Delivery facilities are offered at around 10 health centres or dispensaries in the area, but during the study period, caesarean sections were usually untrained, assisted most of the home deliveries. The pregnant woman’s mother-in-law, who was usually untrained, assisted most of the home deliveries. The maternal mortality ratio, which was studied at the same unit, was found to be between 300 and 400 per 100,000 live births and was reported in a separate paper. Cerebral malaria was commonly observed at the local hospital; it was the leading cause of maternal deaths, whereas eclampsia was uncommon. The prevalence of HIV infection among pregnant women was 0.3%. The nutrition among the pregnant Iraqw and Datoga women was fairly good, and there was no tradition of starving pregnant women like the Masai sometimes do.

To reach diagnoses for causes of deaths of stillborn and neonates, we collected information on children born to women in two populations: antenatal clinic attendees and participants of a household survey in the same area.

A cohort of 3618 antenatal clinic attendees were included in a prospective study, and we managed to trace the outcome of pregnancy for 3512 of them (97.1%). Most of the women were followed up at the maternal and child health clinics when they brought their newborn babies for vaccination. The women who did not return were traced to their home villages and asked about the outcome of the pregnancy and time of delivery. We registered 119 stillbirths and neonatal deaths in this group.

Independently of the former cohort, perinatal and neonatal deaths were also reported retrospectively from a household survey conducted in seven selected subvillages in the study area. Among the 1282 eligible households, representatives of 1259 were interviewed, 12 were missing, 6 refused and a further 5 refused after the interview had started. Every household was asked about births and deaths during the 12 months prior to the interview. There were 370 children born during the period, and 21 of them resulted in stillbirths or neonatal deaths. One of them was also covered by the above-mentioned antenatal cohort.

Of the total 139 deaths, 75 died at a health facility, and hospital records were available on 58 of these and on 6 cases who had been admitted but died at home or roadside. In 134 cases, we were able to interview the mother or the closest relative about symptoms, signs and circumstances of death (verbal autopsy) to reach a tentative diagnosis. The causes of deaths were based on 134 verbal autopsies, 119 antenatal clinic screening records, 64 history sheets and delivery records at Haydom Lutheran Hospital and 21 household survey interviews.

The verbal autopsy was initially derived from Smith and Morrow, translated into Swahili and tested in the field. The interview consisted of an initial open-ended interview, followed by a questionnaire with closed-ended questions. The interview was done by a medical doctor (authors SGH or BEO) fluent in Swahili and well acquainted with the local cultures and a local field assistant fluent in the other local languages. An experienced obstetrician (author PB) and two medical doctors with local clinical experience (authors SGH and BEO) independently determined the preliminary diagnoses based on the existing information. We kept the diagnoses where at least two doctors agreed; where all three disagreed, we discussed the case and reached consensus. In many cases, we assigned two or more diagnoses; two were found in 60, three in 40 and four diagnoses in 13 cases. The diagnoses were reviewed and commented on by an experienced paediatrician (author JH), which led to change in one underlying cause of death and modifications on 10 of the contributing diagnoses.

The causes of death were grouped into a functional classification developed by Wigglesworth et al. and modified by the International Collaborative Effort on Birth Weight, Plurality, Perinatal and Infant Mortality. The underlying causes of death were grouped into seven functional categories. Each group has common features requiring intervention at a specific time. (1) ‘Congenital anomalies’ include both structural and biochemical abnormalities. (2) The ‘asphyxia’ group comprises conditions arising around delivery, with strategies for prevention being concentrated to that time. (3) The ‘immaturity related group’ comprises conditions associated with preterm birth...
and small babies. (4) The group comprised by ‘infections’ includes all kinds of infections regardless of causative agent and localisation. (5) ‘Sudden death’ includes all cases of sudden death of unknown cause. (6) ‘External causes’ comprise accidents, poisoning and specific deficiencies. (7) ‘Other specific conditions’ is a heterogeneous group, including neoplasms and isoimmunisation. (8) We also had an additional ‘other and unclassifiable’ group, where we included unexplained intrauterine fetal deaths.

According to the information given, we (authors SGH and BEO) also assessed whether the deaths were ‘avoidable’. The term referred only to the avoidability under the prevailing circumstances (i.e. given the existing disease patterns, health facilities, infrastructure, resources and routines), hence referring to the immediate actions taken or omitted by the persons involved. Therefore, an ‘unavoidable’ death may have been caused by both ‘treatable’ and ‘untreatable’ conditions. Deaths were registered as possibly ‘avoidable’ if there was a fair or good chance of survival if the danger signs had been recognised and correct steps had been taken at the appropriate time. The death was regarded ‘unavoidable’ if there was little or no chance that the baby would have survived, even if the correct decisions had been made in due time. The factors that were suspected to be responsible for the avoidable deaths, were categorised into patient-oriented and health service provider-oriented, the latter including antenatal clinics, health centres, dispensaries and hospitals.

For data entry, we used EpiInfo version 5 and 6. For analysis of the data, we used SPSS version 9.0.0. Differences in proportions were analysed by $\chi^2$ tests. The significance level was 0.05 and two-sided.

The research protocol was approved by the National Committee for Research Ethics in Medicine in Norway and by the Commission for Science and Technology in Tanzania. Prior to the field study, the Regional Development Officer, the District Commissioners and the leaders of wards and villages had given consent. The local people had been informed about the study through gatherings in the villages. Individual oral consent was also obtained from each participant.

**RESULTS**

Among the 139 registered deaths, there were 60 stillbirths, 49 early neonatal deaths, 27 late neonatal deaths and 3 cases with no information on age at time of death. Among the 76 neonatal deaths, 27 (36%) died within the first day. Table 1 shows the frequency of the various categories of underlying cause of death for 136 cases. Infection-related deaths dominated, particularly among the late neonatal deaths, followed by asphyxia-related deaths. Among the 53 infection-related deaths, 20 babies had malaria, 11 had pneumonia and 5 had septicaemia. There were 21 cases of maternal malaria and 10 cases of suspected other maternal infections. Among the 32 asphyxia-related deaths, 11 had compression or prolapse of the umbilical cord, 6 babies had signs of aspiration, 5 were difficult breech deliveries and 5 were victims of antepartum haemorrhage. There were 128 singletons, 4 pairs of twins and a group of triplets among the 139 dead babies. Eight of the 133 delivering women died. The three direct maternal deaths resulted in one baby surviving the neonatal period, and two were not born. The five indirect maternal deaths resulted in two stillborn babies, one early neonatal death and two survived the neonatal period.

The place of delivery, place of death and mode of transport to seek help is shown in Table 1. Forty-eight percent of all the babies that died were born at home, and 38% of the babies died at home. Among the 84 babies who died at or on the way to a health facility, 19 had been transported by a vehicle. The distribution of causes of death was fairly similar among deaths occurring at home and at health facilities ($P = 0.16$). Fifty-four percent of the infection-related cases and 36% of the asphyxia related cases had been born at home, compared with 44% and 50% in a health facility ($P = 0.3$). Forty-four percent of the infection-related cases and 33% of the asphyxia related cases died at home, compared with 46% and 53% at a health facility ($P = 0.4$).

We judged 34 (25%) of the deaths to be possibly avoidable (Table 1). The proportion of avoidable deaths was higher among the late neonatal deaths (44%) than among stillborn babies (22%, $P = 0.03$) and early neonatal deaths (18%, $P = 0.01$). Both the infection- and the asphyxia-related deaths were regarded as possibly avoidable in a third of the cases (Table 1). Very few of the immaturity-related deaths and none of the congenital conditions were regarded as avoidable under the prevailing circumstances (Table 1). Among the 34 avoidable cases, 23 (68%) were born at home and 22 (65%) died at home (Table 1).

A patient-oriented factor was identified in 17 (51%) of the 34 avoidable cases, 14 of them did not seek professional help in due time (Table 2). A provider-oriented avoidable factor was identified in 22 (65%) of avoidable cases; in 12 of these cases, the woman should have been referred from the antenatal clinic to the hospital because of a disease or a risk factor (Table 2).

We identified at least one risk factor that could have been detected at routine antenatal visits in 84 (62%) of the all the deaths (Table 1), with similar proportions in all the diagnostic categories. Among the 34 avoidable deaths, 26 had at least one detectable antenatal risk factor. Only two of these women reported that a risk factor had been identified during the antenatal visits and only one remembered being referred to a higher level of care. For the others, the risk factors had either been overlooked at the clinic or the mother had not realised that she was referred for a risk factor to a higher level of care and therefore not reacted.

In this review of perinatal and neonatal deaths, most of the cases were related to infections and asphyxia and almost 40% of the deaths occurred at home. Relatively few of the stillborn babies and the early neonatal deaths were avoidable under the prevailing circumstances, whereas among the late neonatal deaths, we found a higher proportion (41%) to be avoidable. There was at least one risk factor that could have been detected antenatally in two-thirds of the cases, but few of these mothers reported having been referred to a higher level of care.

Many studies on the causes of perinatal death are hospital based, which gives adequate medical and clinical information and also better potential for validation of verbal autopsies. However, those studies lack information on the

deaths occurring at home. The prospective population-based design in our study, with a low dropout rate, should ensure a fair representation of the perinatal problems in the community. The interviews were conducted by medical doctors familiar with the local epidemiology and well acquainted with the local culture. Nevertheless, our method of reaching a diagnosis leaves considerable room for inaccuracy, however meticulously the work is done20. Accurate observations and information are often inadequate to verify a diagnosis, although recall of mothers who have lost a child has been shown to be good even after a long time21. Furthermore, the signs and symptoms of diseases among neonates are often non-specific and similar for several different conditions. We could therefore only indicate tentative diagnoses. However, this weakness is counteracted by the use of broad categories of diagnoses21, which also has the advantage of focusing on the type of intervention needed to avoid death.

In many cases, several diagnoses contributed to the unfortunate outcome. For every death, we determined all likely diagnoses, but we used the most probable diagnosis as the underlying cause of death. This may somewhat distort the clinical picture, because it is often the combination of conditions that makes the baby unable to survive (e.g. a baby with low birthweight may also have asphyxia, and in the end, hypoglycaemia and hypothermia may cause the death). Hence, some of the known diagnoses that were assigned may have been given more or less importance than they deserve.

There were many deaths related to infections and asphyxia, which has also been found in other studies from sub-Saharan Africa22–24 including Tanzania10, and the contribution of congenital malformations was similar to what has been reported from other African countries. This contrasts the situation in many developed countries, where infections and asphyxia-related conditions are less common, while those more difficult to prevent or treat (i.e. congenital malformations and immaturity) are relatively more frequent25,26.

The proportion of possibly avoidable deaths observed in our study may seem small for a low-income country like

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Main diagnosisa</th>
<th>All diagnoses</th>
<th>Patient-oriented factor</th>
<th>Provider-oriented factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBb (13)</td>
<td>asphyxia (5)</td>
<td>birth asphyxia, breech delivery</td>
<td>delayed to hospital</td>
<td>not referred from ANCb to hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>cord compression, birth asphyxia</td>
<td></td>
<td>should have had caesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APHb</td>
<td></td>
<td>not referred from ANC to hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>APH, maternal infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>nearly unattended in ward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intrauterine hypoxia, maternal UTIb</td>
<td></td>
<td>should have had caesarean section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>maternal malaria, congenital malaria</td>
<td>too late to ANC</td>
<td>not referred from ANC to hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>maternal malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>maternal malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>maternal infection, anaemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pre-eclampsia, congenital malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>maternal pelvic tumour</td>
<td>did not seek help</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDb (9)</td>
<td>asphyxia (4)</td>
<td>birth asphyxia, cord prolapse, APH</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>not referred from ANC to hospital oxytocin given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>birth asphyxia, breech, maternal malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>severity not recognised by nurse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>birth asphyxia, cord prolapse</td>
<td></td>
<td>condition not recognised by doctor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aspiration, congenital malaria</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dehydration, congenital malaria, septicemia</td>
<td></td>
<td>not referred from ANC to hospital oxytocin given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>congenital malaria, SGAb, neonatal infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, malaria</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>hemolytic disease of the newborn (twins)</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNDb (12)</td>
<td>infection (11)</td>
<td>pneumonia, breech delivery</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, cerebral malaria</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, malaria, dehydration</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, gastroenteritis, congenital malaria</td>
<td>did not seek help</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, congenital malaria, anemia, dehydration</td>
<td>did not seek help</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>septicemia, malaria</td>
<td>difficulties at home</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, malaria</td>
<td>relatives refused</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, gastroenteritis</td>
<td>did not go to hospital</td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>pneumonia, gastroenteritis (twins)</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>missing (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>discharged from hospital when sick</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Underlying cause of death grouped according to the International Collaborative Effort on Perinatal and Infant Mortality.
b SB = stillbirth; END = early neonatal death; LND = late neonatal death; ANC = antenatal clinic; APH = antepartum haemorrhage; UTI = urinary tract infection; PROM = premature rupture of the membranes; SGA = small for gestational age; HC = health centre.
Tanzania, and their prevention would only have reduced the perinatal mortality rate from 27 to 22/1000 births. We judged 22 of the 136 (16%) deaths to be, probably or possibly, due to factors in the health service provision. This is comparable with a health facility-based study in South Africa that indicated that 19% of the perinatal deaths were directly due to errors or omissions (‘avoidable death’) in the health service. The proportion was reduced after an intervention including the introduction of an audit process and the strengthening of the maternity service in the district, but there was no control group.27 Other studies have identified ‘avoidable factors’ in 50–76% of perinatal deaths, but are not quite comparable because of the different definitions and methodology.11,23,28 There may be some under-estimation of provider-oriented factors in our study, since information from the health facilities on the deaths was incomplete and a sound judgement on the standard of care cannot be based solely on verbal autopsies.

The low number of avoidable deaths must also be viewed in light of the relatively low perinatal mortality rate in the study area. Some ‘avoidable’ deaths may already have been prevented, bearing in mind the fairly well functioning antenatal, obstetric and neonatal services in the area, including an ambulance service with a timesaving VHF–radio request system. Still, health care providers were responsible for more than half of the avoidable factors, both failure to refer the women from antenatal clinic to a higher level of care when needed and medical errors and omissions at hospital level. This indicates a potential for improving the antenatal routines and consultations, as well as obstetric routines in hospitals. Some cases that may have been treatable in principle, and therefore ‘preventable’ in a wider sense, were not defined as ‘avoidable’ in our study, because they would need changes in resource allocations and routines and systems. These may be interventions in pregnancy that have been shown to improve the outcome, like testing and treating syphilis29, using bed nets and presumptive treatment of malaria30.

The most common patient-oriented avoidable factor was failure to seek health services when the danger signs were recognised (Table 2). Many factors influence the decision-making process, and the need for professional health services is not the only issue to consider. Other issues are whether they have money, whether they have transport facilities, whether the husband agrees, whether the husband is around at all, whether the mother-in-law agrees, whether the local traditional doctor agrees, whether they have somebody to take care of the house and children and whether there is enough time to reach a hospital. Other factors that may influence their behaviour are previous bad experiences with health facilities and the staff, cultural barriers, peer pressure, fatalistic attitudes, poor roads and bad weather. In our study area, the woman’s husband or mother-in-law was usually the decision-maker. Education of both women and men about reproductive health matters may influence the attitudes and practices around delivery.

New initiatives in antenatal care focus on delivery preparedness and complication readiness31, and male involvement should be an integral part of this strategy, since they are often decision-makers.

The most common cause of death was infections, with malaria predominating. Malaria (almost exclusively due to Plasmodium falciparum) is a common health problem in this area, and at the Haydom Lutheran Hospital, it was the most common cause of death among children as well as adults32. Previous international recommendations include weekly chloroquine prophylaxis in pregnancy, but recent revision recommends intermittent sulphadoxine–pyrimethamine30,33. In Kenya, intermittent treatment of presumptive malaria in pregnancy led to significantly increased birthweight. For mortality, the sample size was not sufficient to demonstrate a reduction in mortality, but the results were compatible with a protective efficacy of around 20% (95% CI –17 to 48) for perinatal mortality and around 40% for neonatal mortality (95% CI –8 to 65)34. Insecticide-treated bed nets have been shown to reduce mortality among children under five years of age35, but they are rarely used in the study area. Their use should be encouraged in the antenatal care program.

Deaths due to septicaemia and pneumonia may be difficult to prevent. The symptoms may be vague and ambiguous even for experienced clinicians, and one cannot expect mothers to recognise the early danger signs. It is important that the threshold for contacting health facilities is low. Regular contact with health care personnel at antenatal clinics may reduce the threshold for professional contact. In areas with no hospitals, programs of integrated management of childhood infections have shown that even a home-based management of infections can reduce infant mortality36.

Some of the deaths related to asphyxia might have been prevented by professionally assisted delivery. Midwife-assisted home births could possibly prevent some of the deaths we have reviewed, but the policy of Tanzania does not include delivery services at home by midwives. The effect of training traditional birth attendants on the outcome of pregnancy has been rather disappointing37 and may sometimes even be harmful by adopting practices meant for hospital settings38, but may play a role in closer collaboration with midwives and doctors39.

Congenital malformations were found in 6% of cases, including some neural crest anomalies. In our study area, a relatively high proportion (14–33%) of pregnant women had low serum folate40. It has been shown that periconcept- tional folic acid supplementation can prevent some neural tube defects41, but it may be difficult in this area as women seldom ‘prepare’ for pregnancy and usually pay the first visit to the health system or antenatal clinics during the second trimester42. General dietary advice may be theo- retical because of seasonal shortages of various vegetables.

There was a quite high proportion of deaths in this series of pregnant women. Their death rate was much higher than
the maternal mortality ratio in the area. Many of the problems that affect the newborn may also harm the mother (e.g. malaria, antepartum bleedings, eclampsia). A mother who loses her baby should be observed carefully.

Antenatal care has previously focussed on screening for high risk pregnancies in order to give those in need a follow up schedule at a higher level of health care, but the strategy has shortcomings. We have shown that most of the deaths (62%) had a risk factor identifiable at the antenatal clinic, but more than a third did not have any risk factor and would not have been identified through ordinary antenatal care. It was even more discouraging that most of the women with risk factors were not made aware of it. Similar findings have been presented in other studies in Tanzania and emphasises the importance of a good dialogue between staff and pregnant women at antenatal clinics, making sure that messages are understood.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the importance of a holistic approach to ensure a satisfactory outcome of pregnancy for women in resource limited settings. The general public needs to be educated to understand that obstetric complications are emergencies and that every pregnancy is ‘at risk’. The antenatal clinics must continue to play an important role in screening and referral of the women at risk or with complications to a higher level of care. Improved dialogue between antenatal clinic staff and the pregnant women should aim to make messages understood. Antenatal care should focus on preparing the women for delivery and educating them and their husbands to be ready for unexpected complications. Finally, effective antenatal care presupposes a functioning referral level with emergency obstetric care, which needs to be credible, affordable and rapidly available.
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