16. Errata

Two patients died between 1998 and 2003, one at home and one in a nursery home. They were by a mistake not classified as ‘dead’ in paper III. They were not included in the analyses of the terminal symptoms in the 247 patients in that article, and therefore these results and conclusions were not influenced.

In paper IV in the abstract one sentence (line 81) is written like this: “The differences in CRN in relative percentage between Haugalandet and the rest of Norway varied between +3% (unknown stage) and -20 % (surgery).” These numbers should be: “+6% (unknown stage) and -22% (surgery).”

In paper IV table 1, bottom line the 95% confidence interval for 192 patients (70.9%) who not survived one year is (65.4-76.3) instead of (23.7- 34.6) as written in the manuscript.

In paper IV in the discussion chapter line 263 it is written: “ - this value was 7.5 % in Haugalandet and 10.7 % for the rest of Norway”. Instead of 10.7 the value should be 8.4%.

The last sentence on page 44 continues on the page 45: “The differences in relative percentage between Haugalandet and the rest of Norway were 3% for unknown stage, -6% for unknown histology, -20% for surgery and -5% for one year survival”. The correct numbers are: 6% for unknown stage, -11% for unknown histology, -22% for surgery and -10% for one year survival”.