1 Lauvset, S. K., and N. Gruber (2014), Long-term trends in surface ocean pH in the North

2 Atlantic, *Marine Chemistry*, 162(0), 71-76, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.03.009.

3

4 LONG-TERM TRENDS IN SURFACE OCEAN pH IN THE NORTH ATLANTIC

- 5 S. K. Lauvset<sup>1,2</sup> and N. Gruber<sup>2</sup>
- 6 <sup>1</sup>Geophysical Institute, University of Bergen and Bjerknes Center for Climate Research,
- 7 Bergen, Norway
- 8 <sup>2</sup>Environmental Physics, Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zurich,
- 9 Zürich, Switzerland.

10 11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12 ABSTRACT

Presently available direct pH measurements do not have a sufficient data density in space or time in order to determine long-term trends across wider geographic regions, limiting our ability to assess the magnitude and impacts of ocean acidification. We overcome this limitation by using the much more frequently measured fugacity of CO<sub>2</sub> (fCO<sub>2</sub>), as synthesized in the SOCAT data product, from which we calculate pH using algorithms for alkalinity based on temperature and salinity. The estimated pH at 25°C, i.e., pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> has a calculation error of 0.0033±0.0003, and evaluation using co-located pH observations yields a RMSE of 0.010 and a non-significant bias of 0.004. The estimated  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  is rather sensitive to uncertainties and biases in fCO<sub>2</sub>, while uncertainties in alkalinity, temperature, and salinity matter much less. The high precision and low bias of the computed pH permits us to apply this method to data from the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre, for which we find a statistically significant trend in surface ocean pH<sub>sws</sub> of -0.0022±0.0004 yr<sup>-1</sup> over the period 1981 to 2007. This long-term trend in pH is nearly entirely driven by the long-term trend in surface ocean fCO<sub>2</sub>, while the impact of temperature is negligible. This pH trend is very close to that expected based on the assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium of CO<sub>2</sub> between the atmosphere and the surface ocean.

29

30

**KEY WORDS** 

pH, ocean acidification, trend analysis, carbon cycle, North Atlantic

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

31

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Approximately 30 % of the human-induced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have been taken up by the ocean so far (Sabine et al., 2004; Khatiwala et al., 2013; IPCC, 2013), having led to a longterm decrease in pH and in the saturation state with regard to mineral calcium carbonates – a process that is commonly referred to as ocean acidification. Despite the growing recognition of the potential consequences of this pH decrease on marine organisms and ecosystems (e.g. Doney et al., 2007; Gattuso and Hansson, 2011), we know long-term trends of pH for just a handful of time-series stations (e.g. Bates, 2007; Gonzalez-Davila et al., 2010; Santana-Casiano et al., 2007; Leinweber and Gruber, 2013). The observed trends vary between 0.002 and 0.004 yr<sup>-1</sup>, largely consistent with the expected trends based on the assumption that the perturbation of the surface ocean's carbonate chemistry follows that of the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the overlying atmosphere (Sarmiento and Gruber, 2006). It is not unreasonable to assume that this is the case also over wider geographic regions, but no observation-based estimate of such trends is currently available to test this assumption. This is mainly because surface ocean pH is rarely measured directly owing to the lack of a system for continuous measurements (Byrne and Co-Authors, 2010). Efforts are being made to develop and implements such systems (e.g. Rerolle et al., 2012), but these have not been widely used yet, so the spatial coverage of surface ocean pH measurements remains poor. Thus, currently the only way to analyze long-term trends in pH based on observations is to calculate it using two other measured ocean carbon system parameters. For the first carbon system parameter, the CO<sub>2</sub> fugacity (fCO<sub>2</sub>) is an ideal candidate, as it has been extensively measured across all ocean basins and over several decades with relatively high precision and accuracy (Byrne and Co-Authors, 2010). Furthermore the

Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas (SOCAT) effort has synthesized most of these observations into a comprehensive data base with more than 6.3 million measurements (Pfeil et al., 2013). For the second carbon chemistry parameter we chose alkalinity, since several algorithms are available to estimate this from salinity and temperature (Lee et al., 2006; Millero et al., 1998; Nondal et al., 2009). Furthermore, alkalinity does not respond to the invasion of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere, so that its long-term trends are much smaller than those of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC). Finally, the computation of pH from fCO<sub>2</sub> and alkalinity has a much smaller uncertainty than that from fCO<sub>2</sub> and DIC given typical measurement precisions for these parameters (Dickson and Riley, 1978; Zeebe and Wolf-Gladrow, 2001).

In order to assess the usefulness of any pH trend computed from fCO<sub>2</sub> and alkalinity, we first determine the calculation errors and assess their sources in detail. We then test the precision, accuracy, and robustness of the estimated pH against direct observations. Since there are no measurements of pH and fCO<sub>2</sub> available in the SOCAT data base from the same water sample, we use measurements of in situ pH from the CARINA data base that are located reasonably close in space and time. After being convinced of the robustness of our estimated pH, we use it to identify its long-term trend in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre, and determine what drives its changes.

The North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre is a particularly interesting region in this regard since several studies have found significant changes in the surface ocean carbon chemistry (*e.g.* Corbière et al., 2007; Schuster et al., 2009; Metzl et al., 2010), but what has been driving these changes and whether they are short-term variations or long-term trends are still active areas of research (*e.g.* Levine et al., 2011; McGrath et al., 2012).

#### 2. DATA AND METHODS

We focus our analyses on the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre region (Fig. 1), whose area is roughly equivalent to the subpolar seasonally stratified (SPSS) biome in Fay and McKinley (2013) but cut off at the Greenland-Scotland Ridge. The boundaries were determined using a self-organizing map method to cluster data with similar characteristics in sea-surface temperature (SST), sea-surface salinity (SSS), mixed layer depth, and chlorophyll-a (Lauvset et al., in prep).

The Surface Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Atlas Version 1.5 (SOCATv1.5) data product (Pfeil et al., 2013) represents the main source of the data. It contains quality controlled and internally homogenized direct measurements of surface ocean carbon fCO<sub>2</sub>, largely from ships of opportunity, as well as the associated sea surface salinity (SSS) and sea surface temperature (SST) measurements. Of the 1,071,669 fCO<sub>2</sub> measurements contained in the data base within the North Atlantic, only 444,000 have simultaneous entries of all three parameters. These observations form the basis for our analyses.

We estimated alkalinity using the algorithms developed by Lee et al. (2006) and Nondal et al. (2009). The former was used everywhere, except for the region north of 60°N in the North Atlantic, were we employed the Nondal et al. (2009) algorithms, as they were specifically developed for this region. Surface ocean pH – at both *in situ* temperature and at 25 °C – was then computed using CO2SYS (Lewis and Wallace, 1998) using the measured fCO<sub>2</sub> and the estimated alkalinity as input, and the dissociation constants from Mehrbach (1973) refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987). Several other dissociation constants were tried (*e.g.* Millero et al., 2002; Millero et al., 2010) but the choice of constants does not affect the results presented in this paper.

Co-located surface alkalinity and  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  observations (*i.e.*, shallower than 15 m) available in the CARINA data product (Key et al., 2010) were used to evaluate the estimated alkalinity and  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$ . In CARINA, only direct measurements of  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  were considered and

only those data that came from cruises for which no adjustments were made during the  $2^{\rm nd}$  quality control (http://carina.geomar.de/). These data have an estimated accuracy of <0.005 pH units (Velo et al., 2010), derived using data from below 1500 m, but we assume that this holds also for the surface layer. Similarly, the alkalinity observations in CARINA have an estimated accuracy of 6  $\mu$ mol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Velo et al., 2009). To finally identify the co-located samples in the subset of the CARINA database that fulfill the above criteria, we searched for pH (and alkalinity) observations that were taken in the same month and within an area of  $1^{\circ}$ x $1^{\circ}$ of any of the SOCAT fCO<sub>2</sub> measurements. This resulted in the identification of 66 data points in the CARINA data product that could be used for the evaluation. For all these data points the carbon system had been over-determined (*i.e.* more than two out of the four parameters were measured) revealing an internal consistency in pH $_{\rm sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  of ~0.0036. As shown later (Sect. 3.1) this is approximately the same as our calculation error. The evaluation of the estimated alkalinity and pH $_{\rm sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  against the direct measurements was done using several statistical tests (Table 1), including tests for normality, equal variance, and equal mean/median (Stow et al. 2009).

Commonly error propagation calculations are made by linearizing non-linear functions using a Taylor series expansion, but since the pH calculation from fCO<sub>2</sub> and alkalinity is a series of non-linear equations, this method significantly underestimates the total error. We have therefore determined the calculation error of  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  using the full carbon chemistry equations in CO2SYS. In eight different calculations we calculated  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  for alkalinity± $\sigma_{alk}$ , fCO<sub>2</sub>± $\sigma_{fCO2}$ , SST± $\sigma_{SST}$ , and SSS± $\sigma_{SSS}$  separately. The total error was then computed by summing the square of the error introduced by the uncertainty in each of the four parameters.  $\sigma_{alk}$  is the root mean square deviation or standard error of calculation published with the different algorithms (Lee et al., 2006; Nondal et al., 2009), ranging from

6.4–9.7 µmol kg<sup>-1</sup>;  $\sigma_{fCO2}$  is the measurement error of 2 µatm;  $\sigma_{SST}$  is the instrument uncertainty of 0.001 °C; and  $\sigma_{SSS}$  is the instrument uncertainty of 0.01.  $\sigma_{fCO2}$ ,  $\sigma_{SST}$ , and  $\sigma_{SSS}$  were taken from the meta-data available with the SOCATv1.5 data product. A  $\sigma_{SST}$  of only 0.001 °C in SST is very low so the effect on the total error of increasing this to 0.1 °C has also been calculated. The RMSE of our calculated alkalinity compared to measured alkalinity is 8.1µmol kg<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2), which is in the middle of the range of published uncertainties. We take this to indicate that using the root mean square deviation as a measure of uncertainty in the estimated alkalinity is robust. We limited the error analysis to that of pH on the seawater scale at a constant temperature of 25 °C (pH $_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$ ) as all the observations available for validation are also pH $_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$ .

For the trend analysis, pH at *in situ* temperatures (pH $_{sws}^{insitu}$ ) was calculated, so that the potential influence of changes in SST is included. The long-term trend in the estimated pH $_{sws}^{insitu}$  was determined using an ordinary least squares (OLS) linear regression, after first deseasonalizing the data following the method of Takahashi et al. (2009). To test the robustness of this method, we determined the trend also from the linear portion of a fit that contains a harmonic plus a linear trend following the method of Fay and McKinley (2013). Specifically, we fitted a function of the form y=a+b\*x+c\*cos(2 $\pi$ x+d), where x is the decimal year minus the initial year, and where b is the parameter of interest, *i.e.*, the long-term rate of change.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Calculation error

The mean calculation error of our estimated  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  in the North Atlantic of  $0.0033\pm0.0003$  is somewhat larger, but comparable to the value of 0.0021 calculated by Zeebe

and Wolf-Gladrow (2001) for the same parameter pair. For comparison, the calculation error for pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> estimated using observations of alkalinity and DIC from the CARINA data product is considerably larger, i.e., 0.0073±0.0015, confirming our choice of the fCO<sub>2</sub>-alkalinity pair as the better choice for computing pH. Our calculation error of pH is about three times larger than the estimated precision of pH measured directly using the spectrophotometric method (*e.g.* (Rerolle et al., 2012)). Our calculation error is, however, relatively small compared to the long-term trend of mean surface ocean pH of ~0.002 yr<sup>-1</sup>, *i.e.*, after two years, the change exceeds the calculation uncertainty.

The calculation error is dominated by the uncertainty in fCO<sub>2</sub> while the error due to uncertainties in SST and SSS are negligibly small (Fig. 2). For SST this is largely due to the assumed small instrument uncertainty. If we increased the uncertainty for SST to 0.1 °C, the error due SST in  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  would increase to that due to the uncertainty in alkalinity (not shown), while the overall calculation error increases only marginally to 0.0037 $\pm$ 0.0003. The direct error introduced by SSS is very small (~0.00007, Fig. 2), however, correct SSS values are vital in order to calculate unbiased alkalinity and therefore important also in the pH calculation.

#### 3.2 Evaluation using observed pH

Before testing our computed pH with the 66 co-located CARINA measurements, we need to ensure that the two data sets have measured the same water masses. To this end, we first check the consistency of the SST, SSS, and alkalinity data. The strong correlation with a slope of very nearly one between the temperature and salinity data in the SOCATv1.5 and CARINA data products (Fig. 3) show that we are indeed comparing the same water masses and conditions, despite our generous definition of "co-location", *i.e.*, that the samples have been taken within the same month and within a 1°x1° square. A more thorough statistical

comparison also shows that these data compare well (Table 2). It needs to be noted that while there is a bias (*i.e.* a difference in the median values) these are not statistically significant differences given the range and variability in the data. These differences are still of interest to this analysis as it points to how variability in the data affects the final results, and by what means. Analyzing these differences also allows us to ensure that errors are not accumulated in the two-step calculation of pH.

The mean difference between the two sources of temperature is -0.012±0.3 °C, thus reflecting no systematic offset (Table 2). In contrast, there is a difference in salinity between the two data sets, with CARINA salinity being higher by 0.14±0.10 relative to SOCAT salinity. Salinity is a secondary parameter in the SOCAT data product since it does not affect fCO<sub>2</sub> greatly, while salinity is a primary parameter in the CARINA data product. So the mean difference between the data products is likely due to lesser instrument calibration and quality control on the salinity data in SOCAT, but it could also be an artifact of the relatively few data points used for validation. The difference between the two sources of salinity is not statistically significant, but it leads to a mean alkalinity difference which disappears when the salinity difference is corrected before calculation of alkalinity (Table 2).

The mean  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  difference between the two data sets amounts to  $0.0040\pm0.0022$ , with SOCAT suggesting more alkaline conditions than CARINA, but this difference is not statistically significant. The mean salinity-induced offset of alkalinity is not the cause, as this difference increases to  $0.0046\pm0.0098$  when the salinity difference is removed before calculation. As this pH difference is equivalent to a  $2.9\pm1.6$   $\mu$ atm difference in fCO<sub>2</sub> and the measurement uncertainty of fCO<sub>2</sub> is  $\pm2$   $\mu$ atm, it is instead more likely that differences in fCO<sub>2</sub> are the most likely cause of difference in pH $_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$ . This is supported by the calculation error in the estimated pH $_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  being most sensitive to fCO<sub>2</sub>. However, there are no independent observations of fCO<sub>2</sub> available to test this.

In addition to the correlation analysis and statistical evaluation a Brown-Forsythe's test for equal variance and a Mann-Whitney U test for equal medians (Table 1) confirm that there is no statistically significant difference in the distribution, variance, and median of the estimated pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> compared to the available pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> observations in CARINA. We are thus confident that the SOCATv1.5 data compare well to the CARINA data and that any differences that exist in the temperatures and salinities do not significantly affect the pH calculation.

## 3.3 Decadal change and variability

The ordinary least squares regression analysis of the three decades of data reveal substantial long-term trends in surface ocean pH in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre. A linear regression of the deseasonalized pH $_{sws}^{insitu}$  data over the three decades shows a statistically significant trend (with a 95% confidence interval) of -0.0022±0.0004 yr $^{-1}$ , with a standard error of 0.0002 and an r $^{2}$  of 0.61 (Fig. 4a). The trend in pH $_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  is very similar, permitting us to focus on the biologically and biogeochemically more relevant pH $_{sws}^{insitu}$ .

This trend is only moderately sensitive to the fact that the data density is much smaller in the 1980s (Fig. 1) when there are only two years of data. Excluding the 1980s from the regression the trend becomes  $-0.0027\pm0.0006$  yr<sup>-1</sup> and the r<sup>2</sup> decrease (Fig. 4a). However, within the confidence intervals these two trends are not significantly different from each other. This is consistent with the findings in McKinley et al. (2011), where their 1993-2005 trend in fCO<sub>2</sub> is larger than their 1981-2009 trend, but with a difference that is not statistically significant. The trend is also not impacted by possible inhomogeneities in the data, as the three decades of data have distributions with similar variances and similar spatial distributions. Finally, the trend is also robust with regard to the method to determine it. The long-term trend found by the second trend determination method, i.e., where we fitted the data

by a function containing a harmonic and a linear trend part, turns out to not be significantly different from the one found using the OLS regression method.

Our pH<sub>sws</sub> trend in the subpolar North Atlantic is of the same magnitude as the trends

of -0.0017±0.0003 yr<sup>-1</sup> and -0.0017±0.0005 yr<sup>-1</sup> found at the BATS and ESTOC time series (Bates, 2007; Gonzalez-Davila et al., 2010; Santana-Casiano et al., 2007), and the ~-0.002 yr<sup>-1</sup> (0.038-0.0041 over 19 years) found by McGrath et al. (2012) in the Rockall Trough. Similar rates of pH change have also been observed in the Pacific Ocean (e.g. Byrne et al., 2010). This confirms model predictions and theoretical considerations that suggest very little spatial variability in the ocean acidification induced trend in surface ocean pH (e.g. IPCC, 2013). An OLS regression of the underlying drivers of pH, i.e., fCO<sub>2</sub>, alkalinity, SSS and SST reveals that the long-term trend in  $pH_{sws}^{insitu}$  between 1981 and 2007 in the subpolar North Atlantic is almost entirely driven by the long-term trend in surface ocean fCO<sub>2</sub> of 2.0±0.38 μatm yr<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5). This is because there is no statistically significant trend in either alkalinity, SSS, or SST between 1981 and 2007 in our data set. The rate of increase in surface ocean fCO<sub>2</sub> of 2.0 µatm yr<sup>-1</sup> is slightly larger, but not significantly different from that of atmospheric fCO<sub>2</sub> over this period, consistent with the expectation that the surface ocean has remained in thermodynamic equilibrium with the atmospheric perturbation. Our findings for fCO<sub>2</sub> are also consistent with those of Fay and McKinley (2013) for their spatially more extensive North Atlantic Subpolar seasonally stratified biome, as they also identified no significant trend in the air-sea difference of fCO<sub>2</sub>. Finally, our findings that trends in pH are largely being driven by changes in surface water chemistry support the results of McGrath et al. (2012) from the

Rockall Trough, where they also primarily linked the decrease in pH to the observed increase

in dissolved inorganic carbon driven by uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere.

251

252

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

With atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> bound to increase further, surface ocean acidification is virtually certain to aggravate. It is important to have a good knowledge of the present trends in the most important ocean acidification relevant parameters, such as pH, so as to be able to put future trends into the right context. However, until direct pH measurements have a good enough data density for long-term trend analysis, other data sources must be used. Here we have shown that the SOCAT data product can be used to estimate pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> with a calculation error of 0.0033±0.0003. This confirms previous findings that the fCO<sub>2</sub> and alkalinity parameter pair is the more precise and thus the better choice for computing pH – compared to e.g. alkalinity and dissolved inorganic carbon pair – even though alkalinity has to be estimated using empirical algorithms based on temperature and salinity. The difference in the calculated  $pH_{sws}^{25^{\circ}C}$  to the co-located measurements from CARINA is not caused by the systematic differences in salinity and alkalinity, but is most likely due to the strong sensitivity of pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> to both the uncertainty and the variance in fCO<sub>2</sub>. Since our calculation error is smaller than the expected trend in surface ocean pH over most of the ocean over two years, we conclude that our pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> estimates are suitable for long-term regression analysis. We identify a trend of -0.0022±0.0004 yr<sup>-1</sup> between 1981 and 2007 in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre, which is of the same magnitude as the trend at BATS and ESTOC (- $0.0017 \pm 0.0003/0.0005 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) and in the Rockall Trough (-0.002 yr<sup>-1</sup>). This is an important first step in assessing global ocean acidification over the past few decades. Future efforts need to ensure adequate sampling of pH and other parameters of interest for ocean acidification such that solid records of these parameters are in place and can be used to assess the causes and consequences of future biogeochemical and ecological changes.

275

276

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

# 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

211	The work of Siv K. Lauvset has been supported by the Norwegian Research Council
278	project DECApH (214513/F20) and that of Nicolas Gruber by ETH Zürich and the EU FP7
279	project CARBOCHANGE (264879). The authors want to thank two anonymous reviewers
280	for their thoughtful insights and comments on improving the manuscript.
281	
282	6. REFERENCES
283 284 285	Bates, N.R., 2007. Interannual variability of the oceanic CO2 sink in the subtropical gyre of the North Atlantic Ocean over the last 2 decades. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 112(C9): 26.
286 287	Brown, M.B. and Forsythe, A.B., 1974. Robust Tests for Equality of Variances. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 69(346): 364-367.
288 289 290 291	Byrne, R., Co-Authors, 2010. Sensors and Systems for In Situ Observations of Marine Carbon Dioxide System Variables, in: Hall, J., Harrison, D.E., Stammer, D. (Eds.), Proceedings of OceanObs'09: Sustained Ocean Observations and Information for Society. ESA Publication WPP-306, Venice, Italy.
292 293	Byrne, R.H., Mecking, S., Feely, R.A., Liu, X., 2010. Direct observations of basin-wide acidification of the North Pacific Ocean. Geophysical Research Letters 37.
294 295 296	Corbière, A., Metzl, N., Reverdin, G., Brunet, C., Takahashi, T., 2007. Interannual and decadal variability of the oceanic carbon sink in the North Atlantic subpolar gyre. Tellus 59B, 168-178.
297 298	Dickson, A.G., Riley, J.P., 1978. Effect of Analytical Error on Evaluation of Components of Aquatic Carbon-Dioxide System. Marine Chemistry 6, 77-85.
299 300 301	Dickson, A.G., Millero, F.J., 1987. A comparison of the equilibrium-constants for the dissociation of carbonic-acid in seawater media. Deep-Sea Research Part a-Oceanographic Research Papers 34, 1733-1743.
302 303	Dickson, A.G., Sabine, C. and Christian, J.R. (Editors), 2007. Guide to best practices for ocean CO2 measurements. PICES Special Publication 3, 191 pp pp.
304 305 306	Doney, S.C. et al., 2007. Impact of anthropogenic atmospheric nitrogen and sulfur deposition on ocean acidification and the inorganic carbon system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 104(37): 14580-14585.
307 308	Fay, A.R. and McKinley, G.A., 2013. Global trends in surface oceanpCO2from in situ data. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 27(2): 541-557.
300	Gattuse I. P. and Hansson, I. 2011. Ocean acidification, Oxford University Press

310 311 312	Gonzalez-Davila, M., Santana-Casiano, J.M., Rueda, M.J. and Llinas, O., 2010. The water column distribution of carbonate system variables at the ESTOC site from 1995 to 2004. Biogeosciences, 7(10): 3067-3081.
313 314	Gruber, N. et al., 2009. Oceanic sources, sinks, and transport of atmospheric CO2. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 23(GB1005).
315	IPCC, 2013. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
316 317	Jarque, C.M. and Bera, A.K., 1980. Efficient tests for normality, homoscedasticity and serial independence of regression residuals. Economics Letters, 6(3): 255-259.
318 319	Key, R.M. et al., 2010. The CARINA data synthesis project: introduction and overview. Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 2: 105-121.
320 321	Khatiwala, S. et al., 2013. Global ocean storage of anthropogenic carbon. Biogeosciences, 10(4): 2169-2191.
322 323	Kruskal, W.H. and Wallis, W.A., 1952. Use of ranks in one-criterion variance analysis. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 47(260): 583-621.
324 325	Lauvset, S.K., Gruber, N., Landschützer, P. and Olsen, A., in prep. Global long-term trend and variability in surface ocean pH.
326 327 328	Leinweber, A., and N. Gruber, Variability and trends of ocean acidification in the Southern California Current System: A time series from Santa Monica Bay, Journal of Geophysical Research, 118, doi:10.1002/jgrc, 2013
329 330	Le Quéré, C. et al., 2013. The global carbon budget 1959–2011 Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 5: 165-185.
331 332	Lee, K. et al., 2006. Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans. Geophysical Research Letters, 33(19): L19605.
333 334 335	Levine, N.M. et al., 2011. The impact of the North Atlantic Oscillation on the uptake and accumulation of anthropogenic CO2 by North Atlantic Ocean mode waters. Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 25(3): GB3022.
336 337 338	Lewis, E. and Wallace, D.W.R., 1998. Program developed for CO2 system calculations, ORNL/CDIAC-105. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy.
339 340 341	McGrath, T., Kivimae, C., Tanhua, T., Cave, R.R. and McGovern, E., 2012. Inorganic carbon and pH levels in the Rockall Trough 1991-2010. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers, 68(0): 79-91.
342 343 344	McKinley, G.A., Fay, A.R., Takahashi, T. and Metzl, N., 2011. Convergence of atmospheric and North Atlantic carbon dioxide trends on multidecadal timescales. Nature Geoscience, 4(9): 606-610.

- 345 Mehrbach, C., Culberso.Ch, Hawley, J.E., Pytkowic.Rm, 1973. Measurement of apparent
- dissociation-constants of carbonic-acid in seawater at atmospheric-pressure.
- Limnology and Oceanography 18, 897-907.
- Metzl, N., Corbière, A., Reverdin, G., Lenton, A., Takahashi, T., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T.,
- Pierrot, D., Wanninkhof, R., Ólafsdóttir, S.R., Olafsson, J., Ramonet, M., 2010.
- Recent acceleration of the sea surface fCO<sub>2</sub> growth rate in the North Atlantic subpolar
- 351 gyre (1993–2008) revealed by winter observations. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24
- 352 (GB4004).
- Millero, F.J., Lee, K. and Roche, M., 1998. Distribution of alkalinity in the surface waters of
- the major oceans. Marine Chemistry, 60: 111-130.
- 355 Millero, F.J., Pierrot, D., Lee, K., Wanninkhof, R., Feely, R., Sabine, C.L., Key, R.M.,
- Takahashi, T., 2002. Dissociation constants for carbonic acid determined from field
- measurements. Deep-Sea Research Part I-Oceanographic Research Papers 49, 1705-
- 358 1723.
- 359 Millero, F.J., Magdalena Santana-Casiano, J., Gonzalez-Davila, M., 2010. The Formation of
- 360 Cu(II) Complexes with Carbonate and Bicarbonate Ions in NaClO4 Solutions. Journal
- of Solution Chemistry 39, 543-558.
- Nondal, G., Bellerby, R.G.J., Olsen, A., Johannessen, T. and Olafsson, J., 2009. Optimal
- evaluation of the surface ocean CO2 system in the northern North Atlantic using data
- from voluntary observing ships. Limnology and Oceanography-Methods, 7: 109-118.
- Pfeil, B. et al., 2013. A uniform, quality controlled Surface Ocean CO2 Atlas (SOCAT). Earth
- 366 Syst. Sci. Data, 5(1): 125-143.
- Rerolle, V.M.C. et al., 2012. Seawater-pH measurements for ocean-acidification observations.
- 368 Trac-Trends in Analytical Chemistry, 40: 146-157.
- 369 Santana-Casiano, J.M., Gonzalez-Davila, M., Rueda, M.J., Llinas, O. and Gonzalez-Davila,
- E.F., 2007. The interannual variability of oceanic CO2 parameters in the northeast
- Atlantic subtropical gyre at the ESTOC site. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21(1): 16.
- 372 Sarmiento, J.L. and Gruber, N., 2006. Ocean biogeochemical dynamics. Princeton University
- 373 Press, Princeton, N.J., XII, 503 s.
- 374 Schuster, U., Watson, A.J., Bates, N.R., Corbière, A., Gonzalez-Davila, M., Metzl, N.,
- Pierrot, D., Santana-Casiano, M., 2009. Trends in North Atlantic sea-surface fCO2
- from 1990 to 2006. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography 56
- 377 (8-10), 620-629.
- 378 Stow, C.A. et al., 2009. Skill assessment for coupled biological/physical models of marine
- 379 systems. Journal of Marine Systems, 76(1-2): 4-15.
- Takahashi, T. et al., 2009. Climatological mean and decadal change in surface ocean pCO2,
- and net sea-air CO2 flux over the global oceans. Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical
- 382 Studies in Oceanography, 56(8-10): 554-577.

- Velo, A., Perez, F.F., Brown, P., Tanhua, T., Schuster, U., Key, R.M., 2009. CARINA alkalinity data in the Atlantic Ocean. Earth System Science Data 1, 45-61.
- Velo, A. et al., 2010. CARINA data synthesis project: pH data scale unification and cruise adjustments. Earth System Science Data, 2: 133-155.
- Wilcoxon, F., 1945. Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods. Biometrics Bulletin, 1(6): 80-83.
- Zeebe, R., E. and Wolf-Gladrow, D., 2001. CO2 in seawater, equilibrium, kinetics, isotopes, 65. Elsevier, Amsterdam, PAYS-BAS, XIII, 346 pp.

#### FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Map of the North Atlantic showing Subpolar Gyre region for which the regression analysis was performed. The black dots show data in the 1980s, the blue dots show data in the 1990s, and the red dots show data in the 2000s.

395 show data in the 20 

Figure 2. Figure showing the median of the total calculation error for pH, the error in pH due to uncertainty in alkalinity, the error in pH due to uncertainty in fCO<sub>2</sub>, the error in pH due to uncertainty in SST, and the error in pH due to uncertainty in SSS. The total calculation error for pH is the squared sum of the individual errors. The box shows the mean and the upper and lower quartile of the data, the gray points are outliers (defined as data outside of  $\pm 2.7\sigma$ ).

Figure 3. Evaluation of SOCAT derived estimates with measurements from CARINA. Shown are the correlation of (a) SST which has a slope of 0.98 and an  $r^2$  of 0.99, (b) SSS which has a slope of 0.92 and an  $r^2$  of 0.97, (c) pH which has a slope of 0.96 and an  $r^2$  of 0.98, and (d) alkalinity which has a slope of 0.87 and an  $r^2$  of 0.98. For all variables SOCAT-derived estimates on the y-axis and the CARINA measurements on the x-axis. Monthly averages for the same year in  $1^*x1^*$  grids are compared. The black points show data that have not been corrected for the salinity bias and the gray plusses show data after the bias has been corrected for. Note that for pH removing the salinity bias does not change the data so there are no gray plusses. The solid black line is the 1:1 line. See Table 2 for further statistics comparing the SOCAT-derived estimates with the CARINA measurements.

Figure 4a. Timeseries of surface ocean (a) pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>insitu</sup> and (b) pH<sub>sws</sub><sup>25°C</sup> in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre.

The grey dots are the measured data and the black circles are the monthly deseasonalized data. The ordinary least squares (OLS) linear regression line for the full period (1981-2007) is shown as a solid black line and its equation is given in black. The dashed line shows the OLS linear regression line for the period 1990-2007 with its equation in grey. F and p-value are the F-statistic and its p-value respectively. For

details on the deseasonalization and the regression method see Sect. 2.

Figure 5. Timeseries of (a) SST, (b) alkalinity, and (c) fCO<sub>2</sub> in the North Atlantic Subpolar Gyre. The grey dots are the measured data and the black circles are the monthly deseasonalized data. The OLS linear regression line for the full period (1981-2007) is shown as a solid black line while the dashed line shows the OLS linear regression for the 1990-2007 period. For fCO<sub>2</sub> the regression equation is given in black for the full period and in grey for the shorter period. SST and alkalinity does not have a significant trend so no equation is given. F and p-value are the F-statistic and its p-value respectively. For details on the deseasonalization and the regression method see Sect. 2.

Table 1. Names and descriptions of the statistical tests used. For all these tests the null hypothesis is rejected if the resulting p-value is less than 0.05.

Name of test Null hyp	othesis Alternative hypothesis	Usage	Reference
-----------------------	--------------------------------	-------	-----------

	Data are normally	Data are not normally		(Jarque and Bera,
Jarque-Bera	distributed	distributed		1980)
				(Brown and
Brown-Forsythe <sup>a</sup>	$\sigma^2_1 = \sigma^2_2 = \sigma^2_n$	At least one $\sigma^2$ is different		Forsythe, 1974)
			Use when the variances	•
Mann-Whitney <sup>b</sup>	$\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_n$	At least one $\eta$ is different	are equal	(Wilcoxon, 1945)
·			Use when variances are	(Kruskal and
Kruskal-Wallis <sup>b</sup>	$\eta_1 = \eta_2 = \eta_n$	At least one $\eta$ is different	<i>not</i> equal	Wallis, 1952)

 $^{a}\sigma^{2}$  is the variance  $^{b}\eta$  is the median

Table 2. Table showing the statistical comparison observations and estimates. All statistical tests used in this study are fully explained in (Stow et al., 2009), and the reader is referred there for details.

Name of test	рН	Calculated alkalinity	Alkalinity calculated without the SSS bias	SST	SSS
Model efficiency	0.98	0.93	0.97	1.00	0.92
Cost function	0.021	0.072	0.036	0.0034	0.076
Bias	0.0040	-5.5	0.45	-0.012	-0.14
Absolute average error	0.0081	6.5	4.4	0.20	0.15
Correlation coefficient (r)	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.99
RMSE	0.010	8.1	5.7	0.30	0.18











