Appendix

The Questionnaire

110	Questionnane
1.	In general, are you satisfied with the endoscopic treatment?
	□ yes
	□ no
2.	Did you find the examination to be painful?
	□no
	☐ moderately painful
	very painful
_	
3.	Did you experience pain or other discomfort after the examination? *
	□ no
	☐ yes, but only minimal pain
	☐ moderate pain
	severe pain
	a. If you answered "yes" on question #3, please indicate the duration of the
	pain
	less than one hour
	1–3 hours
	3–6 hours
	more than 6 hours
4.	Are you satisfied with information provided regarding the examination? **
	□ yes
	☐ not quite
	□ no
Patie	nts were invited to explain or describe additional problems in greater detail on the back side of the

^{*} Patients were invited to explain or describe additional problems in greater detail on the back side of the sheet

^{**} Patients were invited to explain or describe additional problems in greater detail on the back side of the sheet and were also asked to suggest improvements.

Table 1. Demographics and characteristics of 2808 ERCP procedures

Variable	Procedures N = 2808 (% of total)	Responders N = 1477 (% within each variable)	Non-Responder N = 1331 (% within each variable)	P value*
Sex				0.996
Male	1253 (44.6)	658 (52.5)	595 (47.5)	
Female	1546 (55.1)	812 (52.6)	734 (47.4)	
Not reported	9 (0.3)			
Age-groups				0.148
< 30 years	104 (3.7)	56 (53.8)	48 (46.2)	
30 - 49 years	374 (13.4)	207 (53.3)	167 (44.7)	
50 - 69 years	812 (29.0)	430 (53.0)	382 (47.0)	
70 - 89 years	1379 (49.3)	722 (52.4)	657 (47.6)	
> 90 years	130 (4.6)	55 (42.3)	75 (57.7)	
ASA score				< 0.001
1	650 (23.1)	379 (58.3)	271 (41.7)	
2	1270 (45.2)	724 (57.0)	546 (43.0)	
3	756 (26.9)	328 (43.4)	428 (56.6)	
4/5	90 (3.2)	22 (24.4)	68 (75.6)	
Not reported	42 (1.7)	` /	, ,	
Procedure time**				0.004
0 - 20 minutes	1081 (38.5)	590 (54.6)	491 (45.4)	
21 - 40 minutes	1025 (36.5)	555 (54.1)	470 (45.9)	
41 - 60 minutes	464 (16.5)	214 (46.1)	250 (53.9)	
> 60 minutes	162 (5.8)	74 (45.7)	88 (54.3)	
Not reported	76 (2.7)	` ,	, ,	
Procedure***				
Sphincterotomy of the bile duct	1525 (54.4)	856 (56.1)	669 (43.9)	< 0.001
Treatment of CBDSs	1215 (43.4)	699 (57.6)	516 (42.4)	< 0.001
Dilatation of the bile duct	25 (0.9)	17 (68)	8 (32)	0.119
Placement of stent in the CBD	790 (28.1)	381 (48.2)	409 (51.8)	0.005
Placement of self-expanding stent	92 (3.2)	48 (52.2)	44 (47.8)	0.975
Exchange of stent in the CBD	303 (10.8)	135 (44.6)	168 (55.4)	0.004
Removal of stent of the CBD	183 (6.5)	101 (55.2)	82 (44.8)	0.448
Taking specimen from the CBD	232 (8.3)	127 (54.7)	105 (45.3)	0.472
Emergency ERCP	56 (2.0)	74 (51.4)	33 (58.9)	0.081
Pre-cut sphincterotomy	144 (5.1)	1018 (53.2)	70 (48.6)	0.875
Guide-wire for cannulation	1912 (68.1)	313 (49.4)	894 (46.8)	0.110

^{*} P values apply to comparisons between responders and non-responders. ** Median procedure time was 25 minutes (IQR 18 - 40). *** Several therapeutic procedures may have been performed during a single ERCP procedure.

Table 2. Evaluation of risk for moderate to severe pain during the ERCP procedure by a multivariable regression analysis

Risk factors*	n/N**	P value	Adjusted OR	95% CI for OR
Gender				
Male	154/644		1.00	reference
Female	272/801	< 0.001	1.83	1.41 - 2.37
Procedure time		< 0.001		
0-20 minutes	129/580		1.00	reference
21-40 minutes	163/542	0.078	1.30	0.97 - 1.75
41-60 minutes	88/213	< 0.001	2.67	1.83 - 3.91
> 60 minutes	32/74	0.009	2.09	1.20 - 3.63
Biliary EPT performed				
No	106/603		1.00	reference
Yes	266/842	0.013	1.40	1.07 - 1.83

^{*}The sedation regimen (P=0.015), including the combined or single use of midazolam, diazepam and pethidine, and ERCP hospital (P < 0.001) were also independent risk factors for pain during the procedure.

^{**} Proportion according to category (1405 cases included in analyses).

Table 3. Evaluation of risk factors for moderate to severe pain after the ERCP procedure by multivariable regression analysis

Risk factors*	n/N	P value	Adjusted OR	95% CI for OR
Gender				
Male	102/643		1.00	reference
Female	166/805	< 0.001	1.41	1.04 -1.91
Age group		< 0.001		
< 30 years	15/54		1.00	reference
30-49 years	58/205	0.720	1.14	0.55 - 2.39
50-69 years	84/427	0.311	0.69	0.34 - 1.41
70-89 years	104/709	0.041	0.48	0.23- 0.97
> 90 years	7/53	0.016	0.22	0.06 - 0.75
Hospital category		0.010		
University hospital	84/354		1.00	reference
Central hospital	157/926	0.003	0.540	0.36 - 0.81
General community hospital	28/175	0.450	0.794	0.44 - 1.45
Metal stent				
No	243/1336		1.00	reference
Yes	16/48	0.001	1.44	1.15 - 1.80
Biliary pre-cut				
No	237/1316		1.00	reference
Yes	22/73	0.007	2.29	1.26 - 4.17
Guide-wire use				
No	59/413		1.00	reference
Yes	205/1004	0.007	1.67	1.15 - 2.42
Post-ERCP pancreatitis				
No	242/1416		1.00	reference
Yes	27/39	< 0.001	10.59	4.93 – 22.72
Post-ERCP cholangitis				
No	251/1413		1.00	reference
Yes	18/42	< 0.001	5.17	2.52 - 10.60

^{*}The sedation regimen (P = 0.002), including the combined or single use of midazolam, diazepam and pethidine, was an independent risk factor for pain after the procedure.

Figure legend

Figure 1.

Flow chart used to describe completeness of patients' responses following 2808 procedures

