

# **Effect of rearing temperature on flesh quality in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*)**

**Albert K. D. Imsland<sup>1,2</sup>, Aðalheiður Ólafsdóttir<sup>3</sup>, Jón Árnason<sup>3</sup>, Arnþór Gústavsson<sup>2</sup>, Helgi Thorarensen<sup>4</sup> and Snorri Gunnarsson<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>*Akvaplan-niva Iceland Office, Akralind 4, 201 Kópavogur, Iceland*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Bergen, High Technology Centre, 5020 Bergen, Norway*

<sup>3</sup>*Matís ohf. Vínlandsleið 12, 113 Reykjavík, Iceland*

<sup>4</sup>*Hólar University Collage, 551 Sauðárkrókur, Iceland*

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +354 562 58 00 *E-mail address:* [albert@akvaplan.niva.no](mailto:albert@akvaplan.niva.no)

(A.K.D. Imsland)

**Running head:** Improving sensory quality in Arctic charr

**Keywords:** Sensory quality, marketability, rearing temperature, Arctic charr

1 **Abstract**

2 Currently no information is available on the effect of progressively decreasing temperatures on  
3 the sensory quality of Arctic charr. The objective of this study was to investigate if and how  
4 different and progressively changing rearing temperatures affect the sensory quality of cooked  
5 and raw fillets of Arctic charr. The effects of rearing temperature on the sensory quality of  
6 Arctic charr (weight range 622-888 g) was investigated. Rearing temperature was changed  
7 during the experimental period as the fish were reared at constant or decreased temperature  
8 during October to April and subsequently reared at two constant temperatures from April to  
9 August establishing six different rearing temperature combinations. Significant differences  
10 were seen in all four main sensory attributes groups i.e. odour, appearance, flavour and texture.  
11 Freshness characteristics such as sweet odour and flavour, and metallic odour and flavour,  
12 increased with decreasing rearing temperature, whereas musty odour and flavour decreased.  
13 Rearing temperature had a clear effect on the colour of the fillets. Lower rearing temperature  
14 increased colour intensity substantially. Softness, juiciness and tenderness increased with  
15 decreased rearing temperature. A trend was seen towards more effect from final rearing  
16 temperature than initial temperature on the sensory attributes studied. Spoilage characteristics,  
17 i.e. sour and rancid odour and flavour, and off-odour and flavour were either very weak or not  
18 noticeable in all sample groups.

## 19 1 | INTRODUCTION

20

21

22 Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) is a stenothermal cold-water species (Imslan,  
23 Gunnarsson, & Thorarensen, 2020a) that is well suited for farming in colder climates (Jobling,  
24 Jørgensen, Arnesen, & Ringø, 1993). It has a relatively high growth rate at low temperatures  
25 (Le François, Lemieux, & Blier, 2002; Gunnarsson et al., 2011; Siikavuopio, Foss, Saether,  
26 Gunnarsson, & Imslan, 2013). It can be reared at high densities (Jørgensen, Christiansen, &  
27 Jobling, 1993) and the flesh is perceived to be of high quality (Gines, Valdimarsdóttir,  
28 Sveinsdóttir, & Thorarensen, 2004; Gunnarsson et al., 2012).

29 Although Arctic charr grow well at low temperatures, the optimum temperature for  
30 growth of juveniles is between 12 and 18°C (Larsson et al., 2005; Gunnarsson et al., 2011;  
31 Siikavuopio et al., 2013; Imslan et al., 2020a). The rearing temperature for Arctic charr  
32 aquaculture varies widely depending on local condition (Sæther, Siikavuopio, Thorensen, &  
33 Brännäs, 2013) and this affects the production time and harvest size of the fish. However, there  
34 is limited information available on the effects of environmental factors, such as temperature  
35 and photoperiod, on the sensory quality of Arctic charr. Different environmental factors, such  
36 as rearing temperature and photoperiod, can affect the flesh quality of salmonids (Ytrestøyl,  
37 Struksnæs, Koppe, & Bjerkeng, 2005; Johnston et al., 2004). In Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*,  
38 seasonal variation in quality appears to be related to growth rate (Roth et al., 2005; Imslan et  
39 al., 2017). The results of Gines et al. (2004) have shown that rearing temperature affects flesh  
40 quality of Arctic charr with more intense colouration in fish reared at lower (10°C) than at  
41 higher temperature (15°C). Furthermore, the flesh of fish reared at the higher temperature scores  
42 higher values for hardness, gumminess, chewiness and fracture ability than fish reared at the  
43 lower temperature (Gines et al. 2004). Gunnarsson et al. (2012) has investigated several flesh

44 quality parameters (fillet pH, fillet gaping and fillet colour) in Arctic charr reared under  
45 different photoperiods and has found no differences in relation to different photoperiod. In  
46 general sensory evaluations are of great importance in the process of developing new rearing  
47 methods for fish farmed for the consumptive market since it is dependent on consumer approval  
48 (Carlberg et al., 2018).

49 Long-term studies on the growth of Arctic charr have shown that the optimum  
50 temperature for growth of the fish is reduced as the fish grow larger (Gunnarsson et al., 2011;  
51 Siikavuopio et al., 2013; Imsland et al., 2020a). This may suggest that the growth of Arctic  
52 charr can be maximised by reducing the rearing temperature progressively as the fish grow.  
53 However, the results of Gunnarsson et al. (2011) have shown, that progressively reduced  
54 temperatures reflecting optimum temperatures for different sizes of the fish provides no long-  
55 term production gain for Arctic charr. While there is currently no information available on the  
56 effect of progressively decreasing temperatures on the sensory quality of Arctic charr. The  
57 objective of this study was to investigate if and how different rearing temperature regimes affect  
58 the sensory quality of cooked and raw fillets of Arctic charr.

59

## 60 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

61

### 62 2.1 | Experimental fish and rearing conditions

63

64 In October 2007, 2460 juvenile Arctic charr (mean weight (SD), 20.9 (3.3) g), of the Icelandic  
65 Hólar strain were randomly distributed into six 700 l rearing tanks. The experiment was divided  
66 in two periods: Phase I (5 October 2007– 22 April 2008) and phase II (23 April 2008– 26 August  
67 2008) (see Table 1). In phase I three temperature treatments were created. Two groups reared  
68 at near constant temperatures (achieved by mixing ambient water with heated water from the  
69 local FW source (Skagafjardaveitur ehf, Iceland)) for the whole period i.e. groups called 9  
70 (mean temperature 9.0 °C SD 0.7 °C), and 15 (mean temperature 14.7 °C SD 0.7 °C) and one so  
71 called temperature-step group i.e. 15-12 referring to the fish transferred from 15 to 12°C (mean  
72 temperature 11.9 °C SD 0.7 °C) in February 2008.

73 During phase II (April 2008 - August 2008) all the previous treatments were reared at  
74 either 12 °C (called 12, mean temperature 12.0 °C SD 0.8 °C) or reared at near 7 °C (called 7,  
75 mean temperature 7.0 °C SD 0.5 °C). Hence the following six experimental groups were  
76 established: 9-9-7, 9-9-12, 15-15-7, 15-12-7, 15-15-12, 15-12-12. Table 1 shows an overview  
77 of the different treatments during both phases. The transfer between temperature regimes in  
78 April was done gradually over a period of three days.

79 Fish were reared in six and four (phase I and II respectively) 1x1 m<sup>2</sup> square green fiber  
80 glass tanks with active rearing volume of 700 l. Initial stocking density in phase I was in the  
81 range of 7–8 kg m<sup>-3</sup>. Density was regularly adjusted by reducing number of fish in tanks and  
82 kept similar in all tanks throughout the study period. The fish were fed ad libitum using a series  
83 of one brand commercial formulated feeds (LAXÁ, Akureyri, Iceland, containing 45-50%  
84 crude protein, 11-12% crude fat, 6-8% crude ash, pellet size 1.8-4.0 mm) with the aid of

85 automated feeders from 0800 to 2400. The pellet sizes were increased gradually during the  
86 experiment to fit the fish size in accordance to the feed producer recommendation. For further  
87 information about the experimental set-up and results from the growth trial see Gunnarsson et  
88 al. (2011).

89

## 90 2.2 | Sensory evaluation

91

92 At the end of the temperature trial, the fish were starved for 24 h before being killed with a  
93 blow to the head and a gill incision for exsanguination. They were kept in a small tank with  
94 iced water for 10 min until bleeding was completed, then gutted and kept on ice for 3–4 days  
95 prior to sensory evaluation. Sensory evaluation was conducted on 10-12 fish from each of the  
96 six experimental groups using the method of generic descriptive analysis (GDA method), where  
97 defined sensory attributes were evaluated to describe the appearance, flavour, odour and texture  
98 of Arctic charr (Lawless & Heymann, 2010). A trained sensory panel consisting of 10 members  
99 previously selected and trained according to ISO guidelines (2014), carried out the sensory  
100 evaluation. A previous list of attributes was used to describe Arctic charr (Gines et al., 2004)  
101 and the GDA method was applied as a basis for sensory training. The panellists together with  
102 the panel leader established descriptions of the 23 sensory attributes of Arctic charr (Table 2).  
103 For each sample, each sensory attribute was evaluated on a 15 cm line which in data analysis  
104 was transformed to numbers from 0 to 100.

105 The sensory evaluation was performed on samples (each 30-40 g) transversally cut from  
106 skinned fillets. Each sample was cooked in an aluminium box in a steam oven at 98°C for 5-6  
107 min. Immediately after cooking, the boxes were covered and served to each panellist in a pre-  
108 heated glass bowl to avoid cooling. Each sample group was evaluated in duplicate. The  
109 evaluation was carried out in a sensory laboratory equipped with separate booths and a FIZZ

110 sensory data recording system (FIZZ Network, Version 2.0, 1994-2000, Biosystemes,  
111 Couternon, France).

112

### 113 2.3 | Colour measurements

114

115 Fillet colour represented as CIE, L\*, a\*, b\* values were measured in five fish from each  
116 experimental group at 3 locations along the fillet (anterior, mid, and posterior part) using a  
117 Minolta Chromameter CR-200 (Minolta, Osaka, Japan). The L\*, a\* and b\* value represent  
118 lightness, redness (a+ = red, a- = green), yellowness (b+ = yellow, b- =blue), respectively.

119

### 120 2.4 | Gaping and shear force

121

122 After the storage period of 3-4 days, fillet gaping was evaluated visually by counting numbers  
123 of slits along the epaxial muscle (Andersen, Strømnes, Steinsholt, & Thomassen, 1994). Gaping  
124 was estimated in 12-16 fillets from each experimental group.

125 For shear force measurements, a standard muscle sample (70 × 30 mm) was sampled,  
126 starting 1 cm from the visceral cavity and along the loin from the blind side of the fish. A v-  
127 shaped blade with a thickness of 3.20 mm, height of 125 mm and width of 70 mm was  
128 assembled to the TA.XT2® Texture Analyser (Stable Micro Systems Ltd, Vienna Court, Surrey  
129 GU7 1YL, United Kingdom).The maximum peak force (N) required to shear through the  
130 sample was recorded as shear force. This method incorporates compression of fibres beneath  
131 the blade, tension in the adjoining fibres and shearing of the fibres (Bouton, Harris, &  
132 Shorthouse, 1975). The speed of the probe was set to 2.5 mm s<sup>-1</sup> and measurement frequency  
133 set to 20 dots s<sup>-1</sup>.

134

135 2.5 | Statistical methods

136

137 The sensory data was analysed using a two-way mixed model ANOVA, with treatment  
138 (temperature) as a fixed factor and panellist as a random factor, correcting for panellists' use of  
139 the scale (Zar, 1996). For fillet colour, gaping and shear force data, a one-way ANOVA model  
140 was used to analyse, with treatment as fixed factor. In cases where the ANOVA indicated  
141 significant differences, multiple post hoc comparisons were made using Duncan's test. Possible  
142 correlation between fillet weight and shear force was tested with a Pearson correlation  
143 coefficient test (Zar, 1996). The statistical package used was NCSS 2000 (Number Cruncher  
144 Statistical Systems, Kaysville, UT 1998). Significance level was set at  $P < 0.05$  unless otherwise  
145 stated. The multivariate structure of the sensory evaluation was analysed with a Principal  
146 Component Analysis (PCA) using the Unscrambler <sup>®</sup> (Version 9.7, CAMO, Trondheim,  
147 Norway) program package.

148



## 149 3 | RESULTS

150

### 151 3.1 | Sensory and physical evaluation

152

153 Significant differences were seen in all four main sensory attributes: odour, appearance, flavour  
154 and texture (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ , Table 3). In general, sweet and metallic odour and flavour  
155 increased with decreasing rearing temperature. On the other hand, musty odour and flavour  
156 increased with increasing rearing temperature (Table 3). It was well noticeable when comparing  
157 the lowest temperature group 9-9-7 and the highest temperature group 15-15-12. Group 9-9-7  
158 had the most sweet and metallic odour but the weakest musty odour, but opposite results were  
159 seen for group 15-15-12. A trend was seen towards more effect from final rearing temperature  
160 than initial temperature on the beforementioned sensory attributes, with lower values on musty  
161 odour and flavour, but increasing values on sweet and metallic odour and flavour (Figure 1).  
162 Spoilage characteristics, i.e. sour and rancid odour and flavour, and off-odour and flavour were  
163 either very weak or not noticeable in all sample groups (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ , Table 3).

164 Rearing temperature had a clear effect on the colour of the fillets. Lower rearing  
165 temperature increased colour intensity substantially (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ , Table 3). No  
166 differences were seen in other appearance attributes. The optical measurements of colour  
167 attributes showed similar results as the sensory evaluation. The  $a^*$  value (redness) and  $b^*$  value  
168 (yellow) were significantly higher in the 9-9-7 group than in all other groups (Duncan's test,  $p$   
169  $< 0.05$ , Table 4). The  $a^*$  values were lowest in the 15-15-7 and 15-15-12 groups and the  $b^*$   
170 values were lowest in the 15-12-12, 15-15-7 and 15-15-12 groups.

171 Softness, juiciness and tenderness of the fish increased with decreasing rearing  
172 temperature. The texture of fish from group 9-9-7 was quite different from other sample groups,  
173 being more tender and juicier (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ , Table 3). The mechanical test for share

174 force (Table 5) confirmed the results from the sensory evaluation. The share force was lowest  
175 in the 9-9-7 group and highest in the 15-15-7 and 15-15-12 groups (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ ,  
176 Table 5). There was a highly significant correlation between shear force and fillet weight  
177 (Pearson's  $r = 0.6$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , Table 5) as shear force increased with increased fillet weight. No  
178 differences in gaping were observed (Duncan's test,  $p > 0.05$ , Table 5).

179

### 180 3.2 | Principal Component Analysis

181

182 PC1 and PC2 together explained 93% of the variation in the sensory evaluation results between  
183 sample groups (Fig. 1). The six treatment groups were clearly separated in the PCA plot (Fig.  
184 1A). PC1 explained 80% of the variation of the sensory evaluation data mainly due to difference  
185 in colour, juicy texture, and sweet and musty odour and flavour (Fig. 1B). PC2 explained 13%  
186 of the observed sensory variation mainly due to difference in sample colour, and musty odour  
187 and flavour (Fig. 1B).

188

189

## 190 **4 | DISCUSSION**

191

192 Previous studies on the effects of environmental factors, such as temperature, on aquaculture  
193 fish have mainly focused on their effect on fish growth (Imsland et al., 2020a). However,  
194 sensory quality is also very important for the marketability of the product (Carlberg et al., 2018;  
195 Imsland et al., 2020b) and the results of the present study show that temperature rearing regime  
196 affects the flesh quality in Arctic charr. Lower temperatures appear to promote better quality.  
197 In the present trial attributes connected with freshness, i.e. sweet/characteristic and metallic  
198 odour and flavour, increased with decreased rearing temperature. Increased rearing  
199 temperature, especially in phase II, resulted in stronger musty odour and flavour. Secondly,  
200 both the visual and instrumental evaluation showed that the flesh of fish reared at lower  
201 temperatures was more intense in colour than that of fish reared at higher temperatures. Finally,  
202 the results from the sensory evaluation and the mechanical measurement of shear force showed  
203 that the flesh of fish reared at lower temperatures is softer, juicier, and more tender than that of  
204 fish reared at higher temperatures. These results are in accord with those of another study (Gines  
205 et al. 2004) where Arctic charr were reared at either 10 or 15°C for about the same period of  
206 time as the fish in the present study. Gines et al (2004) found more intense flesh colour, as  
207 estimated by a sensory panel or optically at 10°C compared to 15°C. Moreover, the sensory  
208 panel found cooked fish reared at 15°C to be firmer than fish reared at 10°C. Similar to the  
209 present study, the hardness, gumminess and chewiness (measured mechanically) of raw fillets  
210 was also more at 15°C than at 10°C (Gines et al. 2004). This difference was, however, not  
211 observed when the fillets were cooked. In contrast to the present study, Gines et al. (2004) found  
212 no significant differences in odour and flavour of cooked fish. Taken together, these results  
213 show that lower rearing temperatures promote better quality of Arctic charr flesh. These  
214 differences can be quite large (Table 3) and be discernible by an untrained consumer. In the  
215 present study all groups had intense flavour and odour of fresh oil, whereas sensory

216 characteristics describing spoilage such as sour and rancid odour and flavour were not found.  
217 Freshness characteristics such as sweet odour and flavour, and metallic odour and flavour  
218 increased with decreasing rearing temperature, whereas musty odour and flavour decreased.  
219 Softness, juiciness and mushiness increased with decreased rearing temperature.

220         The flesh pigmentation in cultured Arctic charr is influenced by dietary astaxanthin  
221 content (Olsen & Mortensen, 1997). Gines et al. (2004) found that rearing temperature exerted  
222 a clear influence on the colour of raw fillets with increased colour intensity found at 10°C  
223 compared to 15°C. Similar findings were detected by Olsen & Mortensen (1997), where Arctic  
224 charr reared at 8°C had a stronger fillet pigmentation than fish reared at 12°C. In the present  
225 study sensory evaluation of cooked Arctic charr indicates that the fillet colour became more  
226 intensively orange with decreasing temperature. Colour measurements on raw fillets showed  
227 that rearing temperature has no effect on the lightness of the fillets, whereas both yellowness  
228 and redness increased with decreasing rearing temperature. Sensory evaluation, thus, reflected  
229 the difference in intensity of red and yellow in the raw fillets seen in the instrumental evaluation.

230         Rearing temperature did not have an effect on fillet gaping, whereas shear force  
231 increased with increased rearing temperature. Both instrumental evaluation on raw fillets and  
232 sensory evaluation on cooked fillets showed similar results as the 9-9-7 group was softest and  
233 the 15-15-12 and 15-15-7 groups firmest. In fish, flesh texture is shown to be influenced by a  
234 number of different factors including, light regime (Hagen & Johnsen, 2016), temperature (Roth  
235 et al., 2005), feeding (Einen, Mørkøre, Rørå, & Thomassen, 1999), and season (Espe et al.,  
236 2004; Imsland et al., 2017). The findings of Imsland et al. (2017) indicate that harvesting  
237 Atlantic salmon during periods of high growth can have negative effect on flesh quality in the  
238 form of softer muscle tissue. Similar negative effect of fast growth on fillet softness in Atlantic  
239 salmon was also seen in the study of (Mørkøre & Rørvik, 2001), but there is limited knowledge  
240 on underlying causes of the correlation between fast growth and softness (Lysenko et al., 2015;

241 Moreno et al., 2016). Current findings are in line with these earlier findings on Atlantic salmon  
242 as the 9-9-7 group displayed the highest growth in the final rearing period of the temperature  
243 trial (Gunnarsson et al., 2011) and the 15-15-7 and the 15-15-12 the lowest, leading to  
244 corresponding differences in fillet softness.

245         The current study is a follow-up of the growth study by Gunnarsson et al. (2011) where  
246 sensory and instrumental evaluation was performed for selected groups after termination of the  
247 growth trail when all fish were slaughtered. The aim of the Gunnarsson et al. (2011) study was  
248 to evaluate the effect of rearing temperature on growth and maturation of Arctic charr. Arctic  
249 charr juveniles were reared for six months (phase I, October – April, size range 20-500 g) at  
250 constant 9 or 15 °C and according to a temperature step group ( $T_{\text{step}}$ ) i.e. fish transferred from  
251 15 to 12 °C. All the previous treatments were then reared at either 7 or 12 °C for additional four  
252 months (phase II, size range 300-900 g) and then slaughtered in August 2008. Overall growth  
253 rate was highest at constant 15 °C for the first six months of the trial with the fish in this group  
254 being 78% heavier than fish reared at constant 9 °C. Arctic charr displayed a negative response  
255 in terms of growth rate when transferred from higher to lower temperatures especially for  
256 groups previously reared at 15 °C. There was a trend for higher gonadosomatic index (GSI)  
257 values at the end of the experiment for females that experience higher rearing temperatures  
258 during juvenile phase i.e. 7.29% ( $\pm 0.89$ ), for the 15°C temperature group, compared to 2.49%  
259 ( $\pm 0.74$ ) for the 9 °C group. No difference in GSI was found for males. The results suggest that  
260 for production of fish > 1000 g, moderate or low temperatures (e.g. 9 °C) should be applied  
261 during the juvenile phase in order to reduce negative effects from maturation. Further, the  
262 current data on sensory and instrumental evaluation indicates that the use of low and moderate  
263 temperatures is preferable to improve the sensory characteristics and flesh quality of the  
264 slaughtered fish.

265

266 **6 | CONCLUSION**

267

268 In conclusion, rearing temperature during the juvenile phase had a significant effect on the  
269 sensory quality of the cooked fish. This was most apparent for colour, softness, juiciness and  
270 tenderness, as well as sweet, metallic and musty odour and flavour.

271

272 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

273 The present study was financed by the Icelandic AVS (R005 07) and Framleiðnisjóður  
274 landbúnaðarins. The authors would like to thank the technical staff at the Hólar aquaculture  
275 research station at Sauðárkrókur and at Matís for valuable assistance during sampling and  
276 analysing.

277

278 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

279 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

280

281 **AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION**

282 AKI, SG and HT designed the study. AKI, SG, AG participated in the execution of study. SG,  
283 and AKI implemented the study and involved in sampling as well as testing. JA and AÓ were  
284 responsible for the sensory and instrumental evaluation. AKI, AÓ, SG and HT drafted the  
285 manuscript. All the authors read and accepted the final manuscript before submission.

286

287 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

288 The whole experiment was conducted according to the guidelines established by the Icelandic  
289 Fish Health Authority.

290

291 **DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

292 Data sharing is not applicable to this article due to commercial restrictions.

293

294 **ORCID**

295 *A.K.D. Imsland* <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0077-8077>

296

297

298 **References**

299

300 Andersen, U. B., Strømsnes, A. N., Steinsholt, K., & Thomassen, M. S. (1994). Fillet gaping  
301 infarmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*). *Nordic Journal of Agricultural Science*, 8, 165–  
302 179.

303 Bouton, P. E., Harris, P. V., & Shorthouse, W. R. (1975). Changes in shear parameters of meat  
304 associated with structural changes produced by aging, cooking and myofibrillar contraction.  
305 *Journal of Food Science*, 40, 1122.

306 Carlberg, H, Lundh, T., Cheng, K., Pickova, J., Langton, M., Gutiérrez, J. L. V., Kiessling, A.,  
307 & Brännäs, E. (2018). In search for protein sources: Evaluating an alternative to the  
308 traditional fish feed for Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* L.). *Aquaculture*, 486, 253-260.

309 Einen, O., Mørkøre, T., Rørå, A. M. B. & Thomassen, M. S. (1999). Feed ration prior to  
310 slaughter – A potential tool for managing product quality of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*).  
311 *Aquaculture*, 178, 146–169.

312 Espe, M., Ruohonen, K., Bjørnevik, M., Frøyland, L., Nortvedt, R. & Kiessling, A. (2004).  
313 Interactions between ice storage time, collagen composition, gaping and textural properties  
314 in farmed salmon muscle harvested at different times of the year. *Aquaculture*, 240, 489-  
315 504.

316 Gines, R., Valdímarsdóttir, T., Sveinsdóttir, K., & Thorarensen, H. (2004). Effects of rearing  
317 temperature and strain on sensory characteristics, texture, colour and fat of Arctic charr  
318 (*Salvelinus alpinus*). *Food Quality and Preference*, 15, 177–185.

319 Gunnarsson, S., Imsland, A. K., Arnarson, I., Gústavsson, A., Arnarson, I., Jónsson, J. K., Foss,  
320 A., Stefansson, S., & Thorarensen, H. (2011). Effect of rearing temperature on growth of  
321 Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) during juvenile and on-growing periods. *Aquaculture*  
322 *Research*, 42, 221-229.



323 Gunnarsson, S., Imsland, A.K., Árnason, J., Siikavuopio, S.I., Gústavsson, A., & Thorarensen,  
324 H. (2012). Enhanced growth of farmed Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) following a short  
325 day photoperiod. *Aquaculture*, 350-353, 75-81.

326 Hagen, Ø., & Johnsen, C. A. (2016). Flesh quality and biochemistry of light-manipulated  
327 Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) and the significance of collagen cross-links on fillet firmness  
328 and gaping. *Food Chemistry*, 190, 786-792.

329 Imsland, A. K. D., Roth, B., Fjellidal, P. G., Stefansson, S. O., Handeland, S. & Miklasen, B.  
330 (2017). The effect of continuous light at low temperatures on growth in Atlantic salmon  
331 reared in commercial size sea pens. *Aquaculture*, 479, 645-651.

332 Imsland, A. K. D., Gunnarsson, S., & Thorarensen, H. (2020a). Impact of environmental factors  
333 on the growth and maturation of farmed Arctic charr. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 12, 1289-  
334 1707.

335 Imsland, A.K.D., Roth, B., Siikavuopio, S.I., Christensen, L.B., & Foss, A. (2020b). Effects of  
336 short-term starvation periods on flesh quality in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*) in different  
337 seasons. *Aquaculture Research*, 51, 4022-4029.

338 ISO 8586:2014. Sensory analysis - General guidance for the selection, training and monitoring  
339 of selected assessors and expert sensory assessors. Brussels, Belgium: European committee  
340 for standardization.

341 Jobling, M., Jørgensen, E.H., Arnesen. A.M., & Ringø, E. (1993). Feeding, growth and  
342 environmental requirements of Arctic charr: a review of aquaculture potential. *Aquaculture*,  
343 *International*, 1, 20-46

344 Johnston, I. A., Manthri, S., Bickerdike, R., Dingwall, A., Luijkx, R., Campbell, P., Nickell,  
345 D., & Alderson, R. (2004). Growth performance, muscle structure and flesh quality in out-  
346 of-season Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) smolts reared under two different photoperiod  
347 regimes. *Aquaculture*, 237, 281–300.

348 Jørgensen, E.H., Christiansen, J.H., & Jobling, M. (1993). Effects of stocking density on food  
349 intake, growth performance and oxygen consumption in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus*).  
350 *Aquaculture*, 110, 191-204.

351 Larsson, S., Forseth, T., Berglund, I., Naslund, I., Elliot, J.M., & Jonsson, B. (2005). Thermal  
352 adaptation of Arctic charr: Experimental studies of growth in eleven charr populations from  
353 Sweden, Norway and Britain. *Freshwater Biology*, 50, 353-368.

354 Lawless, H.T., & Heymann, H. (2010). Sensory evaluation of food, Principles and practices.  
355 Springer Science + Business Media, New York, USA, 378 pp.

356 Le Francois N. R., Lemieux H., & Blier P. U. (2002). Biological and technical evaluation of  
357 the potential of marine and anadromous fish species for cold-water mariculture. *Aquaculture*  
358 *Research*, 33, 95-108.

359 Lysenko, L. A., Kantserova, N. P., Krupnova, M. Y., Krupnova, M. Y., Veselov, A. E., &  
360 Nemova, N. N. (2015). Intracellular protein degradation in the development of the Atlantic  
361 salmon *Salmo salar* L. *Russian Journal of Bioorganic Chemistry*, 41, 645-651.

362 Moreno, H. M., Jacq, C., Montero, M. P., Gomez-Guillen, M. C., Borderias, A. J., & Mørkøre,  
363 T. (2016). Effect of selective breeding on collagen properties of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo*  
364 *salar* L.). *Food Chemistry*, 190, 856-863.

365 Mørkøre, T., & Rørvik, K. A. (2001). Seasonal variations in growth, feed utilisation and product  
366 quality of farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) transferred to seawater as 0+smolts or  
367 1+smolts. *Aquaculture*, 199, 145-157.

368 Olsen, R. E., & Mortensen, A. (1997). The influence of dietary astaxanthin and temperature on  
369 flesh colour in Arctic charr *Salvelinus alpinus* L. *Aquaculture Research*, 28, 51-58.

370 Roth, B., Johansen, S.J., Suontama, J., Kiessling, A., Leknes, O., Guldborg, B., & Handeland  
371 S. (2005). Seasonal variation in flesh quality, comparison between large and small Atlantic

372 salmon (*Salmo salar*) transferred into seawater as 0+ or 1+ smolts. *Aquaculture*, 250, 830-  
373 40.

374 Siikavuopio, S.I., Foss, A., Saether, B.S., Gunnarsson, S., & Imsland, A.K. (2013), Comparison  
375 of the growth performance of offspring from cultured versus wild populations of arctic charr,  
376 *Salvelinus alpinus* (L.), kept at three different temperatures. *Aquaculture Research*, 44, 995-  
377 1001.

378 Stone, H., & Sidel, J. L. (1985). Sensory evaluation practices. Academic Press, Orlando,  
379 Florida. 311 pp.

380 Sæther, B.S., Siikavuopio, S.I., Thorarensen, H., & Brännäs, E. (2013). Status of Arctic charr  
381 (*Salvelinus alpinus*) farming in Norway, Sweden and Iceland. *Journal of Ichthyology*, 53,  
382 833–839.

383 Ytrestøyl, T., Struksnæs, G., Koppe, W., Bjerkeng, B. (2005). Effects of temperature and feed  
384 intake on astaxanthin digestibility and metabolism in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*.  
385 *Aquaculture*, 142, 445–455.

386 Zar, J.H. (1996). Biostatistical Analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs.  
387

388 **TABLE 1** Overview over temperature regimes (mean (SD)) of the different treatments during  
 389 phase I and phase II in the trial.

Treatment	Rearing temperatures (°C)		
	Phase I		Phase II
	October-February	February-April	April-August
9-9-7	9 (0.7)	9 (0.7)	7 (0.5)
9-9-12	9 (0.7)	9 (0.7)	12 (0.8)
15-12-7	15 (0.7)	12 (0.7)	7 (0.5)
15-12-12	15 (0.7)	12 (0.7)	12 (0.8)
15-15-7	15 (0.7)	15 (0.7)	7 (0.5)
15-15-12	15 (0.7)	15 (0.7)	12 (0.8)

390

391

392 **TABLE 2** Sensory attributes and attributes definition in a Generic descriptive analysis (GDA)  
 393 for Arctic charr reared under different temperature regimes.

Sensory attributes	Short name	Scale anchors (0-100%)	Definition
<i>Odour</i>			
Sweet/characteristic	O-sweet	None    Much	Characteristic odour for cooked Arctic charr
Metallic	O-metallic	None    Much	Metallic odour
Fresh oil	O-oil	None    Much	Fresh unspoiled oil
Musty	O-musty	None    Much	Earthy or musty odour
Sour	O-sour	None    Much	Spoilage characteristic
Rancid	O-rancid	None    Much	Spoilage characteristic
Off-odour	O-off	None    Much	Off-odour, reminding of e.g. band aid or detergent
<i>Appearance</i>			
Colour	A-colour	White    Orange	Intensity of orange colour of sample surface
Discolouration	A-discol.	None    Much	Uneven colour distribution
Yellow liquid	A-yellow l.	Transparent    Yellow	Degree of yellow liquid present
Fat droplets in liquid	A-droplets	Small    Large	Quantity and size of fat droplets in the liquid
White precipitations	A-precipit.	None    Much	White precipitations on sample
<i>Flavour</i>			
Sweet/characteristic	F-sweet	None    Much	Characteristic flavour for cooked Arctic charr
Metallic	F-metallic	None    Much	Metallic flavour
Oily	F-oil	None    Much	Flavour of fresh oil
Musty	F-musty	None    Much	Earthy or musty flavour
Sour	F-sour	None    Much	Spoilage characteristic
Rancid	F-rancid	None    Much	Spoilage characteristic
Off-flavour	F-off	None    Much	Off-flavour
<i>Texture</i>			
Soft	T-soft	Firm    Soft	Evaluated in first bite
Juicy	T-juicy	Dry    Juicy	Evaluated when sample is chewed
Tender	T-tender	Tough    Tender	Evaluated when sample is chewed
Adherence	T-adhere.	None    Much	Adherence to teeth

394

395 **TABLE 3** Average of sensory attributes (scale 1-100) for Arctic charr reared under different temperature regimes.  
 396 Significant differences between experimental groups are indicated with superscripted letters (Duncan's test,  $p <$   
 397 0.05).

Experimental group							
Sensory attributes	9-9-7	9-9-12	15-12-7	15-12-12	15-15-7	15-15-12	<i>p</i> value
<i>Odour</i>							
Sweet/characteristic	58 <sup>a</sup>	50 <sup>b</sup>	61 <sup>a</sup>	50 <sup>b</sup>	49	39 <sup>b</sup>	0.014
Metallic	39	37	40	35	35	26	0.076
Fresh oil	45	39	49	43	46	39	0.381
Musty	15 <sup>b</sup>	34 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>	28 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>	37 <sup>a</sup>	0.015
Sour	2	4	3	1	3	4	0.425
Rancid	2	4	3	3	6	5	0.716
Off-odour	1	7	3	2	6	6	0.547
<i>Appearance</i>							
Colour	64 <sup>a</sup>	49 <sup>bc</sup>	55 <sup>ab</sup>	41 <sup>cd</sup>	33 <sup>d</sup>	34 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001
Discolouration	33	38	34	34	34	28	0.659
Yellow liquid	41	44	37	49	37	42	0.168
Fat droplets in liquid	43	55	47	54	51	53	0.109
White precipitations	46	50	45	42	45	46	0.669
<i>Flavour</i>							
Sweet/characteristic	64 <sup>a</sup>	47 <sup>bc</sup>	58 <sup>ab</sup>	50 <sup>bc</sup>	53 <sup>b</sup>	41 <sup>c</sup>	0.006
Metallic	45 <sup>a</sup>	37 <sup>ab</sup>	45 <sup>a</sup>	38 <sup>ab</sup>	43 <sup>a</sup>	32 <sup>b</sup>	0.010
Oily	46	46	49	49	42	44	0.623
Musty	12 <sup>b</sup>	39 <sup>a</sup>	21 <sup>b</sup>	32 <sup>a</sup>	23 <sup>b</sup>	38 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001
Sour	3	5	3	3	4	3	0.715
Rancid	1	6	2	5	4	6	0.492
Off-flavour	3	3	1	3	1	5	0.184
<i>Texture</i>							
Soft	71	62	65	65	63	60	0.149
Juicy	71 <sup>a</sup>	61 <sup>b</sup>	61 <sup>b</sup>	57 <sup>b</sup>	56 <sup>b</sup>	51 <sup>b</sup>	0.007
Tender	71 <sup>a</sup>	65 <sup>a</sup>	64 <sup>a</sup>	63 <sup>a</sup>	63 <sup>a</sup>	57 <sup>b</sup>	0.051
Adherence	46	50	50	55	48	58	0.145

398

399 **TABLE 4** Mean colour (SD) values of Arctic charr reared under different temperature regimes.  
 400 Significant differences between experimental groups are indicated with superscripted letters  
 401 (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Colour value	9-9-7	9-9-12	15-12-7	15-12-12	15-15-7	15-15-12	<i>p</i> value
<i>L-value</i>	42	42	44	42	44	43	0.158
<i>a-value</i>	10 <sup>a</sup>	8 <sup>c</sup>	9 <sup>b</sup>	7 <sup>c</sup>	5 <sup>d</sup>	5 <sup>d</sup>	<0.001
<i>b-value</i>	22 <sup>a</sup>	19 <sup>b</sup>	20 <sup>b</sup>	13 <sup>c</sup>	15 <sup>c</sup>	13 <sup>c</sup>	<0.001

402

403 **TABLE 5** Mean (SEM) weight, shear force (g) and muscle gaping of Arctic charr reared under  
 404 different temperature regimes. Significant differences between experimental groups are  
 405 indicated with superscripted letters (Duncan's test,  $p < 0.05$ ). N=number of samples.

<b>Experimental group</b>	<b>Mean weight (g)</b>	<b>Shear force (g)</b>	<b>Gaping</b>	<b>N</b>
9-9-7	673 (45) <sup>b</sup>	485 (32) <sup>d</sup>	0.2 (0.4)	16
9-9-12	842 (56) <sup>a</sup>	744 (32) <sup>b</sup>	0.1 (0.3)	16
15-12-7	699 (35) <sup>b</sup>	676 (35) <sup>c</sup>	0.3 (0.5)	14
15-12-12	888 (45) <sup>a</sup>	691 (32) <sup>c</sup>	0.3 (0.6)	16
15-15-7	630 (47) <sup>b</sup>	785 (37) <sup>a</sup>	0.2 (0.4)	12
15-15-12	831 (57) <sup>a</sup>	805 (32) <sup>a</sup>	0.1 (0.3)	16

406

407



408 **Figure legend**

409

410 **FIGURE 1** Principal component analysis of generic descriptive analysis (GDA) data from  
411 sensory evaluation. (A) Scores of sample groups. (B). Loadings of sensory attributes. Attributes  
412 with averages below 10, and/or contributed little to the variation of the data were excluded from  
413 the analysis.