



## Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a survey in Northern Uganda

David Mukunya, James K Tumwine, Victoria Nankabirwa, Grace Ndeezi, Isaac Odongo, Josephine Tumuhameye, Justin Bruno Tongun, Samuel Kizito, Agnes Napyo, Vincentina Achora, Beatrice Odongkara & Thorkild Tylleskar

To cite this article: David Mukunya, James K Tumwine, Victoria Nankabirwa, Grace Ndeezi, Isaac Odongo, Josephine Tumuhameye, Justin Bruno Tongun, Samuel Kizito, Agnes Napyo, Vincentina Achora, Beatrice Odongkara & Thorkild Tylleskar (2017) Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a survey in Northern Uganda, *Global Health Action*, 10:1, 1410975, DOI: [10.1080/16549716.2017.1410975](https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2017.1410975)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2017.1410975>



© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 15 Dec 2017.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 124



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)



## Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a survey in Northern Uganda

David Mukunya<sup>a</sup>, James K Tumwine<sup>b</sup>, Victoria Nankabirwa<sup>c</sup>, Grace Ndeezi<sup>b</sup>, Isaac Odongo<sup>d</sup>, Josephine Tumuhameye<sup>a</sup>, Justin Bruno Tongun<sup>e</sup>, Samuel Kizito<sup>f</sup>, Agnes Napyo<sup>g</sup>, Vincentina Achora<sup>h</sup>, Beatrice Odongkara <sup>i</sup> and Thorkild Tylleskar<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for International Health, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway; <sup>b</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>c</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>d</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Child Health, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>e</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Juba, Juba, South Sudan; <sup>f</sup>Clinical Epidemiology Unit, Makerere University, Kampala, Uganda; <sup>g</sup>Department of Public Health, Busitema University, Mbale, Uganda; <sup>h</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Gulu, Gulu, Uganda; <sup>i</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Gulu, Gulu, Uganda

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Initiation of breastfeeding later than 1 hour after birth is associated with increased neonatal morbidity and mortality.

**Objective:** To determine the prevalence and factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding.

**Methods:** We conducted a survey in 2016 of 930 children under the age of 2 years in Lira district, northern Uganda. Mothers of the children were interviewed and data was collected on mobile phones using Open Data Kit software (<https://opendatakit.org>). Multivariable logistic regression was used to determine factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding.

**Results:** Almost half [48.2%, 95% confidence interval (CI) (44.3–52.1)] of the mothers delayed initiation of breastfeeding. Factors significantly associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding in multivariable analysis included caesarean delivery [Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) 11.10 95% CI (3.73–33.04)], discarding initial breast milk [AOR 2.02 95% CI (1.41–2.88)], home delivery [AOR 1.43 95% CI (1.04–1.97)] and mother being responsible for initiating breastfeeding as compared to a health worker or relative [AOR 1.73 95% CI (1.33–2.26)]. Mothers having a secondary education were less likely [AOR 0.54 95% CI (0.30–0.96)] to delay initiation of breastfeeding as compared to those with no education.

**Conclusion:** About half the mothers delayed initiation of breastfeeding until after 1 hour after birth. Programs to promote, protect and support breastfeeding in this post conflict region are urgently needed.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 16 September 2017  
Accepted 21 November 2017

### RESPONSIBLE EDITOR

Nawi Ng, Umeå University, Sweden

### KEYWORDS

Nutrition; infant-feeding; post-conflict; breastmilk; neonatal

## Background

Reduction of neonatal mortality to 12/1000 live births by the year 2030 is one of the targets of the third sustainable development goal (SDG) [1,2]. Sub-Saharan Africa has one of the highest neonatal mortality rates in the world at 28/1000 live births [3,4] with Uganda at 27/1000 live births [5]. Over 800,000 neonatal deaths annually could be prevented if breastfeeding practices were scaled up [6]. One of the important practices in scaling up breastfeeding is initiating breastfeeding within the first hour after birth, failure of which is termed delayed initiation of breastfeeding.

Delayed initiation of breastfeeding has been shown to increase the risk of neonatal morbidity and mortality [7–10]. The morbidity and mortality risk associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding is due to a number of factors. Delayed initiation of breastfeeding increases the use of pre lacteal feeds, which

are often contaminated, and also lack the mucosa protective effect of breast milk [7]. Newborns who are delayed breastfed are also deprived of an opportunity to fully benefit from the immunological protection offered by colostrum [7,9]. Another benefit that is lost when initiation of breastfeeding is delayed, is the body heat offered to the baby by the mother in the initial moments after birth [9]. Finally, mothers who delay the initiation of breastfeeding are more likely to use alternative or additional foods during the first 6 months of life [7,9]. Due to all the risks caused by delayed initiation, interventions that promote early initiation of breastfeeding are listed as priority intervention to improve neonatal health and survival [11].

The prevalence of mothers who delay initiation of breastfeeding is high both in Uganda [12] and the world at large [6] with only about half of mothers worldwide practicing early initiation of breastfeeding

[6]. Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding include maternal-infant factors (such as absence of breast milk, HIV status of the parent and perinatal morbidity), cultural factors (such as colostrum discarding), and social factors (such as rural residence and place of delivery) among others [12–14]. However, breastfeeding initiation practices have been found to vary across ethnic lines and geographical locations [15]. This study was undertaken in post conflict Northern Uganda. Negative public health effects have been observed in post conflict areas lasting for so many years after the conflict [16]. The negative public health trends in post conflict areas are a result of poor health-seeking behaviors and distrust of health care systems among other reasons [17]. As such, public health interventions designed for post conflict areas might be of significant value.

To design interventions to scale up early initiation of breastfeeding, we need increased knowledge of associated factors. Therefore, in this community study, we aimed to determine the prevalence of delayed initiation of breastfeeding in Lira district, located in post conflict Northern Uganda and to determine factors associated with the delay.

## Methods

### Study design

This was a comparative cross-sectional study carried out among women with a child below the age of 2 years.

### Study setting

The study was conducted between August and November 2016 in Lira district, located in post conflict Northern Uganda. Lira district is home to about 400,000 people with the majority living in rural areas [18,19]. Most of the population is ethnic Langi and the predominant language spoken is Luo. Lira district has 3 Counties and 13 sub-counties, 1 municipality and 751 villages. Three sub counties of Aromo, Agali and Lira municipality were surveyed because they had been chosen as the sites for a randomized controlled trial designed to promote facility births and recommended breastfeeding practices. Aromo and Agali were chosen because they had the poorest maternal and child health indicators. Lira municipality was chosen because it was the largest urban center.

### Sampling

This study was a two-stage sampling modification of the WHO EPI method [20,21].

All villages in the three selected sub-counties and the household populations were listed (add range) and 30 villages were selected by probability

proportional to size. In each village, a sampling frame of all households was then used to select a random index household. After identifying the first household, the next household was chosen by selecting the nearest household to the first (the one whose door was closest to the prior household). Only one mother-child pair would be chosen from each household. This process would continue until 31 mother-child pairs had been interviewed in each village.

### Study participants

We recruited mother-child pairs where the child was aged 2 years or less. Children were recruited only if they were residents in the village and were identifiable by the village leadership and were mentally and physically able to complete the interview. If the mother had more than 1 child born within the last 2 years, the youngest child was selected. If the mother had twins, she would answer child-related questions basing on the younger twin. Children with no mothers were excluded from the study.

### Study procedure

Thirty trained research assistants who were knowledgeable about the area and were fluent in both written and spoken forms of the local language collected data. Village health team members and local area leaders acted as community guides. Interviews were carried out at the mothers' homesteads and where possible in a private location away from distraction within those homesteads. Thirty-one participants were recruited from each of the 31 villages. Missing households would be revisited later the same day before the research assistants left the village. Those that were not found at home were revisited the following day and if not found declared missing and replaced. Replacement was based on proximity to the missing household; the household with the nearest door to the missing household was visited and this process repeated until a replacement was got. Data were collected on mobile phones using ODK software (<https://opendatakit.org>).

### Data quality

We used a validated structured coded questionnaire, which was pretested during the piloting. The validated questionnaire was developed from two questionnaires; the first was a locally validated questionnaire from a breastfeeding promotion trial conducted in Eastern Uganda [22] and the second was an infant feeding assessment questionnaire developed by the World Health Organization [23]. A language expert translated the questionnaires to the local language and back translated them to ensure proper

translation. The mobile data collection tool ensured completeness of the questionnaire using its checks, by preventing progression if a question had been left unanswered. Completed questionnaires were saved onto the mobile phone and uploaded onto a server at the end of each day. A data manager was available when needed to deal with any issues related to the mobile phone data collection process. To reduce the potential of a measurement bias, mothers were first asked about events surrounding the most recent pregnancy to refresh their memory. They were subsequently asked questions about the most recent delivery in the last 2 years as an effort to ease recall.

### Variables

The dependent variable was initiation of breastfeeding. Women were asked how long after birth the baby was put to the breast for the first time. Responses were recorded in minutes and/or hours. The dependent variable was categorized as early initiation if breastfeeding was initiated within the first hour after birth and late initiation if breastfeeding was initiated later than 1 hour after birth. Exposure variables (Tables 1–3) included mother's age at last birthday collected as a continuous variable but categorized into <19, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, and ≥35. Maternal

**Table 2.** Delivery characteristics of mother-infant pairs surveyed in northern Uganda.

Variable	All participants	Delayed initiators of breastfeeding
	N = 930 n (%)	N = 448 n (%)
Mode of delivery		
Vaginal	894 (96.1)	416 (92.9)
Caesarean	36 (03.9)	32 (07.1)
Place of delivery		
Facility	622 (66.9)	284 (63.4)
Home	308 (33.1)	164 (36.6)
Breathing/crying problem		
No	816 (87.7)	384 (85.7)
Yes	114 (12.3)	64 (14.3)
Discarded initial milk		
No	675 (72.6)	296 (66.1)
Yes	255 (27.4)	152 (33.9)
Advised on breastfeeding during pregnancy		
No	379 (40.8)	193 (43.1)
Yes	551 (59.3)	255 (56.9)
Complications during birth		
No	820 (88.2)	380 (84.8)
Yes	110 (11.8)	68 (15.2)
Place baby initially put		
Other	82 (08.8)	51 (11.4)
Stomach/chest of mother	563 (60.5)	255 (31.0)
Side of mother	285 (30.7)	142 (31.7)
Baby born at term		
No	49 (05.3)	23 (05.1)
Yes	881 (94.7)	425 (94.9)
Who was responsible for initiation		
Other	431 (46.3)	188 (42.0)
Mother	499 (53.7)	260 (58.0)

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of mother-infant pairs surveyed in Northern Uganda.

Variable	All participants	Delayed initiation of breastfeeding
	N = 930 n (%)	N = 448 n (%)
Sex of the child		
Male	465 (50.0)	231 (51.6)
Female	465 (50.0)	217 (48.4)
Mother age		
≤19	157 (16.9)	84 (18.8)
20–24	303 (32.6)	138 (30.8)
25–29	218 (23.4)	97 (21.7)
30–34	145 (15.6)	70 (15.6)
≥35	107 (11.5)	59 (13.2)
Mothers education		
None	102 (11.0)	56 (12.5)
Primary	729 (78.4)	355 (79.2)
Secondary	83 (08.9)	29 (06.5)
Tertiary	16 (01.7)	8 (01.8)
Paternal education		
None	24 (02.8)	11 (02.7)
Primary	524 (61.4)	261 (63.5)
Secondary	228 (26.7)	107 (26.0)
Tertiary	77 (09.0)	32 (07.8)
Marital status		
Single	77 (8.3)	37 (08.3)
Married	853 (91.7)	411 (91.7)
Maternal employment		
No	537 (62.0)	266 (63.9)
Yes	329 (38.0)	156 (36.1)
Parity		
1	227 (24.4)	114 (25.5)
2–3	298 (32.0)	139 (31.0)
4>	405 (43.6)	195 (43.5)
Residence		
Rural	589 (63.3)	290 (64.7)
Urban	341 (36.7)	158 (35.3)

and paternal education was categorized as none, primary, secondary and tertiary education. Mother's employment (activity outside the home) was categorized as yes or no, Marital status was categorized as single if the mother was not living with a partner (single, divorced, widowed, separated) and married if the mother was living with a partner (married, cohabiting). Residence was categorized as (rural/urban). Other variables included; parity (1, 2 or 3, 4, >5), place of delivery (facility/home), mode of delivery (vaginal/caesarean delivery), any complications during delivery such as; vaginal bleeding, obstructed labor, postpartum hemorrhage, sepsis, birth asphyxia, cord prolapse, small baby, premature baby (yes/no), breathing/crying problem at birth (yes/no), prematurity at birth (yes/no), singleton versus multiple births, sex of the child (male/female), placement of baby immediately after delivery (side of mother, abdomen or chest of mother, other), receipt of breastfeeding counseling during pregnancy (yes/no), person responsible for initiation of breastfeeding (mother/person other than mother) and discard (throwing away) of initial breast milk (yes/no).

### Sample size estimation

A total of 930 mother-child pairs were enrolled in the study. This was calculated by Open-Epi

**Table 3.** Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding at bi-variable and multivariable analysis among mother-infant pairs in Northern Uganda.

Variable	Bi-variable N = 930		Multivariable N = 930		**Multivariable N = 866	
	OR (95% CI)	p value	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)
Sex of the child						
Male n (%)	1	0.342	-	-	-	-
Female n (%)	0.89 (0.69–1.14)					
Mothers education						
None	1	0.281	0.87 (0.53–1.43)	0.87 (0.54–1.40)	0.87 (0.54–1.40)	0.87 (0.54–1.40)
Primary	0.78 (0.49–1.24)	0.007	0.54 (0.30–0.96)	0.50 (0.29–0.85)	0.50 (0.29–0.85)	0.50 (0.29–0.85)
Secondary	0.44 (0.25–0.79)	0.758	0.80 (0.18–3.56)	0.83 (0.19–3.57)	0.83 (0.19–3.57)	0.83 (0.19–3.57)
Tertiary	0.82 (0.23–2.99)					
Marital status (living with partner)						
Single	1	0.983	-	-	-	-
Married	1.00 (0.61–1.65)					
Parity						
1	1	0.424	-	-	-	-
2–3	0.87 (0.60–1.24)	0.645				
4>	0.92 (0.64–1.33)					
Residence						
Urban	1	0.449	-	-	-	-
Rural	1.12 (0.82–1.53)					
Mode of delivery						
Vaginal	1	0.001	11.10 (3.73–33.04)	10.09 (3.35–30.43)	10.09 (3.35–30.43)	10.09 (3.35–30.43)
Caesarean	9.19 (2.76–30.66)					
Place of delivery						
Facility	1	0.051	1.43 (1.04–1.97)	1.49 (1.09–2.03)	1.49 (1.09–2.03)	1.49 (1.09–2.03)
Home	1.36 (1.00–1.84)					
Breathing/crying problem at birth						
No	1	0.089	1.42 (0.92–2.20)	1.41 (0.91–2.17)	1.41 (0.91–2.17)	1.41 (0.91–2.17)
Yes	1.44 (0.94–2.20)					
Discarded initial milk						
No	1	0.001	2.02 (1.41–2.88)	-	-	-
Yes	1.89 (1.35–2.65)					
Advised on breastfeeding						
No	1	0.243	-	-	-	-
Yes	0.83 (0.60–1.14)					
Complication during birth						
No	1	0.004	1.30 (0.79–2.12)	1.29 (0.79–2.12)	1.29 (0.79–2.12)	1.29 (0.79–2.12)
Yes	1.87 (1.24–2.84)					
Place baby initially put						
Stomach/chest of mother	1	0.226	-	-	-	-
Side of mother	1.20 (0.89–1.62)	0.021				
Other place	1.99 (1.12–3.53)					
Baby born at term						
No	1	0.869	-	-	-	-
Yes	1.05 (0.55–2.00)					
Who was responsible for initiation of breastfeeding?						
Person other than mother	1	0.008	1.73 (1.33–2.26)	1.61 (1.25–2.07)	1.61 (1.25–2.07)	1.61 (1.25–2.07)
Mother	1.41 (1.10–1.79)					

\*\*Multivariable: Model without 'discarding of initial milk variable' -: Excluded from multivariable model

(<http://www.openepi.com>) assuming a prevalence of 51% who practice early initiation of breastfeeding, a prevalence obtained in a community study done in eastern Uganda [24]. We assumed a precision of 5%, and a design effect of 2. This gave us a sample size of 768 participants. Assuming a non-response of 15% we came up with a sample size of 904. To achieve a self-weighted sample, we decided to enroll 31 children from each of the 30 villages.

About factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding, we calculated sample sizes of various exposures and finally used 'place of delivery' which gave us the largest sample size. We calculated a sample size needed to detect differences in the proportion of delayed initiation of breastfeeding between mothers who delivered at home and those who delivered from the facility (place of delivery resulted in the

largest sample size among the factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding). We calculated this using Open-Epi [25] sample size calculation for detecting differences between proportions of two groups (Fleiss with CC) assuming that 60% of mothers delivered in health facility whereas 40% delivered from home, and assuming the proportion of delayed initiation being 70% for those who delivered at home and 58% for those who delivered at a health facility [26]. This yielded a sample size of 551, which was covered by the sample size calculated for the prevalence estimate.

### Data analysis

We used Stata version 14 (<http://www.stata.com/stata14/>) with survey set command adjusting for the multistage sampling in the analysis. Continuous

descriptive variables were presented as means and standard deviations. Categorical variables were presented as proportions. We used chi square tests to tests for comparison of categorical variables and reported the resultant p values. We performed bi variable and multivariable logistic regression to determine the association between the independent factors and delayed initiation of breastfeeding. Factors known to be predictors of delayed initiation of breastfeeding from the literature and those with a bi-variable p-value <0.25 (as long as they were not in the casual pathway and they were not strongly collinear with other independent variables) were considered for the initial multivariable model [27]. Collinearity was assessed and factors were considered to be strongly collinear if their variance inflation factor was greater than 10. In case of collinearity, the factor with a stronger measure of association with the outcome variable was retained and the other dropped. Variables considered for the initial multivariable model were entered in a backward stepwise logistic model, and the variables that were dropped were assessed for their confounding effect on a model that only had the factors retained in the stepwise model. A variable was called a confounder if it changed the unadjusted measure of association by 10% or more. The final model included all confounders and was tested for goodness of fit using the Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness of fit test [28]. The process of multivariable modeling was repeated without the variable ‘discarding of initial milk’.

## Results

### Baseline characteristics

A total of 930 mother-child pairs were included in the analysis. The response rate was 93%. The majority (>95%) of non-respondents were mothers who were absent from their homestead. The mean (standard deviation, SD) child age was 11.2 (7.7) months. The mean (SD) maternal age was 25.8 (5.9) years. Most mothers and fathers had only primary education. The majority of the women had no employment and 44% had so far given birth to 4 or more children (Tables 1 and 2).

### Bivariable analysis

Almost a half [48.2%, 95% Confidence Interval (CI) (44.3–52.1)] of mothers delayed initiation of breastfeeding. When analysis was restricted to only those with children aged 1 month or less (n = 87), the proportion of mothers who delayed initiation of breastfeeding was 55.2%, 95% CI (44.3–65.6). Among mothers with children aged 3 months or less (n = 172), 50.6% of the mothers delayed initiation

of breastfeeding, 95% CI (42.9–58.2). The factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding in bi-variable analysis were; placing the baby away from the mother, having a caesarean section, discarding of initial milk, complications during delivery, and mother being solely responsible for initiation of breastfeeding (Table 3). Of the women who delivered from home, the mother was solely responsible for initiating breastfeeding 55% (n = 171) of the time. Mothers who delivered by caesarean section were significantly more likely to receive help from other persons to initiate breastfeeding compared to those who delivered vaginally [78% (n = 28) versus 45% (n = 403) respectively].

### Multivariable analysis

The factors significantly associated with delayed breastfeeding initiation at multivariable analysis included caesarean delivery Adjusted Odds Ratio (AOR) 11.10 95% CI (3.73–33.04), discarding initial breast milk AOR 2.02 95% CI (1.41–2.88), home delivery AOR 1.43 95% CI (1.04–1.97) and mother being solely responsible for initiating breastfeeding AOR 1.73 95% CI (1.33–2.26). Having a secondary education was protective against delayed initiation of breastfeeding when compared to no education [AOR 0.54 95%CI (0.30–0.96)] (Table 3).

In a model without discarding initial milk, the same factors above were associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding and the measures of association were similar.

## Discussion

In this community-based survey conducted in post conflict northern Uganda, almost half of all mothers initiated breastfeeding later than an hour post-partum. Factors associated with this delay included caesarean delivery, discarding initial breast milk and the mother being responsible for initiating breastfeeding. Mothers who had a secondary education were less likely to initiate breastfeeding after 1 hour.

The proportion of mothers who delayed initiation is similar to findings from other African countries [6]. Analysis of the demographic health survey data showed almost half of women in Uganda delay to initiate breastfeeding [6]. Another community survey done in Eastern Uganda showed that only 51% of mothers initiated breastfeeding immediately after birth [24]. This proportion is, however, different from another study done at the national referral hospital, which found a much higher proportion of almost 70%, of mothers initiating breastfeeding early [12]. The difference could be explained by the policies at the national referral hospital or differences in the populations studied.

One of the major factors associated with delay in initiating breastfeeding was the practice of discarding the initial milk (colostrum). This practice has also been observed in Ethiopia [29] and Guatemala [30]. Another study conducted in Guinea-Bissau also noted that negative cultural ideas about colostrum were associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding [31]. The practice of discarding colostrum is often due to a misconception that the initial milk is dirty and hence harmful to the neonate [31]. Mothers with this practice will probably wait for the milk to clear before they initiate breastfeeding and hence the delay. However, there is also a reverse causation possibility; that the practice of discarding initial milk was a result of delayed breastfeeding initiation linked to other factors like neonatal morbidity. The mother might be left with no other option but to express and discard the initial milk to alleviate the discomfort caused by engorged breasts. In such a scenario, discarding initial milk would be a consequence and not a cause of delayed initiation of breastfeeding. To control for this scenario, we repeated the multivariable modeling without the variable of 'discarding initial milk' but we found similar results.

In addition to discarding initial milk, delivering from home was also associated with delayed breastfeeding initiation. Mothers who delivered at home were more likely to delay initiating breastfeeding compared to mothers who delivered from health facilities. Similar findings have been reported in Nigeria [26]. This could be related to the existing policies and assistance offered in some health facilities, which encourage early initiation of breastfeeding [32]. To further support the hypothesis that mothers needed assistance to initiate breastfeeding, mothers who were solely responsible for initiating breastfeeding delayed in comparison to the mothers who reported receiving assistance from health workers or relatives. The importance of persons other than the mother in decisions related to breastfeeding practice, and the need to train them for this role is one of the tenets affirmed by the academy of breastfeeding medicine [32]. In addition, breastfeeding consultants at facilities medicine also advocates for a special position of a breastfeeding expert who among other roles assists caregivers and other health workers make decisions concerning breastfeeding [32–34].

Among those that delivered from health facilities, caesarean section delivery was the main factor associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding. This association has also been observed in other studies done in Uganda, Nigeria and Vietnam [12,26,35]. The practice of separating the newborn from the mother immediately after caesarean delivery could partly explain this delay [36]. In addition, encouraging women to initiate breastfeeding early after caesarean section is uncommon [12,36,37]. Fatigue and post caesarean pain may also

contribute to this delay [12]. Specific support for early initiation of breastfeeding is needed for mothers who deliver by caesarean section in order to reduce the delay in this group of women [37]. In this population, only 4% of women delivered by caesarean section, and this makes the relative contribution of this variable appear small. However, the caesarean section rate in this area is much lower than the minimum rate needed for optimal maternal and child health [38]. This suggests that the low caesarean rate is probably a result of poor health systems and therefore will become higher as the health systems in the area recover.

Lastly, education was another factor associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding. Mothers with a secondary education were less likely to delay initiating breastfeeding. This pattern was also observed in a similar study done in Nepal [39], which showed that educated mothers were less likely to delay initiation of breastfeeding. Educated mothers have been shown to feed their children with more attention, discipline, purpose, and intentionality, and this could explain the association observed [40].

### **Strengths and limitations**

Our study assessed breastfeeding patterns from the community and is therefore more generalizable than prior hospital-based studies. Conducting a community-based study enabled us to capture and comment about home deliveries, which are common in this setting. In addition, the study looked at a unique setting, which is post conflict northern Uganda. A major limitation of our study was measurement of the main outcome with a 2-year recall window. The long recall periods of the time to initiating breastfeeding might have introduced a misclassification bias [41–43]. We used this long recall window mainly to enable us obtain sufficient mothers to study breastfeeding initiation in the community using multistage sampling. To gauge the magnitude of the potential misclassification we conducted sub group prevalence estimates for mothers who had children under 1 month, 3 months and under 2 years. We found these estimates to be 55%, 50% and 48%, respectively. From these estimates, we note that there was no major difference in recall for this variable based on time. A validation study done in Eastern Uganda showed high consistency between infant feeding questions asked at birth and those asked at 3 months [44], further research is needed to assess the consistency after 1 year or more. That said, a 2-year recall period is the recommended standard by the World Health Organization [23] and is also the standard used by the demographic and Health surveys which makes it a meaningful period to use for comparative purposes.

## Conclusion

About half of the mothers delayed initiation of breastfeeding until after 1 hour after birth. Programs to promote, protect and support breastfeeding in this post conflict region are urgently needed. We recommend that attention should be paid to caesarean section deliveries, and special policies concerning breastfeeding initiation in this group be considered. Persons other than the mother should be involved in programs promoting breastfeeding initiation.

## Acknowledgments

We acknowledge Survival Pluss project (no. UGA-13-0030) at Makerere University. Survival Pluss project is funded under NORHED by NORAD; Norway.

We also acknowledge Prof. Peter Waiswa and the Iganga-Mayuge DHSS for availing us with various study instruments, which we borrowed from when designing our study. In a special way we acknowledge the District Health Office of Lira district, and the various district, sub county, parish and village leaders for their assistance in this study. Finally, we acknowledge the participants and research assistants in our study for their cooperation.

## Author contributions

DM, JKT, VN, GN, TT conceived, designed, supervised the study, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of manuscript. IO, JT, JBT, AN, VA, BO, were instrumental in the design and supervision of the study, and drafting of the manuscript. SK contributed in the analysis of the data and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version to be published.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Ethics and consent

We obtained ethical approval from the School of Medicine Research and Ethics committee and from the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants prior to participation in the study. For participants who could not write, a thumbprint was obtained. No compensation was given to the participants. Participant's questions regarding health-related issues were addressed at the end of the interview.

## Funding information

The study was funded by the Survival Pluss project (no. UGA-13-0030) at Makerere University. Survival Pluss project is funded under The Norwegian Programme for Capacity Development in Higher Education and Research for Development (NORHED) by Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (NORAD); Norway.

## Paper context

Breastfeeding initiation patterns vary across different contexts. This study was done in Lira district, situated in post conflict northern Uganda, to inform the conduct of a randomized controlled study. Our study showed that delayed initiation is common in this region associated with factors such as caesarean delivery, home delivery, lack of education and discarding of initial milk. This study also showed that importance of persons other than the mother in initiating breastfeeding.

## ORCID

Beatrice Odongkara  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6283-9114>

## References

- [1] United Nations General Assembly. Transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. New York; 2015 [cited 2017 Apr 14]. Available from: [http://www.un.org/ga/search/view\\_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E](http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=A/RES/70/1&Lang=E).
- [2] United Nations. Sustainable development goals United Nations. New York; 2015 [cited 2017 Apr 14]. Available from: <http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/summit/>.
- [3] GBD. 2015 Child Mortality Collaborators. Global, regional, national, and selected subnational levels of stillbirths, neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet*. 2016;388:1725-1774.
- [4] Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, et al. Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *Lancet*. 2014;384:189-205.
- [5] Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) and ICF. Uganda demographic and health survey 2016: key indicators report. Kampala (Uganda): UBOS; 2017.
- [6] Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJ, et al. Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and life-long effect. *Lancet*. 2016;387:475-490.
- [7] Neovita Study Group. Timing of initiation, patterns of breastfeeding, and infant survival: prospective analysis of pooled data from three randomised trials. *Lancet Glob Health*. 2016;4:e266-275.
- [8] Edmond KM, Kirkwood BR, Amenga-Etego S, et al. Effect of early infant feeding practices on infection-specific neonatal mortality: an investigation of the causal links with observational data from rural Ghana. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2007;86:1126-1131.
- [9] Edmond KM, Zandoh C, Quigley MA, et al. Delayed breastfeeding initiation increases risk of neonatal mortality. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:e380-386.
- [10] Debes AK, Kohli A, Walker N, et al. Time to initiation of breastfeeding and neonatal mortality and morbidity: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2013;13 Suppl 3:S19.
- [11] Lassi ZS, Middleton PF, Crowther C, et al. Interventions to improve neonatal health and later survival: an overview of systematic reviews. *EBioMedicine*. 2015;2:985-1000.
- [12] Kalisa R, Malande O, Nankunda J, et al. Magnitude and factors associated with delayed initiation of



- breastfeeding among mothers who deliver in Mulago hospital, Uganda. *Afr Health Sci.* 2015;15:1130–1135.
- [13] Liben ML, Yesuf EM. Determinants of early initiation of breastfeeding in Amibara district, Northeastern Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2016;11:7.
- [14] Engebretsen IM, Nankabirwa V, Doherty T, et al. Early infant feeding practices in three African countries: the PROMISE-EBF trial promoting exclusive breastfeeding by peer counsellors. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2014;9:19.
- [15] Grubestic TH, Durbin KM. Community rates of breastfeeding initiation. *J Hum Lact.* 2016;32:601–610.
- [16] Ghobarah HA, Huth P, Russett B. The post-war public health effects of civil conflict. *Soc Sci Med.* 2004;59:869–884.
- [17] Chi PC, Bulage P, Urdal H, et al. A qualitative study exploring the determinants of maternal health service uptake in post-conflict Burundi and Northern Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2015;15:18.
- [18] Lira District Local Government. Lira district local government statistical abstract. Lira: Lira District Local Government; 2012.
- [19] Uganda Bureau of Statistics. The national population and housing census 2014-main report. Kampala: UBOS; 2016.
- [20] World Health Organisation. Training for mid-level managers: the EPI coverage survey. Geneva: WHO Expanded Programme on Immunization, 2008 Contract No.: WHO/IVB/08.07; 2008.
- [21] Bennett S, Woods T, Liyanage W, et al. A simplified general method for cluster-sample surveys of health in developing countries. *World Health Stat Q.* 1991;44:98–106.
- [22] Tylleskar T, Jackson D, Meda N, et al. Exclusive breastfeeding promotion by peer counsellors in sub-Saharan Africa (PROMISE-EBF): a cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2011;378:420–427.
- [23] World Health Organization. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices part 2: measurement. Malta: World Health Organization; 2010.
- [24] Waiswa P, Peterson S, Tomson G, et al. Poor newborn care practices - a population based survey in eastern Uganda. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2010;10:9.
- [25] Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM OpenEpi: open source epidemiologic statistics for public health. 2013 [cited 2016 Jun 20]. Available from: <http://www.OpenEpi.com>.
- [26] Berde AS, Yalcin SS. Determinants of early initiation of breastfeeding in Nigeria: a population-based study using the 2013 demographic and health survey data. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2016;16:32.
- [27] David W, Hosmer J, Lemeshow S, et al. Model-building strategies and methods for logistic regression. In: David W, Hosmer J, Lemeshow S, et al., editors. *Applied logistic regression*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 2013. p. 89–124.
- [28] David W, Hosmer J, Lemeshow S, et al. Assessing the fit of the model. In: David W, Hosmer J, Lemeshow S, et al., editors. *Applied logistic regression*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 2013. p. 153–226.
- [29] Hailemariam TW, Adeba E, Sufa A. Predictors of early breastfeeding initiation among mothers of children under 24 months of age in rural part of West Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15:1076.
- [30] Atyeo N, Frank T, Vail E, et al. Early initiation of breastfeeding among Maya mothers in the western highlands of Guatemala: practices and beliefs. *J Hum Lact.* 2017;33:781–789.
- [31] Gunnlaugsson G, Da Silva MC, Smedman L. Determinants of delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a community and hospital study from Guinea-Bissau. *Int J Epidemiol.* 1992;21:935–940.
- [32] Chantry CJ, Eglash A, Labbok M. ABM position on breastfeeding-revised 2015. *Breastfeed Med.* 2015;10:407–411.
- [33] Thurman SE, Allen PJ. Integrating lactation consultants into primary health care services: are lactation consultants affecting breastfeeding success? *Pediatr Nurs.* 2008;34:419–425.
- [34] Castrucci BC, Hoover KL, Lim S, et al. A comparison of breastfeeding rates in an urban birth cohort among women delivering infants at hospitals that employ and do not employ lactation consultants. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2006;12:578–585.
- [35] Bui QT, Lee HY, Le AT, et al. Trends and determinants for early initiation of exclusive breastfeeding under six months in Vietnam: results from the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys, 2000–2011. *Glob Health Action.* 2016;9:29433.
- [36] Awi D, Alikor E. Barriers to timely initiation of breastfeeding among mothers of healthy full-term babies who deliver at the University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2006;9:57–64.
- [37] Perez-Escamilla R, Maulen-Radovan I, Dewey KG. The association between cesarean delivery and breast-feeding outcomes among Mexican women. *Am J Public Health.* 1996;86:832–836.
- [38] Ye J, Betran AP, Guerrero Vela M, et al. Searching for the optimal rate of medically necessary cesarean delivery. *Birth.* 2014;41:237–244.
- [39] Adhikari M, Khanal V, Karkee R, et al. Factors associated with early initiation of breastfeeding among Nepalese mothers: further analysis of Nepal Demographic and Health Survey, 2011. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2014;9:21.
- [40] Guldan GS, Zeitlin MF, Beiser AS, et al. Maternal education and child feeding practices in rural Bangladesh. *Soc Sci Med.* 1993;36:925–935.
- [41] Salasibew M, Dinsa G, Berhanu D, et al. Measurement of delayed bathing and early initiation of breastfeeding: a cross-sectional survey exploring experiences of data collectors in Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr.* 2015;15:35.
- [42] Salasibew M, Filteau S, Marchant T. Measurement of breastfeeding initiation: Ethiopian mothers' perception about survey questions assessing early initiation of breastfeeding. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2014;9:13.
- [43] Salasibew MM, Filteau S, Marchant T. A qualitative study exploring newborn care behaviours after home births in rural Ethiopia: implications for adoption of essential interventions for saving newborn lives. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2014;14:412.
- [44] Engebretsen IM, Shanmugam R, Sommerfelt AE, et al. Infant feeding modalities addressed in two different ways in Eastern Uganda. *Int Breastfeed J.* 2010;5:2.