Department of APPLIED MATHEMATICS

A note on Front tracking and the Equivalence between Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations And Entropy Solutions of scalar Conservation Laws.

By

Kenneth H. Karlsen and Nils Henrik Risebro

Report no. 136

January 2000



UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN Bergen, Norway



005d11616

ISSN 0084-778x

Department of Mathematics University of Bergen 5008 Bergen Norway

> A note on Front tracking and the Equivalence between Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations And Entropy Solutions of scalar Conservation Laws.

> > by

Kenneth H. Karlsen and Nils Henrik Risebro

Report No. 136

January 2000

NB Rana Nepotbiblioteke

A NOTE ON FRONT TRACKING AND THE EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN VISCOSITY SOLUTIONS OF HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS AND ENTROPY SOLUTIONS OF SCALAR CONSERVATION LAWS

KENNETH HVISTENDAHL KARLSEN AND NILS HENRIK RISEBRO

ABSTRACT. We give a direct proof of the well known equivalence between the Kružkov-Vol'pert entropy solution for the scalar conservation law $p_t + H(p)_x = 0$ and the Crandall-Lions viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $u_t + H(u_x) = 0$. In our proof we work directly with the defining entropy and viscosity inequalities and do not, as is usually done, exploit the convergence of the viscosity method. The proof is based on establishing the equivalence directly for a "dense" set of flux functions H and initial data p_0/u_0 . In the course of doing so, we translate front tracking for scalar conservation laws to Hamilton-Jacobi equations and derive some of its properties.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that Hamilton-Jacobi equations are closely related to scalar conservation laws. In this paper we give a direct proof of the equivalence between the unique viscosity solution [7, 5] of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(1.1)
$$u_t + H(u_x) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty),$$
$$u = u_0 \in \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\},$$

and the unique entropy solution [13, 18] of the corresponding scalar conservation laws

(1.2)
$$p_t + H(p)_x = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty),$$
$$p = p_0 \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\},$$

In the course of doing so, we extend, or perhaps more correctly, translate, the front tracking method [8, 9] for conservation laws (1.2) to Hamilton-Jacobi equations (1.1) and derive some of its properties.

We recall that a function $u \in BUC(\mathbb{R} \times (0, T))$ is a viscosity solution of the initial value problem (1.1) if $u = u_0$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\}$ and u is simultaneously a (viscosity) subsolution and a (viscosity) supersolution in $\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$:

(Subsolution): For each $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, T))$,

 $\begin{cases} \text{if } u - \phi \text{ has a local maximum at a point } (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ \text{then } \phi_t(x_0, t_0) + H(\phi_x(x_0, t_0)) \leq 0. \end{cases}$

Date: December 27, 1999.

Key words and phrases. Hamilton-Jacobi equation, scalar conservation law, viscosity solution, entropy solution, numerical method, front tracking.

ANDE ON FROMT TRADING AND THE EQUIVALENCE BETMERN.

DARLIER MERICANT AND VERIES VALUE VIEW TRAVE DESCRIPTION

E less a contra contra la contra de la contr

it is well known that Banadion Locia emotions are closely related to izalar on antihave. In this paper we that there incorted the equivalence between the quique require solution (7, 5) of the Mindligs Locia renation.

$$(1-1) = (1-1$$

and the unique entrony colution [1, 18] of the correspondence scale to understan from

in the contra of duing so, we extend, or parinals transcrappedity and date the long trading method [8, 9] for experivaling (aver 1.2) to framings furth of other (5.1) and define whee of its properties

We need that a limit as L = 0 (0.0.0, 0.00) is a Velocity valuation of $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e}$ is $M_{\rm e}$ in $M_{\rm e$

(Subsolution): Toy each of e.C. The e.(0.2)

Date University of ABN. A og mona erst parektes Bildefiterestande ogsåtiget, ogsåt bydefontsom bev forskonter Veletaan ombol Bildet er moneral framsed borge Defit fra

(Supersolution): For each $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, T),$

 $\begin{cases} \text{if } u - \phi \text{ has a local minimum at a point } (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ \text{then } \phi_t(x_0, t_0) + H(\phi_x(x_0, t_0)) \ge 0. \end{cases}$

We remark that it is possible to give an equivalent definition of a viscosity solution based the notions of sub- and super-differentials [5]. The existence, uniqueness, and stability properties of viscosity solutions were proved first by Crandall and Lions [7] and Crandall, Evans, and Lions [5]. It is also known [7, 5, 14] that the unique viscosity solution of (1.1) coincides with the vanishing viscosity solution $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} u^{\varepsilon}$ (here the limit is with respect to the uniform topology on compacts), where u^{ε} is the unique smooth solution of the approximate problem

(1.3)
$$u_t^{\varepsilon} + H(u_x^{\varepsilon}) = \varepsilon u_{xx}^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty), \\ u^{\varepsilon} = u_0 \in \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\}.$$

We recall that $p \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ is an entropy solution of the initial value problem (1.2) if $\|p(\cdot, t) - p_0\|_{L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})} \to 0$ essentially as $t \to 0$ and, for all nonnegative test functions $\phi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty))$ and constants $k \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\iint \left(|p-k|\phi_t + \operatorname{sign} (u-k) (H(u) - H(k))\phi_x \right) dx dt \ge 0.$$

The existence, uniqueness, and stability properties of entropy solutions were proved first by Kružkov [13] and by Vol'pert [18] in the smaller BV class. Again, the unique entropy solution of (1.2) coincides with the vanishing viscosity solution $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} p^{\varepsilon}$ (here the limit is with respect to L^1 convergence on compacts), where p^{ε} is the unique smooth solution of the approximate problem

(1.4)
$$p_t^{\varepsilon} + H(p^{\varepsilon})_x = \varepsilon p_{xx}^{\varepsilon} \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty),$$
$$p^{\varepsilon} = p_0 \in BV(\mathbb{R}) \text{ on } \mathbb{R} \times \{t = 0\}.$$

As already mentioned, the question of viscosity solutions of (1.1) is equivalent to the question of entropy solutions of (1.2). More precisely, if u is the unique viscosity solution of (1.1), then

$$p = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u$$

is the unique entropy solution of (1.2). Conversely, if p is the unique entropy solution of (1.2), then u defined via

$$u(x,t) = \int^x p(\xi,t) \, d\xi$$

is the unique viscosity solution (1.1).

We remark that this equivalence has been exploited by many authors trying to translate successful numerical methods for hyperbolic conservation laws to methods for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. In this context, we should mention that in the multi-dimensional case this one-to-one correspondence no longer exists, instead the gradient $p = \nabla u$ satisfies (at least formally) a non-strictly hyperbolic system of conservation laws [12, 14, 11]. For other connections between the theories of viscosity and entropy solutions, we refer the reader to [2].

The usual proof in the one dimensional case (see, e.g., [12, 14, 4]) of the relation $p = u_x$ exploits the known results about existence, uniqueness, and convergence of viscosity method. In particular, one notices that the regularity of p^{ε} permits the relation $p^{\varepsilon} = u_x^{\varepsilon}$ and after sending $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, one gets the desired result $p = u_x$. On the other hand, there exist very few

(Supersolution): Poreach & C 2(RX U, C).

We remark that if wrowships reaches of equip dens deletion of a viscol version of hand the notions of sub- and enges difference in [7]. The ensistence usig waves, and version of the of viscosity solutions true proved that is a Council and memory [3] and teredical for a solution bioms [5]. It is also began [5]. So it is that the university such memory [4] and teredical for a solution with the vanishing simplety solution introduce in [6]. The ensistence was needed to the metal of the solution topology on comparison with the university fields the second hand for the product of the solution.

We recall that $\mu \in V$ will $(0, \infty)$ is an entropy where it the full $(0, \infty)$ is an entropy of the full (1, 2) of (1, 2) if $\|\mu(z, 1) - \mu_0\|_{L^2}$ are take four the (1, 2) is a constraint (1, 2) is (1, 2).

The restance, uniquences and an bility reception of energy which is new proved that the Kratser [13] and by vettere [24] in the constants KV disc. Again, we added, all any solution of (1.2) coincides with the constants returns adding the second back of the back of the second to K convergence of contracts, charger is the solution of the second back and the second second second problem

As already mentioned. He area on wherein weightings of (1.1) is climited to the question of entropy solutions of (1.2), shareprecisity if a principle viscosity solution of (1.1), then

the base of the providence of the

is the anique viscosity suping all a

We amback that this equivalence has been equivient by money and has to instant to an abracher and, constant numerical methods been problem while an equivient large to mother the fractions to a structure of equilibrial. To this connect, we change a bight method, that is the fault attactmentation to estimate queeto one correspondence to beyone exist. The sold this perficulty of the fault attacted to the first large first at a non-structly heperbolic synchie of contervalies have [12, 11, 21]. For other to between the theories of visiterity and contervalies and the second to be for the first of the between the theories of visiterity and contervalies and the second to be for the first of the second to be tween the theories of visiterity and contervalies and the second to be the first of the between the theories of visiterity and contervalies and the second to be the first of the first of the second to be the second of the second to be an expected and the second to be the first of the first of the second to be at the first of the first of the second to be an expected to the first of the

The usual proof in the one dimensioned case (as said (1), 1), 2), 3, 4 (re-relation p s a), exploits the known results cleant existence anticerres, and events paint of viscosity and od. In particular, one conversion that the operatory of p montes the relation of the initial one of the sending s (), one cats the desired result are s. On the of the head the data of the relation of the (quoteable!) references which prove this relation without without using the convergence of the viscosity method but instead use the defining viscosity and entropy inequalities directly. In fact, the only reference that we are aware of which takes the direct approach is Caselles [3]. Caselles treats, however, only the time independent case.

The main purpose of the present paper is to give a direct proof in the time dependent case, at least for sufficiently regular solutions. With a "direct proof", it is understood that we do not use the convergence of the viscosity approximations (1.4) and (1.3). The second purpose is to derive a front tracking algorithm for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in one dimension. Our main result is contained in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. Let u be the unique viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.1) and let p be the unique entropy solution to the conservation law

$$p_t + H(p)_x = 0,$$

with initial data

$$p(x,0) = \frac{d}{dx}u_0(x)$$

If $u_0 \in BUC(\mathbb{R})$, or $p(x,0) \in BV(\mathbb{R})$, then $u_x(x,t) = p(x,t)$ almost everywhere.

To show Theorem 1.1, we use the front tracking method suggested by Dafermos in [8], and properly defined by Holden, Holden and Høegh-Krohn in [9]. This is a numerical method for scalar conservation laws (1.2), which yields exact entropy solutions if the initial data p_0 is piecewise constant and the flux function H piecewise linear. We then note that this method translates into a method that gives the exact viscosity solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1.1) if u_0 and H are piecewise linear and Lipschitz continuous. This gives Theorem 1.1 in the case of piecewise linear/constant initial data, and piecewise linear Hamiltonians/flux functions. This front tracking method uses the solution of the Riemann problem for conservation laws and Hamilton-Jacobi equations, and we state an explicit formula for this solution if the Hamiltonian is piecewise linear. This formula is related to similar formulas found in Bardi and Osher [1], and in Subbotin and Shagalova [17].

To extend the result to more general problems, we take the L^{∞}/L^1 closure of the set of piecewise linear/constant initial data, and the sup/Lip norm closure of the set of piecewise linear Hamiltonians/flux functions, utilizing stability estimates from [10] and [16] for conservation laws and Hamilton-Jacobi equations respectively. In doing this we also obtain explicit error estimates for the front tracking method both for conservation laws and for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Furthermore, as an extra bonus, from [9] we have that front tracking will yield the (entropy, viscosity) solution for all t > 0 by a finite number of operations.

2. FRONT TRACKING

We start this section by describing front tracking for scalar conservation laws. This method was first proposed by Dafermos [8], and later shown to be a viable method for conservation laws by Holden, Holden and Høegh-Krohn [9].

We wish to solve the scalar conservation law

(2.1)
$$p_t + G(p)_x = 0, \quad p(x,0) = p_0(x)$$

where G is a piecewise linear continuous function, and p_0 is a piecewise constant function with bounded support taking a finite number of values. By *breakpoints* of G we mean the

EAML TOWN MCORE EQUALIONS AND SEALING CONTRINCT IN A

(quoteablet) retimentes which prove this relation without without orthopy able convergence of the viscosity method has instand the the definite viscosity and entary inequalities directly. In fact, the calv reterence this we are araze of which raises the decret approach is then the fill. Condise treaty, previous calv the since todeparticular takes.

The main purpose of the present paper is to give a drive a great but the time if no beneated durance, at least for antificiently regular eductions. With a "correct proof" is in a driver of the second provide not use the convergence of the selection in manufacturations (Least ad 1.1. The second provide is to derive a free tracting algorithm for the instructions because of a second provide main result is convergent in the following the arrange.

Theorem 1.1. Let a be the unique distanting solution of the Paradhin- floods repairing (1.1) and let a be the entries efficient of the solution for the solution for the solution of the solut

and a lasters shirts

(7, kg, 등) H (F. (K)), kh ((k, 1)) 는 2011 (K)), 2044 (K, 2) — (K), 2 (K), AN (K) (K) (K)

Ale alsow 2 beer appended to a training the total framesing method angenerated by the structures to be a set of the second secon

To estand the reasts to gene getteral problems, we another to be chartered the test of the set of processing processing the arrival test of the set of the

A. FROME TRACKING

Min stant this attrict by describing from the filling for scalar angularities instand for another instand in a set of the second states in the second s

when G is a chairman drawn arreation fraction, and is man phase produces and the second second second for

points where G' is discontinuous. To solve the initial value problem (2.1), we start by solving the Riemann problem, i.e., where p_0 is given by

(2.2)
$$p_0(x) = \begin{cases} p_l & \text{for } x < 0, \\ p_r & \text{for } x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

Let now G_* be the lower convex envelope of G between p_l and p_r ,

(2.3)
$$G_*(p; p_l, p_r) = \sup \left\{ g(p) \mid g(p) \text{ is convex and } g(p) \le G(p) \text{ between } p_l \text{ and } p_r \right\}.$$

Similarly we let $G^*(p; p_l, p_r)$ denote the upper concave envelope of G between p_l and p_r . Now set

(2.4)
$$\tilde{G}(p; p_l, p_r) = \begin{cases} G_*(p; p_l, p_r) & \text{if } p_l < p_r, \\ G^*(p; p_l, p_r) & \text{if } p_l \ge p_r. \end{cases}$$

Since G is piecewise linear and continuous, also \tilde{G} will be piecewise linear and continuous. Now set $p_1 = p_l$ and assume that \tilde{G} has N - 1 breakpoints between p_l and p_r , call these p_2, \ldots, p_{N-1} and set $p_N = p_r$, such that $p_i < p_{i+1}$ if $p_l < p_r$ and $p_i > p_{i+1}$ if $p_l > p_r$. Now set $\sigma_0 = -\infty$, $\sigma_N = \infty$ and

(2.5)
$$\sigma_i = \frac{G_{i+1} - G_i}{p_{i+1} - p_i}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where $G_i = \tilde{G}(p_i; p_l, p_r) = G(p_i)$. Define Ω_i as

(2.6)
$$\Omega_i = \left\{ (x,t) \mid 0 \le t \le T, \text{ and } t\sigma_{i-1} < x \le t\sigma_i \right\}.$$

Then the following proposition holds:

Proposition 2.1. Set

(2.7)
$$p(x,t) = p_i \quad for \ (x,t) \in \Omega_i,$$

then p is the entropy solution of the Riemann problem (2.2).

C(L) > C(L)

Proof. We show the proposition in the case where $p_l < p_r$, the other case being completely similar. First note that the definition of the lower envelope implies that for $k \in [p_i, p_{i+1}]$,

(2.8)

$$G(k) \ge G_i + (k - p_i)\sigma_i$$

$$\ge G_{i+1} + (k - p_{i+1})\sigma_i$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2}(G_{i+1} + G_i) + \left(k - \frac{1}{2}(p_{i+1} + p_i)\right)$$

We wish to show that for each nonnegative test function ϕ ,

$$(2.9) - \iint_{\Omega_T} |p-k| \phi_t + q(p,k)\phi_x \, dx \, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(x,T)-k| \, \phi(x,T) - |p_0(x)-k| \, \phi(x,0) \, dx \le 0,$$

where $\Omega_T = \mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$ and $q(p, k) = G(p \vee k) - G(p \wedge k) = \text{sign}(p - k)(G(p) - G(k))$. Here we use the notation $p \vee k = \max(p, k)$ and $p \wedge k = \min(p, k)$.

ARTERIA APPA ADDITE

points where (a is discontinues. In some the juillet value publien (2.1), no even by solving , the Biener by solving .

Let now G. re-the lever contex parelors of G between pland p.,

(2.3) G.
$$(\alpha, p, p, p, p, q, q)$$
, (p) , (p) is convex and $g(p) \leq (\delta, q)$, between p , and p .

Similariy we let GUrr jeepel denoted to oppet renerve antelope of G berneen provider. Now

Share G is placedon linear and cohimman, also G will be place to independent on the contract x_{ij} of the field x_{ij} and x_{ij} independent x_{ij} ind

where $G_1 = G(p_1, p_2, p_3) = G(p_1)$. The line Ω_1 is

Then the following proponition wolds

Proposition Int. Set

then y is the entropy substance (as literaran products [3.3].

Arried. We show the proposition in the case classic mark to a provide officer effectiving coverdently by similar. First actor that in effective of the lower providence within a cover the first sector of the first sector s

We wish to show title. Fig each mississing we feel function we

where $\Omega_{T} = \mathbb{E}[x, [0, k]]$ and q(x, k) = Gerr V(k) - (k) p A(k) = kindp - k) p(k) p(-k) (k). There we use the notation $p \vee k = mon(p, k)$ and $p \wedge k = relation (p, k)$.

The first term in (2.9) is given by

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint_{\Omega_{i}} |p_{i} - k| \phi_{t} + q(p_{i}, k) \phi_{x} \, dx \, dt = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(x, T) - k| \phi(x, T) - |p_{0}(x) - k| \phi(x, 0) \, dx$$
$$-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{0}^{T} \left\{ \sigma_{i} \left(|p_{i+1} - k| - |p_{i} - k| \right) - \left(q(p_{i+1}, k) - q(p_{i}, k) \right) \right\} \phi(\sigma_{i} t, t) \, dt,$$

by Green's formula applied to each Ω_i . Considering the integrand in the last term, we find that

$$\sigma_i(|p_{i+1} - k| - |p_i - k|) - [G(p_{i+1} \lor k) - G(p_{i+1} \land k) - G(p_i \lor k) + G(p_i \land k)] = 0$$

if $k > p_{i+1}$ or $k < p_i$, otherwise we find that

$$\sigma_i \left(|p_{i+1} - k| - |p_i - k| \right) - \left[G(p_{i+1} \lor k) - G(p_{i+1} \land k) - G(p_i \lor k) + G(p_i \land k) \right] = \left(G(k) - \left[\sigma_i \left(k - \frac{1}{2}(p_{i+1} + p_i) \right) + \frac{1}{2}(G_{i+1} + G_i) \right] \right) \ge 0$$

by (2.8), which implies that p defined by (2.7) is an entropy solution of the Riemann problem. \Box

Now we construct the solution of the more general initial value problem (2.1). Since the initial value function p_0 is piecewise constant, it defines a series of Riemann problems. We can construct the solutions of these, which amounts to defining the speeds σ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$, for each Riemann problem. Then p(x,t) will be piecewise constant, with discontinuities on straight lines emanating from each discontinuity in p_0 . We call these discontinuities fronts. Clearly, p can be defined until two fronts collide. At this point we can again solve the Riemann problem defined by the value to the left and right of the collision point, thereby continuing the solution until the next collision and so on. The next proposition sums up the properties of this method, called front tracking:

Proposition 2.2. Let G(p) be a continuous and piecewise linear continuous function with a finite number of breakpoints in the interval [-M, M], where M is some constant. Assume that $p_0(x)$ is a piecewise constant function with a finite number of discontinuities taking values in the interval [-M, M]. Then the initial value problem

$$p_t + G(p)_x = 0, \qquad p(x,0) = p_0(x)$$

has an entropy solution which can be constructed by front tracking. The constructed solution p(x,t) is a piecewise constant function of x for each t, and p(x,t) takes values in the finite set

$$\left\{u_0(x)\right\} \bigcup \left\{breakpoints \text{ of } G\right\}.$$

Furthermore, there are only a finite number of collisions between fronts in p.

If G is another piecewise linear continuous function with a finite number of breakpoints in [-M, M] and $\bar{p}_0(x)$ another piecewise constant function taking values in [-M, M], set \bar{p} to be the entropy solution to

$$\bar{p}_t + G(\bar{p})_x = 0, \qquad \bar{p}(x,0) = \bar{p}_0(x).$$

NAME TO A CARDIN SAUSS AND STREET AND SOUTH OF THE SAUSS AND STREET

The first form in (2.9) is much term but

by Green's fermiole applied to and Ne. Canddeling the integrand in the last terio, we find

$$a_{i} \left([a_{i+1} - k] - (b_{i} - ki] - (G(a_{i+1} \vee k)) - G(a_{i+1} \wedge k) - (G(a_{i} \vee k)) + (k(a_{i} \wedge k)) = 0$$

$$b > a_{i+1} < a_{i} \land < a_{i}$$

by (2.3), which implies that p defined by (2.7) is an estropy solution of the Rochman problem.

Now we construct the solar date of the array general milled value problem (2.1). Shipe the initial value innerior part to part to be a construct, it defines a result of first and part of the solar of

Proposition 3.2. Let (1(a) b. a continuous and accorded block watchness (accorded with a finite number of brookperity in the main for [- M. M], when M. A corre measure, A moment, A mapped of a pel x1 is a prevention constant filler or with a factor product distant out to the measure of the second of the internal [- M. M ped bars de minal and a product.

han an minopy solation wheels can be constructed by front trackings. Not constructed calculates of a, 0, is a prioritation resistant function of a for each or and when it takes where an its first

Parthermone, there are only a fixile number of collimant heteologic privates for the fixed of the set of the s

A + O(B) = O(A) ARCON = ACON

If p_0 and \bar{p}_0 are in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap BV(\mathbb{R})$, then

(2.10)
$$\|p(\cdot,T) - \bar{p}(\cdot,T)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|p_{0} - \bar{p}_{0}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} + T\left(|p_{0}|_{BV(\mathbb{R})} \wedge |\bar{p}_{0}|_{BV(\mathbb{R})}\right) \|G - \bar{G}\|_{\operatorname{Lip}([-M,M])}.$$

The fact that p is an entropy solution easily follows from Proposition 2.1, for a proof of the remaining part of the proposition, see [10].

Hence, front tracking yields the entropy solution to all initial value problems where

$$G \in \left\{ \text{piecewise linear continuous} \right\} =: \mathcal{D}_{\text{flux}},$$

 $p_0 \in \left\{ \text{piecewise constant with a finite number of discontinuites} \right\} \bigcap L^1(\mathbb{R}) \bigcap BV(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{initial}}.$

By taking the Lip-norm closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{flux}}$ and the L^1 closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{initial}}$, we have existence of an entropy solution for a larger class of problems. In particular we can construct a Cauchy sequence as follows: Let Δx and δ be small numbers. Assume first that H(p) is a C^2 function, set

(2.11)
$$H^{\delta}(p) = H(i\delta) + (p - i\delta) \frac{H((i+1)\delta) - H(i\delta)}{\delta}, \text{ for } i\delta \le p < (i+1)\delta.$$

Then if $\eta > \delta > 0$,

$$\begin{split} \left\| H^{\eta} - H^{\delta} \right\|_{\operatorname{Lip}([-M,M])} &\leq \sup_{p \in [-M,M]} \left| (H^{\eta})'(p) - (H^{\delta})'(p) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|p-\tilde{p}| \leq \eta} \left| H'(\tilde{p}) - H'(p) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{|p-\tilde{p}| \leq \eta} \int_{p}^{\tilde{p}} \left| H''(r) \right| \, dr \\ &\leq \left\| H'' \right\|_{L^{\infty}([-M,M])} \eta \end{split}$$

If $p_0(x)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap BV(\mathbb{R})$, set

(2.12)
$$p^{\Delta x}(x) = \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} p_0(\xi) d\xi, \quad \text{for } i\Delta x \le x < (i+1)\Delta x.$$

If po and po one in L (R.) () Philips 1, the

The fact that y is an entropy rotation cards follows from France tion 2.1, int a proof of the remaining part of the approximut, sea [16].

e thence, from tracting yearing the autraly solution to all murde value process in our solutions.

 $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ increasing constant with a furthe number of disconstraints $\{Q_{1}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}), Q_{2}^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})\} = D_{0,0,0,0}$

ily taking the Lip norm choture of Days, and the all domine of Daliday we have extension of an entropy solution for a integer class of productar. In particular we can construct a thrachy sequence as follows: Let Ar and 6 be small attributes. Assertion first that D(p) is a C⁶ function, and

Then if n > i > 0.

If po (x) in in L? (R) O. H. (R), and

Furthermore, we have that

$$\begin{split} \|p^{\Delta x} - p_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} &= \sum_i \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} \left| p_0(x) - \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} p_0(z) \, dx \right| \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_i \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} |p_0(x) - p_0(z)| \, dz \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_i \frac{1}{\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} \int_{z}^{x} |p_0'(y)| \, dy \, dz \, dx \\ &\leq \sum_i \Delta x \int_{i\Delta x}^{(i+1)\Delta x} |p_0'(y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \Delta x \, |p_0|_{BV(\mathbb{R})} \end{split}$$

Therefore if $\Delta y \ge \Delta x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| p^{\Delta y} - p^{\Delta x} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left\| p^{\Delta y} - p_{0} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| p^{\Delta x} - p_{0} \right\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \left(\Delta y + \Delta x \right) \left| p_{0} \right|_{BV(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\Delta y \left| p_{0} \right|_{BV(\mathbb{R})} \end{aligned}$$

Now let $p^{\delta,\Delta x}$ be the entropy solution to

(2.13)
$$p_t^{\delta,\Delta x} + H^\delta \left(p^{\delta,\Delta x} \right)_x = 0, \quad p^{\delta,\Delta x}(x,0) = p^{\Delta x}(x).$$

Then (2.10) implies that $\{p^{\delta,\Delta x}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ since

(2.14)
$$\left\| p^{\eta,\Delta y}(\cdot,T) - p^{\delta,\Delta x}(\cdot,T) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \left(2\Delta y + T \left\| H'' \right\|_{L^\infty([-M,M])} \eta \right) \left| p_0 \right|_{BV(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Hence, we can define the L^1 limit

$$p = \lim_{(\delta, \Delta x) \to 0} p^{\delta, \Delta x}.$$

Set $q^{\delta}(p,k) = H^{\delta}(p \lor k) - H^{\delta}(p \land k)$, then $\lim_{\delta \to 0} q^{\delta} = q$. Now since $p \mapsto |p-k|$ and $p \mapsto q(p,k)$ are continuous functions, it easily follows that the limit function p is an entropy solution to

$$p_t + H(p)_x = 0, \quad p(x,0) = p_0(x).$$

We can now use the stability estimate (2.10) to relax the C^2 condition on H by an approximation argument, yielding existence and stability if H is Lipschitz continuous.

Next we describe how the front tracking construction translates to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(2.15)
$$u_t + G(u_x) = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x),$$

where G is piecewise linear and continuous, and u_0 is also piecewise linear and continuous (u'_0 is bounded and piecewise constant). First we study the Riemann problem for (2.15), which is the initial value problem

(2.16)
$$u_0(x) = u_0(0) + \begin{cases} p_l x & \text{for } x < 0, \\ p_r x & \text{for } x \ge 0, \end{cases}$$

AND TO A PREPARE AND A PROPERTY AND SCALAR CONSIST AND A PARK

Furthermore, we have that of

Therefore If $\Delta y \geq \Delta u > 0$.

New lot prove he the catches whichen to

$$(2.13) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0) = (0, 0)$$

Then (2.10) implies that {whit his solution graduated to L (R) show

Honce, we can define the f. Isalt."

$$p \in F(F, p)_{\sigma} = 0$$
, $p \in G(\sigma, 0) = p \in \sigma$.

We can now no the stability webbasis (2 10) torolog the G² condition on *B* by an oppositmotion argument. Multing emistance and exclusive IF We Is Innohila confinance.

Next we describe how the least visching concernation translates to the flamilion translated

$$(2.15) - (0.10) + ($$

where G is piecewise linear and restinucry. (ed.wise also piecewise disease and vention on twiis bounded and piecewise constant). First we stady the Riemann problem for (2.17), which is the initial value problem.

where p_l and p_r are constants, c.f. (2.2). Let now p(x,t) denote the solution of the corresponding Riemann problem for the conservation law (2.7). We now claim that the viscosity solution to the Riemann problem (2.16), (2.15), is given by

(2.17)
$$u(x,t) = u_0(0) + xp(x,t) - tG(p(x,t)).$$

Although p is discontinuous, a closer look at this formula reveals that u is uniformly continuous. Indeed, for fixed t, u(x,t) is piecewise linear in x, with breakpoints located at the fronts in p. Hence, when computing u, one only needs to keep record of how u changes at the fronts. Along a front with speed σ_i , u is given by

(2.18)
$$u(\sigma_i t, t) = u_0(0) + t(\sigma_i p_i - G(p_i)) = u_0(0) + t(\sigma_i p_{i+1} - G(p_{i+1}))$$

by the definition of σ_i , (2.5). We postpone showing that u is a viscosity solution, and instead compute an explicit example.

Example 2.1. First we note that the formula (2.17), holds also when G is Lipschitz continuous, if p is interpreted as the solution of the corresponding Riemann problem. Consider Burgers' equation

$$u_t + \frac{1}{2} (u_x)^2 = 0,$$

and assume that the Riemann initial data are given by $u_0(0) = 0$, $p_l = 0$ and $p_r = 1$. In this case $p_l < p_r$ and we use the convex envelope, which means that $\tilde{G}(p) = 1/2 p^2$ and $(\tilde{G}')^{-1}(z) = z$. So p(x,t) is given by

$$p(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ \frac{x}{t} & 0 \le x < t, \\ 1 & x \ge t. \end{cases}$$

Hence (2.17) reads

$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 0, \\ \frac{x^2}{2t} & 0 \le x < t, \\ x - \frac{t}{2} & x \ge t. \end{cases}$$

In this case u is differentiable, and corresponds to a rarefaction wave for the conservation law. If we interchange p_l and p_r we have the shock case, now $\tilde{G}(p) = 1/2 p$, thus

$$p(x,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & x < t/2, \\ 0 & x \ge t/2, \end{cases}$$
$$u(x,t) = \begin{cases} x - \frac{t}{2} & x < t/2, \\ 0 & x > t/2 \end{cases}$$

and

Now we can use the front tracking construction for conservation laws to define a solution to the general initial value problem (2.15). We track the fronts as for the conservation law, but updates u along each front by (2.18). Note that if for some (x,t), u(x,t) is determined by the solution of the Riemann problem at (x_i, t_i) , then

(2.19)
$$u(x,t) = u(x_j,t_j) + (x - x_j) p(x,t) - (t - t_j) H(p(x,t))$$

where p is the solution of the initial value problem for (2.1) with initial values given by

$$p(x,0) = \frac{d}{dx}u_0(x).$$

where pr and pr are constants (i.i. (i.2)). Let now s(z. i) denote the zoistion of the torsesponding Riemann problem for the concernation law (2.7). We gos claim that the varianty colution to the Riemann problem (2015, (2.15), is given by

$$(2.17)$$
 - (2.17) - (1) -

Although p is discontinuous, w describade at this ferricals crossly that a is shifternin continue, ous. Indeed, for fixed 1, a(x,t) is placewase linear in x, with heady other located at the france in p. Hence, when computing a cose only needs to have recard of how a charges at the france Along a front with spect w, a is given by

$$(2.18) = (m(\alpha_1, \beta) = (\alpha_1, \beta) + ((\alpha_1, \beta) = (0)(\alpha_1)) = (\alpha_1, \beta) = (\alpha_1, \beta)$$

by the definition of eq. (2.5). We districte showing that also versestly solution, and instead compute an explicit example.

Example 2.1. First we note that the formula (2.17), holds also when G E Effective continuous, if p is interpreted to the colution of the corresponding filmment problem. Consule, Bargers' equation

and assume that the Birchard lattich that are given by each b = 0, $\mu = 0$ and $\mu_{c} = 0$. It is this case $\mu_{c} < \mu_{c}$ and $\mu_{c} = 0$ and $\mu_{c} = 0$ and $\mu_{c} = 0$. If $2\mu^{2}$ and $(e^{2})^{-1}(e^{2$

Hence (2.17) reads

in this case a is differentiable, and conceptionin to a product on a needbarde of a conferencies have. If we interchange is and as see have the shock case new (20) = 1/2 p. time

bns

Now we can use the front tracking construction for construction have to doll not a scholand to the general initial value problem (2.15). We track the initial as for the construction lise, but updates is along each howt by (2.15). Note 'fact if for signe (2.5), 4(2.7) is departmented by the solution of the Romann problem as (2.7) for then.

$$(1.10) = ($$

where p is the substantion of the initial values problem list(2.1) with unitial values areas has

Analogously to Proposition 2.2 we have:

Proposition 2.3. The piecewise linear function u(x,t) is the viscosity solution of (2.15). Furthermore u(x,t) piecewise linear on a finite number of polygons in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_0^+$. If u_0 is bounded and uniformly continuous (BUC), then u is in BUC ($\mathbb{R} \times [0,T]$) for any $T < \infty$. If \overline{G} is another Lipschitz continuous piecewise linear function with a finite number of breakpoints, and \overline{u} is the viscosity solution of

$$\bar{u}_t + G(\bar{u}_x) = 0, \qquad \bar{u}(x,0) = \bar{u}_0(x),$$

and u_0 and \bar{u}_0 are bounded and uniformly continuous (BUC), then

$$(2.20) \|u(\cdot,T) - \bar{u}(\cdot,T)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \le \|u_0 - \bar{u}_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + T \sup_{|p| \le M} |G(p) - \bar{G}(p)|,$$

where $M = \min(||u_{0x}||, ||\bar{u}_{0x}||).$

Proof. We first show that u is a viscosity solution. We have that u is determined by solution of a finite number of Riemann problems at the points (\bar{x}_j, \bar{t}_j) . Given a point (x, t) in where t > 0, we can find a j such that u(x, t) is determined by the Riemann problem solved at (\bar{x}_j, \bar{t}_j) .

Set $p = u_x$. Assume that $u - \phi$ has a maximum at (x_0, t_0) , and assume that $u(x_0, t_0)$ is determined by the Riemann solution at (\bar{x}_j, \bar{t}_j) . Since u is piecewise linear, we can define the following limits

$$\lim_{x \to x_0 -} u_x^{\delta}(x, t_0) - \phi_x(x_0, t_0) \ge 0, \quad \lim_{x \to x_0 +} u_x^{\delta}(x, t_0) - \phi_x(x_0, t_0) \le 0.$$

or

$$(2.21) p_l \le \phi_x(x_0, t_0) \le p_r,$$

where $p_{l,r} = \lim_{x \to x_0 \neq} p(x, t_0 -)$. Set

$$\sigma = \frac{G(p_l) - G(p_r)}{p_l - p_r} \quad \text{if } p_l \neq p_r,$$

otherwise set $\sigma = \tilde{G}'(p_l)$. Since $\phi_x(x_0, t_0)$ is between p_l and p_r , the construction of \tilde{G} implies that

(2.22)
$$G(\phi_x(x_0, t_0)) \ge G(p_l) + \sigma(\phi_x(x_0, t_0) - p_l).$$

Now choose (x, t) sufficiently close to (x_0, t_0) such that

$$\sigma = \frac{x_0 - x}{t_0 - t}$$

and u(x,t) is also determined by the solution of the Riemann problem at (\bar{x}_j, \bar{t}_j) , and $t < t_0$. If $t_0 > 0$ this is always possible, we return to the case where $t_0 = 0$ below. Then

(2.23)
$$\frac{1}{t_0 - t} \left(u \left(x_0, t_0 \right) - u(x, t) \right) \ge \frac{1}{t_0 - t} \left(\phi \left(x_0, t_0 \right) - \phi(x, t) \right).$$

Using (2.17) we have that

 $u(x_0, t_0) = u(x, t) + (x_0 - x) p_l - (t_0 - t) G(p_l).$

Hence, by letting $t \to t_0 -$, we find that

(2.24)
$$\sigma p_{l} - G(p_{l}) \geq \phi_{t}(x_{0}, t_{0}) + \sigma \phi_{x}(x_{0}, t_{0}) \\ \geq \phi_{t}(x_{0}, t_{0}) + G(\phi_{x}(x_{0}, t_{0})) + \sigma p_{l} - G(p_{l}),$$

TANKS NOTES STORE STORE SAND, AND MORES ADDRESS STORESS AND

Analogously to Proposition 2.2 we have

Proposition 2.3. Las presente duran punction s(a,1) is he converse estation of 82.6. Purthermore a(2,1) missione faite on a finite member of palance in Frederica (F. 8.6.1) bounded and uniformity continues: (BUSC), then a is in-BUSCIE : [0.7]) for any T. 5 or JF. G is another Lipschitz contentors predicts predicts with the field of a faite number of recomponies.

and to and the are advented and bould and could made (2007) then

$$(2.20) = ||u(\cdot, 1) - u(\cdot, 1)| = u(\cdot, 1)|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le ||u(\cdot, 1)|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + ||u(\cdot, 1)|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = ||u(\cdot, 1)|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

aviere at = min (has ell' harded)

Proof. We first show that us if a sized by colution. We have that alls determined by colution, of a limite value of Bicasen (absorbing at the points $(4_1, \ell_2)$. Given 'a point $(-2, \ell)$ is where $\ell > 0$, we can find a ℓ much this where ℓ is determined by the Birmann problem solved at $(4_1, \ell_2)$.

Set p = a_b. Accord that h = 0 his a musimum of (20, 2), and assume that h (20, 2) h determined by the Riemann conductor at (2, 2). Since a is processes linear, which and define following fights

where may = little and p(t, to -). Bet

otherwise set $\sigma = G'(p_1)$. Since $\phi_1(\tau_0, \tau_0)$ is between p_1 and p_2 , the construction of G invides that

Now choose (2. 6) sufficiently close to (2., 16) such that

and u(x, t) is also determined by the volution of the Liermann problem at $L_{2,2}(t, t, c, d, t < c_{1,2})$. If $t_{0} > 0$ this is always possible, we recarn to the case where $t_{1} = 0$ backs. Then

Haine 12.171 we have the

Hence, by lating t - to-, we fud that

2 (11) 22 - prover ((2-pro) 2) 2) 2 + 1 ((2-pro) - 2)

KARLSEN AND RISEBRO

which implies that u is a subsolution. A similar argument is applied to show that u is supersolution.

Assume now that $t_0 = 0$ and $u - \phi$ has a maximum at $(x_0, 0)$. Set $p_{l,r} = \lim_{x \to x_0 \neq} p(x, 0+)$. Then

$$u(x,t) = u(x_0,0) + (x - x_0) p_l - tG(p_l)$$

where $\sigma = (x - x_0)/t$ and (x, t) is sufficiently close to $(x_0, 0)$. Now, using (2.23) as before gives the conclusion. Note that this also shows that the solution of the Riemann problem (2.17) is a viscosity solution.

Next we show the stability estimate (2.20). This is a consequence of Proposition 1.4 in [16], which in our context says that

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in D_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ |u(x,t) - \bar{u}(y,t)| + 3R\beta_{\varepsilon}(x-y) \right\} \leq \sup_{(x,y)\in D_{\varepsilon}} \left\{ |u_0(x) - \bar{u}_0(y)| + 3R\beta_{\varepsilon}(x-y) \right\} + t \sup_{|p| \leq M} \left| G(p) - \bar{G}(p) \right|,$$

where D_{ε} is the set

$$D_{\varepsilon} = \left\{ (x, y) \mid |x - y| \le \varepsilon \right\},$$

and $\beta_{\varepsilon}(x) = \beta(x/\varepsilon)$ for some C_{ε}^{∞} function $\beta(x)$ with $\beta(0) = 1$ and $\beta(x) = 0$ for |x| > 1. Furthermore, $R = \max(||u||, ||\bar{u}||)$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot,t) - \bar{u}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + \sup_{(x,y)\in D_{\epsilon}} \left\{ 3R\beta_{\epsilon}(x-y) - |\bar{u}(x,t) - \bar{u}(y,t)| \right\} \\ \leq \left\| u_{0} - u_{0}^{\delta} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + 3R + t \sup_{|p| \leq M} \left| G(p) - \bar{G}(p) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality of the lemma now follows by noting that \bar{u} is in BUC($\mathbb{R} \times [0,T]$), and taking the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ on the left side.

Example 2.2. Now we present an example where front tracking is used to compute an approximation to the viscosity solution of the initial value problem

(2.26)
$$u_t + \frac{1}{3} (u_x)^3 = 0, \quad u(x,0) = \begin{cases} \sin(\pi x) & \text{if } |x| \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here we approximate the Hamiltonian $H(p) = 1/3p^3$ and u(x, 0) by piecewise linear functions. Figure 1 shows the computed viscosity solution at t = 1/2, obtained using 50 linear segments to approximate u(x, 0) in the interval $x \in [-1, 1]$, and using linear segments of length 1/25to approximate H(p). To investigate the convergence properties of front tracking as the approximation of the initial function and of H becomes better, we compared the results with a reference solution using 300 linear segments when approximating u(x, 0) and linear segments of length 1/150 in the approximation of H. Table 1 gives the percentage relative error in the L^{∞} norm (e) in the right column, and the number of linear segments in u_0 (N) in the left. We used linear segments of length 2/N to approximate the Hamiltonian. In this example, the convergence rate is superlinear, and seems to be closer to 2 than to 1, see Remark 2.2 at the end of this paper.

which implies that a set subgliques. A similar argument is replied to show that a la-

Assume now that to = 0 and a - 0 has a maximum at (20,6). So no. - 100 - 1, 9 Mar 0.-). I see

where $c = (x - x_0)/(x_0)$ (a.3) is anticicatly data to $(x_0, 0)$. Now, using (2.33) as belowed the conclusion. Note this this also shows that the solution of the Removing production (2.17) is a character cointiant.

Next we show the stability arritmate (2230). This is a correspondent of Composition I Arm

2.251

 $\sup_{(z,z)\in D_{1}} \{|z_{1}z_{2},z_{1}\rangle - z(z_{1}z_{1}) + 2z(z_{1}) + z(z_{2}) + z(z_{1}) + z(z_{1})$

where D, is the set

and $S_i(x) = \mathcal{J}(x, \omega)$ for some $C_i^{(n)}$ in teller $\mathcal{J}(x)$ with S(0) = 1 and $\mathcal{J}(x) = 0$ for [n] > 0. Every hermore, $R = \max([n], [n])$. Connection([),

The inequality of the lemma new follows by bother that a is in BUC(8 × 10. 7), and taking the fault as $c \to 0$ on the left nide.

Example 2.2. Now we present an example where front tracking is used to compute an approximation to the mercely solution of the invite value problem

(2.23)
$$(1, 1) = 0, m = 0, m = 0 = \frac{1}{2} (1, 1) = \frac{1}$$

Hare we approximate the Hamiltonian Kipp = 1/3s² and we with by viewery data interface. Figure 1 shows the concrete data set of 1.1, and wing figure segments of fractions expression to approximate a.c. 0) in the intervelue of 1.1, and wing figure segments of fractions as in approximate a.c. 0) in the intervelue of K birecone properties of fract that is a in approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the concernment before, we concerned the constraint approximate all (p). To investigate the figure the properties of the constraint of interverse and the approximation of *P*. Table 1 gives the properties of the figure here the norm (c) in the rest column and the member of before the figure the figure the convergence rate is apprinted at 1.2, the approximate the figure the figure the figure the and of this paper.

Ċ,




FIGURE 1. Left: Fronts in the (x, t) plane. Right: u(x, 0) (dashed) and u(x, 1/2) (solid line).

N	e
10	88.9
20	17.6
40	4.8
80	1.2
160	0.2

TABLE 1. 100 × relative L^{∞} error.

Now we are able to explicitly construct viscosity solution to all problems of the type (2.15) where G and u_0 are piecewise linear and Lipschitz continuous with a finite number of breakpoints. By using the above stability estimate and taking appropriate closures, we obtain existence of viscosity solutions for a larger class of problems. Let now H^{δ} be defined by (2.11) and let

$$(2.27) \quad u_0^{\Delta x} = u_0(i\Delta x) + (x - i\Delta x)\frac{u_0((i+1)\Delta x) - u_0(i\Delta x)}{\Delta x}, \quad \text{for } i\Delta x \le x < (i+1)\Delta x,$$

where we assume that u_0 bounded and uniformly continuous. Set $u^{\delta,\Delta x}$ to be the viscosity solution of

$$u_t^{\delta,\Delta x} + H^{\delta}\left(u_x^{\delta,\Delta x}\right) = 0, \quad u^{\delta,\Delta x}(x,0) = u^{\Delta x}(x).$$

Then for $\eta > \delta > 0$ and $\Delta y \cdot > \Delta x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| u^{\delta,\Delta x}(\cdot,T) - u^{\eta,\Delta y}(\cdot,T) \right| \Big|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left\| u^{\Delta x} - u^{\Delta y} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + T \sup_{|p| \leq M} \left| H^{\delta}(p) - H^{\eta}(p) \right| \\ &\leq \Delta y \left\| u_0 \right\|_{\text{Lip}} + \eta \left\| H \right\|_{\text{Lip}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{u^{\delta,\Delta x}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in L^{∞} . Since H^{δ} converges uniformly to H on [-M, M], we can now use Theorem I.2 in [7] to conclude that

$$u(x,t) = \lim_{(\delta,\Delta x)\to 0} u^{\delta,\Delta x}(x,t)$$

MALI RECENCED REPORT AND REALESS OF A RECENCED BODDLE METHODA.



FICURE 1. Lette brouts in the (z. 1) plane. Fight: n(z. 0) (dialad) and n(z. 1/2) (asid tina).

Anorth PEL Systems X USD IF Hats All

Now we are able to exploring constants vincinity painters to all problems of the upper 2.151 where G and up are placentic threat and hipschirt continuants with a faste minimum of the points. By asing the above stability estimate and tables, appropriate dotages, an eduan externed of viscosity solutional (it is begenetices of grables and tables and B. be defined by (2.15) and let

where we assume that as bounded and endormly continuous. Set a^{ther} to be the viscially colution of

1 and 1 and 1 and 1 and 1 and 1

Thus, the sequence $\{u_i^{(n)}\}$ is a Gauchy sequence in $b^{(n)}$. Since H^0 converges enformly to H on [-M, M], we can now use Theorem 12 to [2] to conclude that

is a viscosity solution of

(2.28)
$$u_t + H(u_x) = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x).$$

Also in this case we can use our stability estimate (2.20) and an approximation argument to extend the class of permissible H, thereby obtaining the convergence in the case where H is Lipschitz continuous.

Now we can state our main theorem

Theorem 2.1. Let u be the unique viscosity solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2.28), where u_0 is in BUC(\mathbb{R}), and let p be the unique entropy solution to the conservation law

(x).

(2.29) $p_t + H(p)_x = 0,$

with initial data

$$(2.30) p(x,0) = \frac{a}{dx}u_0(x,0) = \frac{a}{dx}u_0$$

Then for t > 0 $u_x(x, t) = p(x, t)$ almost everywhere.

Proof. Fix z, by construction we have that

by the Lebesgue convergence theorem. Hence the theorem holds.

Remark 2.2. As a byproduct of the two stability estimates (2.20) and (2.10) we obtain convergence rate for front tracking for scalar conservation laws and for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. If H is Lipschitz continuous and p_0 is of bounded variation and in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then

(2.31)
$$\left\| p^{\delta,\Delta x}(\cdot,T) - p(\cdot,T) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le \operatorname{Const}_T \cdot (\Delta x + \delta),$$

a well known result see, e.g., [15]. If u_0 is in BUC(\mathbb{R}), then

(2.32)
$$\left\| u^{\delta,\Delta x}(\cdot,T) - u(\cdot,T) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \operatorname{Const}_{T} \cdot (\Delta x + \delta).$$

If u_0 and H is in C^2 , we are able to do a little better, for if $p \in [i\delta, (i+1)\delta]$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| H(p) - H^{\delta}(p) \right| &\leq \int_{i\delta}^{p} \left| H'(\tilde{p}) - H'(z) \right| \, dz \\ &\leq \int_{i\delta}^{p} \int_{z \wedge \tilde{p}}^{z \vee \tilde{p}} \left| H''(y) \right| \, dy \, dz \\ &\leq \delta^{2} \sup_{|p| \leq M} \left| H''(p) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\left|u^{\Delta x}(x) - u_0(x)\right| \le \Delta x^2 \sup_x \left|u_0''(x)\right|.$$

Consequently,

2.33)
$$\left\| u^{\delta,\Delta x}(\cdot,T) - u(\cdot,T) \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \operatorname{Const}_{T} \cdot (\Delta x^{2} + \delta^{2}),$$

is a viscosity nolution of

$$(2.28)$$
 (3.28)

A ho in this case we can use our stability estimate (2.20) and an approximation attended to outend the class of permissible if, thereby obtaining the convergence in the line where H is Linechitz continuous.

New we can state our main theorem.

Theorems 2.1. Let a beaute antique relevantly solution of the Recordence Leville Solution (2.23) where we is in BUC(B), and latig is the antique stating solution to the conservation inte-

stab Initiate diam

Then for t > 0 $u_s(z, l) = p(x_s l) clinest energedients.$

Proof. Fix 5. by countraction we have take

Romanic 2.2. As a bypendent of the reconstituty estimates (2.20) and (2.10) we obtain the very and the for front tracking for source contraction into and for Haudbon-Jacob equations. If R is Lipschitz continuous and m is of bounded variation and in 1⁵ (2), then

a well known reason wer, e.g. [15]. If on is in HUC(18), then "

If up and P is in C², we are apportoned in it is barrer, for if we like it + 1 worthout

Contraction of the second second

thereby explaining the observed convergence rate in Example 2.2.

References

- M. Bardi and S. Osher. The nonconvex multidimensional Riemann problem for Hamilton-Jacobi equations. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 22(2):344-351, 1991.
- [2] I. Capuzzo Dolcetta and B. Perthame. On some analogy between different approaches to first order PDE's with nonsmooth coefficients. Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 6(2):689-703, 1996.
- [3] V. Caselles, Scalar conservation laws and Hamilton-Jacobi equations in one-space variable Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 18:461-469, 1992.
- [4] L. Corrias, M. Falcone, and R. Natalini. Numerical schemes for conservation laws via Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Math. Comp., 64(210):555-580, S13-S18, 1995.
- [5] M. G. Crandall, L. C. Evans, and P.-L. Lions. Some properties of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 282(2):487-502, 1984.
- [6] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, and P.-L. Lions. User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 27(1):1-67, 1992.
- [7] M. G. Crandall and P.-L. Lions. Viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 277(1):1-42, 1983.
- [8] C. Dafermos Polygonal approximation of solutions of the initial value problem for a conservation law. J. Math. Anal., 38:33-41, 1972.
- [9] H. Holden, L. Holden and R. Høeqh-Krohn A numerical method for first order nonlinear scalar hyperbolic conservation laws in one dimension. *Comput. Math. Applic.*, 15(6-8):221-232, 1993.
- [10] H. Holden and N. H. Risebro Front tracking and conservation laws, Lecture Notes, NTNU, 1997.
- [11] S. Jin and Z. Xin. Numerical passage from systems of conservation laws to Hamilton-Jacobi equations, relaxation schemes. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 35(6):2385-2404 (electronic), 1998.
- [12] S. N. Kružkov. The Cauchy problem in the large for non-linear equations and for certain first-order quasilinear systems with several variables. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 155:743-746, 1964.
- [13] S. N. Kružkov. First order quasi-linear equations in several independent variables. Math. USSR Sbornik, 10(2):217-243, 1970.
- [14] P.-L. Lions. Generalized solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program). Boston, Mass., 1982.
- B. L. Lucier. A moving mesh numerical method for hyperbolic conservation laws Math. Comp., 46(173):59-69, 1986.
- [16] P. E. Souganidis. Existence of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. J. Differential Equations, 56(3):345-390, 1985.
- [17] A. I. Subbotin and L. G. Shagalova. A piecewise linear solution of the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math., 46:144-148, 1993.
- [18] A. Volpert, The spaces BV and quasilinear equations. USSR Math. Sb. 2:225-267, 1967.

(Kenneth Hvistendahl Karlsen) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN JOHS. BRUNSGT. 12 N-5008 BERGEN, NORWAY *E-mail address*: kenneth.karlsen@mi.uib.no

(Nils Henrik Risebro)
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF OSLO
P.O. Box 1053, BLINDERN
N-0316 OSLO, NORWAY
E-mail address: nilshr@math.uio.no

ANAL TANKESIAN SOLATION AND STALLE CARACTERIAN AND STALLE

thereby explaining the observed galavargence (e.F. Bullion de C.

- M. Bardi and S. Debre, The noncoprist individue telout, Moneca, Mullins for Educities disords equations.
 - The start is the second start start start of the second
- [2] I. V. Brutto Distribution S. Perthama Quantum stating but orbit different approaches to has ender PDE 3 of which non-material condition in Adv. Mode. New Argue, 6(2) 986–743. 1006.
- [3] V. Gaschar, realize experimention theory and Hamilton Service of Neurals in an original trainable. Number of Action 1994.
- [4] L. Chiring, M. Esburg, and R. Katalini, "involution was needed for conservation lawelaid, heavily applicable sensitions, Math. Comm. 1912 197 555-566, 235, 2452, 2452.
- (6) M. G. Craudolli, R. Mini, and T. Linni, Linni, South Science in months in a second weak partial differential economican built state. New NY 112 198 198 199.
- [7] M. G. Crandall and P. L. Levie, Vaccaty solutions of Banatica-Astroly associate Nutra, Name Mark. Soc. 201(1):1-42, 1993.
- [8] C. Dahermen Velygozal approximation of edistant of the milial raise architect for a domeyerfilm help. D Math. Anal. 38 54-51, 1973.
- [2] B. Holdmi, L. Holden and R. Garqi-Jerita, Ammenezi method for hise velocity of the solution of the bring hole conservation laws in one dimension Compute Meets Applied and Articlet 2011 (1912). Math.
 - B. H. Halden and M. B. Fredhrouthnet his string and conservation from factor, Contract Methods, NTN D. (1917)
- S. Jin and Z. Xin. Numerical pocessy many quality of excession from to (insultantish squartinger, relaxation solutions, SIA 64 & Sources (and , 25 (6):2365-2404 (clustering), 2398). [10]
- references and have a see that have an the large for head standard searching and the second first and the second second
- 33] 36 M. Herniker, Provident and a second investigation in reveal independent variables, Mark 1998 Market 199 10(2)-317-313, 1970
- 14) Pala Lient. Generalized administration functions facely emerican Pictures (Stickeds) Patholics, Picpany, General Maria, 1953
- 13 La L. Lucica. Armoring much unarchich mut of her hyperbolic concernetion fines Mark. Cales, 26(171), 294 89. 1990.
- 16] B. S. Sorg-mills. Enterance of viscolic constants of Franking-Locable equations. [] Physicoletical Equations. 56(2):143-166, 1943.
- 45] A. L.Sabbertis and L.-G. Shuzakov, A proposise instat adurbatic of the Constitution industrial donal near lacebic equations. Provides America America Math., 46:164-148. 2003.
 - A. Volgera, The spaces DN and quastles as equations. *ECEN Mathematics* 91:25-267, 1967.

passing of the provision of surround the

- A CAMPAGINIANA TO TREMINANALA

(Mila Beneile Rissiero) Diseanconcert une Marricharzeon Disearconcert op Onto B.O.: Box 1003, Branning

N-0316 Oato, Notsylvel

the capet for any spin plant in the standard human de-



