Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom

cambridge.org/mbi

Original Article

Cite this article: Sørensen CG, Rauch C, Pola M, Malaquias MAE (2020). Integrative taxonomy reveals a cryptic species of the nudibranch genus *Polycera* (Polyceridae) in European waters. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0025315420000612

Received: 17 March 2020 Revised: 18 June 2020 Accepted: 23 June 2020

Key words:

Biodiversity; Nudibranchia; *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov.; systematics

Author for correspondence: Manuel António E. Malaquias, E-mail: Manuel.Malaquias@uib.no

© Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 2020. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Integrative taxonomy reveals a cryptic species of the nudibranch genus *Polycera* (Polyceridae) in European waters

Cecilie Gotaas Sørensen¹, Cessa Rauch¹, Marta Pola^{2,3} and Manuel António E. Malaquias¹ (b)

¹Section of Taxonomy and Evolution, Department of Natural History, University Museum of Bergen, University of Bergen, PB7800, 5020-Bergen, Norway; ²Departamento de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Campus de Excelencia Internacional UAM + CSIC, Madrid, Spain and ³Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Cambio Global (CIBC-UAM), Campus de Excelencia Internacional UAM + CSIC. C/Darwin 2, 28049 Madrid, Spain

Abstract

This work aimed to test whether the colour variability featured by the European nudibranch Polycera quadrilineata is consistent with the concept of a single polychromatic species or may hide multiple lineages. Samples from across the geographic range of P. quadrilineata together with representatives from worldwide species with a focus on Atlantic diversity, were gathered and studied using an integrative taxonomic approach. Morpho-anatomical characters were investigated by light and scanning electron microscopy. Bayesian molecular phylogenetics using MrBayes, the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery species delimitation method, and haplotype network analysis using the PopArt software were employed to help delimit species using the mitochondrial gene cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI). The results supported the existence of a second species, here described and named Polycera norvegica sp. nov., only known from Norway where it is sympatric with P. quadrilineata. The COI uncorrected p-genetic distance between the two species was estimated at 9.6-12.4%. Polycera norvegica sp. nov. differs by exhibiting a black dotted or patchy dotted pattern occasionally with more or less defined orange/brown patches, but never black continuous or dashed stripes as in P. quadrilineata. The two species share a common colouration with a whitish base and yellow/orange tubercles. Anatomically, P. norvegica sp. nov. has a weaker labial cuticle, a smaller radula with fewer rows, and only four marginal teeth, a reproductive system with a single lobed bursa copulatrix, shorter reproductive ducts, and a penis armed with two kinds of spines: needlelike and hook-shaped penile spines.

Introduction

The concept of 'cryptic species' has been debated and its definition remains a topic of controversy (Struck et al., 2018; Korshunova et al., 2019). Several authors questioned whether cryptic species truly exist or are transitional taxonomic misinterpretations resulting most of all from overlooked morphological characters (Horsáková et al., 2019; Korshunova et al., 2019). The concept is further complicated by the existence of multiple definitions across disciplines. For example, in behavioural ecology the concept applies to species with colourations and shapes that blend with the environment (Todd, 1981, 1983; Claridge et al., 2005; Bickford et al., 2007; Korshunova et al., 2019). Additionally, several derivatives of the concept have been proposed such as 'true cryptic species' (when a priori no morphological differences are recognized regardless of the distribution and ecology of species; Horsáková et al., 2019; Korshunova et al., 2019), 'pseudo-cryptic species' and 'quasi-cryptic species' (when subtle morphological difference can be recognized; Horsáková et al., 2019; Korshunova et al., 2019), 'semi-cryptic species' (morphological differences are very difficult to define; Vondrák et al., 2009; Korshunova et al., 2019), or 'false cryptic species' (morphological differences are obvious, but for some reason were missed or not highlighted in previous studies; Korshunova et al., 2019).

Several recent studies on sea slugs have described cryptic species, *sensu* species that are morphologically similar but genetically distinct, differing for example in their reproductive biology, life history, feeding biology and/or habitat preferences, or species that depict subtle morphological differences which have mistakenly been interpreted as part of their natural variability. Several examples have been described for *Aeolidia* Cuvier, 1798 (Carmona *et al.*, 2013; Kienberger *et al.*, 2016), *Glaucus* Forster, 1777 (Churchill *et al.*, 2014), *Anteaeolidiella* M. C. Miller, 2001 (Carmona *et al.*, 2014*a*), *Spurilla* Bergh, 1864 (Carmona *et al.*, 2014*b*), *Cratena* Bergh, 1864 (Padula *et al.*, 2014), *Dendronotus* Alder & Hancock, 1845 (Ekimova *et al.*, 2015; Korshunova *et al.*, 2017*a*), *Pteraeolidia* Bergh, 1875 (Wilson & Burghardt, 2015), *Felimida* Ev. Marcus, 1971 (Padula *et al.*, 2016), Flabellinidae Bergh, 1889 (Korshunova *et al.*, 2017*b*), *Chromodoris* Alder & Hancock, 1855 (Layton *et al.*, 2018),



Fig. 1. Original illustration of Polycera quadrilineata (adapted from O. F. Müller, 1779).

Hypselodoris Stimpson, 1855 (Epstein *et al.*, 2018) and *Trinchesia* Ihering, 1879 (Korshunova *et al.*, 2019), among others.

The nudibranch gastropod *Polycera quadrilineata* (O.F. Müller, 1776) is the type species of the genus *Polycera* Cuvier, 1817, and is one of the six species of the genus cited in European waters, together with *Polycera elegans* Bergh, 1894, *Polycera faeroensis* Lemche, 1929, *Polycera maculata* Pruvot-Fol, 1951, *Polycera hedgpethi* Er. Marcus, 1964, and *Polycera aurantiomarginata* García-Gómez & Bobo, 1984. The species *P. hedgpethi* is native in California but it is now established in the Mediterranean Sea (Cervera et al., 1991, 2004; Caballer & Ortea, 2002; Keppel et al., 2012; Giacobbe & De Matteo, 2013).

The species name *P. quadrilineata* was first introduced by O.F. Müller (1776, as Doris), but only later the author included a description and illustrations of the species with details about its type locality in Drøbak, Oslofjord, Norway (O.F. Müller, 1779: 37; pl. 17, figures 4-6) (see Figure 1). The species is known from Lofoten in the north-western coast of Norway southwards to the Iberian Peninsula, Mediterranean Sea (as far as Greece), and the archipelagos of the Canary Islands, Madeira and the Azores (Bergan & Anthon, 1977; Thompson & Brown, 1984; Thompson, 1988; Cervera et al., 2004; Antoniadou et al., 2005; Trainito, 2005; Martínez-Pita et al., 2006; Martynov et al., 2006; Trainito & Doneddu, 2014; Micaroni et al., 2018). It can reach up to 30-45 mm in length and is highly variable in colour with a translucent creamy-whitish base, that can be partly or almost entirely covered with continuous or dashed black stripes, or occasionally black or greyish blotches, and may have yellow or orange wart-like tubercles present dorsally (Eales, 1967; Thompson & Brown, 1984; Thompson, 1988; Picton & Morrow, 1994; Hayward & Ryland, 1995; Rudman, 1999; Moen & Svensen, 2014; Telnes, 2018).

Polycera quadrilineata is a common species between the intertidal zone to depths of 30 m where it feeds on bryozoans of the genera Electra Lamouroux, 1816 and Membranipora d'Blainville, 1830 (Thompson & Brown, 1984; Thompson, 1988; Picton & Morrow, 1994), but specimens have been reported between 60-300 m (Bergan & Anthon, 1977). Around the British Isles the species reproduces and lay eggs during late spring and early summer (Miller, 1961; Bruce et al., 1963; Thompson & Brown, 1984), but in Norway we found aggregations of the species from November in the winter to June in late spring, although the exact time of these peaks of abundance can vary from year to year (Erling Svensen, personal observation). The egg-mass is white and crescent-shaped and the eggs are spherical, small and have been documented to range between 0.06-0.08 mm in diameter (Schmekel et al., 1982; Martínez-Pita et al., 2006; Martínez-Pita & García, 2017), which according to Martínez-Pita et al. (2006)

is an egg size typically found in species with planktotrophic larval development.

Whether the highly polychromatic pattern of *P. quadrilineata* is consistent with a case of extreme intraspecific variability in nudibranchs or hides a possible complex of species was never tested before. In this work an integrative approach combining DNA and morpho-anatomical characters was used to investigate the taxonomic status of *P. quadrilineata*, i.e. the hypothesis whether it is one single biological lineage with extensive chromatic variability or alternatively, comprises a complex of multiple species.

Materials and methods

Taxon sampling

Specimens were obtained by intertidal sampling, scuba-diving down to 30 m deep, and dredging using triangular, epibenthic and kelp dredges on board the research vessel 'Hans Brattström' owned by the University of Bergen, Norway (UiB). Most collected specimens were deposited in the scientific collections of the Department of Natural History, University Museum of Bergen, UiB (ZMBN).

Specimens were photographed alive with a digital SLR camera equipped with macro-lens, measured with a ruler (mm) for their maximum length (H), and frozen overnight inside plastic jars with seawater to ensure the body was kept fully extended for later possible anatomical studies. Afterwards, specimens were defrosted and fixed in absolute ethanol (>96%). Additionally, 47 sequences of the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (COI) of *Polycera quadrilineata* and other Polyceridae taxa, representing a total of 17 species, together with the outgroup species *Jorunna tomentosa* (Cuvier, 1804) were obtained from GenBank and BOLD databases (Table 1). The nudibranch species *J. tomentosa* (family Discodorididae) was chosen for outgroup taxa in the phylogenetic analyses based on the results of Palomar *et al.* (2014).

DNA extraction, amplification, purification and sequencing

Tissue samples for DNA extraction were gathered from 69 specimens of *Polycera quadrilineata sensu lato* by cutting a small part of their foot or mantle using forceps or a scalpel and were kept in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes filled with absolute ethanol. In rare cases, when specimens were too small to cut off enough tissue the whole specimen was used. To prevent contamination forceps and scalpel blades were rinsed with ethanol between each sample. Table 1. Material examined and used for molecular analysis, including sampling locality, habitat, voucher number and GenBank or BOLD accession numbers

| Species | Sample no. | Locality | Voucher no. | GenBank/ BOLD Ac. No. (COI) |
|-----------------------------|------------|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P2 | Norway: Herdla, Askøy, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 125917 | MT477918* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P17 | Norway: Uthaug, Ørland, Trøndelag | ZMBN 126023 | MT477917* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P26 | Norway: Steingardsvika, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 106115 | NBMM034-15 |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P34 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127486 | MT477916* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P35 | Norway: Uthaug, Ørland, Trøndelag | ZMBN 126025 | MT477914* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P37 (S1) | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125492 | MT477926* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P40 | Norway: Uthaug, Ørland, Trøndelag | ZMBN 126024 | MT477922* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P45 (S1) | Norway: Legern, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125855 | MT477921* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P46 (S2) | Norway: Legern, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125855 | MT477924* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P47 (S1) | Norway: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125493 | MT477925* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P48 (S2) | Norway: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125881 | MT477928* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P49 (S3) | Norway: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125881 | MT477919* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P50 (S4) | Norway: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125881 | MT477923* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P51 (S5) | Norway: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125881 | MT477912* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P70 | Norway: Steingardsvika, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 106113 | NBMM032-15 |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P54 | Norway: Skeisvika, Hundvåg, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 127607 | MT477920* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P55 | Norway: Skeisvika, Hundvåg, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 127608 | MT477927* |
| Polycera norvegica sp. nov. | P68 | Norway: Seløysundet, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127664 | MT477913* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P1 | Norway: Flatholmen, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125859 | MT477953* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P3 | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125613 | MT477972* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P4 (S1) | Norway: Hafrsfjord, Sola, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 125688 | MT477945* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P5 | Norway: Breidvika, Drotningsvik, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 125971 | MT477933* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P6 | Norway: Seløysundet, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 125032 | MT477952* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P7 | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125603 | MT477977* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P8 | Norway: Sletta, Haugesund, Rogaland | ZMBN 125906 | MT477964* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P9 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127491 | MT477954* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P10 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127476 | MT477950* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P11 | Norway: Litle Svetlingen, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127512 | MT477930* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P12 | Norway: Litle Svetlingen, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127511 | MT477971* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P13 | Norway: Litle Svetlingen, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127510 | MT477936* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P14 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund Rogaland | ZMBN 127487 | MT477949* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P15 | Norway: Drågsvågen, Førde, Sveio, Vestland | ZMBN 125988 | MT477934* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P16 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127488 | MT477960* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P18 | Norway: Litle Svetlingen, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127513 | MT477970* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P19 (S1) | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125635 | MT477974* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P20 (S1) | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125658 | MT477929* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P21 (S2) | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125658 | MT477963* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P23 (S2) | Norway: Hafrsfjord, Sola, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 125688 | MT477946* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P24 | Norway: Seløysundet, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 125033 | MT477973* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P22 (S3) | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125658 | MT477962* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P27 (S2) | Norway: Brattøya, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125635 | MT477935* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P28 | Portugal: Azores, Mosteiros, Banco Sabrina, São Miguel Island | ZMBN 87937 | MT477940* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P29 | Portugal: Azores, Baixa da Fajã Moinhos, Aquário dos Mosteiros, São Miguel Island | ZMBN 87942 | MT477939* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P30 | Portugal: Azores, Ilhéu dos Mosterios, São Miguel Island | ZMBN 87925 | MT477938* |

Table 1. (Continued.)

| Species | Sample no. | Locality | Voucher no. | GenBank/ BOLD Ac. No. (COI) |
|------------------------|------------|--|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Polycera quadrilineata | P32 | Portugal: Azores, North of Baía da Poça, Graciosa Island | ZMBN 97198 | MT477941* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P33 | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127481 | MT477944* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P36 | Norway: Uthaug, Ørland, Trøndelag | ZMBN 126017 | MT477975* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P38 (S2) | Norway: Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127492 | MT477937* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P39 | Norway: Litle Svetlingen, Egersund, Rogaland | ZMBN 127509 | MT477959* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P42 | Norway: Drøbak, Frogn, Viken | ZMBN 125578 | MT477957* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P43 | Norway: Egersund havn, Rogaland | ZMBN 125689 | MT477961* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P44 | Norway: Nordsundet, Kristiansund, Møre and Romsdal | ZMBN 125636 | MT477965* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P71 | Norway: Steingardsvika, Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 106114 | NBMM033-15 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P53 | Norway: Drøbak, Frogn, Viken | ZMBN 127587 | MT477932* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P52 | Norway: Drøbak, Frogn, Viken | ZMBN 127600 | MT477943* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P56 | Norway: Engøy, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 127626 | MT477969* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P57 | Norway: Engøy, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 127631 | MT477958* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P58 | Norway: Engøy, Stavanger, Rogaland | ZMBN 127633 | MT477968* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P60 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127685 | MT477955* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P61 | Norway: Turøy, Myrbærholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127689 | MT477967* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P62 | Norway: Turøy, Myrbærholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127690 | MT477966* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P63 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127682 | MT477956* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P64 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127678 | MT477948* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P65 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127683 | MT477951* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P66 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127681 | MT477976* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P67 | Norway: Turøy, Skitholmen, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 127676 | MT477931* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P72 | Norway: Espegrend, Bergen, Vestland | ZMBN 94139 | NBMM062-15 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P73 | Mediterranean Spain: Mataró, Catalonia | * | MT477947* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P74 | Mediterranean Spain: Roses, Catalonia | * | MT477942* |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P75 | UK: Oban, Scotland | * | EF142907 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P76 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55455 | JX274079 |
| Polycera auadrilineata | P77 | Sweden: Tiärnö | MNCN:15.05/55460 | JX274078 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P78 | Sweden: Tiärnö | MNCN:15.05/55459 | JX274077 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P79 | Sweden: Tiärnö | MNCN:15.05/55457 | JX274076 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P80 | Sweden: Tiärnö | MNCN:15.05/55464 | JX274075 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P81 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55463 | .1X274074 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P82 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55466 | 1X274073 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P83 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55456 | 1X274072 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P84 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55465 | 1X274071 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P85 | Sweden: Tjärnö | MNCN:15.05/55462 | 1X274070 |
| Polycera quadrilineata | P86 | Sweden: Kristingherg, Bohuslän | * | Δ 1223275 |
| Polycera capensis | HM162687 | South Africa: Hout Bay Western Cane Province | CAS-17 176907 | HM162687 |
| Polycera capensis | 18274002 | South Africa: False Bay, Western Cane Province | CAS-17 176375 | 102007 |
| Polycera capensis | JX274091 | South Africa: Oudekraal. Cane Province | CAS-17 176280 | JX274091 |
| Polycera capensis | 1X274083 | Australia: Nelson Bay, New South Wales | MNCN-15 05/55470 | 1X274083 |
| Polycera sn 1 | 1827/003 | IISA: Maui Maalada Bay, Hawaii | CAS-17 176705 | 12774093 |
| Polycera sp 2 | 18274090 | Pacific Ocean: Kwajalein, Atoll, Marshall Islands | CAS-17 120772 | 1X274090 |
| Polycera faeroensis | 1827/080 | Portugal: Estacada Aveiro | MNCN:15.05/ | 12774089 |
| i olyceru lueroensis | JAZ14089 | i oitugal. Lolacaua, AveilU | 55503.2 | JA214009 |

(Continued)

5

Table 1. (Continued.)

| | | | | GenBank/ BOLD Ac. |
|----------------------------|------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| Species | Sample no. | Locality | Voucher no. | No. (COI) |
| Polycera faeroensis | JX274088 | Portugal: Estacada, Aveiro | MNCN:15.05/ 55503.1 | JX274088 |
| Polycera tricolor | JX274087 | USA: San Francisco Bay, Marina, California | CAS-IZ 176438a | JX274087 |
| Polycera hedgpethi | JX274086 | Morocco: Aghroud | MNCN:15.05/55493 | JX274086 |
| Polycera atra | JX274085 | USA: San Francisco Bay, Marina, California | CAS-IZ 170506b | JX274085 |
| Polycera atra | JX274084 | USA: San Francisco Bay, Marina, California | CAS-IZ 170506a | JX274084 |
| <i>Polycera</i> sp.A | JX274082 | South Africa: Tsitsikamma, Eastern Cape Province | CAS-IZ 176387 | JX274082 |
| <i>Polycera</i> sp.A | JX274081 | South Africa: Gordon's Bay, Western Cape Province | CAS-IZ 176169 | JX274081 |
| Polycera aurantiomarginata | JX274069 | Morocco: Aghroud | MNCN:15.05/55490 | JX274069 |
| Polycera aurantiomarginata | JX274068 | Morocco: Aghroud | MNCN:15.05/55492 | JX274068 |
| Polycera aurantiomarginata | AJ223274 | Spain: Cadiz, Andalusia | * | AJ223274 |
| Palio dubia | KF644300 | Canada: Quebec, Baie Ste-Marguerite | CCDB-15498-E04 | KF644300 |
| Palio dubia | KF643719 | Canada: Quebec, Baie Ste-Marguerite | CCDB-15498-E07 | KF643719 |
| Palio dubia | KF643686 | Canada: Quebec, Baie Ste-Marguerite | CCDB-15498-E06 | KF643686 |
| Palio dubia | AJ223272 | Sweden: Kristineberg, Bohuslän | * | AJ223272 |
| Palio dubia | JX274100 | Sweden: Gullmaren, Bohuslän | MNCN:15.05/55467 | JX274100 |
| Thecacera pennigera | JX274094 | South Africa: Oudekraal, Cape Province, Atlantic Coast | CAS-IZ 176285 | JX274094 |
| Thecacera pennigera | AJ223277 | Spain: Cadiz, Andalusia | * | AJ223277 |
| Thecacera picta | KP871652 | USA: California | CAS-IZ 182281 | KP871652 |
| Polycerella emertoni | JX274099 | Spain: Cadiz, Santi Petri, Pantalan | MNCN:15.05/55482 | JX274099 |
| Polycerella emertoni | JX274098 | Spain: Cadiz, Santi Petri, Pantalan | MNCN:15.05/55482 | JX274098 |
| Polycerella emertoni | JX274097 | Spain: Cadiz, Santi Petri, Pantalan | MNCN:15.05/ 55479.2 | JX274097 |
| Polycerella emertoni | JX274096 | Spain: Cadiz, Santi Petri, Pantalan | MNCN:15.05/ 55479.1 | JX274096 |
| Polycerella emertoni | AJ223273 | Spain: Cadiz, Andalusia | * | AJ223273 |
| Polycerella emertoni | JX274095 | Spain: Cadiz, Santi Petri | MNCN:15.05/55480 | JX274095 |
| Jorunna tomentosa | MG935216 | Sweden: Kattegatt | Gastr 8965V | MG935216 |

Specimens (S) from the same lot were coded sequentially with the acronym S1, S2, S3, etc., in the column 'Sample no'. Novel sequences are marked with an asterisk.

DNA was extracted from tissue samples using the 'Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit' (QIAGEN, catalogue no. 69506), following the protocol for 'Purification of Total DNA from Animal Tissues (Spin-Column)'. Amplification of the gene COI was performed through polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the universal primers by Folmer et al. (1994) and a total reaction volume of 50 µl using 17.5 µl Sigma water (ddH₂O), 5 µl buffer, 5 µl dNTP, 10 µl Q-solution, 7 µl MgCl, 2 µl of each primer (10 µM), 0.5 µl TAQ and 1 µl DNA. Some amplifications were carried out with only 25 µl volume using the same cocktail mix, but replacing the standard buffer with CoralLoad (CL) buffer from Qiagen, using only half of each quantity. PCR thermal cycles included an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 39 cycles of 45 s at 94°C (denaturation), 45 s at 45°C (annealing), 2 min at 72°C (extension), and a final extension step at 72°C for 10 min, before cooling down. In order to rule out contamination, a negative and positive control were added to each PCR run. The negative control consisted of distilled water (ddH₂O), whereas the positive control used DNA extract from a previously successfully tested sea slug species, namely Aplysia punctata (Cuvier, 1803).

The quality of PCR products was assessed using gel electrophoresis, by running 4 ml of PCR mixed with 1 μl Ficoll

 $5\times$ loading buffer on a 1.0% agarose gel prepared with halfstrength TAE 1× buffer (tris-acetate-EDTA) containing the staining agent GelRed covered in TAE 1× buffer. For the PCR products already containing a loading buffer (i.e. the CL buffer), $5\,\mu$ l PCR product were added directly into the gel. To quantify and estimate the length of amplified DNA fragments, a $5\,\mu$ l FastRuller ladder marker was used. The gel was run for 30 min at 80 V and was posteriorly analysed under a Syngene UV-radiation machine. GeneSnap and GeneTools softwares (Syngene, Cambridge, UK) were used for imaging and manual DNA quantification, respectively.

Successful PCR products were purified using EXO-SAP, a combination of the enzymes Exonuclease I (EXO I) and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (SAP), with each purification sample containing 8μ l PCR product and 2μ l EXOSAP (0.1 μ l EXO, 1.0 μ l SAP and 0.9 μ l ddH₂O). Reactions were run for 30 min at 37°C (incubation), followed by 15 min at 85°C (enzyme inactivation), and 4°C for cooling in the thermal cycler. Sequencing reactions were prepared in 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes (kept on ice) using 1 μ l of purified PCR product mixed with 6 μ l of ddH₂O, 1 μ l primer (3.2 μ M), 1 μ l BigDye (BD) and 1 μ l of sequence buffer. This process was repeated independently for each of the two primers

| Between and within species 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 3 1 1 1 1 1 10^{-11} | Inter- and intraspecific (bold font) un | font) un | corrected p | airwise (<i>p</i>) distance | is estimated using | MEGA X for Polycer | a species | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|------|------|------|-----|
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 -98 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.0 -98 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 -114 1.1.8-135 0.0-2.4 1.1.8 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Between and</th><th>within species</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<> | | | | | | | Between and | within species | | | | | |
| -98 1.9 -14 11.8-135 0.0-2.4 -11.4 11.8-135 0.0-2.4 -11.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 -12.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 -12.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 -12.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 -12.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 -12.1 1.8-15.9 0.0-2.6 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 -11.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 10.1 9.9-10.5 14.4-15.6 11.6 18.8-18.9 14.4-15.6 11.6 18.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 11.6 18.6-18.9 16.7-15.8 16.1 16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7 16.7 16.5 16.5 16.5 16.7 16.5 16.7 16.3 14.4-15.2 15.7 16.5 16.5 </th <th>Species names 1 2</th> <th>1 2</th> <th>2</th> <th></th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>5</th> <th>9</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th> <th>6</th> <th>10</th> <th>1</th> | Species names 1 2 | 1 2 | 2 | | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 1 |
| 3-98 1.9 3-114 1.8-13.5 0.0-2.4 3-112 1.8-13.5 0.0-2.4 3-113 0.0-2.4 1.8-13.5 3-114 11.8-13.5 0.0-2.4 3-115 0.0-2.4 1.8-13.5 3-116 19.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-101 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-102 9.6-11.4 1.3-14.3 3-105 14.4-15.6 16.1 15.6-15.8 14.4-15.2 16.1 16.6-18.9 15.4-16.7 16.3 16.6-18.9 15.4-15.8 15.4-15.2 16.9 16.6-18.9 15.4-15.8 15.4-15.2 15.9 16.9 | P. atra 0.8 | 0.8 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3-9.8 1.9 3-9.8 1.9 3-11.4 11.8-13.5 0.0-2.4 3-11.4 11.8-13.5 0.0-2.4 3-11.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 3-11.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 3-11.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 3-11.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 3-11.2 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 3-11.2 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 3-10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 3-10.1 9.9-10.5 14.4-15.8 3-10.1 18.6-15.8 14.4-14.8 3-10.7 18.8-18.9 14.4-15.8 3-10.6 18.6-15.8 16.1 3-10.6 16.3-16.9 16.3-16.9 3-10.6 16.3-16.9 16.3-16.9 3-16.5 16.5 16.5 3-16.5 16.5 16.5 3-16.5 16.5 16.5 3-16.5 16.4-15.8 15.4-15.8 3-16.5 16.5 16.5 16.5 | P. norvegica sp. nov. 16.7–18.4 0.2–2.3 | 16.7–18.4 0.2–2.3 | 0.2-2.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| -9.8 1.9 -11.4 11.8-135 0.0-2.4 -11.2 10.9-11.3 0.0-2.4 -12.2 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.8-11.8 0.0-2.6 -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.8-11.4 4.3-5.8 -10.1 9.9-10.5 14.4-15.6 14.4-14.8 -11.6 18.8-18.9 14.4-15.6 14.4-15.8 16.1 -11.6 18.8-18.9 14.4-15.6 16.4 16.7 16.7 -11.6 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.1 16.7 16.7 -11.6 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.7 16.7 16.7 | Polycera sp.A 17.4–17.8 9.8–11.1 0. | 17.4–17.8 9.8–11.1 0 . | 9.8–11.1 0. | 0 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| J-114 11.8-135 0.0-2.4 3-122 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 3-101 9.9-105 9.6-11.4 4.3-5.8 3-101 9.9-105 9.6-11.4 4.3-5.8 3-101 9.9-105 9.6-11.4 4.3-5.8 3-107 15.6-15.8 14.4-14.8 13.3-14.3 4-17.6 18.8-18.9 14.4-15.6 14.4-14.8 17.6 18.8-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 5-16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 5-16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-15.8 16.1 6-16.7 16.3-16.9 16.3-16.9 14.4-15.2 5-16.9 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 16.5 5-16.9 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 16.5 | P. faeroensis 18.6–19.7 9.4–11.8 8. | 18.6–19.7 9.4–11.8 8. | 9.4–11.8 8. | 8. | 8-9.8 | 1.9 | | | | | | | |
| 122 10.9-11.3 9.8-11.8 0.0-0.8 10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 4.3-5.8 0.0-2.6 16.7 15.6-15.8 14.4-14.8 13.3-14.3 n/a 17.6 18.8-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a 16.7 18.6-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a 16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.4-15.2 16.5 16.5 16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 14.4-15.2 16.5 15.9 n/a 16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 16.5 16.3 15.9 n/a | P. quadrilineata 16.5–17.4 9.6–12.4 9.9 | 16.5-17.4 9.6-12.4 9.9. | 9.6-12.4 9.9 | -6.6 | -11.4 | 11.8-13.5 | 0.0-2.4 | | | | | | |
| -10.1 9.9-10.5 9.6-11.4 4.3-5.8 0.0-2.6 -16.7 15.6-15.8 14.4-15.6 14.4-14.8 133-14.3 n/a -17.6 15.6-15.8 14.4-15.6 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a -17.6 18.8-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a -16.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.4-15.2 16.5 n/a -16.5 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 14.4-15.2 16.5 n/a -16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 14.4-15.2 16.5 n/a | P. aurantiomarginata 17.4–17.6 11.3 | 17.4–17.6 10.7–12.6 11.3 | 10.7–12.6 11.3 | 11.3 | -12.2 | 10.9–11.3 | 9.8-11.8 | 0.0-0.8 | | | | | |
| 3-16.7 15.6-15.8 14.4-15.6 14.4-14.8 13.3-14.3 n/a 4-17.6 18.8-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a 5-16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.3-16.9 16.3 16.5 15.9 n/a 9-16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 13.7-14.4 16.3 12.9 n/a | P. capensis 16.1–16.5 9.6–12.4 9. | 16.1–16.5 9.6–12.4 9. | 9.6-12.4 9. | 6 | 8-10.1 | 9.9-10.5 | 9.6-11.4 | 4.3-5.8 | 0.0-2.6 | | | | |
| +-17.6 18.8-18.9 14.6-15.9 17.3-17.8 15.6-15.8 16.1 n/a 5-16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 14.4-15.2 16.5 15.9 n/a 9-16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 13.7-14.4 16.3 14.3 12.9 n/a | Polycera sp.1 18.9–19.3 14.1–15.4 16. | 18.9-19.3 14.1-15.4 16. | 14.1–15.4 16. | 16. | 3-16.7 | 15.6-15.8 | 14.4–15.6 | 14.4–14.8 | 13.3-14.3 | n/a | | | |
| 5-16.9 18.6-18.9 15.4-16.9 16.3-16.9 14.4-15.2 16.5 15.9 n/a 9-16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 13.7-14.4 16.3 14.3 12.9 n/a | Polycera sp.2 16.3-16.5 16.5-17.8 17 | 16.3-16.5 16.5-17.8 17 | 16.5-17.8 17 | 17 | .4-17.6 | 18.8–18.9 | 14.6–15.9 | 17.3-17.8 | 15.6-15.8 | 16.1 | n/a | | |
| J-16.5 16.5-16.7 16.7-17.8 15.4-15.8 13.7-14.4 16.3 14.3 12.9 n/a | P. tricolor 16.5 17.3–18.8 16. | 16.5 17.3-18.8 16. | 17.3–18.8 16. | 16. | 5-16.9 | 18.6–18.9 | 15.4–16.9 | 16.3–16.9 | 14.4–15.2 | 16.5 | 15.9 | n/a | |
| | P. hedgpenthi 16.1 13.7–15.0 15 | 16.1 13.7–15.0 15 | 13.7–15.0 15 | 15 | .9–16.5 | 16.5–16.7 | 16.7-17.8 | 15.4–15.8 | 13.7-14.4 | 16.3 | 14.3 | 12.9 | n/a |

(forward and reverse). The reactions were conducted in a thermal cycler for 5 min at 96°C (initial denaturation), followed by 25 cycles of 10 s at 96°C (denaturation), 5 s at 50°C (annealing), and 4 min at 60°C, before cooling down at 6°C. Afterwards, 10 μ l ddH₂O were added to each sequencing reaction and PCRs were delivered for Sanger sequencing using a capillary-based Applied Biosystem 3730XL DNA Analyzer at the DNA sequencing facility of the Department of Biological Sciences, UiB.

Cecilie Gotaas Sørensen et al.

Phylogenetic and species delimitation analyses

The programme Geneious v. 11.0.3 was used to inspect, assemble and edit the chromatograms of the forward and reverse DNA strands. Sequences of each sample were checked by careful examination of the chromatograms and trimmed at both ends to remove parts of low quality and were translated to protein sequences using the invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code to ensure that there were no stop-codons present. Possible contamination of sequences was accessed by comparing with available sequences of molluscs through the BLAST toll in GenBank. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) implemented in Geneious. The alignment was trimmed at both ends to a position where at least 50% of the sequences had nucleotide data yielding a final alignment with 642 base pairs (bp).

The MEGA X software (Kumar *et al.*, 2018) was used to estimate uncorrected pairwise (p) distances within and between species (Table 2). The jModelTest2 v. 2.1.10 (Darriba *et al.*, 2012) was used to find the best-fit evolutionary model [model selected = GTR + I + G] under the Akaike information criterion (AIC). The Bayesian analysis was performed using MrBayes (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001), with three parallel runs of five million generations each, sampling every 1000 generations, with a burn-in set to 25%. MrBayes was run through the portal CIPRES (Miller *et al.*, 2010) and the consensus phylogram was converted into a graphical tree in FigTree v.1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2016).

The Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) species delimitation analysis (Puillandre *et al.*, 2012) was used to help delimiting species using the three evolutionary models available (Simple Distance, Kimura (K80) TS/TV = 2 and Jukes-Cantor (JC69)). Each analysis was run independently using default settings.

Haplotype network analysis

Haplotype network analysis was conducted separately for the two lineages recognized within Polycera quadrilineata sensu lato (P. quadrilineata proper [63 seq.] and Polycera norvegica sp. nov. [17 seq.]), using the programme PopArt v. 1.7 (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees; Leigh & Bryant, 2015). Prior to PopArt the alignment file combining the two lineages was edited using the text editor programme Notepad++ v. npp.7.6.6, and all empty positions at both ends were removed, yielding a final alignment with 594 bp. Sequence P48 (see Table 1) was excluded due to its reduced size (541 bp). Notepad++ was used to generate species-specific alignments and trait files with geographic area codes (i.e. 0 = specimen absent, 1 = specimen present). Alignments were converted into phylip format (phy) using the program Mesquite v.3.51 (Maddison & Maddison, 2018). Alignments and trait files were finally run in PopArt to create a standard TCS Network analysis (Clement et al., 2000) in order to visualize the genetic relationships and distances between the individual genotypes. The single specimen of P. quadrilineata obtained from Sveio (Norway) was for the sake of geographic proximity considered part of the geographic area Haugesund (Norway). The TCS haplotype networks were edited for more satisfying visualization using both PopArt v. 1.7, Adobe Illustrator, CS6 v.16.0.4 and Gravit Designer v.2019-2.1 at https://gravit.io/.

https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315420000612



Fig. 2. Bayesian molecular phylogenetic tree based on the COI gene. Numbers on branches represent posterior probabilities (PPs). Tree rooted with *J. tomentosa*. Green box refers to *P. quadrilineata* and purple box to *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. Images refer to main morphotypes of these species and specimen depicted across both boxes represents the shared morphotype.

Morpho-anatomical work and scanning electron microscopy

Dissections were done under a stereo microscope Nikon SMZ-1500 equipped with a *camera lucida*. The animals were opened by dorsal incision, and the reproductive system and buccal mass, with the radula and labial cuticle were removed. The buccal mass was dissolved in a 10% sodium hydroxide solution until the labial cuticle and radula were cleansed from their surrounding tissue. These structures were then rinsed with water, and examined and photographed under a light microscope using the Life Science Imaging software *cellSense* v.1.18. The reproductive systems were drawn using the *camera lucida*, and each penis was isolated, opened, examined and photographed using light microscopy. The labial cuticles and penises were

critical point dried using hexamethyldisilane. All parts (radula, penises and labial cuticles) were mounted on metallic stubs for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and sputter coated with gold-palladium. Observations were done with a Hitachi S-3000N SEM-machine.

Results

Phylogenetic analysis and species delimitation analysis

The molecular phylogenetic analysis included a total of 113 COI sequences containing 66 novel ones and 47 downloaded from GenBank and BOLD databases (Table 1). Eighteen lineages (including the outgroup taxon) were recognized and samples



Fig. 3. *P. quadrilineata.* (A–F) Yellow/orange colour morphotype. (G–M) Striped colour morphotype. (A) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 127509, photo by C. Rauch and M. A. E. Malaquias, 2019. (B) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 127690, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (C) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 125859, photo by C. Rauch and A. Schouw, 2018. (D) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 127676, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (E) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 127683, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (F) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 127677, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (G) Vestland, Norway ZMBN 127678, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (H) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 127685, photo by C. Rauch, 2019. (I) Møre and Romsdal, Norway, ZMBN 125635, photo by N. Aukan, 2018. (J) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 127476, photo by C. Rauch and M. A. E. Malaquias, 2019. (K) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 125032, photo by C. G. Sørensen and M. A. E. Malaquias, 2018. (L) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 125033, photo by C. G. Sørensen and M. A. E. Malaquias, 2018. (M) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 125689, photo by E. Svensen, 2017.



Fig. 4. Main morphotypes found in *P. quadrilineata*. (A, B) Striped morphotype. (C, D) Yellow/orange morphotype. (A) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 125689, photo by E. Svensen, 2017. (B) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 94139, photo by M. A. E. Malaquias, 2013. (C) Aquário dos Mosteiros, Azores, ZMBN 87942, photo by M. A. E. Malaquias, 2011. (D) Viken (Drøbak), Norway, ZMBN 127600, photo by H. Jensen, 2019. Drawings by C. G. Sørensen, 2019.

preliminarily identified as *Polycera quadrilineata* split in two different clades with maximum support. One containing specimens from all over Europe including the type locality (Drøbak, Norway) and colour patterns consistent with the original description (O. F. Müller, 1776, 1779), and a second clade only with specimens from Norway that was rendered sister (PP = 0.95) to a clade containing individuals from an unidentified *Polycera* species from South Africa and specimens of *Polycera faeroensis* from Europe (Figure 2).

The genus *Polycera* as a whole was not rendered monophyletic due to lack of support (PP = 0.55) and inclusion of samples of the genera *Polycerella* A. E. Verrill, 1880 and *Thecacera* J. Fleming, 1828. Nevertheless, a clade with maximum support containing six species of *Polycera* was retrieved, namely with the type species of the genus *P. quadrilineata*, plus *Polycera aurantiomarginata*, *Polycera capensis*, *P. faeroensis*, *Polycera* sp.A (from South Africa) and *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. (Figure 2).

COI uncorrected pairwise (p) genetic distances showed a 9.6– 12.4% difference between *P. quadrilineata* and *P. norvegica* sp. nov., and a range of intraspecific variability in the former species of 0–2.4% and 0.2–2.3% in the latter (Table 2). The interspecific genetic distance between all included *Polycera* species was estimated at a maximum of 18.6–19.7% between *P. faeroensis* from Portugal and *Polycera atra* from California, USA and a minimum of 4.3–5.8% between *P. capensis* from South Africa and Australia and *P. aurantiomarginata* from Spain and Morocco. Intraspecific COI uncorrected *p*-distance between all studied *Polycera* species ranged between 0–2.6%.

The ABGD analyses under the three independent models of evolution retrieved the same number of partitions (=18), which were consistent with the 18 lineages suggested by the topology of the COI phylogenetic tree. Only for P values above 0.012915, the suggested number of partitions was lower, namely 17 groups (Kimura 80) and four with the Simple Distance and Juke-Cantor models (see supplementary material).

Class GASTROPODA Cuvier, 1795 Subclass HETEROBRANCHIA Burmeister, 1837 Superorder NUDIPLEURA Wägele & Willan, 2000 Order NUDIBRANCHIA, Cuvier, 1817 Family POLYCERIDAE Alder & Hancock, 1845 Genus Polycera Cuvier, 1817 Polycera quadrilineata (O. F. Müller, 1776) (Figures 3–6)

Synonyms

See MolluscaBase (2020)

Type locality: Drøbak, Frogn, Viken (Oslofjord), Norway.

Material examined: See Table 1 for list of specimens only sequenced. Hafrsfjord, Sola, Stavanger, Rogaland, Norway (58°55′50.232″N 5°39′36.6588″E), 1 spc. (S1) sequenced and



Fig. 5. Scanning electron micrographs of *P. quadrilineata.* (A) complete radula (ZMBN 127491). (B) Detailed view of the left side of the radula (ZMBN 125688). (C) Labial cuticle (ZMBN 125688). (D) Detail of central region of labial cuticle (ZMBN 127491). (E) Optical microscopy picture of labial cuticle (ZMBN 127491). (F) Close up of tissue from labial cuticle wall (ZMBN 125688). Scale bars: A = 1 mm, $B = 300 \mu \text{m}$, $C = 500 \mu \text{m}$, $B = 100 \mu \text{m}$, E = 1 mm, $F = 30 \mu \text{m}$.

dissected (yellow/orange morphotype), ZMBN 125688, H = 18 mm. Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland, Norway (58° 24'54.1116''N 5°59'57.6852''E), 1 spc. sequenced and dissected (striped morphotype), ZMBN 127491, H = 20 mm.

External morphology (Figures 3 & 4): Based on studied specimens H = 5-30 mm (but specimens with 45 mm have been reported; Moen & Svensen, 2014). Body surface smooth, covered

with scattered tuberculate blotches; number and size of tubercles variable; tubercles either smoothly or sharply edged. Individuals with smaller tubercles often have larger quantity. Head with four to five, rarely six, smooth, digitiform veil processes projecting anteriorly; frontal veil processes yellow or orange in colour; apical tip often whitish. Non-retractile, lamellated rhinophores; stems thick, slightly leaning forwards; lamellated section slightly leaning



Fig. 6. Reproductive system and scanning electron micrographs of the penis of *P. quadrilineata*. (A) Reproductive system (ZMBN 125688). (B) Whole penis (ZMBN 127491). (C) Detail of penis close to genital aperture (ZMBN 127491). (D) Detail of penile spines (ZMBN 125688). am, ampulla; bc, bursa copulatrix; fglm, female gland mass; hd, hermaphroditic duct; gp, genital pore; p, penis; pr, prostate; rs, receptaculum seminis; vd, vas deferens; vg, vagina. Scale bars: A = 1 mm, B = 300 μ m, C = 100 μ m, D = 50 μ m.

backwards; average of 10–12 lamellae present, but number can range from 6–15. Eyespots present behind rhinophores; inconspicuous in some specimens. Gill circlet with 7–9, sometimes 11 pinnate gills. Gills white with yellow or orange apical edges, sometimes black pigmentation present on upper part. One elongate cylindrical papilla presents on each side of gill circlet, projecting backwards, sometimes short and stubby with rounded apical tip, otherwise slender with pointed tip; distal part yellowish, proximal half to 2/3 of length white. Mid-dorsal yellow or orange line extends from behind the gills to tip of tail.

Colouration (Figures 3 & 4): Two main colour morphs present. *Yellow/orange colour morph* (Figures 3A–F, 4C, D) – ground colour white, translucent, with yellow or orange circular to oval scattered tubercles. Rhinophore stems white with upper half of lamellate part yellow or orange; or rhinophore stems with black, dark brownish or grey pigmentation covering entire stem or restricted to frontal half-part, expanding into upper yellowish lamellate part. Gills with yellow or orange apical edges.

Striped colour morph (Figures 3G–M, 4A, B) – ground colour white, translucent, with small yellow or orange often circular scattered tubercles. Black or dark grey continuous or dashed longitudinal lines present. Thickness and number of dark lines varies between individuals; some almost fully covered, giving specimens a melanistic appearance, while others have fewer lines, with a nearly white background. Rhinophore stems often black, dark or light greyish; lamellae often yellow or orange, sometimes with



Fig. 7. Polycera norvegica sp. nov. (A–D) Yellow/orange colour morphotype, (E–H) dotted colour morphotype. (A) Trøndelag, Norway, ZMBN 126023, photo by V. V. Grøtan, 2018. (B) Trøndelag, Norway, ZMBN 126025 (paratype), photo by V. V. Grøtan, 2018. (C) Trøndelag, Norway, ZMBN 126024, photo by V. V. Grøtan, 2018. (D) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 127608, photo by O. Meldahl, 2018. (E) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 125493 (holotype), photo by A. Schouw and C. Rauch, 2018. (F) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 125855, photo by A. Schouw and C. Rauch, 2018. (G) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 106113, photo by K. Kongshavn and M. A. E. Malaquias, 2015. (H) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 125917, photo by A. Schouw and C. Rauch, 2018.

additional black pigmentation in upper part. Gills, in some darker specimens, can depict dark pigmentation on tips and along edges.

Radula (Figure 5A & B): Radular formula $13 \times 5.2.0.2.5$ (specimen H = 20 mm). Rachidian tooth absent; laterals elongated, hamate with strong prominent distal cusp; inner laterals smaller, with narrow base and triangular, spatulate distal cusp; outer laterals larger, thicker than inner, over twice the size, distal cusp more pointed and triangular; both laterals with hook-shaped wing-like expansion; wing-like expansion less prominent on inner laterals. Marginal teeth smaller, flat, plate-like, pseudo-rectangular, decreasing in size outwardly; inner marginal with prominent curved spur at anterior end.

Labial cuticle (Figure 5C–F): Large, robust with two large and elongated lateral wings. Well-developed brownish centre with jaw elements.

Reproductive system (Figure 6): Triaulic; hermaphroditic duct long, slender. Ampulla large, robust, kidney-shaped; postampullary duct bifurcating into short oviduct leading into large female gland mass and vas deferens through prostate portion. Prostate gland massive, narrowing towards distal vas deferens, closely attached to bursa copulatrix. Inside vas deferens a cupshaped structure indicates end of the prostatic section. Vas deferens long, narrow, folded before reaching large penile bulb. Penis armed with numerous elongated, pointed, chitinous spines, of similar size; some spines bifid at apical tip. Vaginal duct long, folded, similar in width to vas deferens, connected to bursa copulatrix. Bursa copulatrix large, elongate, with two different parts; larger elongate proximal part, distal part more oval. Base of bursa copulatrix connected to pyriform, small receptaculum seminis by long, thin duct. Short uterine duct emerging close to receptaculum seminis and entering female gland, behind elongated portion of bursa copulatrix.

Ecology: Intertidal and subtidal species commonly found in shallow waters associated with algae. Feeds on encrusted bryozoans of the genera *Electra* and *Membranipora*. Reported from depths up 300 m (Bergan & Anthon, 1977). Lives in cold to temperate waters between 5–25°C (Picton & Morrow, 1994; Betti *et al.*, 2017).



Fig. 8. Main morphotypes found in *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. (A) Dotted morphotype. (B, C) Yellow/orange morphotype. (A) Vestland, Norway, ZMBN 106113, photo by K. Kongshavn, 2015. (B) Rogaland, Norway, ZMBN 127608, photo by O. Meldahl, 2018. (C) Trøndelag, Norway, ZMBN 126023, photo by V. V. Grøtan, 2018. Drawings by C. G. Sørensen, 2019.

Distribution: Widely distributed across Western Europe from Norway (with Lofoten as its northernmost limit), Greenland, Sweden, Denmark, Iceland, Faroes, all around the British Isles, southwards to the Mediterranean Sea, Iberian Peninsula, and archipelagos of the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands (Thompson & Brown, 1984; Thompson, 1988; Evertsen & Bakken, 2005; Moen & Svensen, 2014).

Polycera norvegica sp. nov.

(Figures 7-10)

LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:A36BDEBC-C058-451A-9222-3CC504173890

Diagnosis

Body surface smooth, partially tuberculate. Tubercles rounded or pointed, some more developed than others; tubercles with yellow, light-yellow or orange pigmentation; base colour translucent white. Colour pattern with randomly distributed black, dark grey or brown dots and orange/brown patches; patches most common in head and 'neck' region. Frontal veil with four to six long or short processes, smooth, tapering distally; somewhat retractile. Rhinophores lamellated with thick stem, leaning slightly forward; around six to ten lamellae. Seven to nine pinnate gills. Radular formula $8-11 \times 4.2.0.2.4$; rachidian tooth absent; laterals elongated, hamate with hook-like shaped structures and strong prominent triangular distal cusp; inner laterals narrower, straight; outer laterals thicker, broader than inner, at least twice the size; four marginal teeth, small, nearly quadrangular plates. Labial cuticle small, weak, with two lateral short wings, thin brownish centre with jaw elements. Reproductive system triaulic with long, slender hermaphroditic duct. Ampulla small. Prostate gland large, massive. Penis armed with two types of chitinous spines; one type resembling curvy hooks, the other more elongated. Vaginal duct elongated, slightly bent, shorter than vas deferens, about same width. Bursa copulatrix large, oval.

Type locality: Sandholmane, Haugesund, Norway (59°22′ 16.2552″N 5°10′55.9524″E).

Type material: Holotype, Sandholmane, Haugesund, Norway (59°22'16.2552"N, 5°10'55.9524"E), ZMBN 125493, H = 5 mm (fixed specimen). Paratypes, Steingardsvika, Espegrend, Bergen, Norway (60°17'46.968"N 5°13'17.184"E), ZMBN 106115, H = 6 mm in fixed specimen. Herdla, Askøy, Bergen, Norway (60°33'41.256"N 4°57'40.0968"E), ZMBN 125917. Skeisvika, Hundvåg, Stavanger, Norway (59°0'23.31"N 5°43'9.1668"E),



Fig. 9. Scanning electron micrographs of *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. (A) Radula (ZMBN 127486). (B) Detailed view of the left side of the radula (ZMBN 127486). (C) Labial cuticle (ZMBN 127486). (D) Close up of tissue from the labial cuticle wall (ZMBN 125492). (E) Labial cuticle (ZMBN 127492). (F) Optical microscopy picture of labial cuticle (ZMBN 125492). Scale bars: A = 1 mm, $B = 200 \mu \text{m}$, $C = 500 \mu \text{m}$, $C = 500 \mu \text{m}$, F = 1 mm.

ZMBN 127608; ZMBN 127607. Uthaug, Ørland, Trøndelag, Norway (63°43′37.2468″N 9°34′20.1072″E), ZMBN 126025, H = 8 mm; ZMBN 126024.

Additional material examined: See Table 1 for list of specimens only sequenced. Tingelsædet, Egersund, Rogaland, Norway (58° 24'54.1116"N 5°59'57.6852"E), 1 spc. sequenced and dissected (yellow/orange morphotype), ZMBN 127486, H = 14 mm; 1 spc. (S1) sequenced and dissected (dotted morphotype), ZMBN 125492, H = 9 mm.

External morphology (Figures 7 & 8): Length of studied specimens 2–14 mm (some fixed). Body elongated, limaciform, with distinct marginal ridge; slightly higher than broad, highest and



Fig. 10. Reproductive system and scanning electron micrographs of the penis of *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. (A) Reproductive system (ZMBN 125492). (B) Whole penis (ZMBN 127486). (C) Detail of penis close to genital aperture (ZMBN 127486). (D) Close up of penile spines (ZMBN 127486). Upper arrow = elongated penile spines. Lower arrow = hook-shaped penile spines. am, ampulla; bc, bursa copulatrix; fglm, female gland mass; hd, hermaphroditic duct; gp, genital pore; p, penis; pr, prostate; rs, receptaculum seminis; vd, vas deferens; vg, vagina. Scale bars: A = 1 mm, B = 300 μ m, C = 100 μ m.

widest at mid-dorsal length close to anus, gills and papillae, ending in elongated and pointy tail. Body surface smooth, covered with scattered tubercles; number and size of tubercles variable, flattened or wart-like. Individuals with dotted morphotype tend to have rounded, slightly elevated, light-yellow tubercles. Head equipped with four to seven digitiform veil processes projecting anteriorly, yellow or orange coloured with whitish tips. Dotted morphotype often with only yellow spots scattered over a whitishtranslucent background. Non-retractile, lamellated rhinophores ending in cylindrical knob; stems slightly leaning forward; 6-10 lamellae present. Eyespots present behind rhinophores; nearly indistinguishable in some specimens. Gill circlet with 7-9, sometimes 11 pinnate gills; individuals may possess both smaller and larger gills; gills can partially retract into pocket. One elongate, narrow, papillae present on each side of gill circlet, projecting backwards or laterally; papillae often shorter and stubby with

rounded apical tip on individuals with dotted morphotype; often slender with sharper apical tips on individuals with yel-low/orange morphotype.

Colouration (Figures 7 & 8): Two main colour morphs present. *Yellow/orange colour morph* (Figures 7A–D, 8B, C) – as in yellow/orange morphotype described for *P. quadrilineata*, but in the studied specimens the rhinophore stems were always whitish lacking black pigmentation. Faint greyish tiny spots may be present on head region and gills.

Dotted colour morph (Figures 7E–H, 8A) – ground colour white, translucent, with black, dark brownish or grey dots scattered over entire surface. Brown/orange patches present on head region or sometimes randomly along body surface. Rhinophores white translucent; lamellae sometimes with a weak hint of lightyellow pigmentation; stem sometimes dark brown or grey Frontal veil processes translucent white, with few scattered yellow



Fig. 11. TCS haplotype network analysis based on the COI gene generated in the programme PopArt, including sequences from 63 specimens of *P. quadrilineata*. Lines between black dots represent one mutation, while black dots represent hypothetical haplotypes. Each coloured circle represents a unique haplotype, and the size of each circle indicates how many specimens share that haplotype. Different colours represent geographic locations.



Fig. 12. TCS haplotype network analysis based on the COI gene generated in the program PopArt, including sequences from 17 specimens of *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. Lines between black dots represent one mutation, while black dots represent hypothetical haplotypes. Each coloured circle represents a unique haplotype, and the size of each circle indicates how many specimens share that haplotype. Different colours represent geographic locations.

patches randomly distributed. Posterior papillae white with yellow tips; sometimes white basal part dotted with yellow or black patches. Gills whitish with yellow, orange, or black spots along rachis and tips.

Radula (Figure 9A & B): Radular formula $11 \times 4.2.0.2.4$ (specimen H = 14 mm). Rachidian tooth absent; laterals elongated, hamate with strong prominent distal cusp and hook-shaped wing-like expansions along mid-height on outer edges; inner laterals smaller, with narrow base and triangular distal cusp; outer laterals larger, over twice the size of inner laterals, with triangular pointed

distal cusp, base broad. Marginal teeth small, flat, plate-like, approximately pseudo-quadrangular, elongated, decreasing in size outwardly; inner marginal with prominent, weakly curved spur at anterior end.

Labial cuticle (Figure 9C-F): Small, weak, with two short lateral wings. Weakly developed brownish centre with jaw elements.

Reproductive system (Figure 10): Triaulic; hermaphroditic duct elongated, thin. Ampulla small, kidney-shaped; post-ampullary duct bifurcating into short oviduct leading to large female gland mass and short vas deferens through prostrate portion. Prostate

| Character | Polycera quadrilineata | Polycera norvegica sp. nov. |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| External morphology | | |
| No. of colour morphs | 2 | 2 |
| Distinctive morphotypes | Striped colour morph | Dotted colour morph |
| No. lamellae in rinophores | 6–15 (most common 10–12) | 6–10 |
| No. gills | 7-11 | 7–9 |
| Body length | Up to 30 mm (45 mm reported in literature) | Up to14 mm |
| Posterior papillae | Short, stubby with rounded apical tip, or slender with sharp apical tip | Short, stubby with rounded apical tip (dotted morphotype). Slender with sharp apical tip (yellow/ orange or patchy dotted morphotype) |
| Radula | | |
| Formula | 5.2.0.2.5 | 4.2.0.2.4 |
| No. of rows | 13-14 | 8-11 |
| Marginal teeth | 5 | 4 |
| Labial cuticle | | |
| Structure | Large, robust with strong brownish centre; elongated lateral wings | Small, fragile with weak brownish centre; short lateral wings |
| Reproductive system | | |
| Ampulla | Large | Small |
| Bursa copulatrix | Elongated with two parts: proximal elongate, distal rounded | Rounded |
| Receptaculum seminis | Small, with long thin duct | Small, with short thin duct |
| Prostate gland | Large | Massive |
| Vas deferens | Long | Short |
| Penile bulb | Large | Reduced |
| Penile spines | One type; elongated, needle-like; some with bifid apical tip | Two types; elongated closest to prostate; hooked |

Table 3. Summary of the most useful characters for diagnosis of Polycera quadrilineata and Polycera norvegica sp. nov

gland large, massive, narrowing towards distal vas deferens, surrounding bursa copulatrix. Inside vas deferens a cup-shaped structure indicates end of prostatic section. Vas deferens short, narrow, folded before reaching genital pore. Penile bulb reduced. Penis armed with two types of chitinous spines; spines closest to prostate more elongate; spines closest to genital opening hookshaped. Vaginal duct elongated, slightly bent, shorter than vas deferens, but of similar width. Vagina ends in large oval bursa copulatrix. Bursa copulatrix rounded; base of bursa copulatrix connected to pyriform, small receptaculum seminis by short, thin duct; short uterine duct emerging close to receptaculum seminis, entering female gland.

Ecology: Sublittoral species occurring between 2–15 m depth, often on kelp (*Laminaria* spp.).

Distribution: Only known from Norway where it has been reported between Trondheim in the central west coast, along the south-west in Bergen, Haugesund, Stavanger and Egersund, and in Larvik in the southern coast.

Haplotype network analysis

The TCS haplotype network of *P. quadrilineata* with 63 specimens from Scandinavia, Mediterranean Sea and the Azores revealed the presence of 38 distinct haplotypes of which only nine were shared between individuals (Figure 11). From these, four haplotypes were each shared by two individuals (Norway: Bergen, Trondheim and Egersund), two were shared by three individuals (Sweden, Norway: Bergen, Egersund and Drøbak), one by four individuals (Norway: Egersund and Kristiansund), one by five (Sweden, Norway: Bergen, Drøbak and Egersund), and the most common haplotype by 11 individuals (Sweden, Norway: Bergen, Egersund, Kristiansund and Stavanger). Apart from the Azorean specimens which clustered together, the network showed a general lack of geographic structure. The haplotypes from the Azores differed between themselves by two to three base pairs (0.3–0.5%), whereas the difference to the closest haplotype outside the Azores (two haplotypes from Norway) was four base pairs (0.7%).

For *Polycera norvegica* sp. nov. (Figure 12) with 17 specimens (three from northern Norway and 14 from southern-western Norway), the TCS haplotype network revealed a lack of shared haplotypes and geographic structure.

Discussion

Molecular taxonomy has contributed in recent years to unravel a large number of cryptic lineages in sea slug molluscs, otherwise difficult to distinguish using traditional morphological and anatomical characters (see Introduction for an overview). Nevertheless, the concept of 'cryptic species' has been debated and remains controversial and subject to several definitions and interpretations (see for reviews Struck *et al.*, 2018; Korshunova *et al.*, 2019). Korshunova *et al.* (2019) considered cryptic species to be transitional taxonomic problems resulting often from incorrect weighting of morphological characters.

The phylogenetic and ABGD analyses (Figure 2 and supplementary material) retrieved one group containing specimens consistent with the original description by O. F. Müller (1776, 1779) and collected in Drøbak in the Oslofjord, Norway (Figure 1), which is the type locality of Polycera quadrilineata. These specimens were therefore attributed to the latter species. Whereas, specimens preliminarily ascribed to P. quadrilineata, but which clustered elsewhere in the phylogenetic analysis and grouped separately on the ABGD analyses were attributed to a new species here described, namely Polycera norvegica sp. nov. In the latter species, one of the recognized colour morphs (the dotted colour morph) is in fact fairly distinct from the colour morphs found in P. quadrilineata, but surprisingly conspecificity was never questioned. However, in specimens of both species with the yellow/orange colour morph, separation based only on external chromatic and morphological features is difficult, virtually impossible. In addition, the variability found in the number of lamellae of the rhinophores and gills and maximum length of both species (Results section; Table 3) do not seem to be taxonomically informative and may in fact be ontogenetic or ultimately an artefact of sampling bias.

The species P. quadrilineata and P. norvegica sp. nov. are genetically distinct (Table 2; COI uncorrected p-distance = 9.6-12.4%) and bear several discrete anatomic differences in the radula, labial cuticle and reproductive system. The radula of P. quadrilineata is larger, thicker, more elongate, with a greater number of rows, and has an additional marginal tooth on each side. The labial cuticle in P. quadrilineata is comparatively thicker, more robust and with a stronger central brownish area with jaw elements. The reproductive system is substantially distinct between both species. In P. quadrilineata the ampulla is larger and more robust and the bursa copulatrix depicts two different parts with a larger and elongate proximal part and an oval-shaped distal part, whereas P. norvegica sp. nov. has a bursa copulatrix entirely oval. Polycera norvegica sp. nov. has a larger prostate gland and a less developed penial bulb. Both species have armed penis but we have observed in P. norvegica sp. nov. the occurrence of two types of chitinous spines, whereas only one type was observed in P. quadrilineata. Moreover, the tubercles of P. quadrilineata are frequently more smoothly rounded than those found in P. norvegica sp. nov. (see Table 3 for a synopsis of diagnostic characters).

Interestingly these two species were not rendered sister to each other. The COI gene tree phylogeny suggested a closer phylogenetic relationship of *P. norvegica* sp. nov. with the European species *Polycera faeroensis* and an apparently undescribed species from South Africa (*Polycera* sp.A), whereas *P. quadrilineata* seems to be closer related to the north-eastern Atlantic *Polycera aurantiomarginata* and Indo-West Pacific *Polycera capensis*.

All but one sister pair of *Polycera* species studied in this work have interspecific genetic distances ranging between 8.8–19.7% (Table 2) and intraspecific variabilities between 0–2.6% showing the existence of a clear DNA barcode gap between species. The single exception is between *P. capensis* and *P. aurantiomarginata* with a genetic distance ranging from 4.3–5.8%, yet this does not affect the existence of a molecular barcode gap between sister species among the *Polycera* species studied in this work.

The haplotype network analyses showed genetic diversity and a lack of geographic structure in both species. The fact that the Azorean specimens showed a structural group in the haplotype network of *P. quadrilineata* could potentially indicate some degree of genetic isolation (Figure 11), which is not surprising given the geography of the Azorean islands located far off in the middle of the North Atlantic Ocean. Shared haplotypes between species from Norway and Sweden hint at a possible gene flow across populations from these two neighbouring countries. Interestingly, no shared haplotypes between sampling localities in Norway were found for *P. norvegica* sp. nov., but this may simply be because of the low number of specimens included in the analysis (N = 17; Figure 12).

Polycera quadrilineata and *P. norvegica* sp. nov. are sympatric in Norway, dwelling together on shallow water *Laminaria* kelp forests. The species *P. quadrilineata* has been reported to feed upon the encrusted bryozoans *Membranipora membranacea* (Linnaeus, 1767) and *Electra pilosa* (Linnaeus, 1767), but the differences found on the digestive system of *P. norvegica* sp. nov. with its weaker labial cuticle and distinct radula suggest a possible different diet.

This study showed that even in historically well-studied faunas there is a need to use integrative taxonomic approaches combining DNA and morphological characters together with complementary methodological approaches to truly understand biological diversity. This becomes even more critical when dealing with species that are highly similar morphologically - the so-called 'cryptic' or 'pseudo-cryptic' species. In those cases, even when subtle morphological differences can be recognized the problem is obviously to access and decide whether the observable differences are part of the natural intraspecific variability of the species or indicate distinct taxa. Drawing this line is often difficult and this is when a barcoding approach can be useful to unravel the putative existence of 'hidden' lineages. However, this does not preclude the need to eventually study several gene markers with different evolutionary rates, and for detailed morphological studies in order to establish helpful discrete taxonomic characters and formalize the description of new recognized lineages. It is now recognized that cryptic species are an important component of biodiversity and the only way to uncover this fraction is by using integrative approaches combining and comparing morphological and genetic characters under a multitude of methodological frameworks.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315420000612.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Anders Schouw, Carles Galià, Erling Svensen, Heine Jensen, Katrine Kongshavn, Matz Berggren, Nils Aukan, Ole Meldahl and Viktor Grøtan for help in collecting and for providing images of specimens. To Louise M. Lindblom and Kenneth Meland (University of Bergen) for help with molecular work, to Mari H. Eilertsen (University of Bergen) for guidance with the haplotype network analysis, Justine Siegwald (University of Bergen) for insightful discussions and Kathe Jensen (Natural History Museum of Copenhagen, Denmark) for help with historical literature.

Financial support. This work was funded by the Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre, Artsdatabanken Project No. 812038, The sea slugs of Southern Norway: Diversity, barcoding, and invasive species.

References

- Antoniadou C, Koutsoubas D and Chintiroglou CC (2005) Mollusca fauna from infralittoral hard substrate assemblages in the North Aegean Sea. *Belgian Journal of Zoology* 135, 119–126.
- Bergan K and Anthon H (1977) *Livet i Fjæra*, 7th edn. Oslo: J. W. Cappelens Forlag AS.
- Bergh LSR (1894) Eine neue Gattung von Polyceraden (Greilada). Archiv f
 ür Naturgeschichte 60, 1–6.
- Betti F, Bava S and Cattaneo-Vietti R (2017) Composition and seasonality of a heterobranch assemblage in a sublittoral, unconsolidated, wave-disturbed community in the Mediterranean Sea. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 83, 325–332.
- Bickford D, Lohman DJ, Sodhi NS, Ng PKL, Meier R, Winker K, Ingram KK and Das I (2007) Cryptic species as a window on diversity and conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 22, 148–155.
- Bruce JR, Jones NS and Colman JS (1963) Marine Fauna of the Isle of Man and its Surrounding Seas, 2nd edn revised. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.
- Caballer M and Ortea J (2002) Primera cita de *Polycera Hedgpethi* Marcus, 1964 (Mollusca: Opisthobranchia) para la Península Ibérica. *Noticiario de la Sociedad Española de Malacología* **37**, 55–56.

- Carmona L, Pola M, Gosliner TM and Cervera JL (2013) A tale that morphology fails to tell: a molecular phylogeny of Aeolidiidae (Aeolidida, Nudibranchia, Gastropoda). *PLoS ONE* **8**, E63000.
- Carmona L, Bhave V, Salunkhe R, Pola M, Gosliner TM and Cervera JL (2014a) Systematic review of Anteaeolidiella (Mollusca, Nudibranchia, Aeolidiidae) based on morphological and molecular data, with a description of three new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 171, 108–132.
- Carmona L, Lei BR, Pola M, Gosliner TM, Valdés Á and Cervera JL (2014b) Untangling the Spurilla neapolitana (Delle Chiaje, 1841) species complex: a review of the genus Spurilla Bergh, 1864 (Mollusca: Nudibranchia: Aeolidiidae): the species complex. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 170, 132–154.
- Cervera JL, Garcia-Gomez JC, Toscano F and García FJ (1991) *Polycera hedgpethi* Marcus, 1964 (Gastropoda, Nudibranchia), una especie indopacifica descubierta en el Mediterraneo. *Iberus* 8, 225–231.
- Cervera JL, Calado G, Gavaia C, Malaquias MA, Templado J, Ballesteros MBV, García-Gómez JC and Megina C (2004) An annotated and updated checklist of the ophisthobranchs (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from Spain and Portugal (including islands and archipelagos). *Boletín del Instituto Español de Oceanografía* 20, 1–122.
- Churchill CK, Valdés Á and Foighil DÓ (2014) Molecular and morphological systematics of neustonic nudibranchs (Mollusca: Gastropoda: Glaucidae: Glaucus), with descriptions of three new cryptic species. Invertebrate Systematics 28, 174–195.
- Claridge AW, Mifsud G, Dawson J and Saxon MJ (2005) Use of infrared digital cameras to investigate the behaviour of cryptic species. Wildlife Research 31, 645–650.
- Clement M, Posada D and Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies. *Molecular Ecology* 9, 1657–1659.
- Cuvier G (1803) Mémoire sur le genre Laplysia, vulgairement nommé Lièvre marin, sur son anatomie et sur quelques-unes de ses espèces. Annales du Muséum d'histoire naturelle 2, 287–314.
- Cuvier G (1804) Mémoire sur le genre Doris. Annales du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle 4, 447–473.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R and Posada D (2012) Jmodeltest2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9, 772.
- Eales N (1967) The Littoral Fauna of the British Isles: A Handbook for Collectors, 4th edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Edgar RC (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research* **32**, 1792–1797.
- Ekimova I, Korshunova T, Schepetov D, Neretina T, Sanamyan N and Martynov A (2015) Integrative systematics of northern and Arctic nudibranchs of the genus Dendronotus (Mollusca, Gastropoda), with descriptions of three new species. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 173, 841–886.
- Epstein H, Hallas J, Johnson R, Lopez A and Gosliner T (2018) Reading between the lines: revealing cryptic species diversity and colour patterns in *Hypselodoris* Nudibranchs (Mollusca: Heterobranchia: Chromodorididae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 186, 116–189.
- **Evertsen J and Bakken T** (2005) Nudibranch diversity (Gastropoda, Heterobranchia) along the coast of Norway. *Fauna norvegica* **25**, 1–37.
- Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R and Vrijenhoek R (1994) DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* **3**, 294–299.
- García-Gómez JC and Bobo JA (1984) Una nueva especie de *Polycera* Cuvier (Mollusca: Nudibranchia) del litoral Iberico. *Cahiers de Biologie Marine* **25**, 361–373.
- Giacobbe S and De Matteo S (2013) The potentially invasive opisthobranch Polycera hedgpethi Er. Marcus, 1964 (Gastropoda Nudibranchia), introduced in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon. Biodiversity Journal 4, 359–364.
- Hayward PJ and Ryland JS (1995) Handbook of the Marine Fauna of North-West Europe. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Horsáková V, Nekola JC and Horsák M (2019) When is a "cryptic" species not a cryptic species: a consideration from the Holarctic micro-landsnail genus *Euconulus* (Gastropoda: Stylommatophora). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **132**, 307–320.
- Huelsenbeck JP and Ronquist F (2001) MrBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 17, 754–755.
- Keppel E, Sigovini M and Tagliapietra D (2012) A new geographical record of *Polycera hedgpethi* Er. Marcus, 1964 (Nudibranchia: Polyceridae) and

evidence of its established presence in the Mediterranean Sea, with a review of its geographical distribution. *Marine Biology Research* **8**, 969–981.

- Kienberger K, Carmona L, Pola M, Padula V, Gosliner TM and Cervera JL (2016) Aeolidia papillosa (Linnaeus, 1761) (Mollusca: Heterobranchia: Nudibranchia), single species or a cryptic species complex? A morphological and molecular study. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 177, 481–506.
- Korshunova T, Martynov A, Bakken T and Picton B (2017a) External diversity is restrained by internal conservatism: new nudibranch mollusc contributes to the cryptic species problem. *Zoologica Scripta* 46, 683–692.
- Korshunova T, Martynov A, Bakken T, Evertsen J, Fletcher K, Mudianta IW, Saito H, Lundin K, Schrödl M and Picton B (2017b) Polyphyly of the traditional family Flabellinidae affects a major group of Nudibranchia: aeolidacean taxonomic reassessment with descriptions of several new families, genera, and species (Mollusca, Gastropoda). *ZooKeys* 717, 1–139.
- Korshunova T, Picton B, Furfaro G, Mariottini P, Pontes M, Prkić J, Fletcher K, Malmberg K, Lundin K and Martynov A (2019) Multilevel fine-scale diversity challenges the 'cryptic species' concept. *Scientific Reports* 9, 1–23.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C and Tamura K (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35, 1547–1549.
- Layton KK, Gosliner TM and Wilson NG (2018) Flexible colour patterns obscure identification and mimicry in Indo-Pacific Chromodoris Nudibranchs (Gastropoda: Chromodorididae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 124, 27–36.
- Leigh JW and Bryant D (2015) Popart: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6, 1110–1116.
- Lemche H (1929) Gastropoda Opisthobranchiata. Zoology of Faroes 53, 1-35.
- Linnaeus C (1767) Systema naturae per regna tria naturae: secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Ed. 12. 1., Regnum Animale. 1 & 2. Holmiae, Laurentii Salvii. Holmiae [Stockholm], Laurentii Salvii, pp. 533–1327.
- Maddison WP and Maddison DR (2018) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis. Version 3.51. http://www.mesquiteproject.org.
- Marcus Er (1964) A new species of *Polycera* (Nudibranchia) from California. *The Nautilus* 77, 128–131.
- Martínez-Pita I and García FJ (2017) Embryonic abnormalities of two sea slug species from South Spain. *Thalassas: An International Journal of Marine Sciences* 33, 109–115.
- Martínez-Pita I, Sánchez-España AI and García FJ (2006) Some aspects of the reproductive biology of two Atlantic species of *Polycera* (Mollusca: Opisthobranchia). *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 86, 391–399.
- Martynov AV, Korshunova TA and Savinkin OV (2006) Shallow-water opisthobranch molluscs of the Murman coast of the Barents Sea, with new distributional data and remarks on biology. *Ruthenica* 16, 59–72.
- Micaroni V, Strano F, Di Franco D, Crocetta F, Grech D, Piraino S and Boero F (2018) Project 'Biodiversity MARE Tricase': a biodiversity inventory of the coastal area of Tricase (Ionian Sea, Italy) – Mollusca: Heterobranchia. European Zoological Journal 85, 179–192.
- Miller MC (1961) Distribution and food of the nudibranchiate Mollusca of the South of the Isle of Man. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 30, 95–116.
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W and Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In *Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE)*. New Orleans, LA, pp. 1–8. https://www.phylo.org/portal2/login!input.action (Accessed online 2019).
- Moen FE and Svensen E (2014) Dyreliv i havet; Nordeuropeisk Marin Fauna, 6th edn. Kristiansund: Kom forlag.
- MolluscaBase (eds) (2020) MolluscaBase. Polycera quadrilineata (O. F. Müller, 1776). Accessed through: World Register of Marine Species at: http://www.marinespecies.org/aphia.php?p=taxdetails&id=140838.
- Müller OF (1776) Zoologiae Danicae prodromus: seu Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae indigenarum; characteres, nomina, et synonyma imprimis popularium. Havniae: typis Hallageriis, Copenhagen.
- Müller OF (1779) Zoologia Danica, seu, Animalium Daniae et Norvegiae rariorum ac minus notorum descriptiones et historia. Hauniae et Lipsiae: Sumtibus Weygandinis, Copenhagen.
- Padula V, Araujo AK, Matthews-Cascon H and Schrödl M (2014) Is the Mediterranean nudibranch *Cratena peregrina* (Gmelin, 1791) present on

the Brazilian coast? Integrative species delimitation and description of *Cratena minor* n. sp. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* **80**, 575–584.

- Padula V, Bahia J, Stöger I, Camacho-García Y, Malaquias MAE, Cervera JL and Schrödl M (2016) A test of color-based taxonomy in nudibranchs: molecular phylogeny and species delimitation of the *Felimida clenchi* (Mollusca: Chromodorididae) species complex. *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution 103, 215–229.
- Palomar G, Pola M and Garcia-Vazquez E (2014) First molecular phylogeny of the subfamily Polycerinae (Mollusca, Nudibranchia, Polyceridae). *Helgoland Marine Research* 68, 143–153.
- **Picton BE and Morrow CC** (1994) A Field Guide to the Nudibranchs of the British Isles. London: Immel Publishing.
- Pruvot-Fol A (1951) Etudes des nudibranches de la Méditerranée 2. Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale 88, 1–80.
- Puillandre N, Lambert A, Brouillet S and Achaz G (2012) ABGD, Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery for primary species delimitation. *Molecular Ecology* 21, 1864–1877.
- Rambaut A (2016) FigTree v1.4.3. Tree figure drawing tool. Software available at http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
- Rudman WB (1999) Polycera quadrilineata (Müller, 1776). Sea Slug Forum. Australian Museum, Sydney. http://www.seaslugforum.net/factsheet/polyquad (Accessed online 2019).
- Schmekel L, Portmann A, Richter I and Bousani-Baur S (1982) Opisthobranchia des Mittelmeeres; Nudibranchia und Saccoglossa. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Struck TH, Feder JL, Bendiksby M, Birkeland S, Cerca J, Gusarov VI, Kistenich S, Larsson K-H, Liow HL, Nowak MD, Stedje B, Bachmann

L and Dimitrov D (2018) Finding evolutionary processes hidden in cryptic species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **33**, 153–163.

- Telnes K (2018) Nudibranch *Polycera quadrilineata*. SeaWater: The Marine Flora and Fauna of Norway. http://www.seawater.no/fauna/mollusca/quadrilineata.html (Accessed online 2019).
- Thompson TE (1988) Molluscs: benthic opisthobranchs (Mollusca: Gastropoda). Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series) No. 8, 2nd edn. Leiden: Linnean Society and The Estuarine and Brackish-water Sciences Association, E. J. Brill/W. Backhuys.
- Thompson TE and Brown GH (1984) *Biology of Opisthobranch Molluscs*, vol.2. London: The Ray Society.
- Todd CD (1981) The ecology of nudibranch molluscs. Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 19, 141–233.
- Todd CD (1983) Reproductive and trophic ecology of nudibranch molluscs. The Mollusca: Ecology 6, 225–259.
- Trainito E (2005) Nudibranchi del Mediterraneo. Guida ai molluschi opistobranchi. Milan: Il Castello.
- Trainito E and Doneddu M (2014) Nudibranchi del Mediterraneo. Milan: Edizione Il Castello.
- Vondrák J, Říha P, Arup U and Søchting U (2009) The taxonomy of the Caloplaca citrina group (Teloschistaceae) in the Black Sea region; with contributions to the cryptic species concept in lichenology. The Lichenologist 41, 571–604.
- Wilson NG and Burghardt I (2015) Here be dragons phylogeography of Pteraeolidia ianthina (Angas, 1864) reveals multiple species of photosynthetic nudibranchs (Aeolidina: Nudibranchia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 175, 119–133.