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ABSTRACT  
Scholars have drawn increasing attention to the implications of the dark side of social media for users’ online subjective well-being (OSWB). We develop a research framework based on the limited-capacity model to examine the relationship between OSWB and social media fatigue. Moreover, we explore the associations between specific aspects related to network heterogeneity and social media fatigue for social media users in the United States of America (USA). Further, we examine the mediating effect of network heterogeneity on the association between OSWB and social media fatigue. We utilised a cross-sectional research design to collect data from Prolific Academic (N = 320) and analysed the data through structural equation modelling. The results indicate that OSWB is positively correlated with the network heterogeneity aspect of self-disclosure and negatively correlated with social comparison. OSWB, moreover, is negatively correlated with fatigue, while privacy concerns and self-disclosure are positively correlated with fatigue. Further, of the network heterogeneity aspects we considered, only social comparison is a partial mediator for the relationship between OSWB and social media fatigue. The findings provide insights into the pathways through which social media users’ OSWB and network heterogeneity can induce social media fatigue, raising critical implications for theory and practice.

1. Introduction  
Social media use entails dual implications for individuals. On the one hand, social media platforms have helped people widen their social relationships, improve their self-esteem and life satisfaction and enjoy ample opportunities for self-presentation (Malik et al., 2020; Talwar et al., 2019). On the other hand, existing research has extensively discussed the negative consequences of social media usage for individuals’ well-being—for instance, the potential for being cyber stalked (Kaur et al., 2020), the fear of missing out (FoMO; Tandon et al., 2021a), sleep problems induced by social media use (Kaur et al., 2021; Dhir et al., 2021) and social media use induced negative emotions, such as jealousy (Tandon et al., 2021b) anxiety, depression (Tandon et al., 2020c; Swain and Pati, 2019) and even fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019, 2018). Users’ online subjective well-being (OSWB) is among the issues to which researchers have devoted increasing attention (Chang and Hsu, 2016; Huang, 2016; Diener et al., 2015). Scholars have studied OSWB extensively in real-life (i.e. offline) contexts as the user’s evaluation of his or her life as a whole, based on criteria determined by the user him or herself (Fan et al., 2019; Diener et al., 2015). OSWB refers to a broad spectrum of feelings and emotions, such as satisfaction, happiness and negative and positive affect, experienced by individuals using the Internet and social media platforms (Fan et al., 2019; Verdun et al., 2017). In essence, OSWB may be understood as individuals’ happiness, perceived social support and satisfaction with their digital or social media lives (Huang, 2016).

Scholars argue that OSWB plays a crucial role in determining the success of any online platform, including social media (Chang and Hsu, 2016). This may be attributed to the fact that social media platforms facilitate users’ engagement in heterogeneous interactions and communications, also referred to as network heterogeneity (Kim and Kim, 2017), which produces benefits, such as increasing satisfaction with...
social media communication (Munzel et al., 2018) and bridging and building social capital (e.g. Roberts and David, 2020). Notably, multiple studies have examined OSWB as a consequence of social media use (e.g., Wang et al., 2017) in terms of positive aspects, such as social capital (e.g., Roberts and David, 2020; Chang and Hsu, 2016), self-disclosure (e.g., Huang, 2016) and satisfaction with life (e.g. Chiu et al., 2013), as well as negative aspects, such as problematic Internet use (e.g. Mei et al., 2016).

In comparison, scholars have rarely investigated the role of OSWB as an antecedent of network heterogeneity and negative aspects of social media use, i.e. the dark side of social media.

The past decade has produced increasing studies aimed at understanding the dark side of social media, which refers to the phenomena associated with social media use that adversely influence users’ well-being, including social media fatigue (Dhir et al., 2019; Malik et al., 2020) and FoMO (Tandon et al., 2020). For instance, Appel et al. (2020), in a meta-analytic review, found a small yet significantly negative association between social media use and various aspects of well-being, such as life satisfaction, loneliness and depression. In another study, James et al. (2017) discussed both the positive (bright side) and negative (dark side) aspects of digital media use for teenagers’ well-being. The authors also called for more research to clarify the nuanced associations among individual, technological and situational factors that produce positive versus negative outcomes. Subsequently, we argue that it would be beneficial to understand how OSWB affects users’ network heterogeneity and translates into negative consequences. We contend that examining these relationships is especially important in the context of the COVID-19 lockdown, which has caused many individuals to turn towards social media as a primary channel of communication that enables them to maintain social connectedness.

We expect the OSWB of social media users to be associated with individual differences in the network heterogeneity in which they engage. In particular, we focus on three such aspects related to the dark side of social media: (a) concerns about the privacy of shared information (or privacy concerns), (b) users’ inclinations towards social comparison and (c) self-disclosure. In short, privacy concerns relate to users’ apprehensions about their perceived control over the use of and potential misuse of the information they share on social media (Dhir et al., 2019), whereas self-disclosure is the act of sharing information on social media to seek relatedness. Moreover, social media users may engage in social comparison to seek information about others and thereby improve themselves or their self-perceptions. We posit that individuals’ OSWB and engagement with these three aspects correlate with their experienced social media fatigue (or fatigue), representing the social, information or communication overload resulting from excessive social media use. The literature has shown that these individual-level aspects of social media use, i.e. privacy concerns, social comparison and self-disclosure, are associated with problematic social media use and, subsequently, fatigue (e.g. Dhir et al., 2018, 2019). However, specific gaps appear in our existing knowledge about these associations.

In particular, we delineate three critical gaps in the current literature on OSWB. First, we have limited knowledge of whether OSWB, which was initially considered a positive motivation for using social media, can spiral towards the darker side of social media use due to individual differences in network heterogeneity. Second, to the best of our knowledge, little prior research has examined the relationship between OSWB and the dark side of social media. Social media provides its users with various gratifications, including opportunities for information sharing, self-disclosure and social connectedness, etc. Therefore, it is natural to assume that OSWB is one of the key reasons for social media use. Whether it leads to a downward spiral culminating in users’ experience of the dark side of social media, however, remains a pertinent yet underexplored question. Third, the literature suggests that individuals’ experiences with the dark side of social media are influenced by intervening variables (e.g. Keles et al., 2020; Chai et al., 2019), such as self-disclosure and social comparison, which may be related to the nature of the activities or interactions in which they engage on these platforms, i.e. network heterogeneity. However, little research has studied the role of network heterogeneity as an intervening variable for OSWB or its association with aspects of the dark side of social media, such as fatigue.

To address these gaps, we raise and answer the following two research questions: (a) What are the associations among OSWB, privacy concerns, self-disclosure, social comparison and social media fatigue? (b) Do privacy concerns, social comparison and self-disclosure exert an intervening (mediating) effect on the association between OSWB and social media fatigue? We utilise the theoretical lens of the limited-capacity model (LCM; Lang, 2000) to understand how OSWB and individual differences in network heterogeneity-related aspects of privacy concerns, social comparison and self-disclosure may lead to the adverse outcome of fatigue. Using the theoretical lens of LCM, we also examine how these network heterogeneity aspects mediate the association between OSWB and fatigue. We test the hypothesised associations by analysing data on social media users based in the United States of America (USA) collected through Prolific Academic.

Our study presents three contributions to the existing literature. First, we study OSWB, which has been a lesser investigated construct in social media research. Given that social media has become immensely popular among people from varied demographic, geographic and cultural contexts (Dhir et al., 2021), examining the implications of its use for individual well-being is imperative, especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic and its consequent lockdown. Second, we examine a hitherto unexplored aspect of the relationship between positive and negative aspects of social media use by proposing OSWB as an antecedent of individual differences in network heterogeneity (i.e. privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison) and fatigue. This is an essential contribution because existing research has linked OSWB (Chang and Hsu, 2016) and fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019, 2018) to individuals’ usage and continuation intentions for social media platforms. Our study offers information on how social media service providers can enhance existing and prospective social media users’ OSWB and circumvent their experience of fatigue. Third, we study the mediating role of individual differences in network heterogeneity for the association between OSWB and fatigue, elucidating a pathway through which social media use for a positive purpose (i.e. OSWB) can lead to a negative outcome (i.e. fatigue). Increasing debate surrounds the dark side of social media use (e.g. Talwar et al., 2019), which threatens users’ OSWB (e.g. Dhir et al., 2018). As such, insights about OSWB’s correlations with the dark side of social media are immensely valuable to practitioners (e.g. educators and psychologists) who work with individuals experiencing indicators of diminished well-being and fatigue due to social media use.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows. Section Two outlines the theoretical grounding of our study and the arguments for the hypothesised associations. Section Three explains the methodological approach of our research, and Section Four presents the results of the data analysis. We discuss the findings in Section Five, while the concluding remarks, implications and limitations of our study, along with the scope for future research, appear in Section Six.

2. Theory and hypotheses development

2.1. Limited-capacity model (LCM)

We employ LCM to develop the research framework of our study. A widely accepted theory, LCM makes two broad assumptions applicable in the present context (Lang, 2000): (a) social media users are information processors and (b) social media users possess limited cognitive capacity and mental resources (i.e. recognition memory, agility to allocate, respond or even react) to process new information, such as media content. Based on these assumptions, scholars argue that LCM is a
suitable theoretical framework for explaining fatigue (Dhir et al., 2018, 2019), communication (Koltay, 2017) and social overload (Whelan et al., 2020; Maier et al., 2015). According to Bright et al. (2015), social media users process information in three steps: (a) receiving a stimulus (e.g. exposure to online content), (b) analysing or encoding the information and (c) storing the information cognitively for retrieval at a later time. However, the cycle of encoding, storage and retrieval is only possible if the user has sufficient cognitive space available for processing the information or content.

We utilise LCM as a basis for understanding how a positive motivation, such as OSWB, can translate into a negative aspect, such as fatigue, from two perspectives. First, we use LCM to elucidate the association among OSWB, aspects of network heterogeneity (privacy concerns, social comparison and self-disclosure) and fatigue. We posit that the intrinsic desire to tap sources of well-being causes individuals to seek relatedness via social media. Upon experiencing enhanced well-being, social media users are initially motivated by perceived gratifications, including improving their self-esteem or comparing themselves with others. In pursuing such motives, which have been discussed extensively in the extant research (e.g. Luo and Hancock, 2020), these individuals may seek validation through excessive use of social media platforms, which would then be associated with greater privacy concerns, social comparison and self-disclosure.

Second, the propositions of LCM can also explain the association between network heterogeneity and fatigue. We argue that the incessant information and communication individuals receive due to the network heterogeneity aspects of social media use can lead to overload and thus a reduction in users’ cognitive capacity to process information (e.g. Dhir et al., 2018, 2019). We expect OSWB to be associated with higher aspects of network heterogeneity, wherein each aspect contributes uniquely to perceived overloads, both as antecedents and intervening (mediating) variables. We argue that greater self-disclosure and social comparison causes social media users to increase their content sharing activities and review of others’ shared content, leading to information and communication overload. Increased use of social media platforms can also increase users’ concerns about the security and possible misuse of their personal information available online (e.g. Dhir et al., 2019), which may cause them to continually track their social media accounts to alleviate these concerns and, once again, result in information overload. Based on LCM, we suggest that such overloads reduce users’ ability to allocate sufficient cognitive resources to process the information generated due to network heterogeneity aspects (i.e. messages and content) and thus cause fatigue.

Based on the tenets of LCM, we propose that OSWB is associated with the network heterogeneity aspects of privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison as well as with fatigue (Fig. 1). Drawing from LCM and the existing research on the dark side of social media, we examine whether, in addition to influencing fatigue directly, these network heterogeneity aspects mediate the association of OSWB with fatigue. Thus, we study how these aspects of network heterogeneity interact with OSWB and fatigue as building blocks of the adverse consequences social media users experience.

2.2. Privacy concerns and fatigue

Although few studies have examined the correlates of fatigue (e.g. Dhir et al., 2019), scholars have found that social media users’ privacy concerns share a significant relationship with this outcome (e.g. Fan et al., 2020; Zhu and Bao, 2018). For instance, Lee et al. (2019) suggested that users of social media platforms, such as Facebook, can exhibit concerns about the impact of their disclosed information on their reputation among digital social groups, which can also induce fatigue. Dhir et al. (2019) determined further that privacy concerns positively influence fatigue, not just on social media but also in mobile messaging apps. Moreover, Lee and Hsieh (2013) observed that privacy concerns are an integral component of fatigue, and they included privacy in their fatigue measurement scale accordingly. Conversely, Malik et al. (2020) found that privacy concerns did not influence fatigue for individuals using mobile messaging apps, such as WhatsApp (a mobile-based social media app). Prior findings on the influence of privacy concerns for fatigue thus exhibit some contradictions. However, Dhir et al. (2019) suggested that fatigue results from social media users’ occupation with

Fig. 1. Hypothesised research framework.
multiple concerns that consume their limited cognitive capacities. Drawing from LCM, we concur with Dhiri et al. (2019) and posit that users’ limited cognitive space and information-processing ability may be burdened due to increased concerns or anxiety about the privacy of their shared content as well as personal information on social media, which might translate into fatigue. Hence, we hypothesise as follows:

H1. Privacy concerns positively correlate with fatigue.

2.3. Online subjective well-being and privacy concerns

While multiple studies relate privacy concerns with the activity levels of users on social media platforms (Malik et al., 2020; Dhiri et al., 2019), minimal research examines the direct association of privacy concerns and OSWB. For example, Ye and Ho (2019) determined that young Internet users’ privacy concerns influenced their privacy protection behaviours, which in turn, along with the time spent on the Internet, negatively impacted their subjective well-being. Dhiri et al. (2019) discussed the active debate surrounding privacy concerns in the context of social media use, especially because social media platforms are designed to induce users to create and share content actively. On the one hand, such active content generation and sharing can produce positive benefits, such as building social capital and fulfilling individuals’ needs for belongingness or relatedness (e.g. Bano et al., 2019). On the other hand, scholars also assert that increased engagement with online media can also lead users to experience privacy concerns due to increasing data breaches (Choi et al., 2018) and specific affordances of social media platforms (Choi and Sung, 2018). For instance, Pwiek and Joinson (2016) found that some users preferred Snapchat due to their perceived satisfaction with its affordances for preserving the privacy of shared content, notifying users of content duplication (e.g. notices of a screenshot being captured) and allowing persistence content.

Further, existing research has also explored—albeit in a limited manner—the influence of emotions and feelings concerning social media and other new media platforms on users’ privacy concerns and resultant behaviour (e.g. Choi et al., 2018; Cho et al., 2020). For example, Li et al. (2017) found that cognitive appraisals and emotions towards an online website predominantly determined users’ online privacy behaviours. In another study, Choi et al. (2018) reported that emotional exhaustion and cynicism related to privacy (which the authors termed ‘privacy fatigue’) positively predicted users’ intention to disclose personal information on and disengage from online platforms.

Users’ satisfaction with their social media platforms’ affordances and the positive emotions (e.g. perceived security) associated with their use of these platforms may be related to the level of their experienced privacy concerns. To the best of our knowledge, however, no evidence exists for the influence of OSWB on users’ privacy concerns. Moreover, most existing studies exploring the role of privacy concerns for social media use behaviour and associated experiences, including OSWB, have utilised cross-sectional surveys (e.g. Malik et al., 2020; Dhiri et al., 2019). Such surveys do not allow us to determine the causality and directionality of the association between privacy concern and OSWB. However, extrapolating from the findings mentioned above, we argue that users driven by greater OSWB (e.g. satisfaction with their online lives, emotions and social media platform affordances) to actively use social media and participate in network heterogeneity also have greater concerns for the privacy of their shared content. Thus, we contend that when a social media user decides to share content to derive OSWB-related benefits, they are concurrently concerned about preserving OSWB by ensuring the privacy of their shared content. Thus, we hypothesise the following:

H2. OSWB positively correlates with privacy concerns.

2.4. Social comparison and fatigue

Social comparison can be understood as the natural tendency of users to compare themselves to others if they are unable to formulate opinions of their own abilities (Talwar et al., 2019; Festinger, 1954). Social comparison usually aims towards self-improvement and enhancing one’s own perceptions, for example, in terms of self-esteem and impression management (Talwar et al., 2019). In the absence of a specific reference point for comparison, however, individuals are inclined to compare themselves to those they consider superior, especially on social media (Festinger, 1954). This social comparison can engender negative emotions, such as envy (Malik et al., 2020; Talwar et al., 2019). Moreover, we argue that social media users who are inclined to engage in comparisons with others will continuously review others’ shared content to obtain information, or references for comparison, causing information overload (Malik et al., 2020; Dhiri et al., 2019). We posit that this information overload and the negative emotions experienced accordingly can burden a social media user’s cognitive capacity, as suggested by LCM, thereby resulting in fatigue. Recent studies have determined a significant positive association between social comparison and fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Dhiri et al., 2019). For instance, Malik et al. (2020) suggested that the association between social comparison and fatigue may be attributed to users’ continual efforts to craft impressive content that enhances their social image. We further argue that such fatigue may be attributed to the negative feelings users experience due to these comparisons, which can lessen users’ desire and motivation to use social media. Thus, based on the prior literature and consistent with LCM, we contend that the negative feelings and information overload users experience by engaging in social comparisons limit their cognitive ability or capacity to process social media information and thus induce fatigue. Therefore, we propose the following hypothesis:

H3. Social comparison positively correlates with fatigue.

2.5. Online subjective well-being and social comparison

The extant literature reports that social comparison correlates with subjective well-being (SWB, Park and Baek, 2018; Verduyn et al., 2017), but consensus is lacking about whether their relationship is positive or negative. For example, Malik et al. (2020) asserted that individuals’ inclination to engage in comparison is contingent on the positive experiences others share on social media. Conversely, studies have also suggested that social comparison can produce adverse effects for SWB by evoking envy (e.g. Latif et al., 2020). For instance, Gerson et al. (2016) found that SWB was negatively associated with social comparison. Verduyn et al. (2020) also suggested that social media users’ tendency to participate in social comparison may negatively affect them by causing them to experience negative feelings. The authors further posited a detrimental cyclical relationship between SWB and social comparison (Verduyn et al., 2020). Prior research linking social comparison with various facets of SWB supports this supposition. For instance, Park and Baek (2018) determined that individuals’ social comparison orientation is associated with general life satisfaction via the emotions created due to social comparison.

However, prior research examining the association between social comparison and SWB has been primarily cross-sectional and correlational, limiting researchers’ derivation of causal inferences. Thus, an opposite relationship may also exist wherein social media users’ belief about having an ideal digital life (i.e. their OSWB) causes them to disengage from social comparison. For example, Jang et al. (2016) found a negative relationship between social comparison and mental health, which they attributed to the idea that the content others share on social media platforms is less likely to detrimentally affect an individual with higher self-esteem.

While, to the best of our knowledge, no prior study examines our proposed directionality of association, we contend that individuals with greater OSWB may exhibit a reduced proclivity for social comparison due to their resilient belief in having a high satisfaction with their social media lives. Our supposition is based on prior research that suggests negative emotions may be linked to an increased proclivity towards
engaging in social comparison (e.g., Gerson et al., 2016; Latif et al., 2020; Verduyn et al., 2020). Extrapolating from previous studies and addressing the lack of knowledge about the directionality of the association due to prior correlational research, we posit that individuals with greater OSWB may exhibit positive emotions and personal traits (e.g. high satisfaction and self-esteem; e.g. Jang et al., 2018) that drive their social media use. We anticipate that such individuals will possess greater resilience towards affirming their OSWB through comparison with others on social media platforms. Thus, we propose the following hypothesis:

**H4. OSWB negatively correlates with social comparison.**

### 2.6. Self-disclosure and fatigue

The existing literature suggests that self-disclosure on social media can expose users to multiple adverse outcomes, such as online harassment, trolling and cyber-bullying (Krasnova et al., 2009). According to Talwar et al. (2019), self-disclosure may even result in individuals’ inclination to share misinformation and fake news. Scholars have suggested that such adverse incidences may translate into stress, anxiety and fatigue among social media users (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019; Rains et al., 2016). However, we contend that self-disclosure may cause fatigue for another reason as well. Because the information or content social media users disclose attracts attention from online friends and generates a high amount of social media communications, for example, through notifications about likes and comments on their posted content, we expect social media users’ efforts to keep up with and respond to such notifications to burden their cognitive capacity and cause fatigue.

The findings of recent studies examining fatigue as a correlate of self-disclosure support our supposition. For instance, Malik et al. (2020) found that self-disclosure predicted fatigue for users of mobile messaging apps, and they attributed this outcome to users’ concerns about revealing sensitive information on social media. Consistent with LCM, Dhir et al. (2019) also suggested that self-disclosure on social media and mobile messaging apps has a limiting effect on users’ cognitive space, which contributes to fatigue. Thus, we hypothesise the following:

**H5. Self-disclosure positively correlates with fatigue.**

### 2.7. Online subjective well-being and self-disclosure

Scholars have posited that multiple gratifications can be derived through self-disclosure on social media, including building friendships and intimate relationships, engaging in self-expression and developing one’s own identity (Krämmer and Schävel, 2020). Luo and Hancock (2020) also observed that self-disclosure allows users to perceiveably gain increased social connectedness, support and capital. However, conspicuously few studies have examined the relationship between OSWB and self-disclosure, and those that have present inconsistent information on the association between the two. For example, Huang (2016) found a positive association between well-being and self-disclosure, while Kim et al. (2014) observed a negative one. A possible reason for these inconsistent results may be differences in the target population, such as the age groups studied. For example, Huang’s (2016) work was based on young adults (below 30 years old), while Kim et al. (2014) focused on a population between 30 and 40 years of age. It is possible that individuals belonging to different age groups may, in fact, have different perspectives regarding the long-term risks associated with social media use (Tandon et al., 2020), such as self-disclosure. For instance, Richey et al. (2018) found that participants were wary that social media could be a form of surveillance for their professional colleagues or seniors, and they were, therefore, more cautious about their posts. Contrarily, Throuvala et al. (2019) observed that self-disclosure practices were prevalent among adolescents, who utilised these practices to identify common interests among their virtual groups and responded to them as an incentive for social media use. Moreover, Dhir (2016) suggested that self-disclosure practices are essential for adolescent social media users because such practices are linked to their developmental goals.

While we could not find existing evidence for the influence of OSWB on self-disclosure, we argue that if social media users have high OSWB, experience positive emotions and are happy with their social media lives, they will be more likely to engage in self-disclosure on social media platforms. Our supposition is based on prior findings that suggest that an individual’s psychological state influences self-disclosure. For instance, Jeong et al. (2019) noted a dearth of studies examining how an individual’s state of mind influences his or her social media activities. However, they also suggested that individuals with an uncomfortable psychological state arising from exposure to differing opinions on social media may choose to selectively disclose or limit themselves on these platforms. Cheung et al. (2015) determined that perceived benefits, including enjoyment and relationship building, are strong predictors of self-disclosure on social media platforms. In a recent study, Nabby-Grover et al. (2020) suggested that during the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals may have relied on self-disclosure via social media to maintain connectedness, and they call for further research to understand the mechanisms behind self-disclosures during and related to the pandemic.

Extrapolating from these studies, we contend that social media users with a higher OSWB due to the perceived and derived benefits of social media use may engage in more self-disclosure practices to reaffirm their well-being (Luo and Hancock, 2020) and derive benefits, such as enjoyment (Cheung et al., 2015) and connectedness (Nabby-Grover et al., 2020). We expect OSWB to correlate positively with self-disclosure in the current scenario as individuals across the globe turn to social media to maintain connectivity, relationships and psychological well-being. Thus, we hypothesise as follows:

**H6. OSWB positively correlates with self-disclosure.**

### 2.8. Online subjective well-being and fati

The existing literature mainly reflects three schools of thought on the correlations shared between social media use and SWB. These schools of thought showcase extremely divergent views (Webster et al., 2021). The first suggests an absence of any significant association between the use of social media and its attributes, on one hand, and users’ SWB, on the other (Vergeer and Pelzer, 2009). However, an increasing number of recent studies have disproved this school of thought by consistently revealing a significant association between the two variables. These findings have motivated discussions on the remaining two schools of thought, which we discuss next.

The second school of thought reports that users’ SWB may be negatively affected by social media usage (Yao and Cao, 2017). Relatively recent literature has observed that expectations of positive benefits, such as building inter-personal relationships and engaging in social interactions, may drive individuals’ social media use and the incumbent activities they undertake (Krämmer and Schävel, 2020, Roberts and David, 2020; Cao et al., 2019). Such perceived benefits may increase users’ attachment to social media platforms, which may encourage users to excessively or compulsively use social media (Oberst et al., 2017), thereby producing negative psychosocial outcomes (Roberts and David, 2020). In fact, compulsive use was found to have a significant positive association with fatigue (Dhir et al., 2018).

On the contrary, the last school of thought posits that high SWB could result from social media use (Reinecke and Hofmann, 2016), thereby implying a positive effect of using social media on users’ SWB. For instance, Satıcı and Uysal (2015) determined that SWB predictors of life satisfaction and subjective happiness were negatively correlated with the problematic use of Facebook. We agree with this school of thought and also contend that social media users with high OSWB experience fewer negative phenomena consistently associated with social media use, including fatigue. We assert that these users’ satisfaction
and the higher levels of perceived benefits they derive from social media use cause them to allocate greater time and cognitive capacity to process the information and content shared on these platforms, which, in turn, leads them to experience lower levels of social media fatigue. Our contention is also advanced by the fact that the demographic cohort on which we focus, i.e. young adults, considers social media to be an integral part of their lives and are highly frequent users of these platforms (Statista, 2020).

Because the prevalent methodologies (e.g. cross-sectional studies) used to study the correlation between SWB and social media use hinder efforts to ascertain causality, our study achieves an important objective. In fact, our efforts to examine whether OSWB and fatigue are negatively correlated answer calls from recent studies to better understand the factors that protect social media users from the adverse consequences of using these platforms (e.g. Webster et al., 2021), especially when those users are adolescents and young adults who are particularly vulnerable to such consequences. Thus, we posit that OSWB-driven social media users allocate greater cognitive processing abilities to process social media communique and experience lower levels of fatigue. Based on this discussion, we hypothesise the following:

H7. OSWB negatively correlates with fatigue.

2.9. The mediating role of network heterogeneity—privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison

The prior literature has presented inconsistent findings regarding the relationship between well-being and social media use (e.g. Chai et al., 2019), suggesting that intervening variables may influence the relationship between OSWB and the psychosocial consequences of social media use (Keles et al., 2020), including fatigue. Recent studies examining the negative psychological outcomes associated with social media use have reported the complexity of this relationship and the need to investigate moderating and mediating variables that might influence it (Keles et al., 2020; Chai et al., 2019; Reer et al., 2019). Keles et al. (2020) indicated that behaviours and motives related to social media use might be more influential as mediators than is the intensity of use. Based on these studies, we examine whether, in concurrence with a direct influence, the network heterogeneity aspects—that is, privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison—mediate the association between OSWB and fatigue. Our supposition finds support in LCM, which contends that privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison can adversely influence social media users’ cognitive processing abilities (Dhir et al., 2019). This should, in turn, also influence the association between OSWB and fatigue. We contend that due to OSWB, social media users engage in increased network heterogeneity and exhibit individual differences with respect to the degree of this heterogeneity.

2.9.1. Privacy concerns

The role of privacy concerns involving the use of social media and its behavioural outcomes has also seen limited examination in the context of fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Zhu and Bao, 2018). However, the literature has suggested that privacy concerns have a mediating effect on self-disclosure via social media. For instance, Tsay-Vogel et al. (2018) determined that privacy-related concerns mediate between Facebook use and offline and online disclosures. According to Alashoor et al. (2017), privacy concerns mediate the associations between specific antecedents (big data-related familiarity, self-efficacy, perceived control and vulnerability) and outcomes (self-disclosure concerns and accuracy). Zhu and Bao (2018) also determined that privacy concerns in the context of WeChat (a social networking service) mediate the association between fatigue, on one hand, and perceived complementarity and network size, on the other. To the best of our knowledge, however, no existing evidence supports the mediating effect of privacy concern on the association between OSWB and fatigue. This represents a key gap in the literature because privacy concerns play a significant role in the dark side of social media-related issues, such as fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2018, 2019; Bright et al., 2015). We argue that such a mediating effect may exist as an individual’s level of privacy concerns may influence his or her perceived OSWB from social media, ability to process social media-related communications and, consequently, fatigue. Thus, we draw upon LCM to propose the following hypothesis:

H8a. Privacy concerns mediate the association between OSWB and fatigue.

2.9.2. Social comparison

Scholars have previously studied the mediating role of individuals’ inclinations to compare themselves while using social media. Rozgonjuk et al. (2019) found that users’ orientation for social comparison mediated between their personality traits (neuroticism) and passive use of a specific social media platform (i.e. Facebook). Similarly, Reer et al. (2019) determined that users’ social comparison orientation mediated the relationship between FoMO and psychological well-being. Moreover, scholars have suggested that social media users may engage in excessive passive use of these platforms to gain information and thereby create a point of reference for social comparison (Malik et al., 2020; Talwar et al., 2019). We argue that individuals with enhanced OSWB, i.e. those who are happy and satisfied with their social media lives, are more motivated to compare themselves with others on these platforms. Moreover, we draw from existing literature and the propositions of LCM to argue that individuals’ tendencies to compare themselves on social media burden their cognitive space and indirectly affect the relationship between OSWB and fatigue.

H8b. Social comparison mediates the association between OSWB and fatigue.

2.9.3. Self-disclosure

While most of the research on the dark side of social media has investigated self-disclosure as an antecedent of social media use-related outcomes, such as fatigue (e.g. Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019), few scholars have investigated the indirect effect of self-disclosure. For instance, Varnali and Toker (2015) found that self-disclosure mediated between personality traits oriented towards communication and social media use. Kim et al. (2014) also examined self-disclosure as a mediator between well-being and the positive (communication, entertainment and information) and negative (privacy concerns) factors associated with social media use. They further determined that self-disclosure mediated between well-being and positive factors, whereas privacy concerns were insignificantly associated with self-disclosure. Thus, limited research supports the role of self-disclosure as a mediator for social media-related behaviours. However, we draw from the available literature and intuitively argue that self-disclosure indirectly influences the associations between OSWB and fatigue by increasing the amount of information generated and thus increasing users’ burden of cognitive processing. Hence, we hypothesise as follows:

H8c. Self-disclosure mediates the association between OSWB and fatigue.

2.10. Control variables

Individual-level differences may also influence social media-related behaviours and outcomes. This is especially true for socio-demographic variables, such as age (Reer et al., 2019). Thus, we follow prior studies (e.g. Dhir et al., 2021) in including age and gender as control variables. Moreover, since we focus on young adults as the respondent group, we also include educational qualification as a control variable. Indeed, social media research has indicated that social media use and its outcomes may vary with individuals’ academic performance and achievement (e.g. Swain and Pati, 2019).
3. Research methodology

3.1. Study instrument

We developed the instrument for the study by adapting existing scale items (see Table 1), which measured responses on a five-point Likert scale (wherein 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = strongly agree). To ensure that the items and study instruments were appropriate, we conducted a pilot study with 12 young adult social media users between 18 and 21 years of age. Following the pilot study, we made minor modifications in the wording of three items to enhance their clarity and suitability in the present context. Furthermore, to ensure the content and face validity of the adopted items, we followed prior scholars (e.g. Talwar et al., 2019) in soliciting the opinions of three expert researchers from the fields of psychology, information systems science and marketing. The experts suggested further modifications in the wording of four items, which we incorporated. Thus, the pilot study and experts’ suggestions confirmed that the study’s instrument and items were valid and suitable for further use.

3.2. Sample and data collection

We utilised a cross-sectional survey, which has been extensively used in social media literature (e.g. Dhir et al., 2018, 2019, 2021). In May 2021, we used Google Forms to collect the data from young adult social media users (aged between 18 and 21 years) via Prolific Academic, which is an online recruitment platform used extensively by scholars. We informed the respondents that their participation in the survey was voluntary and anonymised and assured them that we would use their responses only for academic purposes. We limited the survey to respondents based in the USA and received 320 valid responses, which we subsequently analysed (see Table 2 for a socio-demographic profile of the respondents).

We selected the USA as the study context because it includes over 223 million active social media users. Approximately 90% of these users fall within the ages of 18 to 29 years, and approximately 30% self-reported being addicted to social media platforms (Statista, 2020). We chose young adults as our study participants for two key reasons. First, social media platforms are extremely popular among this age group, especially in the USA (Statista, 2020), to fulfil varied purposes, including self-presentation, self-expression and identity building (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2018). Second, this age group is especially vulnerable to the positive and negative attributes of social media use, such as stress (Maier et al., 2015), technology overuse (Tarafdar et al., 2015) and social overload (Maier et al., 2015). Deeper insights into the correlations between young adults’ OSWB and the negative aspects of social media use can help guide their safe use of these platforms to avoid these negative attributes, which may hinder users’ development.

3.3. Data analysis

We analysed the data through SPSS 26 and AMOS 26. We used structural equation modelling (SEM) because it is one of the most commonly used methods of data analysis in the context of social media. Furthermore, our methodology fulfilled the stringent requirements required to conduct it (e.g. Tandon et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2018, 2019).

4. Results

4.1. Normality and common method bias

We tested the assumptions of normality and multicollinearity before conducting SEM. The skewness and kurtosis values fell below the recommended thresholds and confirmed that the data met the assumptions for normality. Furthermore, the variance inflation factor and tolerance values confirmed the absence of multicollinearity issues, which may

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Items and factor loadings for the measurement and structural models.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Study measures</strong></td>
<td><strong>Measurement items</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy concerns (PC)</td>
<td>Compared to others, I am more sensitive about the way social media platforms handle my personal information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dhir et al., 2015; Malhotra et al., 2004)</td>
<td>To me, it is the most important thing to keep my privacy intact on social media platforms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am concerned about threats to my personal privacy on social media platforms today.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am concerned about my privacy on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am concerned that the information I submit on social media platforms could be misused.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am concerned that a person can find my private information on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social comparison (SC)</td>
<td>When I am on social media, I tend to compare myself with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Gibbons &amp; Buunk, 1999; Latif et al., 2020; Reer et al., 2019; Steers et al., 2014; Talwar et al., 2019)</td>
<td>When I am on social media, I always pay attention to how I do things compared with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When I am on social media, I often check how I am doing socially compared with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When I am on social media, I often compare my own accomplishments with others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When I am on social media, I often compare how others handle the same problems that I am facing and/or handling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-disclosure (SD)</td>
<td>When I am on social media, I compare my situation in life with that of others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dhir et al., 2015; 2021; Gibbs et al., 2006; Wheeless, 1978)</td>
<td>I have a detailed profile on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My social media profile tells a lot about me.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I reveal a lot of information about myself on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In most respects, my online social life is close to my ideal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The conditions of my online social life on social media platforms are excellent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am satisfied with my online social life on social media platforms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>So far, I have obtained important things I want from my social life on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At present, I am completely satisfied with my life on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I feel happy about the personal aspects (achievements, personality, health, etc.) of my life on social media.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media fatigue (SMF)</td>
<td>The amount of information available on social media makes me tense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Dhir et al., 2015; Ilham et al., 2020; Talwar et al., 2019; Whelan et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Due to using social media, I feel rather mentally exhausted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After a session of using social media, I feel really fatigued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I find it difficult to relax after and/or handling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Due to social media use, I feel exhausted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SEM = Factor loadings structural model, CFA = Factor loadings measurement model
occur due to inter-associations among independent constructs (O’Brien, 2007). To assess for common method bias (CMB), we conducted Harman’s single factor test (Harman, 1976). This test produced a CMB value of 25.23%, which is less than the recommended threshold (i.e. 50%). Thus, CMB did not affect our study (Podsakoff et al., 2003).

4.2. Measurement model, validity and reliability

We used confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) to assess the measurement model and factor loadings of the scale items (see Table 1). The CFA results helped establish the reliability and validity of the study measures. The measurement model’s fit was good, and the model fit indices were as follows: $X^2/df = 2.13$, $CFI = 0.94$, $TLI = 0.93$, $RMSEA = 0.06$. The study measures possessed sufficient convergent validity because the value of the average variance extracted (AVE) for the study constructs satisfied the recommended threshold value of 0.50 (Kline, 2016), the values of the loadings of the measurement items exceeded the suggested value of 0.50 (Kline, 2016; see Table 1) and the composite reliability (CR) value of the study constructs exceeded 0.70, as recommended in the existing literature (Kline, 2016). These values indicate the internal reliability of the considered research constructs. The study measures further possessed sufficient discriminant validity (Kline, 2016), and the correlation between any two given measures was smaller than the square root of the AVE value of a given measure (Kline, 2016, see Table 3). In addition to CFA (Kline, 2016), we also conducted heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT) analysis (Henseler et al., 2015) to confirm the validity and reliability of the adopted scales in the current context (see Table 4).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socio-demographic profile</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–19 years</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–20 years</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–22 years</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>44.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational qualification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed high school</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>25.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed/pursuing</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional/vocational school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completed/pursuing bachelor’s</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed/pursuing master’s</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average use per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of social media in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>past week</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to 30 minutes per day</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 minutes–1 hour per day</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 hours per day</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5 hours per day</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>32.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 5–7 hours per</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Structural model

The structural model exhibited a good fit, and the model fit indices were as follows: $X^2/df = 2.10$, $CFI = 0.93$, $TLI = 0.92$, $RMSEA = 0.06$. The analysis statistically supported five proposed hypotheses (H1, H3, H4, H6 and H7) for the main effects, while the results for two hypotheses (H2 and H5) were not statistically significant (H2 and H5; see Table 5 and Fig. 2). The structural model explained 31% of the variance in users’ fatigue, 0.4% of the variance in privacy concerns, 7% of the variance in social comparison and 2.4% of the variance in self-disclosure. Moreover, the analysis confirmed that none of the control variables had a confounding influence on fatigue.

4.4. Mediation analysis

To answer RQ2, which examined the mediating role of network heterogeneity, the analysis also employed Hayes’ PROCESS macro to test for mediation in the considered relationships (Model 4). The results indicate that only social comparison partially mediates the association between OSWB and fatigue (see Tables 6 and 7). Thus, the analysis only supported H8b, whereas the results for H8a and H8c were not statistically significant.

5. Discussion

The results of the data analysis support H1, H3, H4, H6 and H7 and thus confirm that OSWB has a viable influence on network heterogeneity aspects and fatigue. Our findings suggest that social media fatigue—a dark side of social media use—is a viable concern for young adults who are actively engaged with network heterogeneity aspects and have a low or diminished OSWB.

The results confirm that privacy concerns positively correlate with fatigue; thus, the first hypothesis (H1) is supported. These findings are consistent with the existing literature, which has suggested that privacy exposure risks are a critical part of social media fatigue (Lee and Hsieh, 2013) and that privacy concerns are positively associated with fatigue.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Results of HTMT analysis.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSWB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SMF = social media fatigue, OSWB = online subjective well-being, PC = privacy concerns, SD = self-disclosure, SOC = social comparison
media users (2019), who contended that high privacy concerns consume social also confirm the propositions of Malik et al. (2020) and Dhir et al. actively ensure their OSWB. However, this finding may be specific to the this developed economy are distrustful of the data protection afforded users in the USA. This may be explained by the fact that consumers in heterogeneity aspect that is unaffected by the OSWB of social media concerns (Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019; Bright et al., 2015). The findings suggest that privacy concerns are a network limited cognitive space, which results in fatigue. The analysis confirms that OSWB is uncorrelated with privacy concerns (H2). The findings suggest that privacy concerns are a network heterogeneity aspect that is unaffected by the OSWB of social media users in the USA. This may be explained by the fact that consumers in this developed economy are distrustful of the data protection afforded by social media platforms (eMarketer, 2019). Such consumers may be pre-emptive in maintaining privacy controls on these platforms to create a perceivably satisfactory and even ideal usage situation and thus pro-actively ensure their OSWB. However, this finding may be specific to the sampled respondents, and further research is required to ascertain its generalisability. Moreover, it may be worth examining this association in the context of different types of social media platforms and degrees of usage or content sharing activities that users may undertake on them.

Consistent with prior research (e.g. Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019), our study also finds that social comparison positively correlates with fatigue (H3). We attribute this association to the fact that social media users who engage in social comparison continually browse through social media content. The resultant information overload thus burdens their cognitive space and their information-processing abilities, translating into fatigue (e.g. Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2016). Consistent with the tenets of LCM (Lang, 2000), this further suggests that social comparison processes and the consequent emotions users experience limit social media users’ cognitive capacity.

The results show that OSWB shares a significant negative correlation with social comparison (H4), thereby supporting our supposition. This finding lends credence to prior research (e.g. Gerson et al., 2016), which has found a negative correlation between SWB and social comparison. It suggests that users who enjoy high satisfaction with their digital lives on social media platforms are less likely to engage in social comparisons to reinforce their beliefs because they may already believe they have an ideal social life on these platforms. Our results thus show that individuals who believe that they derive significant benefits from social media platforms—for example, in terms of personal aspects (achievements, personality, health etc.)—may be inured from engaging in some perceivably detrimental phenomena associated with using these platforms, which, in our case, involves social comparison.

We find that self-disclosure is uncorrelated with fatigue (H5). This is a surprising finding, which is inconsistent with the prior literature (e.g. Malik et al., 2020; Dhir et al., 2019; Rains et al., 2016). Because most regions in the USA remain under stay-at-home orders or are only beginning to ease the restrictions established to combat the pandemic (Wu et al., 2021), we believe that this divergent result may be attributed to individuals’ increased use of social media platforms to communicate with others during the COVID-19 lockdown (Statista, 2020). Under these circumstances, users may prioritise self-disclosure, which leads them to devote greater cognitive processing capacity towards subsequently generated communications and to discount the experience of fatigue. However, this may be a highly context-specific finding contingent on the environmental crisis (i.e. the COVID-19 pandemic) our participants were experiencing. Any generalisations thus require further investigation.

The findings reveal that OSWB positively correlates with self-disclosure (H6), consistent with the suggestion that social media

### Table 6
Mediation analysis results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>se</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>LLCI</th>
<th>ULCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → PC</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.0372</td>
<td>.1904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → SC</td>
<td>-.25</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.3802</td>
<td>-.1290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → SD</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.0586</td>
<td>.3030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → SMF</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>-4.96</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.4487</td>
<td>-.1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC → SMF</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.0410</td>
<td>.2710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc → SMF</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.3051</td>
<td>.5305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD → SMF</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>-.0619</td>
<td>.1765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total effect</td>
<td>-.40</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>-6.03</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.5366</td>
<td>-.2726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7
Indirect effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>se</th>
<th>LLCI</th>
<th>ULCI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → PC → SMF</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.0054</td>
<td>.0416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → SC → SMF</td>
<td>-.11</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>-.1743</td>
<td>-.0493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSWB → SD → SMF</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>-.0126</td>
<td>.0405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
users’ psychological states influence their self-disclosure (e.g. Luo & Hancock, 2020; Huang, 2016). Because we focused on adolescents, our results indicate that self-disclosure forms a significant part of this population’s developmental goals, as Dhir (2016) suggested. Consequently, higher OSWB, or increased satisfaction and happiness with social media platforms, is likely to influence users’ network heterogeneity aspects and may increase engagement in self-disclosure.

We also find OSWB to be negatively correlated with fatigue (H7), thereby confirming our contention that individuals with a higher perceived OSWB may experience lower levels of fatigue due to their capacity to appropriately balance and process social media communications. A possible explanation for this finding may be that users’ attachment to and satisfaction with their social media lives inures them to the negative phenomena that usually arise from high levels of social media use by increasing their capacity to process the incumbent communications. Considering prior research, which has argued that social media use culminates in fatigue, this is a paradoxical finding—although one that finds some support in the existing literature (e.g. Satici and Uysal, 2015). Our result suggests that individuals who are inherently unsatisfied with social media and, therefore, unable to use it appropriately may be more vulnerable to the dark side of social media phenomena, including fatigue. In fact, for those young adults who believe that social media makes them happy and enables them to flourish in terms of their digital social lives, OSWB acts as a protective factor that shields them from fatigue. However, it is also possible that this is a finding specific to the COVID-19 pandemic or the USA context. Thus, it, too, requires further research.

Lastly, we find partial support for the mediating role of network heterogeneity aspects (H8a–c). In our study, only social comparison partially mediated the association between OSWB and fatigue. These results lend some credence to our argument that users’ network heterogeneity aspects can facilitate the transformation of a positive motive or benefit, such as OSWB, into a negative aspect, such as fatigue, associated with the dark side of social media. However, we do not find any support for the mediating effect of self-disclosure and privacy concerns, and we attribute these divergent findings to the maturity of the USA market in the context of social media use. Since the USA has a high penetration and usage rate for social media, our respondents may be somewhat inured to the indirect effects of information-related network heterogeneity aspects (i.e. self-disclosure and privacy concerns) with respect to their OSWB and experiences of fatigue. Contrarily, the social comparison we observe may be driven by individual-level characteristics, such as self-esteem and narcissism (James et al., 2017; Jang et al., 2018). We contend that the significant mediating effect of social comparison on the association between OSWB and fatigue may be attributed to an individual’s problematic personality and traits, which lead them to engage in comparisons with other users despite being satisfied with their own social media lives. This preoccupation with social comparison may cause such social media users to experience fatigue. While these findings offer new insights into how entering social media use with positive expectations can lead to negative outcomes due to users’ attitudes and behaviour on such platforms, we caution readers that our results may be context-specific. More research is needed to examine these mediating relationships in diverse contexts, such as developing economies, and among other populations, such as the employed and/or adults, before making any generalisations.

6. Conclusion, implications, limitations and future scope

We raised two RQs and tested eight hypotheses for the direct and indirect (mediating) relationships between OSWB, fatigue and aspects of network heterogeneity associated with the dark side of social media—that is, privacy concerns, self-disclosure and social comparison. Through the analysis, we found support for five hypotheses regarding the direct effects of the examined variables (H1, H3, H4, H6 and H7) and partial support for the proposed mediating effect of network heterogeneity aspects (i.e. social comparison, H8b) on the relationship between OSWB and fatigue. We tested the associations while controlling for the effects of socio-demographic differences (i.e. age, gender and academic achievement) on fatigue; none of these factors enacted a significant influence as a control variable. Our research is a novel attempt to explicate the relationships between well-being and outcomes associated with the dark side of social media, such as fatigue. Moreover, we lend contemporary insights into the effect of network heterogeneity aspects in inducing fatigue and the potential ability of OSWB to protect users from fatigue in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic and consequent lockdown. These findings explain the nuances of the pathways through which social media use, as well as users’ motives and activities in light of environmental situations, can translate into negative or positive psychosocial outcomes. Thus, our study offers valuable insights for both theory and practice.

6.1. Contributions to theory

The study offers five key contributions and implications for theoretical advancement. First, the findings contribute to the emerging literature on OSWB and social media fatigue, which have received limited attention in the extant literature. Moreover, unlike other scholars, who have focused mostly on OSWB’s antecedents (Wang et al., 2017), we focus on its consequences, or outcomes, by using the lesser-utilised LCM model. Our findings can guide future work examining OSWB and the dark side of social media issues, including fatigue, among young adults from a multi-disciplinary outlook. For this purpose, scholars might adopt seminal theories from the fields of psychology, information systems science and consumer behaviour, such as the stressor-strain-outcome framework (e.g. Dhir et al., 2019), protection-motivation theory (Rogers, 1975) and problem behaviour theory (Jessor et al., 1994). Moreover, we urge scholars to employ more contemporary theoretical frameworks, such as the regulatory focus theory (Higgins et al., 2012), to understand how an individual’s regulation focus affects his or her social media use and subsequently experienced phenomena.

Second, our utilisation of a cross-sectional research design contributes to the literature by allowing us to examine the stability of the observed associations at a single point in time. However, deriving a generalised understanding of these associations and specific understanding of the impact of temporality on these relationships requires additional research examining these associations over a longer time period and in diverse contexts. We also call for studies to assess whether these associations hold for other user groups besides young adults and whether the associations remain significant as this demographic cohort transitions into employment and gains additional roles and responsibilities, which may burden their cognitive capacities for processing social media-related information.

Third, our study contributes to the existing knowledge on social media use behaviour and network heterogeneity aspects with regards to adverse psychosocial outcomes, such as fatigue, for young adults. The wellbeing of this demographic cohort is an important issue for any nation because these individuals represent the foundations for a society’s future growth. Our findings indicate the need for scholars to focus on other network heterogeneity aspects, such as poor sleep hygiene (e.g. Tandon et al., 2020) and fake news perpetration (Talwar et al., 2019), as additional antecedents of fatigue among young adults, especially those who are employed.

Fourth, our results highlight the need to examine other dark sides of social media consequences for young adults, which, may be influenced by OSWB and network heterogeneity aspects. Examples of such consequences include work or academic performance decrements (Dhir et al., 2019; Tandon et al., 2021), disturbed sleep (Kaur et al., 2021) and peer or familial alienation. Studying these consequences for young adults is imperative because deeper insights into the effects of the dark side of social media for this user group can help researchers develop and test
interventions to positively shape this cohort’s well-being by encouraging appropriate and safe social media use. Furthermore, such examinations have the potential to ascertain how young adults’ satisfaction with and belief in the ideal digital life promulgated by social media use could act as a protective measure against their experience of the dark side of social media phenomena.

Fifth, based on the percentage of variance in fatigue explained by the considered network heterogeneity aspects (social comparison, privacy concerns and self-disclosure), we posit the need to examine other aspects of network heterogeneity, such as fake news perpetration and social media stalking, which may provide more nuanced insights into how OSWB-driven social media use can induce fatigue. Furthermore, although we examined the hypothesised associations for young adults, we urge scholars to explore whether the examined variables exhibit similar associations among adolescents and adults (e.g., those aged 25 years or older), especially those employed in meaningful work.

6.2. Contributions and implications for practice

Our results provide five significant implications for practitioners, e.g., service providers, parents and educators. First, information about the antecedents of fatigue among social media users carries significant implications for service providers because fatigue can prompt individuals to discontinue using a service or to experience dissatisfaction with its use (Zhang et al., 2016). Because the findings suggest a significant role for some network heterogeneity aspects, service providers can address user concerns regarding these aspects to lessen fatigue. For instance, social media service providers can take measures to alleviate users’ privacy concerns by assuring them of the security of their shared content and personal information. Moreover, service providers from networking sites, such as Facebook, can reinforce users’ engagement in positive interactions and reduce social comparisons on these platforms. Service providers may, for example, generate reminders about being respectful of other users during incipient interactions and about the uniqueness of each individual’s social media experience, which is contingent on the individual’s personal preferences and social media affordances, such as number of friends and regularly accessed content. Such efforts may reduce negative emotions and the anxiety users experience during their participation in social comparison. These initiatives may also enhance users’ perceived and derived OSWB and thus fortify their usage of continuance behaviours.

Second, the findings imply that parents and educators must play an active role in guiding young adults’ use of social media for positive gratifications. We emphasise the influence of parents (e.g., Dhir et al., 2019) and educators’ monitoring behaviours on young adults’ social media use and network heterogeneity. Such monitoring may also have a dampening effect on young adults’ experience of phenomena associated with the dark side of social media, including FoMO, compulsive use, fake news sharing and fatigue (Malik et al., 2020; Tandon et al., 2020; Talwar et al., 2019; Dhir et al., 2018, 2019). Furthermore, we urge parents and educators to undertake a community-oriented approach to educate and empower young adults to help their peers engage in appropriate and safe social media use. Indeed, peer support or interventions may have a similar dampening effect on young adults’ experience of the dark side of social media phenomena, such as cyberstalking.

Third, prior studies have linked fatigue and social media use to multiple indicators of negative well-being among both young adults and adolescents. These include disturbed sleep, lower academic performance and mental health problems. Based on prior research, we highlight the need for educators, college psychologists or counsellors and parents to increase awareness of these negative outcomes among young adults, who may be more vulnerable to the adverse effects of social media (Dhir et al., 2018). Moreover, based on our findings, we believe that educators and parents should encourage young adults to be satisfied with the gratifications and benefits they may derive from appropriate social media use; as this satisfaction may improve young adults’ OSWB and thereby reduce their fatigue. This is especially critical at the present time, which, due to the COVID-19 lockdown, is characterised by extremely digitised academic and social communication. It is important to recognise that it may take considerable time before human lives regain a semblance of normality with regard to real-life (i.e., offline) communication.

Fourth, our findings identify OSWB as an antecedent of network heterogeneity (i.e., social comparison and self-disclosure) and fatigue, suggesting that educators, parents and psychologists must understand how and why young adults derive positive benefits from social media use. Insights into the antecedents of OSWB can guide stakeholders (i.e., educators and psychologists) in developing interventions to negate the dark side of social media experienced by young adults and perhaps even adolescents.

Fifth, we suggest the need for parents and educators to engage in community discussions about the roles and responsibilities of service providers in protecting the well-being of their users. Such communal discussions have the potential to influence the advanced formulation of policies for the safe and ethical use of digital platforms, including social media. Such policies can also facilitate users’ engagement with social media appropriately and perhaps lessen the emergence of incidents related to the dark side of social media, such as trolling, active cyberstalking and fake news sharing.

6.3. Limitations and future research directions

First, our findings’ generalisability is limited because the study’s respondents included only young adults in the USA. Moreover, we considered social media as an umbrella term for our study. Future scholars should extend the scope of our study to examine these associations in other contexts, such as among middle-aged working professionals, users in developing countries, such as India and Pakistan, and users of specific platforms, such as Facebook, Instagram and Snapchat. Second, our findings are limited by the biases associated with cross-sectional surveys, including the social desirability bias and the inability to determine causality. In the future, scholars may consider utilising longitudinal, experimental or observation-based research to address these concerns. Third, we only considered three aspects of network heterogeneity (self-disclosure, privacy concerns and social comparison) as mediators. Scholars may examine the moderating and mediating effects of other dark side social media-related behaviours, such as problematic sleep and negative emotions, including jealousy or envy, on the association between OSWB and fatigue.

Moreover, social media users’ network heterogeneity, such as their tendency to engage in social comparison, and its resultant influence may be contingent on individual users’ traits, such as self-esteem (Jang et al., 2018). Scholars may thus study in greater detail the subtle direct and moderating effects of such individual traits, especially darker personality traits, such as narcissism (James et al., 2017), on personal social media use and network heterogeneity. We also encourage scholars to explore the moderating influence of socio-demographic factors, especially age, for the investigated associations. Such cohort-based and longitudinal studies are important because prior research has shown that social media use-related practices may differ across age cohorts (Richey et al., 2018; Tandon et al., 2020; Throuva et al., 2019). Despite its limitations, the study’s findings offer nuanced and novel insights into the pathways and antecedents through which social media users may experience the dark side of social media and the associated adverse psychosocial outcomes.
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