1	Current knowledge of Chytridiomycota diversity in Northern Europe and future
2	research needs
3	
4	Rakel Blaalid ¹ and Maryia Khomich ²
5	
6	Corresponding author: Rakel Blaalid, rakel.blaalid@uib.no, ORCID: 0000-0002-3883-8189
7	Maryia Khomich, marykhomich@gmail.com, ORCID: 0000-0002-6840-5739
8	
9	¹ Department of Natural History, University Museum of Bergen, Postbox 7800, NO-5020
10	Bergen, Norway
11	² Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Postbox 7804, NO-5020 Bergen,
12	Norway
13	
14	
15	

16 Abstract

17 Chytridiomycota is the most species-rich phylum of basal lineage fungi involved in vital 18 processes in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. Still, the diversity and richness of this 19 group remains cryptic. In Northern Europe, few species have been recorded despite the 20 numerous intact lake systems covering this region. Recent classifications of early diverging 21 fungal lineages differ considerably on the diversity of chytrid species and their taxonomic 22 placement. Here, we present the current knowledge of the Chytridiomycota diversity within 23 Northern Europe by using the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) data and 24 compare how this diversity distributes across two recently proposed classification systems. 25 Furthermore, we illustrate how various sampling types are influencing the recorded 26 classification levels. Lastly, we discuss how metabarcoding has contributed to the overall 27 understanding of the Chytridiomycota diversity in revealing the "dark matter fungi", and we 28 point out future research needs in the field of aquatic mycology in the Nordic region. 29 30 Keywords: Fungi, Chytridiomycota, Diversity, Freshwater, Nordic countries

31

32 Introduction

33 Fungi encompass one of the most diverse kingdoms of eukaryotes, with global diversity 34 estimates ranging from 2.2 to 3.8 million extant species (Hawksworth and Lücking, 2017). They play fundamental ecological roles as decomposers (saprotrophs), mutualists, pathogens, 35 36 and parasites (Bass and Richards, 2011). Yet only ~150 000 species have been described formally (http://www.speciesfungorum.org), of which only ~3,000 are from aquatic habitats 37 38 (Shearer et al., 2007). Aquatic fungi are a taxonomically and morphologically diverse group 39 that rely on aquatic habitats for the whole or parts of their life cycle (Grossart et al., 2019). 40 Freshwater and marine environments therefore represent priority targets for the recovery of 41 novel and seemingly uncultivable aquatic fungal lineages that have previously eluded detection 42 (Grossart et al., 2019, Nilsson et al., 2019, Nilsson et al., 2016, Richards et al., 2012). 43 Chytridiomycota (chytrids) comprise a significant proportion of fungi within water systems as 44 they are adapted to such habitats (Grossart et al., 2019, Wurzbacher et al., 2010). Recent 45 surveys in marine ecosystems report the dominance of Chytridiomycota among the detected 46 fungal species (Comeau et al., 2016, Hassett and Gradinger, 2016, Hassett et al., 2017, Lepelletier et al., 2014, Picard, 2017). The chytrids are also rife in freshwater systems, where 47 48 they fuel a range of poorly understood ecological processes (Grossart et al., 2016, Wurzbacher 49 et al., 2010). The chytrids fill niches across all ecosystems, as they are found in soils, freshwater 50 and marine systems, and they are even present in highly adaptive habitats such as hydrothermal 51 vents (Grossart et al., 2019, Le Calvez et al., 2009, Richards et al., 2012). A number of the 52 newly discovered chytrid fungi occur primarily in lakes, where they are well adapted to an 53 aquatic lifestyle and act as saprotrophs (e.g., on pollen grains) and parasites on a wide range of 54 hosts (Kagami et al., 2014, Kagami et al., 2017, Sime-Ngando, 2012, Wurzbacher et al., 2014) 55 or exhibit a pathogenic life style (Longcore et al., 1999). Despite being recognized as important 56 in ecosystem functioning, both diversity and function of chytrids are underreported within most studies in aquatic systems (Frenken et al., 2017), and an overview of regional diversity is sorely 57 58 missing. Chytrids are small in size and lack conspicuous morphological features, which makes 59 it difficult to distinguish them from protists (Sime-Ngando, 2012). In metabarcoding and 60 eDNA monitoring surveys the members of Chytridiomycota are seemingly challenging to 61 capture (Grossart et al., 2016), which might perpetuate the underreporting of this important 62 ecological group in current and future studies of aquatic ecosystems. In this mini review, we 63 demonstrate the current knowledge status of the chytrid diversity in Northern Europe (i.e.

64 Norway, Sweden, Finland, Denmark, and Iceland) and discuss this diversity within taxonomic,

- 65 historical and functional perspectives.
- 66

67 Northern Europe and its lake history

68 The Nordic region exhibits large gradients in lake water chemistry due to considerable differences in 69 bedrock geology, hydrology, precipitation amount, soil cover, vegetation, and air pollution (Skjelkvåle 70 et al., 2001). Quaternary glaciations and interglacial periods (i.e. 2.5 - 3 Ma) drastically and repeatedly 71 reshaped the present-day landscapes in Nordic countries, as well as the distribution of biota (Wohlfarth 72 et al., 2008). In particular, the northern regions were recolonized following glacial advances and ice 73 retreats (Kontula and Väinölä, 2001). The relatively young age of lakes and their multitude in the boreal 74 area compared to lakes in older landscapes are due to a wide range of geomorphological processes, such 75 as glacial restructuring of loose deposits and land uplift (Englund et al., 2013). Northern lakes have 76 been deglaciated for the past 15 000 years, and therefore their relative pristine nature provides a glimpse 77 into the past (Cott et al., 2016). Lakes are "active pipes" for carbon transport, transformation and storage 78 between terrestrial systems and atmosphere, and may act as sinks for organic matter between land and 79 the sea (Algesten et al., 2004, Cole et al., 2007, Tranvik et al., 2009). Based on the study of ca. 80 000 80 boreal lakes, 30-80% of the total organic carbon (TOC), that entered freshwater ecosystems, was 81 deposited in lakes (Algesten et al., 2004). According to Tranvik et al. (2009), lakes sequester large 82 amounts of carbon in their sediments and are therefore important for the global carbon cycling.

83 Aquatic fungi, in particular early diverging fungal phyla, represent a much overlooked yet central 84 ecological player in freshwater habitats. Although fungal species richness is correlated with latitudinal 85 gradients, very few chytrid species have currently been identified within the Nordic region underpinning 86 the status of these fungi as being overall "dark matter fungi" (Grossart et al., 2016). It is seemingly a 87 paradox that most records of chytrids are from terrestrial habitats, although the members of the 88 Chytridiomycota are known to play pivotal roles in lakes, which are numerous in Northern Europe. 89 Combined, there are approximately 370 000 lakes larger than one hectare across Norway, Sweden and 90 Finland covering between 10-30% of the land within southern/central areas (Nordic Council of 91 Ministers, 2010). Therefore, regional lake surveys and biodiversity monitoring, including the 92 understudied taxonomic groups, are necessary for accumulating baseline information with respect to 93 large-scale and long-term changes in lakes, as well as documenting past and present status of their 94 freshwater biodiversity and resources (Skjelkvåle et al., 2001).

95

96 *Chytrids in the mycoloop*

Aquatic systems contain a great variety of fungal habitats which serve as "hot spots" of novel
and unexplored fungal diversity (Wurzbacher et al., 2016, Wurzbacher et al., 2010). The

99 chytrid parasitism, chytridiomycosis, is an important ecological driving force in the aquatic 100 food web dynamics by altering sinking fluxes and facilitating energy and nutrient transfer 101 through the mycoloop (Frenken et al., 2017, Kagami et al., 2014, Rasconi et al., 2012, Rohrlack 102 et al., 2015, Sime-Ngando, 2012). The mycoloop represents a unique trophic link in the aquatic 103 food web, where nutrients from large inedible algal cells are transferred to zooplankton such 104 as Daphnia via the nutrient-rich zoospores of parasitic chytrids (Kagami et al., 2014, Kagami 105 et al., 2017, Grossart et al., 2016). In addition, large phytoplankton cells may be fragmented by 106 chytrid infections and become edible to zooplankton (Sime-Ngando, 2012). Chytrids may 107 facilitate the sinking of aggregated algal cells during the infection and thus contribute to the 108 element cycling in lakes (Kagami et al., 2014). The release of chytrid zoospores from 109 zoosporangia on inedible large pollen grains to zooplankton can function as another mycoloop, 110 especially in freshwater habitats with significant pollen deposition (Kagami et al., 2014, 111 Kagami et al., 2017). Although, the mycoloop is not restricted to chytrids only, and 112 of involvement other zoosporic fungi from the Aphelidiomycota and 113 Cryptomycota/Rozellomycota is known (Gleason et al., 2012a, Gleason et al., 2012b, Gleason 114 et al., 2014, Ishida et al., 2015, Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019, Kagami et al., 2014), true 115 chytrids are the largest and most taxonomically diverse group involved in these processes. In 116 marine systems, chytrids are poorly represented, yet 26 species were included in the 117 classification of marine fungi due to overall interest, however, systematic under-sampling of 118 zoosporic fungi is reported within this habitat (Jones et al., 2015). These fungi are thought to 119 interplay with algal blooms of especially diatoms, however, little is known about such 120 processes (Amend et al., 2019). The mycoloop within marine systems is anticipated to govern 121 the trophic linkage to zooplankton (Kagami et al., 2014).

122

123 Classification of chytrids

The phylum Chytridiomycota belongs to the early diverging fungal lineages (James et al., 124 125 2006a) and comprises morphologically diverse groups of true fungi that possess flagella in at 126 least one of their life cycle's stages and therefore are associated with wet habitats (Naranjo-127 Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019, Sparrow, 1960). Taxonomically, Chytridiomycota have previously 128 intertwined with the phyla Zygomycota and were thus not regarded to be monophyletic (James 129 et al., 2006b, James et al., 2000). A six-gene phylogeny of flagellated fungi still could not 130 delimit the chytrids, however, another phylum (Blastocladiomycota) within the kingdom Fungi 131 was described (James et al., 2006a, James et al., 2006b). This led to the separation between 132 flagellated fungi across several groups, as this character does not reflect common ancestry 133 (James et al., 2006b). In fact, at least four independent losses of flagellum have occurred across 134 the kingdom Fungi (James et al., 2006a). The new delimitation of Chytridiomycota was 135 underpinned in the comprehensive classification of fungi conducted by Hibbett et al. (2007), 136 in which Chytridiomycota sensu stricto was kept, separating out Blastocladiomycota and Neocallimastigomycota in other phyla of flagellated fungi. Within Chytridiomycota, several 137 138 classification systems have been suggested (Hibbett et al., 2007, James et al., 2006b, Naranjo-139 Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019, Tedersoo et al., 2018, Wijayawardene et al., 2018). The term 140 "euchytrids" was introduced to the clades Chytridiales, Monoblepharidales, Neocallimastigales 141 and parts of the Spizellomycetales (James et al., 2006b). Barr (2001) identified a single class, Chytridiomycetes, containing five orders. Later classifications accepted two classes, 142 Chytridiomycetes (three orders) and Monoblepharidomycetes (one order) (Hibbett et al., 2007). 143 144 In a large revision by Tedersoo et al. (2018) basal fungi were revised on the basis of monophyly 145 and divergence time to enhance comparability across studies and subsequent use in ecological 146 surveys. New taxonomic levels were included, and the phylum Chytridiomycota was described 147 containing nine classes comprising 10 orders (Tedersoo et al., 2018). In the notes for genera of 148 basal clades of fungi, two classes - 13 orders - 57 families and 151 genera were accepted 149 within the phylum based on the available information on basal clades (Wijayawardene et al., 150 2018). The recent revision by Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) identifies three classes, 151 namely Chytridiomycetes (seven orders), Monoblepharidomycetes (one order) and 152 Hyaloraphidiomycetes (one order), and estimates the diversity of the phylum to be 153 approximately 1000 species worldwide.

154

155 Chytrid diversity

156 *Chytrids around the world*

World distribution of recorded Chytridiomycetes sensu lato (classification according to James et al. (2006b)) identifies four "hot spots" where chytrids have been detected more frequently, i.e. USA, Central Europe, Australia and Japan (Fig 1A). However, the top 10 most recorded species, including *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (BD), constitutes 43 % of all "curated" records. When material samples (i.e. samples detected though metabarcoding sequencing) are included (Fig 1B), the record number of worldwide increases threefold, and the currently recorded chytrid abundance in marine habitats is revealed.

164

165 Chytrids in the Nordic countries

166 Within the Nordic countries very few records of chytrid species have been made at local scales. 167 In Norway four entities of Chytridiomycota, where only two were reported at the species level 168 with a modest record of 45 and three, respectively, are included in the Species Map Service 169 (the Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre, 2019) (Johnson Jr, 1976, Johnson Jr, 1977). 170 In Sweden and Finland combined, a total of three species have been recovered (SLU Swedish 171 Species Information Centre, 2019; Finnish Biodiversity Information Facility, 2019), 172 underpinning that Chytridiomycota is critically understudied within Fennoscandia (i.e. 173 Norway, Sweden and Finland). Still, it is important to note that some chytrid taxa, such as 174 Zygorhizidium are not found within either of the three abovementioned biodiversity centres but 175 have been recorded within Norway and Sweden (Canter, 1954, Seto et al., 2020). In fact, older 176 studies in Norway have detected several genera of chytrids such as Phlyctochytrium and 177 Nowakowskiella (Johnson Jr, 1976, Johnson Jr, 1977), and Phlyctidium has also been noted in 178 Finland (Karling, 1939). To date, no systematic survey of chytrid species diversity has been 179 made, and this may explain a limited record of Chytridiomycota and therefore a "weak" status 180 on chytrid taxonomy and diversity in Norway (Table 1). The terrestrial plant parasite 181 Synchytrium anemones is modestly recorded within all three countries. The highly virulent 182 chytrid species BD, infecting skin of amphibians, has been detected nine times in Norway 183 (Taugbøl et al., 2017) and Sweden (https://www.artportalen.se/) and is currently under 184 surveillance. Surprisingly, the knowledge status on chytrid ecology is stated to be "acceptable", 185 albeit without the expected taxa diversity and their potential ecological roles. In Iceland, the 186 chytrid diversity was assessed based only on morphology (Howard and Johnson Jr, 1969, 187 Johnson Jr, 1969a, Johnson Jr, 1973). However, Johnson Jr (1973) focused on aquatic fungi in 188 general (including Oomycota and Zygomycota), found in both marine and freshwater 189 environments. Here, several chytrid species were recorded from these studies within six genera 190 (Johnson Jr and Howard, 1968). Further investigations in Iceland revealed at least six species 191 within the genus *Phlvctochytrium* (Johnson Jr, 1969b). Denmark is seemingly the Nordic 192 country with the highest chytrid diversity comprising 26 species across four orders 193 (https://allearter.dk/). Here, we used the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) data 194 from the Nordic countries to illustrate current knowledge of chytrid diversity in this region and 195 compare the output within the two taxonomic classification systems by Naranjo-Ortiz and 196 Gabaldón (2019) and Tedersoo et al. (2018). This review focuses on chytrid species in the lake-197 abundant Nordic region and complements a recent review on chytrids (Frenken et al., 2017) by 198 summarizing the current status and knowledge gaps on their diversity, richness, and ecological 199 roles in the northern lakes.

200

201 Materials and Methods

202 To assess the current diversity status of Chytridiomycota within the Nordic countries, we 203 downloaded all records of chytrid fungi from the GBIF, including environmental samples 204 within the countries Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Norway and Iceland (Abarenkov, 2015, 205 Botanic Garden Meise, 2020, CABI (Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences International), 206 2018, Calabuig, 2014, Dueñas, 2018, Frøslev and Ejrnæs, 2018, Frøslev et al., 2020, Grant and 207 von Konrat, 2019, MGnify, 2019, Ramirez et al., 2020, Telenius, 2016a, Telenius, 2016b, 208 Telenius and Shah, 2018, University of Michigan Herbarium, 2020, Wittzell and Shah, 2020). 209 Due to the differences between the accepted groups within the phylum Chytridiomycota, we 210 classified the records according to both Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) and Tedersoo et 211 al. (2018) (Table 1). Records belonging to Blastocladiomycetes T. James, Olpidiales Caval.-212 Sm. and Neocallimastigomycetes M. J. Powell, were removed on the basis that they are not 213 recognized as members of the phylum Chytridiomycota and have all been assigned to separate 214 phyla. As none of the records made by Johnson Jr (1969b) and Johnson Jr and Howard (1968) 215 were included in the GBIF database, Iceland was effectively excluded, due to the five records 216 in GBIF all belonged to Blastocladiomycetes, which is now accepted as Blastocladiomycota 217 T. James (James et al., 2006b).

- 218
- Table 1. Overview of total observation records of Chytridiomycota across the Nordic countries
 based on different taxonomic classifications.
- 221

Country	Records Tedersoo et al. (2018)	Records Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019)	Raw records GBIF		
Denmark	569	584	665		
Sweden	330	330	398		
Finland	186	186	232		
Norway	131	134	146		
Total	1216	1234	1446		

222

223 The GBIF data included environmental samples, human observations, and preserved specimens

224 (Fig 2A). This revealed some systematic differences between the countries (Fig 2B) that can

be ascribed to whether large metabarcoding projects have been conducted (e.g <u>Biowide</u> Biodiversity in Width and Depth, Denmark) or not. The taxonomic rank was clearly dependent
on the sample type (Fig 2).

228

229 **Results**

230 When comparing the two different taxonomic classification systems, some differences were 231 unfolded. At the class level within the Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) classification 232 system, chytridiomycetes was by far the dominating class across all countries (Fig 3A). The 233 system proposed by Tedersoo et al. (2018) revealed a higher heterogeneity at the class level 234 (Fig 3B). Due to the elevation of Synchytriomycetes, this is the most frequent recorded class 235 in Sweden and Finland sensu Tederso et al. (2018). Rhizophydiomycetes is the dominating 236 class amongst Danish records and is also abundant in Norway and Finland sensu Tederso et al. 237 (2018). This class is clearly more associated with environmental samples and is therefore 238 virtually absent in Sweden. However, Denmark had a high number of unclassified records. 239 Notably, Denmark had the overall highest number of records across both classification systems. 240 Big studies such as the abovementioned Danish project Biowide have clearly contributed to the 241 overall increase in chytrid records in terrestrial systems, although many of these cannot be 242 classified below the phylum level. Sweden had the lowest diversity at the class level, and this 243 corresponded well to the low records of environmental samples. Nearly all samples from 244 Sweden are preserved specimens or human observations.

245 The taxonomic classification systems proposed by Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) and Tedersoo et al. (2018) also differed at the order level. Many records with affinity to the 246 247 Chytridiales sensu Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) are affiliated to the Synchytriales sensu 248 Tedersoo et al. (2018). The variation between the diversity observed at the class (Fig 3B) and 249 the order levels within the Tedersoo et al. (2018) classification system is nearly identical. This 250 may indicate very fine-tuned taxonomic resolution at the class level and tentatively to a 251 multitude of undescribed orders within the Chytridiomycota. As new orders are being described 252 in recent years (Karpov et al., 2014), it is not unlikely to assume discovery of new taxonomic 253 entities at lower taxonomic levels. Monotypic microbial taxa are enigmas, as they represent 254 either (1) true phylogenetically isolated entities or (2) indicate lack of species discovery. By 255 using the past fossil records to reconstruct the present taxonomic distribution, monotypic taxa 256 should be expected to be relatively common (Sepkoski Jr, 1978). However, in case of scenario 257 (2), it would be tempting to use higher taxonomic ranks to estimate lower taxonomic (e.g.

species) diversity. This is known as "taxonomic surrogacy", and it implies a predictable relationship between taxonomic ranks, however, subjective rank allocation highly affects diversity measures (Bertrand et al., 2006), and should thus be used with great caution. Using taxonomic surrogacy on the "lumping" (Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019) and "splitting" (Tedersoo et al., 2018) classification systems will create large systematic differences in the diversity estimates of chytrids, especially because they differ at a very high taxonomic level (class).

265 Approximately 30% of all records could not be assigned at the genus level, and this number 266 increased to over 50% at the species level across the Nordic country records. A total of 29 genera comprising 37 species was found (Fig 3C), and Synchytrium constituted 30% of all 267 268 genus records, in which the species S. anemones was the most abundant. Notably, the host-269 specific plant parasite S. anemones is visible by the naked eye, making observation of this 270 particular species easy and thus common. Variation of diversity was still observed between the 271 Nordic countries, and genus-level records from Denmark were still more diverse at the lower 272 taxonomic level compared to the three other countries (Table S1), however, at the species level 273 nearly 75% of all Danish and Finnish records could not be assigned. Synchytrium was by far 274 the most dominating genus amongst Norwegian and Swedish records amounting to between 50-80% of all records in comparison to 30% when combining all four countries, indicating 275 276 higher abundance of other genera within Danish and Finnish records.

Three species were shared amongst the four Nordic countries (Fig 4), and most of the Nordic records were from terrestrial systems. Although there were differences in regard to nature types, land use histories and traditions, many similarities related to geological events, species richness and diversity, life histories, and ecosystem types could be found. For three of the five Nordic countries (i.e. Norway, Sweden and Finland), forests, alpine and arctic soils are common, and these countries should thus be expected to share chytrid diversity.

283

284 Metabarcoding as a tool for detecting hidden chytrid diversity

285 *Water systems*

Very limited sequence information on zoosporic fungi globally poses challenges to obtaining a robust chytrid tree of life (Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón, 2019). In Northern Europe in particular, the low diversity of records makes a chytrid species estimate very difficult. Moreover, aquatic fungal community data from the Nordic countries using metabarcoding remain relatively scarce (Khomich et al., 2017, Wurzbacher et al., 2020). Notably, 291 Chytridiomycota were shown to be quantitatively the most abundant group (63% of the total 292 reads) in a large survey spanning 77 oligotrophic lakes along a longitudinal gradient in southern 293 Scandinavia targeting the internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) region of the rRNA gene 294 (Khomich et al., 2017). Wurzbacher et al. (2020) have reported that members of the phyla 295 Chytridiomycota and Cryptomycota/Rozellomycota were highly abundant in the surface 296 waters of the groundwater springs in Iceland using ITS metabarcoding. These findings confirm 297 the importance of chytrid fungi in aquatic food webs (Kagami et al., 2014, Sime-Ngando, 298 2012). Most freshwater diversity surveys focusing on cyanobacteria and/or diatoms report the 299 importance of parasitism by chytrids, however, such studies do not include diversity and 300 taxonomy related to these algal parasites (Sime-Ngando, 2012). Khomich et al. (2017) reported 301 that one of the recovered operational taxonomic units (OTUs) had close affinity to the chytrid 302 strain Rhizophydiales sp. Chy-Lys2009 (Sønstebø and Rohrlack, 2011), ascribed to the species 303 Rhizophidium megarrhizum. Due to the coarse level of resolution, Khomich et al. (2017) 304 identified 47 OTUs within the orders Rhizophydiales, Chytridiales and Spizellomycetales in a 305 small proportion of their initial dataset. This gives an indication of the expected chytrid 306 diversity across lakes in Northern Europe. In comparison, Davis et al. (2018) revealed a total 307 of 57 species within the two lakes in the USA, advocating higher chytrid diversity. Studies within marine systems also report on high species diversity amongst chytrids, especially in 308 309 coastal and sediment samples (Comeau et al., 2016, Picard, 2017, Richards et al., 2015). 310 Interestingly, chytrids have been reported to even dominate the fungal community in several sites (Hassett and Gradinger, 2016, Richards et al., 2015). Although chytrid parasitism on 311 312 diatoms and other algae are known in marine systems, a few studies have been conducted 313 highlighting a knowledge gap in the diversity and functional roles of chytrids from marine 314 habitats (Amend et al., 2019, Gutiérrez et al., 2016, Picard, 2017, Richards et al., 2015). Our 315 systematic review of chytrid records in Scandinavia demonstrates how influential 316 metabarcoding is for detecting hidden diversity and that the use of such methods affects the 317 taxonomic rank identified within the habitats.

318

319 Soil systems

Although many of the chytrids have been described from aquatic systems, a number of chytrid species have also been recorded in soil numerous times across a variety of terrestrial systems, usually in minor abundancies and seemingly more dominant in high-elevated soils (Blaalid et al., 2012, Freeman et al., 2009, Jimu et al., 2018, Schmidt et al., 2013, Tedersoo et al., 2014). 324 Soil within such areas may be perceived as extreme conditions as it can be saturated with water 325 for longer periods such as during winter (Freeman et al., 2009). In such cases chytrid 326 dominance could be explained through these organisms' way of life. Due to the low chytrid 327 records, and often low taxonomic resolution of such records, these fungi are not regarded as a 328 highly important ecological group compared to Asco- and Basidiomycota. However, chytrids 329 are known to be one of the primary decomposers of organic matter within such habitats, and 330 their adaptation to this complex niche makes them important players within soils (Gleason et 331 al., 2012b).

- 332
- 333 Chytrid diversity future perspectives

334 All data related to Chytridiomycota in Barcode of Life Data system (BOLD) were mined from 335 the non-curated database GenBank (http://www.boldsystems.org). In total, BOLD includes 276 sequences comprising 76 chytrid species worldwide. The great majority of Chytridiomycota 336 337 has not been cultured and studied, and many assigned clades are classified as "uncultured" in sequence databases (Grossart et al., 2016). Khomich et al. (2017) states that the lack of ITS 338 339 information within databases explains why a high proportion of unidentified sequences were 340 obtained within their study. Another study has reported the poor database (ITS marker) 341 representation for the fungal communities in aquatic (freshwater and marine) and soil 342 ecosystems (Khomich et al., 2018). The choice of marker is highly important, and it might 343 explain the described diversity of chytrids across studies (Khomich et al., 2018, Khomich et 344 al., 2017, Lepère et al., 2019, Wurzbacher et al., 2019). The most commonly used marker 345 within soil systems is the ITS since the focus of many studies is general diversity and richness 346 in fungal communities (Blaalid et al., 2013, Buee et al., 2009, Li et al., 2020, Lindahl et al., 347 2013). Aquatic and marine systems surveys are less monogamous to the ITS marker, and here 348 both the small ribosomal subunit (SSU) and the large ribosomal subunit (LSU) have been applied together with the ITS (Edgcomb et al., 2011, Heeger et al., 2018, Picard, 2017, 349 350 Wurzbacher et al., 2016). Although the ITS is the chosen barcode marker for fungi, the high proportion of unidentified sequences and therefore inability to recover taxa from a mock fungal 351 352 community may reflect poor ITS database coverage (Heeger et al., 2018, Khomich et al., 2017). 353 Biases in regard to primer and database choice are known to affect functional group richness 354 for some classes of fungi thus potentially distorting ecological patterns (George et al., 2019). 355 The full length ITS marker is not known to generate bias against Chytridiomycota (Anderson 356 et al., 2003). Still, most metabarcoding studies choose between the ITS1 and ITS2. Combining 357 the 5.8S with the ITS2 demonstrates superior classification of species with a cryptic taxonomy 358 at higher level classifications (Heeger et al., 2018). The continuation to use metabarcoding in 359 research is to be expected, however, the lack of a good-resolution taxonomic marker encounters 360 a challenge within the chytrid group assessment. The high number of "dark matter fungi" 361 reported from such studies serves as an indication of high diversity across habitats. Chytrid 362 distribution across the Nordic countries illustrates the need for a good and generally accepted 363 chytrid backbone. In addition, within the early diverging fungal lineages new phyla are still 364 being proposed, and as more sequence data are being generated the UNITE approach in 365 creating species hypotheses may contribute to the overall chytrid backbone (Nilsson et al., 366 2019).

367

Acknowledgements We acknowledge the Norwegian Biodiversity Information Centre for
 financial support through the grant 25/19 "AquaFun". We also thank two anonymous reviewers
 for their valuable suggestions on the improvement of the manuscript.

Author contributions R.B directed the project and performed the analyses. R.B and M.K
wrote the manuscript.

373 Conflicts of interests The authors declare no conflict of interests and confirm full compliance374 to research ethics.

375

376 **References**

- ABARENKOV, K. 2015. EMY Estonian University of Life Sciences. Estonian University of Life
 Sciences specimen data. University of Tartu; Natural History Museum and Botanic Garden.
 PlutoF. Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15156/bio/587441</u> accessed via GBIF.org on
 2020-01-31.
- ALGESTEN, G., SOBEK, S., BERGSTRÖM, A. K., ÅGREN, A., TRANVIK, L. J. & JANSSON, M.
 2004. Role of lakes for organic carbon cycling in the boreal zone. *Global Change Biol.*, 10, 141 147.
- AMEND, A., BURGAUD, G., CUNLIFFE, M., EDGCOMB, V. P., ETTINGER, C. L., GUTIÉRREZ,
 M., HEITMAN, J., HOM, E. F., IANIRI, G. & JONES, A. C. 2019. Fungi in the marine
 environment: Open questions and unsolved problems. *MBio*, 10, e01189-01118.
- ANDERSON, I. C., CAMPBELL, C. D. & PROSSER, J. I. 2003. Potential bias of fungal 18S rDNA
 and internal transcribed spacer polymerase chain reaction primers for estimating fungal
 biodiversity in soil. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 5, 36-47.
- BARR, D. 2001. Chytridiomycota. *In:* MCLAUGHLIN, D., MCLAUGHLIN, E. & LEMKE, P. (eds.)
 Systematics and Evolution. The Mycota.. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- BASS, D. & RICHARDS, T. A. 2011. Three reasons to re-evaluate fungal diversity 'on Earth and in
 the ocean'. *Fungal Biol. Rev.*, 25, 159-164.
- BERTRAND, Y., PLEIJEL, F. & ROUSE, G. W. 2006. Taxonomic surrogacy in biodiversity
 assessments, and the meaning of Linnaean ranks. *Syst. Biodivers.*, 4, 149-159.
- BLAALID, R., CARLSEN, T., KUMAR, S., HALVORSEN, R., UGLAND, K. I., FONTANA, G. &
 KAUSERUD, H. 2012. Changes in the root-associated fungal communities along a primary
 succession gradient analysed by 454 pyrosequencing. *Mol. Ecol.*, 21, 1897-1908.
- BLAALID, R., KUMAR, S., NILSSON, R. H., ABARENKOV, K., KIRK, P. & KAUSERUD, H. 2013.
 ITS 1 versus ITS 2 as DNA metabarcodes for fungi. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 13, 218-224.
- 401 BOTANIC GARDEN MEISE 2020. Meise Botanic Garden Herbarium (BR). Version 1.11. Occurrence
 402 dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/wrthhx</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- BUEE, M., REICH, M., MURAT, C., MORIN, E., NILSSON, R., UROZ, S. & MARTIN, F. 2009. 454
 Pyrosequencing analyses of forest soils reveal an unexpectedly high fungal diversity. *New Phytol.*, 184, 449-456.
- 406 CABI (CENTRE FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOSCIENCES INTERNATIONAL) 2018. CABI
 407 Bioscience Fungus Collection. Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/c9vdlf</u> accessed via
 408 GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- 409 CALABUIG, I. 2014. Botanical Museum, Copenhagen, Mycology Herbarium. Botanical Garden &
 410 Museum, Natural History Museum of Denmark. Occurrence dataset
 411 <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/af8my7</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- 412 CANTER, H. M. 1954. Fungal parasites of the phytoplankton. III. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc., 37, 111-133.
- 413 COLE, J. J., PRAIRIE, Y. T., CARACO, N. F., MCDOWELL, W. H., TRANVIK, L. J., STRIEGL, R.
 414 G., DUARTE, C. M., KORTELAINEN, P., DOWNING, J. A. & MIDDELBURG, J. J. 2007.
 415 Plumbing the global carbon cycle: integrating inland waters into the terrestrial carbon budget.
 416 *Ecosystems*, 10, 172-185.

- 417 COMEAU, A. M., VINCENT, W. F., BERNIER, L. & LOVEJOY, C. 2016. Novel chytrid lineages
 418 dominate fungal sequences in diverse marine and freshwater habitats. *Sci. Rep.*, 6, 1-6.
- 419 COTT, P. A., SZKOKAN-EMILSON, E. J., SAVAGE, P.-L., HANNA, B. W., BRONTE, C. R. &
 420 EVANS, M. S. 2016. Large lakes of northern Canada: Emerging research in a globally421 important fresh water resource. J. Great Lakes Res., 42, 163-165.
- 422 DAVIS, W. J., PICARD, K. T., ANTONETTI, J., EDMONDS, J., FULTS, J., LETCHER, P. M. &
 423 POWELL, M. J. 2018. Inventory of chytrid diversity in two temporary forest ponds using a
 424 multiphasic approach. *Mycologia*, 110, 811-821.
- DUEÑAS, M. 2018. CSIC-Real Jardín Botánico-Colección de Hongos Histórica (MA-Funhist). CSIC Real Jardín Botánico. Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/bwmpy9</u> accessed via
 GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- 428 EDGCOMB, V. P., BEAUDOIN, D., GAST, R., BIDDLE, J. F. & TESKE, A. 2011. Marine subsurface
 429 eukaryotes: the fungal majority. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 13, 172-183.
- ENGLUND, G., ERIKSSON, H. & NILSSON, M. B. 2013. The birth and death of lakes on young
 landscapes. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 1340-1344.
- FREEMAN, K., MARTIN, A., KARKI, D., LYNCH, R., MITTER, M., MEYER, A., LONGCORE, J.,
 SIMMONS, D. & SCHMIDT, S. K. 2009. Evidence that chytrids dominate fungal communities
 in high-elevation soils. *PNAS*, 106, 18315-18320.
- FRENKEN, T., ALACID, E., BERGER, S. A., BOURNE, E. C., GERPHAGNON, M., GROSSART,
 H. P., GSELL, A. S., IBELINGS, B. W., KAGAMI, M. & KÜPPER, F. C. 2017. Integrating
 chytrid fungal parasites into plankton ecology: research gaps and needs. *Environ. Microbiol.*,
 19, 3802-3822.
- FRØSLEV, T. & EJRNÆS, R. 2018. BIOWIDE eDNA Fungi dataset. Danish Biodiversity Information
 Facility. Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/nesbvx</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020 01-31.
- FRØSLEV, T. G., HEILMANN-CLAUSEN, J., LANGE, C., LÆSSØE, T., PETERSEN, J. H.,
 SØCHTING, U., JEPPESEN, T. S. & VESTERHOLT, J. 2020. Danish Mycological Society,
 fungal records database. Danish Mycological Society. Occurrence dataset
 <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/zn159h</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- GEORGE, P. B., CREER, S., GRIFFITHS, R. I., EMMETT, B. A., ROBINSON, D. A. & JONES, D.
 L. 2019. Primer and database choice affect fungal functional but not biological diversity findings in a national soil survey. *Front. Environ. Sci.*, 7, 173.
- GLEASON, F. H., CARNEY, L. T., LILJE, O. & GLOCKLING, S. L. 2012a. Ecological potentials of
 species of Rozella (Cryptomycota). *Fungal Ecol.*, 5, 651-656.
- GLEASON, F. H., CRAWFORD, J. W., NEUHAUSER, S., HENDERSON, L. E. & LILJE, O. 2012b.
 Resource seeking strategies of zoosporic true fungi in heterogeneous soil habitats at the
 microscale level. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 45, 79-88.
- 454 GLEASON, F. H., LILJE, O., MARANO, A. V., SIME-NGANDO, T., SULLIVAN, B. K.,
 455 KIRCHMAIR, M. & NEUHAUSER, S. 2014. Ecological functions of zoosporic hyperparasites.
 456 Front. Microbiol., 5, 244.

- GRANT, S. & VON KONRAT, M. 2019. Field Museum of Natural History (Botany) Fungi Collection.
 Version 4.9. Field Museum. Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/x2hjnp</u> accessed via
 GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- GROSSART, H.-P., VAN DEN WYNGAERT, S., KAGAMI, M., WURZBACHER, C., CUNLIFFE,
 M. & ROJAS-JIMENEZ, K. 2019. Fungi in aquatic ecosystems. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 17, 339354.
- 463 GROSSART, H.-P., WURZBACHER, C., JAMES, T. Y. & KAGAMI, M. 2016. Discovery of dark
 464 matter fungi in aquatic ecosystems demands a reappraisal of the phylogeny and ecology of
 465 zoosporic fungi. *Fungal Ecol.*, 19, 28-38.
- GUTIÉRREZ, M. H., JARA, A. M. & PANTOJA, S. 2016. Fungal parasites infect marine diatoms in
 the upwelling ecosystem of the Humboldt current system off central Chile. *Environ. Microbiol.*,
 18, 1646-1653.
- 469 HASSETT, B. & GRADINGER, R. 2016. Chytrids dominate arctic marine fungal communities.
 470 *Environ. Microbiol.*, 18, 2001-2009.
- HASSETT, B. T., DUCLUZEAU, A. L. L., COLLINS, R. E. & GRADINGER, R. 2017. Spatial
 distribution of aquatic marine fungi across the western Arctic and sub-arctic. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 19, 475-484.
- HAWKSWORTH, D. L. & LÜCKING, R. 2017. Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. *In:* HEITMAN, J., HOWLETT, B. J., CROUS, P. W., STUKENBROCK, E. H., JAMES, T. Y.
 & GOW, N. A. R. (eds.) *The Fungal Kingdom*. Washington, DC: Americal Society for
 Microbiology.
- HEEGER, F., BOURNE, E. C., BASCHIEN, C., YURKOV, A., BUNK, B., SPRÖER, C.,
 OVERMANN, J., MAZZONI, C. J. & MONAGHAN, M. T. 2018. Long-read DNA
 metabarcoding of ribosomal RNA in the analysis of fungi from aquatic environments. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 18, 1500-1514.
- HIBBETT, D. S., BINDER, M., BISCHOFF, J. F., BLACKWELL, M., CANNON, P. F., ERIKSSON,
 O. E., HUHNDORF, S., JAMES, T., KIRK, P. M. & LÜCKING, R. 2007. A higher-level
 phylogenetic classification of the Fungi. *Mycol. Res.*, 111, 509-547.
- 485 HOWARD, K. L. & JOHNSON JR, T. 1969. Aquatic fungi of Iceland: Some filamentous, eucarpic,
 486 and holocarpic species. *Mycologia*, 61, 496-510.
- ISHIDA, S., NOZAKI, D., GROSSART, H. P. & KAGAMI, M. 2015. Novel basal, fungal lineages
 from freshwater phytoplankton and lake samples. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.*, 7, 435-441.
- JAMES, T. Y., KAUFF, F., SCHOCH, C. L., MATHENY, P. B., HOFSTETTER, V., COX, C. J.,
 CELIO, G., GUEIDAN, C., FRAKER, E. & MIADLIKOWSKA, J. 2006a. Reconstructing the
 early evolution of Fungi using a six-gene phylogeny. *Nature*, 443, 818-822.
- JAMES, T. Y., LETCHER, P. M., LONGCORE, J. E., MOZLEY-STANDRIDGE, S. E., PORTER, D.,
 POWELL, M. J., GRIFFITH, G. W. & VILGALYS, R. 2006b. A molecular phylogeny of the
 flagellated fungi (Chytridiomycota) and description of a new phylum (Blastocladiomycota). *Mycologia*, 98, 860-871.
- JAMES, T. Y., PORTER, D., LEANDER, C. A., VILGALYS, R. & LONGCORE, J. E. 2000.
 Molecular phylogenetics of the Chytridiomycota supports the utility of ultrastructural data in chytrid systematics. *Can. J. Bot.*, 78, 336-350.

- JIMU, L., KEMLER, M., MUJURU, L. & MWENJE, E. 2018. Illumina DNA metabarcoding of
 Eucalyptus plantation soil reveals the presence of mycorrhizal and pathogenic fungi. *Forestry*,
 91, 238-245.
- JOHNSON JR, T. W. 1969a. Aquatic fungi of Iceland: Olpidium (Braun) Rabenhorst. Archiv für
 Mikrobiologie, 69, 1-11.
- 504 JOHNSON JR, T. W. 1969b. Aquatic fungi of Iceland: Phlyctochytrium schroeter. Archiv für 505 Mikrobiologie, 64, 357-368.
- JOHNSON JR, T. W. 1973. Aquatic fungi of Iceland: uniflagellate species. Acta Naturalia Islandica
 22, 1-38.
- JOHNSON JR, T. W. 1976. Aquatic fungi of Scandinavia: some chytrids from Norway Norweg. J. Bot.,
 23, 139-151.
- 510 JOHNSON JR, T. W. 1977. Resting spore germination in three chytrids. *Mycologia*, 69, 34-45.
- 511 JOHNSON JR, T. W. & HOWARD, K. L. 1968. Aquatic fungi of Iceland: species associated with 512 algae. J. Elisha Mitchell Sci., 84, 305-311.
- JONES, E. B. G., SUETRONG, S., SAKAYAROJ, J., BAHKALI, A. H., ABDEL-WAHAB, M. A.,
 BOEKHOUT, T. & PANG, K.-L. 2015. Classification of marine ascomycota, basidiomycota,
 blastocladiomycota and chytridiomycota. *Fungal Divers.*, 73, 1-72.
- 516 KAGAMI, M., MIKI, T. & TAKIMOTO, G. 2014. Mycoloop: chytrids in aquatic food webs. *Front.* 517 *Microbiol.*, 5, 166.
- KAGAMI, M., MOTOKI, Y., MASCLAUX, H. & BEC, A. 2017. Carbon and nutrients of indigestible
 pollen are transferred to zooplankton by chytrid fungi. *Freshw. Biol.*, 62, 954-964.
- 520 KARLING, J. S. 1939. A note on Phlyctidium. *Mycologia*, 31, 286-288.
- KARPOV, S., KOBSEVA, A., MAMKAEVA, M., MAMKAEVA, K., MIKHAILOV, K.,
 MIRZAEVA, G. & ALEOSHIN, V. 2014. Gromochytrium mamkaevae gen. & sp. nov. and two
 new orders: Gromochytriales and Mesochytriales (Chytridiomycetes). *Persoonia*, 32, 115-126.
- 524 KHOMICH, M., COX, F., ANDREW, C. J., ANDERSEN, T., KAUSERUD, H. & DAVEY, M. L.
 525 2018. Coming up short: Identifying substrate and geographic biases in fungal sequence
 526 databases. *Fungal Ecol.*, 36, 75-80.
- KHOMICH, M., DAVEY, M. L., KAUSERUD, H., RASCONI, S. & ANDERSEN, T. 2017. Fungal
 communities in Scandinavian lakes along a longitudinal gradient. *Fungal Ecol.*, 27, 36-46.
- KONTULA, T. & VÄINÖLÄ, R. 2001. Postglacial colonization of Northern Europe by distinct
 phylogeographic lineages of the bullhead, Cottus gobio. *Mol. Ecol.*, 10, 1983-2002.
- LE CALVEZ, T., BURGAUD, G., MAHÉ, S., BARBIER, G. & VANDENKOORNHUYSE, P. 2009.
 Fungal diversity in deep-sea hydrothermal ecosystems. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 75, 6415 6421.
- LEPELLETIER, F., KARPOV, S. A., ALACID, E., LE PANSE, S., BIGEARD, E., GARCÉS, E.,
 JEANTHON, C. & GUILLOU, L. 2014. Dinomyces arenysensis gen. et sp.
 nov.(Rhizophydiales, Dinomycetaceae fam. nov.), a chytrid infecting marine dinoflagellates. *Protist*, 165, 230-244.

- LEPÈRE, C., DOMAIZON, I., HUMBERT, J.-F., JARDILLIER, L., HUGONI, M. & DEBROAS, D.
 2019. Diversity, spatial distribution and activity of fungi in freshwater ecosystems. *PeerJ*, 7, e6247.
- LI, S., DENG, Y., WANG, Z., ZHANG, Z., KONG, X., ZHOU, W., YI, Y. & QU, Y. 2020. Exploring
 the accuracy of amplicon-based internal transcribed spacer markers for a fungal community.
 Mol. Ecol. Resour., 20, 170-184.
- LINDAHL, B. D., NILSSON, R. H., TEDERSOO, L., ABARENKOV, K., CARLSEN, T., KJØLLER,
 R., KÕLJALG, U., PENNANEN, T., ROSENDAHL, S. & STENLID, J. 2013. Fungal
 community analysis by high-throughput sequencing of amplified markers a user's guide. *New Phytol.*, 199, 288-299.
- 548 LONGCORE, J. E., PESSIER, A. P. & NICHOLS, D. K. 1999. Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis gen.
 549 et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. *Mycologia*, 91, 219-227.
- MGNIFY 2019. dsrB gene diversity in marine coastal sediments in Aarhus Bay, Denmark. Sampling
 event dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/yqs360</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- 552 NARANJO-ORTIZ, M. A. & GABALDÓN, T. 2019. Fungal evolution: diversity, taxonomy and
 553 phylogeny of the Fungi. *Biol. Rev.*, 94, 2101-2137.
- NILSSON, R. H., LARSSON, K.-H., TAYLOR, A. F. S., BENGTSSON-PALME, J., JEPPESEN, T.
 S., SCHIGEL, D., KENNEDY, P., PICARD, K., GLÖCKNER, F. O. & TEDERSOO, L. 2019.
 The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel
 taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 47, D259-D264.
- NILSSON, R. H., WURZBACHER, C., BAHRAM, M., COIMBRA, V., LARSSON, E., TEDERSOO,
 L., ERIKSSON, J., DUARTE RITTER, C., SVANTESSON, S. & SÁNCHEZ-GARCÍA, M.
 2016. Top 50 most wanted fungi. *MycoKeys*, 12, 29-40.
- 561 NORDIC COUNCIL OF MINISTERS 2010. Implementing the Selfoss declaration. Recommendations
 562 to Nordic forestry. Copenhagen.
- 563 PICARD, K. T. 2017. Coastal marine habitats harbor novel early-diverging fungal diversity. *Fungal* 564 *Ecol.*, 25, 1-13.
- RAMIREZ, J., TULIG, M., WATSON, K. & THIERS, B. 2020. The New York Botanical Garden
 Herbarium (NY). Version 1.17. The New York Botanical Garden. Occurrence dataset
 https://doi.org/10.15468/6e8nje accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- RASCONI, S., NIQUIL, N. & SIME-NGANDO, T. 2012. Phytoplankton chytridiomycosis: community
 structure and infectivity of fungal parasites in aquatic ecosystems. *Environ. Microbiol.*, 14,
 2151-2170.
- 571 RICHARDS, T. A., JONES, M. D., LEONARD, G. & BASS, D. 2012. Marine fungi: their ecology and
 572 molecular diversity. *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 4, 495-522.
- 573 RICHARDS, T. A., LEONARD, G., MAHÉ, F., DEL CAMPO, J., ROMAC, S., JONES, M. D.,
 574 MAGUIRE, F., DUNTHORN, M., DE VARGAS, C. & MASSANA, R. 2015. Molecular
 575 diversity and distribution of marine fungi across 130 European environmental samples. *P. Roy.*576 Soc. B Biol. Sci., 282, 20152243.

- ROHRLACK, T., HAANDE, S., MOLVERSMYR, Å. & KYLE, M. 2015. Environmental conditions
 determine the course and outcome of phytoplankton chytridiomycosis. *PLoS ONE*, 10,
 e0145559.
- SCHMIDT, P.-A., BÁLINT, M., GRESHAKE, B., BANDOW, C., RÖMBKE, J. & SCHMITT, I. 2013.
 Illumina metabarcoding of a soil fungal community. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 65, 128-132.
- 582 SEPKOSKI JR, J. J. 1978. A kinetic model of Phanerozoic taxonomic diversity I. Analysis of marine
 583 orders. *Paleobiology*, 4, 223-251.
- SETO, K., VAN DEN WYNGAERT, S., DEGAWA, Y. & KAGAMI, M. 2020. Taxonomic revision
 of the genus Zygorhizidium: Zygorhizidiales and Zygophlyctidales ord. nov.(Chytridiomycetes,
 Chytridiomycota). *Fungal Syst. Evol.*, 5, 17-38.
- 587 SHEARER, C. A., DESCALS, E., KOHLMEYER, B., KOHLMEYER, J., MARVANOVÁ, L.,
 588 PADGETT, D., PORTER, D., RAJA, H. A., SCHMIT, J. P. & THORTON, H. A. 2007. Fungal
 589 biodiversity in aquatic habitats. *Biodivers. Conserv.*, 16, 49-67.
- SIME-NGANDO, T. 2012. Phytoplankton chytridiomycosis: fungal parasites of phytoplankton and
 their imprints on the food web dynamics. *Front. Microbiol.*, 3, 361.
- 592 SKJELKVÅLE, B., HENRIKSEN, A., JÓNSSON, G., MANNIO, J., WILANDER, A., JENSEN, J.,
 593 FJELD, E. & LIEN, L. 2001. Chemistry of lakes in the Nordic region Denmark, Finland with
 594 Åland, Iceland, Norway with Svalbard and Bear Island, and Sweden. Oslo: NIVA.
- SØNSTEBØ, J. H. & ROHRLACK, T. 2011. Possible implications of chytrid parasitism for population
 subdivision in freshwater cyanobacteria of the genus Planktothrix. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*,
 77, 1344-1351.
- 598 SPARROW, F. K. J. 1960. *Aquatic Phycomycetes*, Ann Arbor, USA, The University of Michigan Press.
- TAUGBØL, A., DERVO, B. K., FOSSØY, F., BÆRUM, K. M., SIVERTSGÅRD, R., BRANDSEGG,
 H., MILLER, A. & YTREHUS, B. 2017. Første påvisning av den patogene soppen
 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) i Norge. Bruk av miljø-DNA for påvisning av fremmede
 arter. Lillehammer: NINA.
- TEDERSOO, L., BAHRAM, M., PÕLME, S., KÕLJALG, U., YOROU, N. S., WIJESUNDERA, R.,
 RUIZ, L. V., VASCO-PALACIOS, A. M., THU, P. Q. & SUIJA, A. 2014. Global diversity and
 geography of soil fungi. *Science*, 346, 1256688.
- TEDERSOO, L., SÁNCHEZ-RAMÍREZ, S., KOLJALG, U., BAHRAM, M., DÖRING, M.,
 SCHIGEL, D., MAY, T., RYBERG, M. & ABARENKOV, K. 2018. High-level classification
 of the Fungi and a tool for evolutionary ecological analyses. *Fungal Divers.*, 90, 135-159.
- TELENIUS, A. 2016a. Fungi (S). Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/qfmb77</u> accessed via
 GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- TELENIUS, A. 2016b. Gothenburg Herbarium General (GBIF:IH:GB:Herbarium). Occurrence
 dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/afkfpi</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- TELENIUS, A. & SHAH, M. 2018. Botany (UPS). Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/ufmslw</u>
 accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.

- 615 TRANVIK, L. J., DOWNING, J. A., COTNER, J. B., LOISELLE, S. A., STRIEGL, R. G.,
 616 BALLATORE, T. J., DILLON, P., FINLAY, K., FORTINO, K. & KNOLL, L. B. 2009. Lakes
 617 and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 54, 2298-2314.
- 618 UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN HERBARIUM 2020. University of Michigan Herbarium. Version 1.9.
 619 Occurrence dataset <u>https://doi.org/10.15468/nl8bvi</u> accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- 620 WIJAYAWARDENE, N. N., PAWŁOWSKA, J., LETCHER, P. M., KIRK, P. M., HUMBER, R. A., 621 SCHÜßLER, A., WRZOSEK, M., MUSZEWSKA, A., OKRASIŃSKA, A. & ISTEL, Ł. 2018. 622 Notes for genera: basal clades of Fungi (including Aphelidiomycota, Basidiobolomycota, 623 Caulochytriomycota, Blastocladiomycota, Calcarisporiellomycota, Chytridiomycota, 624 Entomophthoromycota, Glomeromycota, Kickxellomycota, Monoblepharomycota, 625 Mortierellomycota, Mucoromycota, Neocallimastigomycota, Olpidiomycota, Rozellomycota 626 and Zoopagomycota). Fungal Divers., 92, 43-129.
- WITTZELL, H. & SHAH, M. 2020. Lund Botanical Museum (LD). Occurrence dataset
 https://doi.org/10.15468/c4w4co accessed via GBIF.org on 2020-01-31.
- WOHLFARTH, B., BJORCK, S., FUNDER, S., HOUMARK-NIELSEN, M., INGÓLFSSON, Ó.,
 LUNKKA, J.-P., MANGERUD, J., SAARNISTO, M. & VORREN, T. 2008. Quaternary of
 Norden. *Episodes*, 31, 73-81.
- WURZBACHER, C., KREILING, A.-K., SVANTESSON, S., VAN DEN WYNGAERT, S.,
 LARSSON, E., HEEGER, F., NILSSON, H. R. & PÁLSSON, S. 2020. Fungal communities in
 groundwater springs along the volcanic zone of Iceland. *Inland Waters*, 10, 1-10.
- WURZBACHER, C., LARSSON, E., BENGTSSON-PALME, J., VAN DEN WYNGAERT, S.,
 SVANTESSON, S., KRISTIANSSON, E., KAGAMI, M. & NILSSON, R. H. 2019. Introducing
 ribosomal tandem repeat barcoding for fungi. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, 19, 118-127.
- WURZBACHER, C., RÖSEL, S., RYCHŁA, A. & GROSSART, H.-P. 2014. Importance of
 saprotrophic freshwater fungi for pollen degradation. *PLoS ONE*, 9, e94643.
- WURZBACHER, C., WARTHMANN, N., BOURNE, E. C., ATTERMEYER, K., ALLGAIER, M.,
 POWELL, J. R., DETERING, H., MBEDI, S., GROSSART, H.-P. & MONAGHAN, M. T.
 2016. High habitat-specificity in fungal communities in oligo-mesotrophic, temperate Lake
 Stechlin (North-East Germany). *MycoKeys*, 16, 17-44.
- WURZBACHER, C. M., BÄRLOCHER, F. & GROSSART, H.-P. 2010. Fungi in lake ecosystems.
 Aquat. Microb. Ecol., 59, 125-149.
- 646
- 647
- 648 Figure legend
- Fig. 1 Occurrence map of Chytridiomycota (sensu James et al 2006) depicting (A) only
 preserved specimens and (B) preserved specimens, environmental samples and human
 observations. Maps are downloaded directly from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility
 (GBIF; https://www.gbif.org), February 2020.



653

Fig. 2 Overview (in %) of (A) which sample type is most abundantly found across the four
Nordic countries and (B) at what taxonomic rank affiliated with different sample types.



656

Fig. 3 Overview of the class-level records between the Nordic countries according to the classification systems of (A) Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019) and (B) Tedersoo et al. (2018). (C) Cladogram of the species belonging to Chytridiomycota records across the Nordic countries according to the classification systems of Naranjo-Ortiz and Gabaldón (2019).



Fig. 4 Venn diagram depicting shared chytrid species across the four Nordic countriesDenmark, Sweden, Norway and Finland.



Table S1 Complete data records of Chytridiomycota downloaded from the Global Biodiversity

666 Information Facility (GBIF).

gbifID	class	order	family	genus	species	taxonRank	countryID	Year	basisOfRecord
2442363747	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Chytriomycetaceae	Rhizoclosmatium		GENUS	DK	2009	MATERIAL_SAMPLE
2244194347	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2019	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2242671626	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2019	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238547756	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2018	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238466973	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium taraxaci	SPECIES	DK	2016	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238446300	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2016	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238410914	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2015	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238324265	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	2009	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238264527	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	1987	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
2238263247	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	1987	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
2238209014	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2013	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238144021	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	2012	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238143844	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	2012	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238143723	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	2012	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2238006987	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2006	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2237981118	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	2005	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2237955935	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2011	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2237862063	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2019	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2237860626	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2019	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
2237859900	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	2019	HUMAN_OBSERVATION
1988708677	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium globosum	SPECIES	DK	1906	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1949671888	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Chytridiaceae	Phlyctochytrium		GENUS	DK	2014	MATERIAL_SAMPLE
1930009436	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium globosum	SPECIES	DK	1906	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1929820284	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium stellariae	SPECIES	DK	1878	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1840640677	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	1882	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1840640664	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	NA	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1840640630	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium stellariae	SPECIES	DK	1878	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1840640617	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium stellariae	SPECIES	DK	NA	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1585885550	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	1903	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1585884386	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium globosum	SPECIES	DK	1904	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1585884030	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	1892	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1585884015	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	1892	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1585883497	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Cladochytriaceae	Cladochytrium	Cladochytrium menyanthis	SPECIES	DK	1991	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1148296071	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium aureum	SPECIES	DK	1912	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1135325868	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium globosum	SPECIES	DK	1904	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1052171113	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	1882	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1042891635	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium mercurialis	SPECIES	DK	NA	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1042891634	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium myosotidis	SPECIES	DK	1882	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
126942647	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium globosum	SPECIES	DK	NA	UNKNOWN
125757378	Chytridiomycetes	Chytridiales	Synchytriaceae	Synchytrium	Synchytrium anemones	SPECIES	DK	1987	PRESERVED_SPECIMEN
1949696641	Monoblepharidomycetes	Monoblepharidales	Monoblepharidaceae	Monoblepharis		GENUS	DK	2014	MATERIAL_SAMPLE
1949693138	Monoblepharidomycetes	Monoblepharidales	Monoblepharidaceae	Monoblepharis		GENUS	DK	2014	MATERIAL_SAMPLE
1949693105	Monoblepharidomycetes	Monoblepharidales	Monoblepharidaceae	Monoblepharis		GENUS	DK	2014	MATERIAL_SAMPLE