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#### Abstract

Background: Overweight and obesity are defined by an excessive accumulation of adipose tissue. Adipose tissue, also known as fat, consist of specialized lipid storing cells called adipocytes. In human, adipose tissue is distributed throughout the body in two major depots: subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), and visceral adipose tissue (VAT). While SAT is beneficial for metabolism, VAT is associated with several metabolic disorders and increased risk of premature death. Despite the known pathological effects of VAT, the underlying mechanisms that promote selective fat storage in VAT are poorly understood and largely unexplored. However, genetics are thought to play a major role, and a recent GWAS study has identified 11q23.3 as one of several novel genetic loci associated with VAT mass. Because GWAS associations do not provide functional information, the molecular mechanisms underlying this new association are still unknown.


#### Abstract

Aim: The overall aim of this study was to investigate enhancer activities in the VAT associated 11q23.3 locus and identify the causal variant(s).


Methods: Standard molecular biological methods were used to assess transcriptional activity of the cloned tiles, such as PCR, gel-electrophoresis, In-fusion cloning, and luciferase assays in appropriate adipose cell lines and a control cell line. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed to identify causal SNPs regulating the cis-regulatory (enhancer) activity. Finally, bioinformatic web tools were utilized to search for transcription factor binding sites overlapping the causal to discover upstream regulatory mechanisms.

Results: We were able to clone three tiles, from both risk and protective allele types. Our data suggested that tile 8 is involved in genotype-dependent enhancer activity, and that this activity is determined by at least five causal SNPs. We identified multiple transcription binding sites overlapping the SNPs within tile 8 and found a repressive RARB site to be lost in the risk allele of rs1799993.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that tile 8 is involved in genotype dependent enhancer activity of the 11q23.3 locus, and at least five likely causal SNPs, were identified. Among these, the rs1799993 risk variant may disrupt retinol-RARB anti-adipogenic signaling, leading to increased VAT mass.

## Abbreviations

| ASC | Human adipose derived stem cell |
| :--- | :--- |
| BAT | Brown adipose tissue |
| BMI | Body mass index |
| bp | Base pair |
| CVD | Cardiovascular disease |
| eQTL | Expression quantitative trait loci |
| gDNA | Genomic DNA |
| GLP-1 | Glucagon-like peptide-1 |
| GWA | Genome Wide Association |
| GWAS | Genome Wide Association Studies |
| Kbp | Kilo Base pair |
| LD | Linkage disequilibrium |
| PMCA | Phylogenetic module complexity analysis |
| SAT | Subcutaneous adipose tissue |
| SNPs | Single Nucleotide Polymorphism(s) |
| TAG | Triglycerides |
| TBS | Transcription binding site |
| TF | Transcription factors |
| TSS | Transcription start site |
| UPC1 | Uncoupling protein 1 |
| VAT | Visceral adipose tissue |
| WAT | White adipose tissue |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Obesity

### 1.1.1 Obesity epidemiology

Overweight and obesity are defined by an excessive accumulation of adipose tissue and are major risk factors for co-morbidities and increased mortality ${ }^{1,2}$. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the prevalence of obesity and overweight has nearly tripled worldwide since 1975, and obesity is therefore recognized as a global pandemic ${ }^{3,4}$. WHO estimations revealed that in 2016, more that 1.9 billion adults worldwide were categorized as having overweight, of which 650 million adults were obese ${ }^{3}$. Overall, approximately $11 \%$ of men and $15 \%$ of women globally were estimated to have obesity in $2016^{3}$. Overweight and obesity is not only restricted to adults but also affects children. According to WHO, an estimated 38.2 million children under the age of 5 were categorized as obese or overweight in $2019^{3}$.

In Norway, data on overweight and obesity of children, adolescents and adults are collected through different studies including Health Studies in Nord-Trøndelag, Tromsø Health Studies, National Service Center, Child Growth Study and the SAMINOR health study ${ }^{5}$. Recent estimation from these different studies have revealed that approximately $15-20 \%$ of Norwegian children are overweight or obese, about $25 \%$ adolescents are overweight or obese, and almost $25 \%$ of adult men and $21 \%$ of adult women are obese ${ }^{5}$.

### 1.1.2 Nutritional, environmental, and genetic contributions to obesity

Obesity is ultimately the result of chronic excessive positive energy balance ${ }^{6}$. However, the underlying causes driving this disbalance are complex. Diet, physical activity, and genetic predisposition are three important factors that interact with each other to affect energy balance and body weight. In the modern days, a range of unhealthy dietary factors that promotes overnutrition has become a considerable burden in high-income countries and increasing burden for middle-income countries ${ }^{7}$. In these countries most of the population have an unhealthy dietary pattern, which includes low intake of wholefoods, fruits, and vegetables, combined with very high intake of unhealthy ultra-processed food, such as sugarsweetened beverages and processed meat ${ }^{8,9}$. For example, recent food consumption data from Australian teenagers has demonstrated that one-third of energy intake comes from food that consist of high energy and low nutritional values, high salt, and sugar, high in saturated fat
and low in fiber ${ }^{7}$. These changes in social norms have resulted in food that used be "occasional" are now considered everyday food.

In addition to nutritional aspect, other environmental factors have a huge impact on our energy intake and body weight. Present day "obesogenic environment", which refers to influences such as our surroundings, food availability, socio-economic status, or lifestyle, promote obesity in individuals and in the population, and have a huge impact on human behavior and lifestyle ${ }^{1010}$. For instance, in an obesogenic environment, the community or society has increased marketing, availability, and affordability of energy dense foods, which can partly explain the excess energy intake and weight gain ${ }^{10}$. In such environment individuals must have huge self-control to make responsible decisions about personal diet and physical activity. In addition, other factors that can affect obesity are, sedentary lifestyle, socioeconomic risk factors, education, social acceptance, social media, and gut microbiota ${ }^{11}$.

Interestingly, many people living in obesogenic environments remain lean, highlighting the importance of a third factor influencing energy balance, namely genetic predisposition ${ }^{12-15}$. In fact, twin studies suggest that heritability accounts for a striking $40-80 \%$ of obesity cases ${ }^{15}$ However, obesity genetics is, with the exception of rare monogenic forms of obesity, not completely deterministic, meaning that individuals with risk genes are not destined to be obese, but rather are more susceptible to an obesogenic environment ${ }^{12,15}$. For example, individuals with obesity risk variants in the FTO locus have a $23 \%$ higher risk of developing obesity, but this risk has been shown to be preventable with physical activity ${ }^{16,17}$. In contrast, other studies have found limited effect of exercise on obesity ${ }^{18}$. Understanding genetic predisposition is important in determining who are at particular risk of developing obesity, and whether that individual would respond better to nutritional or exercise intervention. Therefore, the focus of this thesis will be on the genetic predisposition of obesity.

### 1.1.3 Characterization and classification of obesity

Body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used classification index for overweight and obesity due to its simplicity and is defined as the person's weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. For adults, WHO defines overweight and obesity as BMI $\geq$ 25 and $\geq 30$, respectively (Table 1.1$)^{19}$. For a person 1.8 m tall, this corresponds to a weight of roughly 80 and 100 kg , respectively.

Table 1.1: BMI-based classification of obesity.

$$
\text { Category } \quad \text { BMI }\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
$$

| Underweight | $<18.5$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Normal weight | $18.5-24.9$ |
| Overweight | $\geq 25$ |
| Obesity | $\geq 30$ |
| Obesity class I | $\geq 30-34.9$ |
|  | $\geq 35-39.9$ |
|  | $\geq 40$ |

This table represents values according to WHO guidelines.

Even though BMI is a popular, non-expensive and simple method to estimate overweight and obesity, utilizing BMI as the only marker of obesity can be inaccurate in many situations. For example, BMI does not differentiate between fat mass and fat-free mass, nor accounts for different fat depots in the body, thus giving an inaccurate measurement of the degree or type of obesity ${ }^{20}$ In addition, since BMI does not consider bone mass or body composition based on sex, age and race, other methods may be more suitable for accurate measurement of obesity and associated health risks ${ }^{21}$.

Non-invasive quantitative methods or anthropometric measurements such as waist circumference (WC), Waist-hip ratio (WHR), Waist-height ratio (WHtR), hip circumference (HC), limb circumference and skinfold thickness, bioelectrical impedance, underwater weighing, can be more accurate than BMI measurements ${ }^{21-23}$. However, these methods still cannot distinguish between all types of adipose tissue types (discussed later). Criterion methods such as dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) are the gold standards for accurate measurements of body fat and its distribution ${ }^{22}$. Although these measurement methods provide a better indication of an individual's body fat and its distribution, these methods are not widely available and can be very expensive, time costly and can be difficult to standardize across observers and machines. However, imaging data from about 4,000 people with visceral obesity has recently been combined with other anthropometric measurements to make computational models that can accurately predict the degree of visceral obesity. This model has been used to predict the degree of visceral obesity in nearly 400,000 British people ${ }^{24}$.

### 1.2 Adipose tissue

Adipose tissue, also known as fat, is a plastic and heterogenous organ, which consist of specialized lipid storing cells called adipocytes ${ }^{25}$. These cells accumulate as loose connective tissue at specific adipose depots throughout the body and are the master regulators of nutritional hemostasis, energy balance and thermogenesis ${ }^{25}$. Normally these cells maintain the body's energy homeostasis by storing energy in the form of triglycerides (TAG) during feeding and releasing energy in the form of free fatty acids during fasting or in situations with elevated energy demand ${ }^{26}$. In addition, adipocytes secret several endocrine hormones and other factors, collectively known as adipokines. These adipokines plays a key metabolic role and act on other biological systems via regulation of insulin sensitivity (e.g., adiponectin), inflammation (e.g., TNF- $\alpha$, IL-8, IL-18) and insulin resistance (e.g., resistin, lipocalin), reproductive function, blood pressure and angiogenesis ${ }^{27-29}$. An important aspect of adipose tissue endocrinology is that adipose tissue is home to many other cell types besides adipocytes, including monocytes, pluripotent stem cells, pericytes, endothelial cells and macrophages. It is assumed that these non-adipocyte cells might be responsible for some secreted adipokines ${ }^{29}$.

### 1.2.1 Types of adipose tissue and their function

In mammals, adipose tissues are categorized in two main types based on anatomy and functions: white adipose tissue (WAT) and brown adipose tissue (BAT) ${ }^{25}$. While both types of adipose tissues take up glucose and fatty acids, WAT stores this energy as lipid droplets, whereas BAT specializes in burning the lipids through generation of heat.

In mammals, including humans, WAT is by far the most abundant form of adipose tissue ${ }^{30}$. The white adipocytes are primarily large adipocytes that possess a single large lipid droplet with relatively few mitochondria ${ }^{25}$. As mentioned above, the principal function of WAT is to control the storage and release of lipids in response to changes in systemic nutritional and metabolic energy levels. Storage of lipids in WAT during periods of positive-energy balance and after feeding is mediated via lipogenesis, also known as lipid synthesis ${ }^{25}$. Lipogenesis is a metabolic process that converts different circulating nutrients such as glucose, long-chain fatty acids and branched-chain amino acids into lipids via esterification fatty acids to acetyl$\mathrm{CoA}^{31}$. In both human and rodents, the major site for lipogenesis is adipose tissue and the liver ${ }^{32}$. In the situation of energy demand due to fasting or physical exercise, adipose tissue
releases free fatty acids and glycerol via lipolysis, which hydrolyzes TAGs to fulfil the energy demand ${ }^{32}$. Both processes are highly regulated by signals from peripheral tissue and the central nerve system ${ }^{32}$.

In contrast to WAT, BAT represents only a small proportion of the total adipose tissue mass and is found in the neck/shoulder area in humans Brown adipocytes are highly oxidative and characterized by multilocular lipid droplets with abundant mitochondria which express uncoupling protein 1 (UPC1) $)^{25}$. This protein short-circuits the oxidative phosphorylation, leading to oxidation of fatty acids and heat generation without producing ATP ${ }^{26}$. Thus, BAT acts as so-called metabolic sinks that can dispose of excess nutrients ${ }^{33}$. For instance, a recent paper demonstrated that when the thermogenic trait of these cells is fully activated, they can increase whole-body-energy expenditure by over $100 \%$ in mice and by $40 \%-80 \%$ in humans 34,35.

The relative contribution of BAT to whole-body energy expenditure in humans is controversial due to the small size of this depot ${ }^{36}$. However, WAT can also participate in the thermogenic process by "browning or beiging", which refers to white adipocytes transforming into brown-like cells in response to cold exposure ${ }^{33}$. This process is highly beneficial due to the superior size of white adipose depots, but unfortunately, achieving effective beiging has proved difficult in obese individuals ${ }^{36}$. Therefore, the remainder of this thesis will focus on the classical white adipose tissues.

### 1.2.2 Distribution of white adipose tissue

WAT is distributed throughout the body in several distinct depots. WAT can be broadly divided into two major depots: subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), which is located beneath the skin, and visceral adipose tissue (VAT) that lines the internal organs ${ }^{30}$ (Figure 1). Normally, SAT accounts for $>80 \%$ of total body fat and VAT accounts for $10-20 \%$ in men and $5-10 \%$ in women, but these numbers can vary dramatically in obesity ${ }^{37}$. Importantly, studies have shown that SAT possesses multiple beneficial effects on metabolism, while VAT is associated with several metabolic disorders ${ }^{38,39}$. Body fat distribution is dependent on various factors including age, sex, and total body fat content ${ }^{40}$. Thus, it is the distribution of these fat depots, rather than just the total adipose or body mass that determines the risk of developing obesity-associated diseases. Therefore, as mentioned above (section 1.1.3),
accurate measurement or prediction of VAT mass is critical to determine disease risk in obesity.


Figure 1: The major adipose-tissue depots in human. The main white adipose tissue (WAT) is categorized into two main depots: visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous (SAT). VAT can further be divided into -omental, mesenteric, retroperitoneal, gonadal, and pericardial ${ }^{37}$. SAT is located on upper and lower body region, which can be measured by hip, thigh, and leg circumference. In contrast, brown adipose tissue (BAT) can be found in cervical-supraclavicular, perirenal, and paravertebral regions ${ }^{37}$. This figure is adapted from Biorender.com.

### 1.2.3 Diseases associated with obesity

Throughout most of the human evolution, access to food was occasional and storage of fat was advantageous for survival ${ }^{25,33}$. However, in current time, the constant access to energyrich foods promoting obesity is highly problematic. Obesity, specifically visceral obesity, is an integral part of the metabolic syndrome, a set of unfavorable conditions occurring together, that also includes hypertension, high blood sugar (hyperglycemia), high blood pressure (hypertension), high levels of circulating TAG and low levels of high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol ${ }^{25}$. These conditions are strongly associated with an increased risk of co-morbidities, such as type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases (CVD), asthma, cancer ${ }^{41}$, several other chronic conditions ${ }^{42}$, and ultimately increased risk of early death ${ }^{43}$. Nearly $25 \%$ of world's populations are affected by metabolic syndrome, according to "The International Diabetes Federation" ${ }^{43}$. In addition to metabolic syndrome, obesity leads to impaired quality of life and decreases life expectancy, as well as socio-economic burden both
in individual and social level ${ }^{44}$. Furthermore, studies have suggested that obesity increases/associated with various types of cancers ${ }^{41}$.

### 1.3 Current Treatment options

Obesity is a systematic disease; thus, it requires a multidisciplinary and multimodal treatment. Treatment options surrounding obesity is dependent on the phase of the disease and purpose of the treatment ${ }^{45}$. The following sections describes some of the major treatment options that are available to date.

### 1.3.1 Non-surgerical lifestyle therapy

Non-surgical lifestyle therapy is multifractional interventions, which is designed for individuals or patient groups according to their risk factor status and necessity of the individuals. Non-surgical lifestyle, also known as "basic therapy," includes dietary counseling, physical exercise plan, healthy lifestyle habits and positive behavioral traits. These different aspects of the therapy vary significantly in the weight reduction and weight maintenance phase, also in national and international level ${ }^{45}$. The main goal of the basic therapy is to promote long term body weight reduction in combination with positive change in behavior that contributes to improve in obesity associated risk factors, reduced metabolic syndromes, and improved life quality ${ }^{45}$.

### 1.3.2 Drugs supporting treatments

In recent years, FDA has approved several drugs targeting obesity and overeating disorders. According to most international guidelines, patients with a BMI above $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}, 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and at least one weight-related sequelae are considered as eligible for using these drugs ${ }^{46,47}$. In order to gain FDA approval, obesity drugs must achieve $>5 \%$ weight loss, as well as cardiovascular safety and positive impact on numerous obesity related risk factors ${ }^{48}$. The FDA approved drugs functions in various ways including reduced appetite, increase in energy expenditure or decreased intestinal absorption of food intake (Table 1.2) ${ }^{49}$.

Table 1.2: Current FDA approved pharmacological interventions for obesity.

| Drugs names | FDA approval | Mechanisms of action |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Orlistat | 1999 | Functions as pancreas lipase inhibitor |
| Lorcaserin | 2012 | Functions as 5-HT2C receptor agonist |


| Phentramine/topiramate ER | 2012 | Acts as noradrenergic agent/GABA/GLUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| modulator |  |  |

This table summarizes all the FDA approved drugs to the date and the way these drugs functions ${ }^{49}$.

Orlistat is the only FDA approved weight loss drug that functions peripherally and is a pancreatic lipase inhibiter that leads to reduced apportion of fat through intestine ${ }^{50}$.

Lorcaserin is FDA approved in the US and Mexico but didn't get approved in Europe. This drug is a selective $5-\mathrm{HT} 2 \mathrm{C}$ receptor agonist and functions through activating hypothalamus POMC neurons to decreased food intake ${ }^{51}$. The first FDA approved combination drug is Phentramine /topiramate, where phentermine causes satiety through norepinephrine and dopaminergic antagonism, and topiramate function through GABA and glutamate signaling. However, the combination effects of these two drugs on weight loss is not known ${ }^{49}$.

Bupropion/naltrexone is another combinational drug that can be used to treat obesity, where bupropion is a dopamine/norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor and naltrexone is an opioid receptor antagonist ${ }^{47,49}$. Liraglutide, is a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonist and mimics the actions of endogenous GLP-1, that is released from small intestines. Primarily this drug is used to treat type 2 diabetes, however this drug influences weight loss in dose-dependent manner ${ }^{47,52}$. Another GLP-1 receptor agonist, Semaglutide recently gained FDA approval, has a much greater effect compared to other drugs, both by itself and in combination with other drugs ${ }^{53}$. Lastly, Lisdexamfetamine dimesylate which is originally used to treat deficient hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and for binge eating disorder. Thus, extended treatment with this drug has a result on obesity ${ }^{54}$.

### 1.3.3 Bariatric surgery

At present day, utilization of nonsurgical approaches (lifestyle interventions and drug treatments, except for semaglutide) doesn't lead to a satisfactory long-term weight loss and thus, doesn't reduce the incidence of obesity related co-morbidities ${ }^{55}$. In contrast, bariatric surgery has proved to be highly effective to achieve strong and long-term weight loss in morbidly obese patients ${ }^{55}$. To date, according to American Society of Metabolic and Bariatric
surgery (ASMBS) there are four main types of surgical options are available for morbidly obese patients (with an BMI $\geq 40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) including - laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (SG), Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB), laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), and biliopancreatic duodenal switch (BPD/DS) ${ }^{56}$. All of these surgeries reduce the physical size of the stomach to a varying degree or bypasses the stomach altogether. The outcome of these surgical treatments lead to huge weight loss, reduction in CVD and improves life quality, but RYGB appears to be more effective ${ }^{55}$. However, there are also multiple issues associated with long-term outcome including recurrence rates, nutritional deficiency, depression, suicide risk, sarcopenia, and other safety issues ${ }^{45}$. Moreover, surgery is only given to people with BMI above 40 , or above 35 with at least one comorbidity (such as type 2 diabetes), leaving many overweight or obese patients without treatment options. Thus, the "perfect" cure against obesity is still lacking.

### 1.4 Biological mechanisms contributing to development of obesity and related diseases

Obesity is a complex disease which, as mentioned above, can be caused by a complex interplay between nutrition and genetic factors, social, physiological, and environmental factors. The following sections will introduce some of the biological, molecular and genetic factors that play an important role in development of obesity.

### 1.4.1 Adipose tissue dysfunction

During the development of obesity and obesity related disease, several interconnected processes contribute to adipose tissue dysfunction. Inflammation and insulin resistance are two of the most known mechanisms associated with adipose tissue dysfunction, as well as changes in secretory function of adipocytes ${ }^{25,57}$. In obese individual macrophages are more prevalent, adipose tissue contain M1 and M2 macrophages. Primarily function of these macrophages is tissue repairment and they can store fat like adipose tissue. Therefore, interplay between macrophages and adipose tissue initiate and maintain adipose tissue dysfunction ${ }^{25,57}$. Adipocytes increases in size via hypertrophy because of overnutrition, and these enlarged cells releases more FFAs, thus leads to increase in basal lipolysis. These FFAs binds to macrophage toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) leads to increase production of TNF- $\alpha$ production, which then results in increase lipolysis and expression of various genes. Thus, this local paracrine loop leads to pro-inflammatory state both adipocytes and macrophages,
also reduced production of adiponectin ${ }^{57}$. Excessive secretion of FFAs and TNF- $\alpha$ by enlarged fat cells play a crucial role in development of insulin resistance in obese individual. Furthermore, adipogenesis (is the process via differentiation of multipotent stem cells that leads to formation of mature adipocytes), and angiogenesis (formation of blood vessels) are closely related process during adipose hypertrophy ${ }^{25,57}$. Thus, excessive expansion of adipose tissue and angiogenesis leads to hypoxia. Hypoxia inducible transcription factors inhibits transcription of adiponectin genes and PPAR- $\gamma$ activity (involved in adipocytes differentiation and fatty acid trapping) ${ }^{57}$.

Obese individuals have high level of leptin, and their appetite and energy expenditure are not regulated. This phenomenon leads to development of hypothalamic leptin resistance, which can lead to persistent hunger in obese people ${ }^{57}$. Moreover, since insulin has the same effects as leptin in the hypothalamus, thus insulin resistance may contribute to leptin resistance. Another characteristic of adipose tissue dysfunction is fibrosis, which characterizes as excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix and tissue stiffness ${ }^{25}$. Fibrosis in adipose tissue is the contributor of functional decline, various factors of gene regulatory networks, cellular mediators and signaling pathways have been associated with adipose fibrosis. These factors such as transcription growth factors, activin A, plated-derived growth factor, connective-tissue growth factor and inflammatory cytokines ${ }^{25}$. Obesity is also related to reduction in abundance and activity of thermogenic adipose tissue ${ }^{25}$. Figure 2 is a summary version of all the major hallmarks associated with obesity development and obesity related diseases.


Figure 2: The hallmarks of adipose tissue dysfunction. Schematic representation of six main hallmarks, which contributes to adipose tissue dysfunction during the development of obesity related diseases. This figure was adapted from Sakers et al. 2022: "Adipose-tissue plasticity in health and disease" and created in Biorender.com.

### 1.4.2 Genetic mechanisms

Multiple studies have confirmed that within a given population certain variation exist in body size and shape among individuals and many genes have been identified as contributors to severe human obesity. As mentioned above, a meta-analysis of 31 adult twins have shown that BMI variation caused by genetic differences ranged from $47 \%$ to $80 \%{ }^{58}$. Furthermore, adaptation studies have suggested that BMI of the adapted children strongly correlates with the biological parents and less with the adaptive parents ${ }^{59}$.

Non-syndromic monogenic obesity is the consequence of loss-of-function mutations in single genes associated with leptin-melanocortin pathway, and influence approximately $5 \%$ of the population ${ }^{60}$. This pathway involves processing signals from the peripheral tissue into the hypothalamus, thus regulates food intake and influence body weight. Mutations in these genes are rare and primarily causes severe over-eating and strong obesity ${ }^{61}$. Genes that have been identified with these kinds of mutations including $L E P$, leptin receptor ( $L E P R$ ), melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R), and pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC). Loss-of-function mutation in MC4R are the most common form of monogenic obesity with an occurring frequency of 2-6\% in obese individuals ${ }^{60,61}$. Therefore, monogenic mutations only explain a tiny fraction of the $47-80 \%$ of obese individuals attributable to genetics.

The remaining obesity cases, usually referred to as common obesity, can also be termed polygenic obesity, meaning that multiple genes are influencing the risk of developing obesity ${ }^{62}$. Unlike monogenic mutations, which are rare and usually reside in the coding region of a gene, leading to a disrupted protein production or function with severe impact on cellular function, polygenic mutations, termed "single-nucleotide-polymorphisms (SNPs) are common and most often found in non-coding regions such as promoters, enhancers, and other intergenic regions ${ }^{63}$. Thus, polygenic mutations mostly affect gene expression, each with a very little effect on the phenotype alone, but in combination with other predisposing variants may lead to huge phenotypic influence ${ }^{62,63}$.

In recent years, modern technology and completion of Human genome project has led to a better understanding of genes related to obesity. The FTO -fat-mass and obesity associated gene was the first obesity related gene identified via Genome Wide Association (GWA, discussed below) approach in 2007. The most recent meta-analysis of GWAS ${ }^{64}$ and several studies have shown that variation in FTO gene is associated with increased appetite, energy, dietary fat and protein intake, and reduced satiety, also thermogenesis process of the adipose tissue ${ }^{65,66}$. Other loci have also been implicated in gen-diet interaction, including IRS, TCF7L2, GIPR, ADIPOQ, FAAH, FTO or Visfatin ${ }^{65}$, among many other loci.

### 1.4.3 Genome wide association studies (GWAS)

While the few genes involved in monogenic obesity were identified through linkage studies in family pedigrees during the 90 s , this approach was not suitable to identify common variants in the general population because the human genome had not yet been mapped. However, with completion of the Human Genome Project in 2003 the DNA sequences of the entire human genome was revealed, and researchers could then pinpoint various regions of the genome that varies between individuals and in theory search for gene variants occurring more frequently in certain groups of people with specific traits, for example with and without obesity ${ }^{67}$. However, as more genomes were slowly being sequenced, it turned out that there are approximately 10 million SNPs, or bases in the genome that differ from individual to individual. ${ }^{68}$. Due to the time and cost of sequencing at the time, comparing large groups of cases and controls were not feasible ${ }^{69}$. However, the HapMap project, discovered that many SNPs that are inherited together in so-called haploblocks, also known as linkage disequilibrium (LD)-blocks ${ }^{68}$. Therefore, a single tag-SNP can represent hundreds of other SNPs in each block. Thus, a few of these tag-SNPs are sufficient to identify common
haplotypes in a region ${ }^{68}$ (Figure 3), reducing the number of SNPs need for sequencing to about $200,000-1,000,000$ which was manageable.


Figure 3. Schematic representation of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs), haplotypes, and tag-SNPs. A) A short DNA segment of the same chromosome from four different people. Most of the bases in segment are similar, except for the three colored bases in each segment that shows a variation, also known as SNP. B) Represents haplotype, that is a series of alleles found at a linked loci on a single chromosome. The figure is showing the extended haplotypes of the same segments of these four chromosomes, containing 20 SNPs and which allele of each SNP the chromosome carries. C) tag-SNPs, genotyping only three of the 20 SNPs is enough to identify each of the four haplotypes uniquely. This figure was adapted from "The international HapMap project" and created from Biorender.com.

These projects together with other new research techniques made it possible to deploy GWAS. GWAS is population-based technique, and the aim is to detect associations between trait variants and allele frequencies within a given population ${ }^{70}$. GWAS is conducted by collection of DNA from patients groups with and without the disease being studied. Then genotyping is on the tag-SNPs that represent the total genomic variation. Association tests then are performed to test whether certain genetic variants occur significantly more frequent in the genome of the patients with disease compared to patients without the disease ${ }^{70}$. With improved sequencing technology and larger sample sizes, an exponential number of obesity associated SNPs have been identified in recent years, reaching about 1,100 by 2021 ${ }^{71}$. In contrast to the rapid identification of new variants, the biological interpretation of what the
variants mean and how they influence obesity has proved extremely slow due to a number of limitations of GWAS, as explained in the next section.

## A. Challenges with GWAS

Despite having detected thousands of disease associated variants, these variants still do not account for all the genetic contribution of obesity, a situation often termed "missing heritability ${ }^{\prime \prime 72}$. A suggested explanation for this is the genome-wide significant threshold level ${ }^{73}$. With advances in sequencing technology, more SNPs are being sequenced, ${ }^{73}$, meaning that more corrections for multiple testing are needed. Thus, only SNPs with the strongest p -values (below $5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) are considered to be associated with the investigated trait, leading to fewer discoveries ${ }^{73}$.. Therefore, it is believed that a very large number of undiscovered SNPs with small effect size together may explain the missing heritability in obesity ${ }^{70}$.

Despite the missing heritability, the roughly 1,100 SNPs already identified with the strongest effect should in theory be enough to explain the genetic basis and molecular mechanisms of obesity. However, this has not been the case, because more than $90 \%$ of these variants/SNPs are located in non-coding regions, meaning that they cannot directly be mapped to any gene function or biological mechanism ${ }^{72,74-76}$. Many of these variants are likely in promoters and enhancers and therefore affecting regulation of gene expression rather than protein structure. While SNPs in promoters can be assigned to the nearest gene and thereby be assigned a biological function, the target gene(s) of enhancers may be situated hundreds of thousands of bases away due to looping of DNA ${ }^{77}$. Therefore, a SNP in an enhancer may potentially regulate hundreds of genes. Thus, non-coding SNPs must be painstakingly analyzed by comprehensive bioinformatic and functional follow-up studies. Another important limitation of GWAS is that the association does not say anything about in which cells or tissues the SNP may act, making biological interpretation even harder ${ }^{78}$.

Finally, even if one were to solve the abovementioned problems, the reported tag-SNP is likely not causal, meaning not being the variant that is driving the association. As mentioned above, a tag-SNP may represent hundreds of SNPs in a block of DNA that are inherited together. There may be only one, or a few of these SNPs that actually have an impact by serving as binding sites for transcription factors. Without knowing the exact causal SNPs, the biological mechanisms cannot be fully understood. Thus, pinpointing casual variants is
essential for not only prediction of the risk associated with disease, but also for understanding the underlying mechanism related to complex trait variation ${ }^{62}$.

## B. Solution to the challenges associated with GWAS

Complementary methods are needed to correctly identify the underlying target genes associated with diseases and variants located in the non-coding region. For example, increased sample size can lead to high mapping resolution, where GWAS is performed across multiple populations. Theory of this approach is that causal variants associated with a trait remain consistent across population, while tag SNPs may only be associated with subset of population because of the differences in LD structures ${ }^{79}$.

Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) analysis is an important approach to understand molecular mechanism underlying complex trait. eQTL analyses are similar to GWAS, but associate SNPs with expression of genes rather than disease status ${ }^{80}$. By intersecting GWAS hits with eQTL, it is possible to investigate whether a SNP associated with a disease is also associated with the expression of a gene in various tissues. ${ }^{79,81,82}$. Thus, eQTL is a powerful method to predict likely downstream target genes of disease-associated SNP, although experimental validation is necessary.

Even if a disease-associated SNP from GWAS also has an eQTL hit that suggests a likely downstream gene, these analyses do not show how the SNP affects the expression of that gene. As mentioned above, the mechanisms likely involve enhancer activity. Different sets of genes are expressed in different cells and tissues, defining their identity. The expression of these tissue specific genes are regulated by both epigenetic modifications and highly sequence-specific transcription factor regulatory elements. These transcription factor regulatory elements can be divided in cis and trans-regulatory elements (located in the noncoding region of the gene), and they vary based on key genetic and evolutionary properties. Cis-regulatory elements (CRE) also known as cis-regulatory modulus (CRM), such as enhancers, promoters, and silencers, are clusters of transcription-binding motifs that regulate the gene expression/transcription of nearby genes. Trans-regulatory elements (TRE) encode trans-regulatory factors, more commonly known as transcription factors (TFs), that bind to CREs and mediate the regulation. Normally, gene expression is regulated by complex interaction between multiple CREs and TRE/TFs ${ }^{81,82}$, (Figure 4).


Figure 4: Schematic representation of variation in cis and trans regulatory modulus. As the figure shows cis acting variants/ SNPs can influence both proximal and distal elements, which lead to changes in gene expression. In contrast, variants in trans regulatory elements rather alters the abundance or nature of an intermediate factor and consequently changes expression of the target gene. Moreover, these variants can be both in coding and non-coding regions. This figure is adapted from "Missing heritability in Parkinson's disease: the emerging role of non-coding genetic variation" and created in biorender.com.

SNP variants can occur in either of these regulatory networks, which can lead to changes in coding sequence, change in gene expression level or the whole networks of gene and their product ${ }^{82}$. However, it is variations in CREs that are believed to be most important, meaning that mutations in enhancers of target genes have a bigger effect on phenotype variation than mutations affecting the upstream TF itself ${ }^{83}$. These genetic variations can be studied via utilizing eQTL analysis and eQTL expressions are divided in cis and trans. A cis-eQTL appear with the location of the underlying genes, in comparison trans-eQTL doesn't necessarily pinpoint to transcription factors, but rather a heterogenous group of transcription regulators ${ }^{81,82}$. Furthermore, trans-eQTL haven been shown to be globally important, however their individual effects are small and therefore their genome-wide identification remains challenging ${ }^{81}$.

Thus, mutations that change a TF binding site (TFBS) may be more important than mutations that change the expression level or function of a TF because changes in the TFBS can lead to complete loss of TF binding or introduce a novel binding motif for a completely different TF. It is therefore important to identify whether a SNP sits in a TFBS depending, and whether a risk or protective variant may change the binding site.

One of the major limitations of both GWAS and eQTL analyses is that they only inform about the association between a block of multiple SNPs/variants in LD, but do not reveal which one(s) of them are the actual casual variant(s) responsible for changes in the target genes. In other words, they do not tell which TFBS are affected ${ }^{84}$. Therefore, in 2014, Claussnitzer and colleagues developed a computational method, known as phylogenetic module complexity analysis (PMCA) to narrow down potential casual variants in a GWAS locus ${ }^{85}$. The PMCA approach utilizes algorithm that analyses the phylogenetic conservation between species to detect and identify similarities in complex patterns of co-occurring transcription factor binding sites for combinatorial binding of transcription factors within CRMs. In other words, researchers used the PMCA method as a starting point to identify genetic variation in the non-coding regions that binds to transcription factors and involved in gene regulation, and how they are associated with disease mechanisms ${ }^{85}$. Based on the analysis, "complex regions", which refers to genomic region with significant enrichment of phylogenetically conserved transcription factor binding sites modules, could be identified. In contrast, "noncomplex region" refers to regions with no particular significance in terms of gene regulation ${ }^{85}$. This computational method made it possible to narrow down the number of candidate causal SNPs in an LD block from hundreds to a handfull. This reduction is important, because to pinpoint the exact causal variant(s), each SNP must be experimentally tested in vitro and in vivo by luciferase reporter assays and genome editing, respectively, which requires a huge effort for even a single SNP.

In summary, Claussnitzer et al. was able to demonstrate a clustering of specific homeobox transcription factor binding sites at 47 type 2 diabetes associated risk GWAS loci. Furthermore, they were able to identify cis-regulatory variant rs4684847 in the non-coding region of the PPARG gene, which inhibits the activity of PPARG2 protein. PPARG2 is a master regulator of adipocyte development and fatty acid storage, disrupting glucose metabolisms and initiation of type 2 diabetes ${ }^{33}$. Using the same approach, Claussnitzer et al. was able to demonstrate that the GWAS signal most strongly associated with overall obesity
(in the FTO locus) was situated in an enhancer that regulated two genes 0.5 and 1.5 million base pairs away. Moreover, they were able to pinpoint the exact causal SNP and showed that the risk variant disrupted the binding of a repressive TF, leading to increased elevation of the target genes (IRX3 and IRX5), which promoted fat storage in adipocytes.

## C. Identification of new loci associated with visceral adiposity

As explained earlier, fat distribution plays a crucial role in the risk of developing the metabolic syndrome and other obesity related diseases. Among all the different types of adipose tissue, VAT accumulation in the body is more harmful compared to other adipose tissue type ${ }^{24}$. Despite these facts, the underlying genetic mechanisms promoting selective VAT storage are poorly investigated. Until recently, only a few GWAS studies have investigated genetic associations with VAT, and these only provided 4 genes (Karlsson et al. 2019, supplementary: functional analysis). A likely explanation $t$ is the technical and logistical challenges, as VAT associated studies require advanced imaging techniques to precisely measure the fat content surrounding the internal organs ${ }^{24}$.

However, a recent study by Karlsson et al. performed a large-scale GWAS for VAT accumulation revealed 200 new associated loci ${ }^{24}$. To achieve this, they developed a sexstratified, nonlinear prediction model via X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measurements of VAT mass on 4,198 individuals of white British ancestry from UK biobank. Then they utilized these prediction models to predict the VAT mass of nearly 400,000 white British individuals from UK biobank, of which 325,153 were used in downstream analysis. A largescale GWAS was performed on these participants with predicted VAT mass. From this study they were able to identify 209 independent VAT mass associations that was distributed over 200 non-overlapping loci. Among these, 71 VAT associated lead SNPs/ tag-SNPs overlapped with eQTL. . One of these VAT-associated tag-SNPs was found in the 11q23.3 and showed an eQTL signal for the $H M B S$ and VPS11 genes in visceral fat. The tag-SNP rs rs1784461 is in LD with ( 38 SNPs) other SNPs, which all potential causal variants (Figure 5).


Figure 5: UCSC genome browser on human locus 11q23.3. The first bar (gray) represents the chromosome 11q13.3 locus and the second bar are the actual sequence search of the LD-block based on tag-SNP 1784461 from SnapGene. The third bar (dark gray) presents sequence/map in the SnapGene and all the SNPs in the LD-block. Next, presentation of RefSeq data, which shows human protein coding and non-protein coding genes taken from NCBI RNA reference sequences collection, in this scenario three genes and their isoforms -HYOU1, VPS11 and HMBS. The thick dark blue bars of RefSeq data are exons and thin line with pills are introns. OMIM is a compendium of human genes and genetics phenotypes and OMIM alleles represents variants in the OMIM database that have associated dbSNP identifiers. dbSNP contains mapping information of SNP variations, microsatellites, insertions, and deletions for both common variations and clinical mutations. Next track displays ENCODE registry of candidate cis-regulatory elements (cCREs) in the human genome, red bar represents promoter-like signature, orange bar represents proximal-like signature and yellow bar represents distal enhancer-like signature. The next four tracks are GeneHancer data, and they account for information concerning regulatory elements, gene transcription siter (promoter), association between regulatory elements and genes and clustered interactions. Red bars are prompters and gray bars are enhancers. ORegAnno is another data source that represents data including regulatory regions, transcription binding sites and regulatory polymorphisms, where light blue bar represents regulatory region and orange bars represents transcription binding sites. Layered H3K27Ac track characterizes enhancers by histone modification marker H3K27Ac, from the figure a lot of enhancer activity in the HMBS region. The JASPAR track represents genome-wide predicted binding sites for each of the transcription factor profiles in the JASPAR CORE collection. The shaded arcs are predicted binding sites and indicates the p -value of the matched position, the darker the shades the lower the p -value. Lastly, common dbSNPs shows the short genetic variations from dbSNP, most variants in dbSNP are rare and some variants are pathogenic. This figure was created in UCSC genome browser along with multiple different data sources.

Notably HMBS gene is one of the two key heme biosynthesis related gene and linked to adipogenesis via activities related to mitochondrial respiratory ${ }^{86}$. Karlsson et al. performed a limited functional analysis where they focused on 3 regions with predicted regulatory activity. A subset of SNPs, including tag-SNP rs 1784461 were selected for functional follow up, where they performed luciferase assay to predict the differences in luciferase activity between risk and protective alleles. Interestingly they identified one SNP -rs1799993 in the regulatory region, that showed genotype-dependent promoter activity. Thus, they suggested that this SNP is a likely causal variant underlying the associations between the risk haplotype in the 11 q 23.3 locus, increased $H M B S$ expression and increased VAT mass.

A major limitation of the Karlsson et al study is the use of a luciferase reporter system that only assessed promoter activity, because although the proposed causal SNP rs 1799993 resides in the promoter region of $H M B S$, this is limited to the short isoforms that are only expressed in blood cells (Figure 5 and Uniprot database ${ }^{87}$ ), which are not relevant for fat cells. In contrast, most $H M B S$ isoforms possess additional exons further upstream, meaning that rs1799993 resides in the intron of these splice variants. It is therefore more likely that in fat cells, this SNP resides in an enhancer, rather than a promoter. This further opens the possibility that rs1799993 regulates not only HMBS, but potentially a range of other genes too (that are too distant to be picked up by eQTL analyses).

A second limitation of the Karlsson study is the lack of a systematic investigation of the entire LD block for regulatory activity, meaning that there may be other undetected causal SNPs present. Finally, because of these limitations, a TFBS, or change has not been identified. To address these shortcomings, our lab has previously attempted to clone different sections (also termed tiles) of the 11q23.3 locus into an enhancer luciferase reporter to assess enhancer activity. However, due to technical challenges with amplification and a traditional restriction enzyme digestion and ligation approach, only a few small parts of the locus were assessed with luciferase assays ${ }^{88}$. Importantly, one of the successfully cloned tiles was 8 a , which harbored rs1799993. This tile did show increased luciferase activity, but since only the protective genotype was cloned, it is not known whether this tile has a genotype-dependent effect on gene expression. Moreover, since the tile includes other SNPs as well, it cannot be excluded that one or more of these SNPs may be causal as well. Finally, the enhancer activity of other tiles in the locus are also lacking.

The present study attempts to shed further light on the genetic mechanisms underlying the association between the 11 q23.3 locus and visceral obesity, so that in long term these findings can lead to a better understanding of VAT and VAT associated diseases, and perhaps ultimately provide a treatment target against visceral obesity.

## 2. Aims of the study

VAT is particularly strongly associated with metabolic diseases compared to SAT, and the underlying mechanisms that promote selective fat storage in VAT are poorly understood. However, genetics are thought to play a major role, and a recent GWAS has identified several genetic loci that are specifically associated with increased VAT mass.

The main goal of this study is to investigate genotype-dependent cis-regulatory activities in one of the VAT-associated loci (11q23.3) and identify the causal genetic variant(s).

Specific aims include:

1. Design new tiles for the 11 q 23.3 locus
2. Optimize PCR conditions for each tile from both risk and protective donors
3. Perform in-fusion cloning of the tiles into a luciferase reporter vector
4. Screen for enhancer activity using luciferase assays
5. Identify tiles with genotype-dependent enhancer activity
6. Perform site-directed mutagenesis of individual $\operatorname{SNP}(s)$ in active tiles to pinpoint causal variant(s)
7. Identify TFs binding to the causal SNP(s)

## 3. Materials

## Bioinformatics

Table 3.1 Online tools

| Online tool | Description | URL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beacon <br> PrimerSoft | Tool for assessing for primer properties | http://www.premierbiosoft.com/qOligo/ Oligo.jsp?PID=1 |
| GTExPortal | Provides information about tissue specific eQTL data | https://www.gtexportal.org/home/ |
| HaloReg v4.1 | Tool for exploring variations in haploblocks. | https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/ haploreg/haploreg.php |
| JASPAR <br> CORE 2022 | Open-access database for transcription factor binding profiles | https://jaspar.genereg.net/ |
| NCBI primer BLAST | Website for designing primers. | https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primerblast/index.cgi |
| NEBcloner | Website that provides information about compatibility between restriction enzymes. | https://nebcloner.neb.com/\#!/ |
| QuickChange Primer Design (Agilent) | Tool to design primers for site-directed mutagenesis | https://www.agilent.com/store/ primerDesignProgram.jsp |
| TaKaRa | Website for designing in-fusion cloning primers | https://www.takarabio.com/products/cloning/in-fusion-seamless-cloning |
| UCSC Genome Browser | Web interface for visualization of genomic DNA. | http://genome.ucsc.edu |
| WashU Epigenome browser | Tool to visualize, integrate and analyze epigenomic datasets | http://epigenomegateway.wustl.edu/browser/ |

Table 3.2 Analytic software used in this thesis

| Product | Supplier | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Biorender | Biorender | Image editor |
| GraphPad Prism 9 | GraphPad Software Inc | Statistical analysis |
| Image Lab ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Software <br> Version 3.0 | BioRad Laboratories Inc | Gel visualization |
| Inkscape | Inkscape | Image editor |
| Microsoft® Excel 2022 | Microsoft® | Statistical Data analysis |
| Microsoft® Word 2022 | Microsoft $®$ | Writing Text editing <br> program |


| Optima Data Analysis | BGM LabTech | Excel export Retrieval of <br> luciferase raw data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SnapGene Viewer | SnapGene | DNA sequence visualization |

Table 3.3 Overview of in-fusion cloning primes used to amplify tiles.

| Tile | Version | Sequence $5^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ | Product length |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tile 1 | 1.1 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGGGGAGGCGAAGAAAGAAA | 3958 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGGTTTGGGTTGGCATCAAGG |  |
|  | 1.2 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGTTTGCTCCCTCTACTGGGGT | 4084 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGGTTTGGGTTGGCATCAAGG |  |
|  |  | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGAAGGAGACCCGAGCCTTTTG | 4003 bp |
|  | 1.3 | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGCAGAACGAACCCCATGCTA |  |
| Tile 3 | 3.1 | F: TGGCCTAACTGGCCGTGCATTTGGGACAAGAAGTGT | 4761 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGAGCAGGGAAGATTCGAGTGC |  |
|  | 3.2 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGTGCATTTGGGACAAGAAGTGTT | 4604 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGACTCACCAAGGGGTTGATGC |  |
|  |  | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGAGGTTTGACTTTGCTGTAACCC | 6025 bp |
|  | 3.3 | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGTGGATTGCCACCATCTCTCT |  |
| Tile 4 | 4.1 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTA | 4762 bp |
|  |  | R:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTA |  |
|  | 4.2 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGT | 4714 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGTTCTAGCCCCTACCTGGAGC |  |
|  | 4.3 | F: TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTA | 4732 bp |
|  |  | R: GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGTATTGCTGGACAGCCCCATC |  |
| Tile 5 | 5.1 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGCTCTACAGCAAGGGCAACCA | 3696 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGGTTCTCCGCCAGATACAGG |  |
|  | 5.2 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGTCTGGAGGCACTTTGGGAT | 3990 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGCGCTTCATGTTGCTCTCTGC |  |
| Tile 8 | 8.1 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGCCCCTTTGGGAAGACACGTT | 3096 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGTCACAGGTTAGGCAGATCC |  |
|  | 8.2 | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGAGAGCAAAGGAAGCGCCATA | 3729 bp |
|  |  | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGTGCCATAGAATGTAATCTGCCAT |  |
|  |  | F:TGGCCTAACTGGCCGAGGTCCACTGTCGCAATGTT | 3716 bp |
|  | 8.3 | R:GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGCATGAGAAGGGGCTTGGG |  |

Black letters represent gene-specific sequence. The green letters in front of each forward primer (F) and pink letters in front of each reverse primer (R) represent sequences homologous to the ends of the linearized target plasmid required for in-fusion cloning.

Table 3.4 Primers for site-directed mutagenesis

| Tiles | Sequence 5' $\mathbf{5 P 3}^{\prime}$ | Length | Mutation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs1799991 | F: ATATAAAAATTAGGCCGAGCACAGTGGCTCATGCC <br> R: GGCATGAGCCACTGTGCTCGGCCTAATTTTTATAT | 35 bp | $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ |
| rs1799992 | F: GTGGCATGCGCCTGCAGTCCCAGCTACTT <br> R: AAGTAGCTGGGACTGCAGGCGCATGCCAC | 29 bp | $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ |
| rs2846282 | F: GGGTGACAGAGCGCAACTCCCCCTCAAAA <br> R: TTTTGAGGGGGAGTTGCGCTCTGTCACCC | 29 bp | $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ |


| rs11297272 | F:GTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGA | 50 bp | $\mathrm{G} \rightarrow-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | GAAATGGGACCTCC |  |  |
|  | R:GGAGGTCCCATTTCTCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTAGA |  |  |
|  | CAGAGTCTCAC |  |  |
| rs1799993 | F: GGCAGCACTCTAGGTGAACGAACTTTAGGCAGC <br> R: GCTGCCTAAAGTTCGTTCACCTAGAGTGCTGCC | 33 bp | $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ A |

F stands for forward and R stands for reverse. All the red annotated letters represent mutation (from protective type to risk type) and SNP rs 11297272 had deletion of $G$ in the underlined position.

Table 3.5 Primers used for sanger sequencing and colony PCR

| Target | Sequence 5' $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow}$ 3' | Length |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pGL4.23-Luc2_minP_empty | F: CTAGCAAAATAGGCTGTCCC | 20 bp |
|  | R: CTTAATGTTTTTGGCATCTTCCA | 23 bp |

In this study we have used the vector specific primers to perform all the colony PCR and sanger sequencing. To perform sanger sequencing for PCR amplified product of tiles, we have used primers used to amplify the tiles. F stands for forward and R stands for reverse.

Table 3.6 Overview of biological samples used for PCR optimization.

| Patient code | Material | Genotype* | Ethical approval |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patient 752 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| Patient V564 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| Patient V584 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| Patient V588 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| Patient V620 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| Patient V621 | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | $2018 / 2020$ |
| A41WAT | gDNA | C/A, heterozygous | BIDMC, 2009-P- |
| (human cell line) |  |  | 000101 |

Genotype* represents genotypes for SNP rs1799993.

Table 3.7 Overview of final patient samples used for cloning of tiles.

| Patient code | Material | Genotype |  | Ethical approval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Genotype for <br> SNP 1799993 | Haplotype <br> (homozygous) |  |
| V586 | gDNA | A/A | risk | $2018 / 2020$ |
| V609 | gDNA | A/A | risk | $2018 / 2020$ |
| V601 | gDNA | C/C | protective | $2018 / 2020$ |
| V602 | gDNA | C/C | protective | $2018 / 2020$ |

Table 3.8 List of plasmids used as starting point for cloning and mutagenesis
Plasmid Cat. No Description

| pGL4.23-Luc2_minP_empty | E8411 | The empty vector was digested with <br> restriction enzyme, before used for <br> cloning by In-fusion cloning. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pGL4.23-minP_Tile_8a | Cloned in our <br> laboratory | The plasmid was used to clone site- <br> directed mutagenesis PCR amplified <br> product. |

Table 3.9 Overview of commercial kits

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| BigDye ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Terminator V3.1 Cycle <br> Sequencing | Termofisher | 4458687 | Sanger <br> sequencing |
| HiSpeed® Plasmid Maxi Kit | Qiagen | 12663 | Plasmid/DNA <br> purification |
| In-fusion® Snap Assenmbly master Mix | TaKaRa | 638947 | Molecular <br> cloning |
| Luciferase Assay HITS kit | Bio Thema | $484-103$ | Transcriptional <br> activity |
| Platinum SuperFi II PCR Master Mix | Invitrogen | $12359-050$ | Polymerase <br> chain reaction |
| QIAquick Gel extraction Kit | Qiagen | 28704 | DNA <br> purification |
| QuickChange II Site Directed Mutagenesis <br> Kit | Agilient <br> Technologies | $200523-5$ | Site directed <br> mutagenesis |
| Taq DNA Polymerase | Qiagen | 201205 | Polymerase <br> Chain Reaction |

Table 3.10 Materials for restriction enzyme digestion

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HindIII-HF | BioLabs | R3104S | Restriction enzyme |
| KpnI-HF | BioLabs | R3142S | Restriction enzyme |
| CutSmart Buffer | BioLabs | B7204S | Buffer for restriction enzyme |
| PCR grade water | VWR Life Science | 733-2573 | Water for various methods |
| Quick CIP | BioLabs | M0525 | Dephosphorylation of vector |

Table 3.11 Agarose gel electrophoresis

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gel loading Dye Purple 6x | BioLabs | B7024S | To dye samples |
| GelRed $®$ Nucleic Acid Stain | Sigma-Aldrich | SCT123 | Detecting nucleic acids |
| GeneRuler 1 kb DNA ladder | Thermo Scientific | SM1333 | Molecular weight <br> standard |
| SeaKem ${ }^{\circledR}$ LE Agarose | Lonza | 5004 | Gel electrophoresis |

Table 3.12 Transformation and competent bacterial cells

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One Shot Top 10 competent cells | Thermofisher | C404010 | Transformation |
| XL1-Blue Supercompetent cells | Agilient Technologies | 200236 | Transformation |
| XL 10-Gold Ultracompetent cells | Agilient Technologies | 200315 | Transformation |
| S.O.C medium | Invitrogen | C4040 | Microbial growth <br> medium |
| Bacto $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Agar | BD Diagnostics | 214010 | To make agar <br> plates |
| Difco $^{\mathrm{TM}}$ LB Broth (Miller) | Sigma Aldrich | 244610 | Growth medium <br> powder |
| Ampicillin, Sodium Salt | CalBioChem | 1712254 | Antibiotic, used as <br> selection marker |

Table 3.13 Components used to culture mammalian cells

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AmnioMAX ${ }^{\text {TM_C100 (1X) }}$ | Lonza | 12-604F | Medium for ME3 |
| DMEM 4.5 g/L Glucose \& L- | Gibco | 2027416 | Medium for COS-1 and <br> 3lutamine |
| AmnioMAX | Gibco | 2337521 | Supplement |

Table 3.14 Reagents used for transfection

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Opti-MEM® 1 (1X) | Gibco | 2185845 | Optimize transfection |
| TransIT-LT1® | Mirus | 02083632 | Transfection reagent |
| TransIT-X2® System | Mirus | 02123410 | Transfection reagent |

Table 3.15 Materials for luciferase assay
Product $\quad$ Supplier $\quad$ Cat. No

| ATP substrate | 200324 | BioThema |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Luciferin substrate | 200317 | BioThema |
| Tris-EDTA Buffer (ATP reagent buffer) | 250316 | BioThema |

Table 3.16 Overview of buffers, solutions and medium

| Title | Components | Application |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 X TAE | 50 mM EDTA disodium salt 2M Tris <br> 1 M Acetic acid | - |
| 1X TAE | 20 mL 50 X TAE <br> 980 mL Milli-Q water | Used for separating DNA fragments on agarose gel |
| 1,2\% Agarose | 1,2 g Agarose <br> 100 mL Milli-Q water | Gel for separating gel |
| Agar plates | 5 g Bacto-agar 200 mL LB medium | Solidified growth serf ace for microorganisms |
| LB medium (1 liter), Autoclaved | 25 g Difco LB broth 900 mL Milli-Q water pH adjusted to 7.5 with NaOH | Bacterial growth medium |
| Luciferase lysis buffer | 1M (1M TrisAc, 20nM EDTA, pH 7.8) <br> 1 MDTT <br> 0.5 M EDTA <br> 85\% Glycerol <br> 100\% Triton-X <br> $\mathrm{aH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (autoclaved Milli-Q water) | Lysis cells, prior to measurement of luciferase activity. |
| PBS (Phosphate | 0.2 g KCI | Cells are washed with PBS |
| Buffer Saline) 1 liter | $\begin{aligned} & 0.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KH} 2 \mathrm{PO} 4 \\ & 1.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{HPO} 4 \\ & 6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} \\ & 800 \mathrm{~mL} \text { Milli-Q water } \\ & \text { pH adjusted to } 7.4 \text { with HCL } \\ & \text { Milli-Q water to a total of } 1 \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ |  |

Table 3.17 Technical equipment used to perform various experiments.

| Product | Supplier | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avanti J-26S XP centrifuge | Beckman Coulter | Harvest lysate |
| Countess II Invitrogen | Invitrogen | Counts cell |
| Dual-Action Shaker KL2 | Edmund Bühler <br> GmbH | Shakes samples in cold room |


| Electrophoresis Power supply | Amersham <br> Bioscience | Gel electrophoresis |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804 R | Sigma-Aldrich | Centrifuge |
| Eppendorf MiniSpin <br> Microcentrifuge | Sigma-Aldrich | Centrifuge |
| Eppendorf Thermomixer 5436 | Sigma-Aldrich | Heat centrifuge |
| FLUOstar OPTIMA | BGM Labtech | Measures luciferase assay |
| Gel Doc ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ EZ Imager | Bio-Rad | Visualize gel electrophoresis |
| GeneAmp ${ }^{\circledR}$ PCR System 9700 | Applied biosystems | PCR |
| Grant QBD1 block heater | Grant Instrument | Heating up samples |
| Heraeus Biofuge Primo centrifuge | Thermo Scientific | Spin down cell suspension |
| Incubator Hood TH30 | Edmund Bühler | Shaker sample in heat |
|  | GmbH |  |
| Köttermann Fumehood | Köttermann | Ventilation device to limit |
|  | systemlabor | exposure to hazardous |
| compounds |  |  |
| NanoDrop ND-1000 Leica <br> Spectrophotometer Measure DNA concentration <br> Nikon Eclipse TS100 Nikon |  |  |
| Ocaptaor Bio | Erlab | Microscopy |
| Optima Data Analysis | BGM LabTech | Excel export Retrieval of |
|  |  | luciferase raw data |

Table 3.18 Other materials

| Product | Supplier | Cat. No | Application |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes | VWRÒ International | $211-2164$ | For cell suspension |
| 50 mL falcon tubes | Röhre | 1044623 | For various liquid <br> samples |
| 15 mL tube | Röhre | 1043721 | For various liquid <br> samples |


| Analytik Jena Ultraviolet <br> Lamp Stand for Hand-Held <br> UV Lamps | Analytik Jena | 18-0063-01 | Visualize bands on <br> agarose gel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beckman Coulter Flask | Beckman Coulter | 356011 | Flask for growth of <br> microorganism |
| Countess ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Cell Counting <br> Chamber Slide | Invitrogen | NANC01001 | Glass slide for cell <br> counting |
| Disposable safety scalpels, <br> Aesculap ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Vavantor, VWR | 10131090 | Sharp blade to cut <br> gels. |
| DpnI | BioLabs | R0176S | Cleaves methylated <br> DNA sequence |
| Disposable safety scalpels, <br> Aesculap ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Vavantor, VWR | 10131090 | Sharp blade to cut <br> gels. |
| Opaque 96 well plate | Porvair | 204003 | 96 well for cell <br> lysate, luciferase |
| Reagent Reservoirs | VWR® International | $613-1174$ | Wells for samples |
| Serologische Pipette 5 mL | Serologische | 861253001 | Pipette |
| Serologische Pipette 10 mL | Serologische | 06182021 | Pipette |
| Serologische Pipette 25 mL | Serologische | 861685001 | Pipette |
| Tissue Culture Plates | VWR® International | $10062-900$ | $96-$ well plates |
| Thermo Scientific Nnclon ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ <br> delta surface | Thermo Scientific | 150350 | Cell dish |
| VWR® low temperature <br> freezer vails | VWR® International | $10018-734$ | Snap freeze cells |

## 4. Methods

Ethical approval of this project was granted by the Norwegian Regional Ethics Committees (REC). Patient genomic DNA was retrieved from the Western Norway Obesity Biobank (WNOB), where all donors have given a written informed consent. A previous master thesis in this lab attempted to characterize the 11 q 23.3 locus by designing and cloning 8 tiles ${ }^{88}$. However, due to challenges with polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and particularly the traditional restriction enzyme digestion/ligation approach, very few tiles were successfully cloned.

The current study attempts to address these challenges by designing a completely new set of primers and thereby dividing the 11q23.3 locus/ haploblock into 8 more equally sized, partially overlapping tiles. Moreover, to increase the chances of success, three alternative primer pairs for each tile were designed (section 4.1). Finally, instead of relying on restriction enzyme digestion of the tiles followed by ligation with the target plasmid, a ligationindependent cloning method (in-fusion cloning, section 4.3.5) was applied. An overview of the workflow used in this thesis is presented in figure 4.1.


Figure 4.1 The workflow of the methods used in this study. The VAT associated 11q23.3 locus/haploblock was divided into 8 equal sized regions (tiles) containing all the SNPs in the
haploblock. Then web tools were used to create primer for each tile, before performing PCR on genomic template DNA. The PCR fragments were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and purified PCR fragments were used for in-fusion cloning into the restriction enzyme digested pGL4.23-luc2_minP_empty vector. Additionally, a pre-cloned plasmid was used for site-directed mutagenesis of individual SNPS to generate multiple plasmids with one mutation each. E. coli bacterial cells were transformed with the cloned or mutated vector containing desired sequence. Colony PCR was performed for further verification before further growth of transformed cells and plasmid purification. Following plasmid purification, Sanger sequence was performed to further verify the desired cloned tiles. Mammalian cells were transfected with the plasmid containing desired tiles/sequence, prior to luciferase assay to assess enhancer activity of each tile. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

To assess the enhancer activity of each tile, all tiles were cloned into the pGL4.23_luc2_minP empty reporter vector (figure 4.2). This vector contains the firefly luciferase reporter gene $l u c 2$ under the control of a minimal promoter (minP). Thus, the empty vector expresses the luciferase gene, but at a very low, basal level. To test the enhancer activity of the different parts of the 11q23.3 locus, PCR-amplified regions were cloned into the multiple cloning site situated just upstream of the minimal promoter. Any enhancer activity would boost the minimal promoter and increase the luciferase gene expression. The vector also contains ampicillin resistance gene, which allows selection in Escherichia Coli (E. coli) ${ }^{89}$.


Figure 4.2 pGL4.23_luc2_minP_empty vector map. Multiple cloning region is situated between 170 base pairs, but only the 2 compatible restriction enzyme sites (KpnI and HindIII) are shown. MinP stands for minimal TATA-box promoter with low basal activity. Kozak sequence is the vertebrate consensus sequence for strong initiation for translation. The vector also contains sequence coding luc2 gene, poly(A)signal, ori, ampicillin gene, and pause site. This figure was retrieved from SnapGene.

### 4.1 Choosing restriction enzymes

To clone PCR amplified tiles into the pGL4.23_luc2_minP empty vector, the circular plasmid needed to be linearized. The SnapGene software was used to view all the restriction enzyme (RE) sites present in the vector. Only RE sites unique to the multiple cloning site were considered. Moreover, only REs with a single site within the cloning site were considered. The NebCloner online tool was used to check the compatibility between restriction enzymes and various buffers (table 3.1). When further considering enzymes with high fidelity, $100 \%$ activity in the CutSmart buffer, of Time-Saver quality and not sensitive to methylation, and good stock availability in the lab, KpnI and HindIII considered all criteria and were selected for functional testing.

### 4.2 Primer design

The visceral obesity-associated 11q23.3 locus is a 30 kb haploblock; a human genomic sequence (chr11:119,057,538-119,088,159, GRCh38/hg38) covering 38 SNPs in high LD with rs1799993. While previous studies had cloned minor parts of this haploblock for functional analyses ${ }^{24,88}$, most of the sequence had not been cloned. In this thesis we aimed to clone the missing parts of the LD block. The 30 kb genomic sequence was retrieved from the UCSC genome browser and analyzed in the SnapGene software. Here, the total sequence was didivided into 8 regions/tiles of roughly equal size.

Primers for all the tiles used in this study were designed in NCBI primer blast (Table 3.1) by copying the sequence of the desired tile from SnapGene. Three alternative primers were created for each tile and additionally each primer set was checked for cross-dimers, selfdimers, hair-pin values, primer melting temperature ( Tm ) and GC range in Beacon PrimerSoft website (table 3.1). Primer sets were chosen with Tm between $59-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, GC range between $40-60 \%$, cross-dimer, self-dimer, hairpin values between -2.0 and 0 , with 0 being optimal (the larger the negative value for these categories the higher the chance to get either cross-dimer, self-dimer, or hairpin).

In addition, once all the primers were chosen based on these characteristics, 15 additional base pairs for in-fusion cloning were added to the $5^{\prime}$ end of each forward primer ( $5^{`}-$

TGGCCTAACTGGCCG-3') and $5^{\prime}$ end of each reverse primer ( $5^{\prime}-$
GGCTAGCGAGCTCAG-3') (Table 3.3). These sequences were homologues to the linearized ends of the pGL4.23-luc2_minP_empty vector, and were designed in TaKaRa
website (table 3.1), by providing the original sequence of the pGL4.23-luc2_minP_empty vector from SnapGene, the desired tile sequence and the selected restriction enzyme. Figure 4.3A shows an example of a typical output from this software.

Primers for site-directed mutagenesis were designed in QuickChange Primer Design (Agilent) website (table 3.1). Five mutations were selected for site-directed mutagenesis (Table 3.4), and for each mutation, 70 base pairs in total (meaning 35 base pairs from each side of the SNP) were copied from SnapGene and used to search for primers on the website. Then based on the SNP position and type of mutation, primers were created with an optimal Tm between $78-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 4.3B).
A.


Read Only


PCR Fragment Overlaps
Destination Vector(+): ...ATTTCTCTGGCCTAACTGGCCGGTAC -3' 5'- CTGAGCTCGCTAGCCTCGAGGA...
Destination Vector(-): ...TAAAGAGACCGGATTGACCGGC -5' 3'- CATGGACTCGAGCGATCGGAGCTCCT...
Insert $1(+) \quad: \quad 5^{\prime}-$ TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGGGGAG...CCAAACCCTGAGCTCGCTAGCC $-3^{\prime}$
Insert 1(-) : $3^{\prime}$ - ACCGGATTGACCGGCCCCCCTC...GGTTTGGGACTCGAGCGATCGG -5'

3 Output protocol
Oligos to Order

| Oligo Name | Sequence | Length (bp) | GC\% | Tm ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Gene Specific Tm ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oligo 1 (Insert 1) Forward | TGGCCTAACTGGCCGGGGGGAGGCGAAGAAAGAAAAC | 37 | 59 | 77.7 | 63.8 |
| Oligo 2 (Insert 1) Reverse | GGCTAGCGAGCTCAGGGTTTGGGTTGGCATCAAGG | 35 | 60 | 76.8 | 62.0 |

PCR Reactions to Run

| Reaction Number | Forward Oligo | Reverse Oligo | Template Name |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Oligo 1 (Insert 1) Forward | Oligo 2 (Insert 1) Reverse | Insert 1 |

B. Primer pair $\rightarrow$ rs1799992: $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$

S29F_rs92_T-C
5'-gtggcatgegectgcagtcccagctactt-3'
S30R_rs92_T-C
$5^{\prime}$-aagtagctgggactgcaggcgeatgccac-3'

Sequence:
ACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGAC
AGGAGAAT

Primer sequences:

| Primer Name | Primer Sequence (5' to 3') |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $5^{\prime}$-aagtagetgggactgcaggegcatgccac-3' |
| t35c_ | $5^{\prime}$-gtggcatgcgectgcagteccagctact-3' |

Oligonucleotide information:


Primer-template duplexes:

| Primer Name | Primer-Template Duplex |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | gtggtggcatgcgcctgtagtcccagctacttggg $3^{\prime}-\operatorname{caccgtacgcggacgtcagggtcgatgaa-5'}$ |
| t35c_ | $5^{\prime}-g \operatorname{tggcatgcgcctgcagtcccagctactt-3^{\prime }}$ <br>  <br> caccaccgtacgcggacatcagggtcgatgaaccc |

Figure 4.3: Examples of original primer design and inserts from two different websites. A. Designed 15 homologous base pairs from TaKaRa website. Plasmid sequence -pGL4.23luc2_minP_empty was copied from SnapGene and KpnI as restriction enzyme, tile 1 as insert. From the search two common 15 homologues base pair inserts were created for all the primers. As the 3 . Output protocol parameter shows oligo 1 (insert 1) forward and oligo 2 (insert 1 ) reverse, which is marked with orange underline. B. Example of primer designing for site-directed mutagenesis, figure shows primer for SNP rs1799992, where base T replaced with C. Sequence track represents the original sequence from SnapGene and Primer-template duplex track shows the changes in the primers itself.

### 4.3 DNA techniques

### 4.3.1 Restriction enzyme digestion

Restriction enzyme digestion is a method that involves enzymatical fragmentation of DNA. Restriction enzymes, also known as endonuclease, are naturally occurring enzymes that recognize short nucleotide sequence and cleave double stranded DNA at specific sites ${ }^{90}$. These enzymes can either cut DNA in a staggered manner (single-strand overhang) or cut in a manner that produces blunt end. Here, restriction enzyme digestion was only needed on the vector. As mentioned above, KpnI and HindIII were found to be suitable enzymes for this vector.

## Procedure:

In order to test which of the two RE performed best, restriction enzyme digestion reactions were set up according to Table 4.1. Both KpnI or HindIII reactions were incubated at both 15 minutes and 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After digestion, the samples were dephosphorylated by addition
of $2.5 \mu L$ quick CIP and incubated for 10 min at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to prevent self-annealing of digested vector, prior to heat incubation for 2 minutes at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Finally, the digested products were run on an agarose gel by gel electrophoresis (section 4.3.2) for either verification analysis or DNA fragment purification (section 4.3.3).

Table 4.1: General restriction digestion set up.

| Components | Amount | Samples | First incubation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plasmid DNA | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ | Plasmid DNA + KpnI-HF | 15 minutes |
| rCutSmart Buffer (10X) | $5 \mu L$ | Plasmid DNA + KpnI-HF | 1 hour |
| Restriction enzyme (20 units) | $1 \mu L$ | Plasmid DNA + HindIII-HF | 15 minutes |
| Nuclease free water | To a final volume of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | Plasmid DNA + HindIII-HF | 1 hour |
| Total volume | $50 \mu L$ | Positive control <br> Negative control | 1 hour |

For this set up uncut pGL4.23_luc2_minP_empty was used as plasmid DNA. Controls used in the restriction enzyme experiments were: plasmid + no enzyme (positive control) and enzyme + no plasmid (negative control).

### 4.3.2 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose gel electrophoresis is a method used to separate various sizes of DNA fragments, ranging from 100 base pairs to 25 kilobases ${ }^{91}$. This method is used to verify fragment isolation, plasmid, and estimation of DNA concentration. In gel electrophoresis DNA is separated based on the phosphate backbone of the DNA, which causes the DNA to migrate through the agarose polymer matrix when electric current is applied ${ }^{91}$. In other word DNA fragments migrate towards positively charged anode, and the size of the DNA fragment determines how fast the fragments migrate through gel. Thus, longer DNA fragments migrate slower that shorter fragments. In this study $1,2 \%$ agarose gels were used based on the size of the DNA fragments. Furthermore, GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$ was used for post-electrophoresis gel staining. GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$ is a very sensitive, stable, and environmentally safe fluorescent nucleic dye that was designed to replace the very toxic ethidium bromide ${ }^{92}$. Figure 4.4 represents the general workflow of gel electrophoresis.


(3) Analyze gel


Figure 4.4: The workflow of gel electrophoresis. As the figure shows, first one must make the gel, prior to loading the samples and molecular weight. Once the samples are loaded, then the electrical current is supplied. Lastly, analyzing the gel after the desired DNA fragment have migrated through the gel. This figure was adapted and created in the Biorender.com.

## Procedure:

$1,2 \%$ agarose gel was prepared by heating up the 1 xTAE buffer and agarose powder mixture in the microwave. The mixture was microwaved until all agarose powder was dissolved; the agarose gel solution was cooled down to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before pouring into a gel cast. For 30 ml agarose gel solution $3 \mu L$ GelRed ${ }^{\circledR}$ was added to stain the gel. Once the gel solidified, it was placed in the gel tank and filled with $1 \times$ TAE buffer, until the gel was submerged completely in the buffer. The samples were mixed with 6X loading dye before loading in the gel wells and a molecular weight standard. The gel was run at $80-100 \mathrm{~V}$ for $45-90$ minutes depending on the DNA fragment sizes. Lastly, the gel was visualized in the Gel Doc ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{EZ}$ system and Image lab software (table 3.2) was used to edit and analyze the gel image.

### 4.3.3 DNA purification

DNA purification is a process where desired DNA fragments are isolated from agarose gel by using standard DNA purification kits. The principle of DNA purification is binding of the DNA fragment to a silica membrane in the presence of chaotropic salts. These salts allow removal and washing of any contaminated associated with the extracted DNA, before eluting purified DNA ${ }^{93}$. In this study, QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit was used to purify extracted DNA fragments.

## Procedure:

DNA bands were visualized by Ultraviolet Lamp Stand at 218 nm , and the desired band in the gel was sliced with a clean scalpel. Sliced gel containing the DNA fragment was placed in a 1.5 ml tube. After weighing the gel, DNA was purified according to the manufacturers protocol. Figure 4.5 shows the basic steps of this DNA purification method. Briefly, 3 volumes Buffer QG to 1 volume gel ( 100 mg gel $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to the extracted gel and incubated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. Then 1 gel volume isopropanol was added to the mixture and mixed. The mixture was applied to the QIAquick column collection tube and centrifuge for 1 minute at 13000 rpm . $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Buffer QG was applied to the QIAquick column collection tube and centrifuged for 1 minute at 13000 rpm . The QIAquick column collection tube was washed with $750 \mu L$ Buffer PE for 1 minute at 13000 rpm . QIAquick collection tube was discarded and QIAquick column was placed into a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube. 30 nuclease free water was added in the center of the QIAquick column and centrifuged for 1 minute at 13000 rpm .


Figure 4.5 The workflow of DNA extraction and purification. After visualizing the band extracting DNA and weighing, gel dissolving buffer was added and incubated on $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes in a heating block. Isopropanol was added and mixed thoroughly. The sample then placed on spin column and spined down, before adding washing buffer into the column and spinned down again. Lastly, the column was placed in a 1.5 ml tube and to elute nuclease free water was added, before spinning down for the last time. Concentration of the purified DNA was measured on the NanoDrop ND1000 program. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

### 4.3.4 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a well-known highly sensitive method for DNA amplification. PCR method involves three main cycling steps: DNA denaturation, primer
annealing and DNA extension via heat-stable DNA polymerase. Denaturation of the double stranded DNA refers to disrupting the hydrogen bonds that hold the DNA strands together when heat is applied (approximately $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Secondly, the heat is reduced in a manner so that the primers can anneal to their specific single-stranded DNA template. Lastly, the heat-stable polymerase extends the annealed primers to synthesize new DNA strand from the $3^{\prime}$ to $5^{\prime}$ directions by assembling free-nucleotides in the PCR solution. This cycle is repeated around 20-35 cycles and results in exponential replication of the desired DNA template, as visualized in figure 4.6. In this study, different PCR kit was used depending on the type of experiments, these includes Platinum ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ SuperFi II Green PCR Master Mix (PCR reaction for all the tiles), QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (site-directed mutagenesis, section 4.3.6), Taq DNA polymerase (colony PCR, section 4.3.7) and BigDye ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (sanger sequencing, section 4.3.8). In addition, in this study multiple sets of PCR programs were used for different experiments. In this section only PCR program for amplification of different tiles for cloning are shown (Table 4.2) and the other PCR programs are shown later.


Figure 4.6: Schematic representation of polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The figure represents the three main step in PCR: denaturation, annealing and extension. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

Table 4.2: The thermal cycling program used in the PCR amplification of tiles from genomic DNA.

| Set up* |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Temperature | Time |


| Initial denaturation |  | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 cycles | Denaturation | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Anneal | $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{* *}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Extend | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2,5 minutes |
| Final extension |  | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
|  |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Hold |
| Set up |  |  |  |
|  |  | Temperature | Time |
| Initial denaturation |  | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
| 10 cycles | Denaturation | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
|  | Anneal | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ** | 15 seconds |
|  | Extend | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 minutes |
| 30 cycles** | Denaturation | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Anneal | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{* *}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Extend | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 minutes |
| Final extension |  | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
|  |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Hold |

* Represents the most utilized PCR program in the amplification of DNA fragments. ** Represents the parameters that were modified multiple times throughout the whole study.


### 4.3.5 In-fusion cloning

In-fusion cloning is a very easy and very fast cloning method that allows ligationindependent, directional cloning of any PCR fragments or multiple fragments into any vector ${ }^{94}$. In this study, In-Fusion® Snap Assembly Master Mix kit was used to perform all the cloning. Principle of this method involves linearized vector (digested vector with 15 base pair homologous ends, section 4.3.1) and desired amplified inserts with homologous ends via PCR (section 4.3.4) is combined with in-fusion snap assembly master mix and incubated for 15 minutes. The incubation leads to enzyme in the solution to remove nucleotides from the 3'primers ends of the linear DNA, allowing complementary base pairs of the vector and insert to join and anneal in the right direction ${ }^{94}$, figure 4.7.

## Procedure:

Prior to in-fusion cloning, the desired tiles were amplified via PCR and the PCR samples were run in agarose gel (gel electrophoresis, section 4.3.2) to detect the right insert. Once the right insert was detected on the gel, DNA purification was performed (section 4.3.3). Then the purified fragments along with linearized vector was combined with In-fusion Snap Assembly Master mix, according to table 4.3. The solution was incubated for 15 minutes at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later use. Positive and negative controls were provided by the kit.

## In-Fusion cloning



Figure 4.7: Workflow of in-fusion cloning. The figure describes the main steps of in-fusion cloning. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

Table 4.3: In-Fusion ${ }^{\circledR}$ Snap Assembly Master Mix kit, reaction set up.

| Components | Volume |
| :--- | :---: |
| 5X In-fusion Snap Assembly | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Linearized vector (pGL4.23_digested) | $1: 2$ molar ratio |
| Purified PCR fragments (Tiles) | $1: 2$ molar ratio |
| Nuclease free water | To a final volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total volume | $\mathbf{1 0} \boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { L }}$ |

Optimal amount of vector and insert used in cloning reaction was retrieved from in-fusion molar ration calculator: https://www.takarabio.com/learning-centers/cloning/primer-design-and-other-tools/in-fusion-molar-ratio-calculator

### 4.3.6 Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis is a technique that involves custom made primers and PCR to create specific point mutation, substitution, or deletion in double stranded plasmid DNA ${ }^{95}$. In this study, QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit was used to introduce 5 different mutations in pGL4.23_Tile 8a protective type (discussed later). The kit contains PfuUltra high-fidelity DNA polymerase suited for mutagenic primer-directed replication ${ }^{95}$. Furthermore, the kit contains DpnI endonuclease that only cleaves its recognition site when the DNA is methylated, thus digests the parental DNA template, meanwhile phosphorylates the PCR product so that mutation containing synthesized DNA can ligate and circularize ${ }^{95}$.

## Procedure:

Site directed mutagenesis reactions were assembled in small PCR tubes according to table 4.4, including positive control, which was provided by the kit. The reagents were mixed thoroughly and placed in a thermocycler. The thermal cycle was performed according to table 4.5. After amplification by PCR, the reaction mixed was digested with DnpI for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All the samples including control was run in agarose gel (gel electrophoresis section 4.3.2) to detect the right band size. Once the right band was detected the samples were frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for later use.

Table 4.4 Quick Change II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit reaction set up

| Components | Volume |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nuclease free water | $17,7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 10X Pfu buffer | $2,5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Primer $1(100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L})$ ca. $7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0,6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Primer 2 $(100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L})$ ca. $7 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0,6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| dNTP mix | $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| PfuUltra HF DNA polymerase $(2.5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L})$ | $0,5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Double stranded DNA template $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ | $2,0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Total volume | $\mathbf{2 5} \mu \mathrm{L}$ |

For this experiment double stranded DNA was used -pGL4.23_Tile 8a_WT_protective, WT stands for wild type.

Table 4.5 The thermal cycling program used in the site-directed mutagenesis.

| Cycles | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 (hold) | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 sec |
|  | $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 sec |
| 14 cycles | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 min |
|  | $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $10 \mathrm{~min} *$ |
| Hold | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\infty$ |

* Represents time -1 minutes/kilo base pairs of plasmid size.


### 4.3.7 Transformation of E. coli

Bacterial transformation is a method where competent bacterial cells can take up foreign plasmid DNA from the environment under the right conditions, such as heat shock. The introduced plasmid DNA will be replicated with the bacterial genome when the bacterial cells multiply ${ }^{96}$. This technique is mostly used for amplification of plasmid DNA. In this study, initially three commercially available competent Escherichia Coli cells including TOP10 E

Coli., XL 10-gold E Coli., and XL-1 Blue E Coli. cells were tested to identify the best performing competent cells.

## Procedure:

For the transformation test, all three types of competent cells mentioned above were thawed on ice. Then different amounts of plasmid DNA (positive control from in-fusion cloning (section 4.3.5) was used as plasmid DNA) were added to $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of competent cells (figure 4.8). These samples were incubated on ice for 30 minutes, before heat shocking the samples for 30 seconds at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and placed them on ice for 2 minutes. $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ S.O.C medium was added to each sample tube and placed on shaking incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour at 225 rpm . After incubation, $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of samples were plated on agar containing $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ampicillin and the agar plates were incubated upside-down at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day, transformation efficiency was evaluated visually based on the number of colonies formed. To screen for positive clones, 6 individual colonies were selected for further analyses by colony PCR (section 4.3.7, subsection A) and gel electrophoresis (section 4.3.2). Furthermore, positive clones were amplified and subjected to plasmid DNA purification (section 4.3.7, subsection C) and sanger sequencing (section 4.3.8).

## Testing transformation



Figure 4.8 Optimalization of transformation. As the figure shows tow tubes of $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ XL-10 Gold, XL1-Blue and TOP-10 competent cells. For each type of cells $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of plasmid DNA was added. $125 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of S.O.C medium was added to each tube before plating on agar plates contained ampicillin. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

## A. Colony PCR

Colony PCR is a screening method that distinguishes the colonies carrying the desired construct in contrast to colonies carrying only the selective marker ${ }^{97}$. This method involves custom designed primers that will only yield a specific product with known size in the presence of desired construct. Following PCR amplification, the DNA fragments are visualized on agarose gel. Thus, this method is quite powerful as it rapidly screens through many colonies to distinguish false positives from true positives ${ }^{97}$. Figure 4.9 shows the workflow of general colony PCR.

## Procedure:

Six single colonies of each construct were added to six PCR tubes containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sterile Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS). The mixture was mixed carefully and thoroughly by pipetting up and down with filter tips. PCR reaction mix was set up according to table 4.6 and $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS colony mixture was added to PCR reaction mix. The PCR solution was then placed on a thermocycler and followed the thermal cycle program according to table 4.7. Following PCR amplification, the PCR fragments were visualized on agarose gel, and based on the result, a single colony was further expanded (section 4.3.7, subsection B).


Figure 4.9 Schematic representation of colony PCR. Individual colonies are picked up and placed in tubes containing Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS). After mixing gently PBS colony reaction mix is transferred to PCR reaction mix. After PCR amplification the samples are visualized on gel. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

Table 4.6 QIAGEN Multiplex PCR Plus Kit reaction set up.

| Components | Volume |
| :--- | :---: |
| 10X coral red buffer | $2,5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| dNTP mix 10 mM each | $0,5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

Primer $2(20 \mu \mathrm{M})$
Taq-polymerase $0,1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Nuclease free water
$13,4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Total volume
$\mathbf{2 2 , 5} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{L}$
Primers used for colony PCR were forward primer: 01F_RVprimer3_pGL3 and reverse primer: 579R_RVprimer_pGL4 (Table 3.5).

Table 4.7 The thermal cycling program used for colony PCR.

| Set up |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial denaturation |  | $\underset{96}{\text { Temperature }}$ | Time |
|  |  | $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
| 10 cycles | Denaturation | $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
|  | Anneal | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Extend | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
| 25 cycles | Denaturation | $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
|  | Anneal | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 seconds |
|  | Extend | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
| Final extension |  | $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 minutes |
|  |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Hold |

## B. Growth of E coli.

After verification via colony PCR (section 4.3.7, subsection A) positive clones were grown in 5 ml lysogeny broth (LB) medium with appropriate amount of ampicillin in shaker incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight at 225 rpm . The next day 2.5 ml of bacterial growth culture was transferred into 150 ml LB medium with appropriate amount of ampicillin, before placing the flasks into shaker incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight at 225 rpm . Plasmid purification (section4.3.7, subsection C) and glycerol stock (section4.3.7, subsection D) was performed on the bacterial cultures from the big flasks.

## C. Plasmid DNA purification

Plasmid DNA purification is a method that involves extraction and purification of nucleic acids from competent cells. To this date, various plasmid DNA isolation/purification kit exist and the general steps include lysis of cell membranes, removal of histone proteins, lipids, and RNA and purification ${ }^{98}$. In this study QIAGEN Plasmid Plus Kit was used to purify plasmid DNA.

## Procedure:

Bacterial culture from the big flasks (section 4.3.7, subsection B), was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 6000 rpm at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the supernatant was discarded. Following centrifuge, the plasmid purification was performed by QIAGEN Plasmid Plus Kit and mostly followed manufacturers protocol with few modifications. 10 ml P1 buffer with $0,03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase A and 300X LyseBlue was used to resuspend bacterial pellet. Then 10 ml P 2 buffer was added and incubated at room temperature for exactly 5 minutes. After incubation, 10 ml cold P3 buffer was added, and the contents then transferred to QIAfilter Maxi Cartridge plugged with a Qiafilter ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ cartridge cap and incubated for 10 minutes in room temperature. In the meantime, Hispeed $\circledR^{\circledR}$ Maxi Tip was equilibrated with 10 ml QBT buffer before filtering the contents from QIAfilter Maxi Cartridge with Qiafilter ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ Plunger through Hispeed ${ }^{\circledR}$ Maxi Tip. The Hispeed $\circledR^{\circledR}$ Maxi Tip was washed with 60 ml QC buffer and eluted with 15 ml QF buffer. Following elute 10.5 ml isopropanol was added to the elute and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. After incubation the elute was filtered through Qia precipitator and $2 \mathrm{ml} 70 \%$ ethanol was filtered through Qia precipitator respectively. Lastly, the Qia precipitator was placed on 5 ml syringe and 1 ml TE buffer was filtered through the precipitator twice to collect the purified plasmid DNA. Concentration of the purified plasmid DNA was measured via NanoDrop with ND1000 program.

## D. Glycerol Stock

Bacterial glycerol stock is a method to preserve plasmids in bacteria for long term at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, with this storage method one can quickly expand positive clones without having to transform again.

## Procedure:

Prior to plasmid DNA purification (section 4.3.7, subsection C), 2.5 ml bacterial cultures were set aside. Then the bacterial culture was added to $835 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of glycerol stock. The solution was mixed thoroughly by vertexing for 60 seconds, before transferring 1 ml of the mixture into cryotubes. The solution was snap-freeze with liquid nitrogen before storing at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 4.3.8 Sanger sequencing

Sanger sequencing, also known as chain termination method, is designed to determine the nucleotide sequences of a given DNA $^{99}$. This method involves denaturation of the DNA template, so that sequencing primer can bind to the single DNA strand. Following primer binding, DNA polymerase starts synthesis of the DNA template in the $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ direction in the
presence of four deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs: A G, C and T). Termination of synthesis reaction is used to determine the nucleotides of the DNA template in the presence of four dideoxynucleotide triphosphates (ddNTPs: ddATP, ddGTP, ddCTP, and ddTTP) labeled with fluorescent dye. The termination process occurs through removal of oxygen atom from the ddNTPs which inhibit the ddNTPs linking to the next nucleotide. Following synthesis, the chain terminated oligonucleotides are then separated via gel electrophoresis by size. Lastly, gel analysis and determination of DNA sequence is performed via laser excites the fluorescent tags in each band and a computer detects the light emitted by the laser ${ }^{99}$, figure 4.10. In this study Big dye ${ }^{\circledR}$ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit was used, and PCR amplification was performed in our laboratory, while rest of the sanger sequencing steps were performed by the core facility at the Haukeland University hospital. The final data was analyzed by website tool application -SnapGene.

## Procedure:

To verify the correct sequence of the cloned products or PCR fragments, Big dye ${ }^{\circledR}$
Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit reaction was set up according to table 4.8. The thermal cycle program used for PCR amplification was according to table 4.9, before sending the amplified samples for further analysis to the core facility at the Haukeland University hospital.


Figure 4.10: Schematic representation of Sanger sequencing. This figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

Table 4.8: Big dye ${ }^{\circledR}$ Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit reaction set up.

| Components | Volume |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nuclease free water | To a final volume of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Primer $(2 \mu \mathrm{M})$ | $1,6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Seq buffer 5 X | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Big Dye | $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Template DNA | X ng |
| Total volume | $\mathbf{1 0 ~ \mu \mathrm { L }}$ |

Amount of template DNA varied depending on the DNA type. For plasmid DNA 200 ng and for PCR fragments 15 ng .

Table 4.9 The thermal cycling program used for Big dye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing.

| Set up |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Temperature | Time |
| Initial denaturation | $98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 30 seconds |
| 35 cycles Denaturation | $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 10 seconds |
| Anneal | $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 5 seconds |
| Extend | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 4 minutes |
| Final extension |  |  |
|  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Hold |

### 4.4 Cell culture methods

### 4.4.1 Cell line models

In this study the following three cells were used: COS-1, ME3 and 3T3-L1. The COS-1 and ME3 cell lines were used most extensively. An overview of the cell lines is provided in table 4.10 and further described below:

Table 4.10 Overview of the cell lines used in this study.

| Cell line | Cell type | Generated from | Animal | Dt (hours) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COS-1 | Kidney | Epithelial cells | Ape | 48 |
| ME3 | Adipose (beige/brown) | Embryonic fibroblast cells | Mouse | 24 |
| 3T3-L1 | Adipose (white) | Embryonic fibroblast cells | Mouse | 48 |

Dt stands for: the approximate doubling time of the cells in the medium.

## COS-1:

The COS-1 cell line were generated by transformation of monkey epithelial cell line-CV-1 with a defective mutant of SV40 ${ }^{100}$. The transformed COS-1 cells express nuclear large Tantigen, moreover these cells contain all the proteins that are necessary for replication of circular genomes. There are several advantages with COS-1 cell line, including transfection of these cells are very easy and rapid, selection for transfectants is not required. Furthermore, from the cell line evenly expression of both wild-type and mutant forms of proteins can be expected if expressed protein is stable and nontoxic ${ }^{100}$.

## ME3:

ME3 cells are beige/brown pre-adipocytes mouse embryonic fibroblast $\mathrm{Rb}^{-/-}$line $3^{101}$. ME3 cells were generated by mating wild-type FVB mice with disrupted $R B-1$ gene heterozygous FVB mice. Consequently, heterozygous $\mathrm{RB}^{+/-}$mice were generated and mated to create homozygous $\mathrm{RB}^{-/}$embryos. ME3 cells were initiated at day 13 of gestation and the cells were cultured in DMEM with $10 \%$ newborn Calf Serum ${ }^{102}$.

## 3T3-L1:

3T3-L1 cell line is a pre-adipose line and were established from Swiss mouse fibroblast line 3T3, based on their ability to accumulate lipid ${ }^{103}$. Preadipocytes 3T3-L1 cells can differentiate into mature adipocytes in the presence of classic hormonal cocktails, including insulin, synthetic glucocorticoids, and phosphodiesterase inhibitor 1-methyl-3-isobutyl xanthine ${ }^{104}$. 3T3-L1 cells have been frequently used to identify molecular markers, transcription factors and various interaction that are necessary for pre-adipose differentiation 105.

### 4.4.2 Aseptic technique in the cell laboratory

The aseptic technique is a mandatory method that is designed to use practices and procedures to prevent microbial contamination in cell cultures. This technique requires strict rules to minimize the risk of infection, simultaneously creating a barrier between microorganisms in the environment and the sterile cell culture ${ }^{106}$.

## Procedure:

All the experiments involving cell culture were performed in a mammalian cell laboratory on a laminar air flow bench. Before working with cell culture, laboratory coats and gloves were always worn. All the equipment used for cell culture and experiments were sterile. The
laminar air flow bench was sprayed and cleaned with $70 \%$ ethanol before placing cell cultures in the bench, moreover all the bottles and reagents were sprayed with $70 \%$ ethanol before placing inside the bench.

### 4.4.3 Cell culture

Cell culture is a technique where cells are collected from animal or plant and maintained in vitro under suitable artificial environment. Cell culture required strict maintenance of the controlled conditions that mimic the cell's natural condition and must therefore through a growth medium be supplied with essential nutrients such as amino acids, carbohydrates, vitamins, minerals, growth factors and hormones ${ }^{107}$. To avoid contamination and infection of the cells both handling and storage of cells are performed under sterile environment (section 4.4.2).

## Procedure:

In this study, all the mammalian cell cultures were mainly cultivated in either Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) or Grand Island Biological Company (Gibco ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) medium. The medium was supplemented with additional nutrients including $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (FBS), $10 \%$ newborn calf serum (CS) and AmnioMAX ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}-\mathrm{C} 100$ supplement. In addition, to protect the medium from bacterial infection a mixture of penicillin and streptomycin (PEST, 50000 U penicillin and 50 mg streptomycin) was added. Table 4.11 represents components needed to make a complete medium that was used to culture different cell types. Cultivated cells were grown in cell culture petri dishes and they were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified condition between handlings. To prevent overgrowth of the cells, the cells were looked at 2-3 times a week and sub-cultured 2-3 times a week (section 4.4.4).

Table 4.11 cell lines and the growth media used to culture them.

| Cell lines | Basal medium | Additives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COS-1 | DMEM, with $4.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ glucose and | $10 \% \mathrm{FBS}, 1 \%$ PEST $(50000 \mathrm{U}$ |
|  | L-Glutamine. | penicillin and 50 mg streptomycin $)$ |
| ME3 | Gibco, AminoMAX ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}-\mathrm{C} 100$ | $7.5 \%$ AminoMAX ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}-\mathrm{C} 100$, |
|  | (1X) Basal medium. | supplement, $7.5 \% \mathrm{FBS}, 1 \%$ PEST |
|  |  | $(50000 \mathrm{U}$ penicillin and 50 mg |
|  |  | streptomycin), $1 \%$ L-glutamine. |
| 3T3-L1 | DMEM, with $4.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ glucose and | $10 \% \mathrm{CS}, 1 \%$ PEST $(50000 \mathrm{U}$ |
|  | L-Glutamine | penicillin and 50 mg streptomycin $)$ |

### 4.4.4 Cell subculturing

Subculture or passaging is a method that involves removal of medium and transfer of cultivated cells from old/previous culture into new and fresh growth medium ${ }^{107}$. This method enables the cell lines to divide continuously and prevents the cells from reaching confluence under controlled conditions. In general, subculture is performed when the cultivate cells are in the $\log$ phase ( $70-80 \%$ confluency), Figure 4.11 . Furthermore, this method involved enzymatical detachment of adherent cells from the cultured dish. Trypsin-EDTA is used for detachment process and widely used due to its digestive strength. Prior to treatment with trypsin-EDTA, the cells mut be washed with Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS). PBS is a balanced salt and removes chelators from the culture. Lastly, the trypsin-EDTA activity is inhibited by FBS which contains al-antitrypisn ${ }^{107}$.

Cell Growth Curve


Figure 4.11 Growth curve of cultured cells. In general, cultured cells should be passaged within the $\log$ phase growth, which is also known as exponential growth and refers to $70-80 \%$ confluency. The figure was adapted from Biorender.com.

## Procedure:

During the whole passaging process, aseptic technique (section 4.4.2) was performed under the laminar air flow bench. Cell culture medium was removed and discarded prior to washing with pre-warmed PBS at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in heat box. PBS solution was removed and discarded before adding pre-warmed Trypsin-EDTA at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heat box. Following addition of Trypsin-EDTA, the cell dishes were incubated for 2 minutes at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the humidified incubator. After incubation the cell dishes were observed under the microscope for detachment. When all the cells were detached, pre-warmed medium was added to inhibit trypsinization before
centrifuge at 300 rpm for 3 minutes. Supernatant was discarded and pellet was resuspended with 1 mL pre-warmed medium. Then according to the passaging factor, a fraction of cell suspension was transferred to new cell dishes containing fresh medium and the dishes were cultures at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator. Cell lines used in this study were passaged according to table 4.12.

Table 4.12 Overview of sub-cultivation requirements.

| Cell <br> types | Passaging <br> factor | PBS | Trypsin- <br> EDTA | Medium* | Cell <br> suspension | Medium (without cell <br> suspension) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COS-1 | $1: 15$ | 5 ml | 1 ml | 3 ml | 1 ml | 10 ml |
| ME3 | $1: 10$ | 5 ml | 1 ml | 3 ml | 1 ml | 10 ml |
| 3T3-L1 | $1: 10$ | 5 ml | 1 ml | 3 ml | 1 ml | 10 ml |

Medium* represents the amount of medium used to inhibit trypsinization. Cell suspension is the amount of medium used after centrifuge.

### 4.4.5 Seeding of cells

Different experiments require a specific cell concentration and to achieve this cell in cell suspension are counted and diluted appropriately to attain the desired cell concentration for seeding. Cell counting is done by trypan blue, which is a dye that stains the dead cells. As a result, trypan blue makes sure that live cells and dead cells are counted separately in the Countess ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II Automated Cell Counter ${ }^{108}$. In this study, to obtain the desired cell numbers to seed for different experiments equation 4.1 was used.

Equation 4.1: formula used for cell seeding:

$$
\frac{\text { Desired number of }}{\text { Counted cell cells }} \text { dish }
$$

## Procedure:

Cells were collected from cell suspension (cell subculturing, section 4.4.4), and the sample were prepared by adding $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension to $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.4 \%$ trypan blue stain. The solution was mixed by pipetting up and down. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of solution was loaded into the sample loading area of the Countess ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II Automated Cell counting chamber slide and inserted the slide into the slide port of the Countess ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ II Automated Cell Counter. Then the concentration and percentage of viable cells in the solution was noted down and equation 4.1 was used to appropriately dilute the cell suspension to get the desired concentration.

### 4.4.6 Thawing of cell cultures

Cryopreservation is a process where cultured cells can be stored and persevered for long-term storage in liquid nitrogen tank or freezer. Thawing of cryopreserved cells is a stressful procedure to frozen cells, thus quick performance is necessary to ensure high viability, recovery, and functionality of the frozen cells for downstream applications ${ }^{109}$.

## Procedure:

Appropriate 10 mL medium was pre-warmed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in heat box and the cryopreserved cells were taken out of the nitrogen tank and placed on ice immediately. The cryotube was placed in heat box at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2-3 minutes. After incubation some warm medium was added to the tube before placing back the tube at heat box for 1-2 minutes. Then thawed cell suspension was gently transferred to pre-warmed 10 mL medium and warmed medium was pipetted into the tube for further thawing. Once thawed completely, all the cell suspension was transferred to warm 10 mL medium. The cell suspension then centrifuges at 300 rpm for 3 minutes to remove the DMSO. The supernatant was discarded and resuspended with 1 mL medium. The whole cell suspension was transferred to petri dish containing fresh medium and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator.

### 4.5 Luciferase assay

Luciferase is an oxidative enzyme, which exists in several species, and the enzyme is used for bioluminescence by the organisms. In other words, these enzymes enable the species to emit light and the most famous of these enzymes is the firefly luciferase ${ }^{110}$. These enzymes are extremely sensitive thus making it a great candidature for reporter assay. Luciferase assay is a reporter assay method that is used to determine if a protein can activate or repress the expression of a target gene with the help of luciferase that is used as a reporter protein. Thus, this method establishes a functional connection between presence of a protein and the amount of gene product. In order to perform luciferase assay, a construct is needed with regulatory region or promoter region fused with coding sequence for luciferase. Then the DNA sequence of interest is cloned upstream of the luciferase gene fused in the expression vector. The recombinant construct is transfected into a cellular system. Once the promoter of the recombinant construct is activated that would lead to transcription of luciferase reporter gene and production of luciferase protein. With appropriate cell lysis buffer, the transfected cells are lysed and releases all the protein including luciferase. The cell lysate is transferred to
multi-well plate and substrate containing luciferin and other necessary co-factors are added to the cell lysate. Addition of substrate catalyzes the reaction, and the light is emitted. The emitted light is measured by a luminometer, and the luciferase activity is determined by the amount of bioluminescent signal detected by the laminator ${ }^{110}$. In this study, pGL4.23-Luc$2 / \mathrm{minP}$ vector was used as expression vector with desire DNA sequence, and cell lines used including COS-1, ME3 and 3T3-L1.

## Procedure:

Cells were sub-cultured (section 4.4.4) and seeded (section 4.4.5) 7500 cell/well in 96 -well tissue cultured plates. These cells were then incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator overnight. On the second day either $0.04 \mu \mathrm{~g} /$ well or $0.08 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{well}$ of each reporter plasmid pGL4.23 containing the desired DNA sequence (in-fusion cloning, section 4.3 .5 and site-directed mutagenesis, section 4.3.6) or overexpressed plasmid was added to PCR tubes. The plasmid mixes were diluted with OptiMEM followed by addition of either TransIT-LT1 (COS-1 and ME3 cells) or TransIT-LX2 (3T3L-1 cells) transfection reagents. The reaction mix was then incubated for 30 minutes at room temperature. In the meantime, the old medium of the seeded cells was removed and $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of pre-warmed fresh medium was added to each well, before adding $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of transfection mix to each well. Transfected cells were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in a humidified incubator. On the fourth day the lysis buffer was made according to table 4.13 and placed on ice. The seeded cells were washed with 100 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ cold PBS and observed under microscope for detection of detachment. After washing, 30 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of cold lysis buffer was added to each well and was placed on horizontal shaker machine for 20 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $375 \mathrm{rpm} .20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell lysate was transferred to a white opaque 96 well plate. Luciferase activity was assessed according to the BioThema Luciferase assay Kit A protocol on the FLUOstar OPTIMA instrument. This instrument used automated pipetting of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ luciferin and $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ATP per well. Then the data was then exported for further analysis by Microsoft excel and GraphPad (table3.2).

Table 4.13: Lysis buffer set up.

| Components | Set up | Volume (1 ml) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Nuclease free water | $819 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| $85 \%$ Glycerol | $10 \%$ Glycerol | $117 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 0,5 M Tris Ac, 20 mM EDTA | 25 mM TAE, pH 7,8 | $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| Triton X 100 | $1 \%$ Triton | $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |
| 0,5 M EDTA | 1 mM EDTA | $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |

This table only represents the amount of components needed for 1 ml , however depending on the experiments and number of wells this volumes were multiplied by the desired number or ml needed for the experiments.

### 4.6 Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses and graphing of luciferase results in this study was performed in the GraphPad Prism version 9.2.0 software. Raw data were normalized to the average of a control treatment (most often the empty reporter plasmid) in excel prior to analysis in Graphpad. To verify whether our data was normal distributed, Shapiro-Wilk (W) test was performed for normality ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Moreover, Bartlett's test was performed to check whether the standard deviations were significantly different $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$. One Way ANOVA with Holm- Šídák post hoc test was performed for multiple comparison of cloned tiles and relative to pGL4.23-luc2/minP_empty vector. As for data sets with two factors, two-way ANOVA with Holm- Šídák post hoc test were used, and all results are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Differences between means were considered significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.033\left(^{*}\right)$, $\mathrm{p}<0.002$ (**), and $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ (***).

Equation 4.2: Normalize value

## 5. Results

### 5.1 Design and characterization of tiles in the 11 q23.3 locus

The visceral obesity-associated 11q23.3 locus was divided into multiple regions/tiles. ${ }^{24,88}$ Overall, 14 new tiles were successfully designed, consisting of 2-3 primer pairs each for 5 different areas of the locus (Figure 5.1, table 3.3 and supplementary data figure 10.4) to get a deeper understanding of the 11q23.3 locus, publicly available data from the UCSC genome browser and the WashU Epigenomic Browser was retrieved and visualized alongside the tiles (Figure 5.1). The locus contains three genes including HYOU1, VPS11 and HMBS gene. As the figure 5.1 shows collected information from various data sources indicating different regions of the LD block having both transcription and enhancer activity. GH Reg Elems (DE) data indicates promoter activity, where dark red represents high promoter activity and light dark represents less promoter activity. According to the data $V P S 11$ and $H M B S$ gene start sites have more promoter activity compared to start site of the HYOUl gene. However, we were more interested in enhancer activity, thus we retrieved epigenomic data specifically for adipose tissue. As the data from WashU Epigenomic Browser shows different level of enhancer activity depending on types of adipocytes, for example all three adipose tracks showed enhancer activity in tile $2 / 3$ junction, but in tile 8 , only the adipose derived mesenchymal stem cell cultured cells (E025) showed a predicted enhancer, while the mesenchymal stem cell derived adipocyte cultured cells (E023) and adipose nuclei (E063) did not. Tile 1 covered the most upstream region of the LD block with very low predicted activity and harbors very few SNPs. In contrast, tile 3 covers a region with both promoter and enhancer activity with many SNPs and VAT associated VPS11 gene start site is located in tile 3. Even though, tile 4 and 5 harbors more SNPs compared to tile 1, both WashU Epigenomic Browser and UCSC Genome Browser data shows very little promoter or enhancer activity in these regions. These claims are further verified by ENCODE cCRE and ORegAnno data, that shows level of enhancer activity (orange/yellow bars indicates high enhancer activity).
Layered H3K27Ac track also represents enhancer activity by blue bar peaks and as the figure shows within tile 4 and 5 there are almost no enhancer activity. Moreover, tile 8 covers various transcription start site of $H M B S$ gene (isoforms) and adipose derived mesenchymal stem cell cultured cells (E025) data shows two of the short isoforms have very high enhancer activity. The ENCODE cCRE and ORegAnno data also showing high enhancer activity in the $H M B S$ region and GH Reg Elems (DE) data indicating less promoter activity within the

HMBS region covered by tile 8, thus further indicating more enhancer activity. And lastly, Layered H3K27Ac track also shows very high enhancer activity in the HMBS locus, which is annotated by the blue bar peaks.


Figure 5.1 Design and characterizion of tiles in the 11q23.3 locus. The upper navigation bar represents the position within chromosome 11 for the expanded visualization of HYOU1, VPS11 and $H M B S$ gene locus below. The box below shows an enlarged version of the locus, and the yellow bar represents the LD block with SNPS ( $\mathrm{r} 2 \geq 0.8$ ). Numbers above the bar represent genomic position. The "Tiles from SnapGene" parameter shows the position of previously cloned tiles (gray), as well as the newly designed tiles. The next parameter shows the position of all the SNPs in the LD block, including tag-SNP rs1784661 (pink bar) and rs 1799993 (purple bar). The next track shows RefSeq genes and their isoforms. The thick blue bars are exons (protein coding sequence), and the thin lines are introns (non-protein coding region). The next 3 tracks are data from WashU Epigenome Browser, which is an integration and analysis tools for epigenomic datasets and includes the Roadmap Epigenomics and the ENCODE projects. E023, E025 and E063 are chromatin annotations from three different adipose specific tracks including mesenchymal stem cell derived adipocyte cultured cells (E023), adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells (E025) and adipose nuclei (E063). Within each track -orange/red color represents active transcription start sites, green regions indicate strong transcription, dark green shows weak transcription and lastly yellow regions represents enhancer activity. The next three parameters dsiplay merged regulatory activity across several cell types: ENCODE registry of candidate cis-regulatory elements (cCREs) in the human genome, GeneHancer data (regulatory elements and promoter activity) and OregAnno that also represents regulatory regions and transcription binding sites. Finally, layered H3K27Ac track characterizes enhancers activity. The last panel shows micro-C hESC data that represents chromatin-chromatin interactions.

### 5.1.1 PCR Amplification of tiles from genomic DNA

After having designed the tiles in silico, we next aimed to amplify the corresponding DNA fragments by PCR for in-fusion cloning. Importantly, each tile needed to be cloned from gDNA of at least 2 individual donors; one with homozygous risk and one homozygous protective haplotype. However, due to limited availability of gDNA from homozygous patients, primer pair efficiency and specificity were initially screened on a pool of heterozygous patients as described in the following sections. During screening, the PCR conditions were optimized for each primer pair (table 4.2).

## A. Initial screening of primer pairs and optimization of PCR

To increase the chances of successful cloning, 3 primer pairs were designed for each of the 5 tiles. In order to test the specificity and efficiency of primers for each tile, we first used a pool of gDNA derived from multiple patients (table 3.6) The gene pool was created according to table 3.6 by mixing all the different gDNA together and the concentration of the mixture was retrieved from NanoDrop, prior to performing PCR, as the figure 5.2 A shows.

In this experiment tile 1.3 (section 5.1.2, B. genotype dependent PCR amplification) was used as control, since initially primers for tile 1 was tested on patient samples presented in table 3.7 (homozygote risk and protective, supplementary data: figure 10.1). Due to limited amount of patient sample, we decided to test efficiency of other primers on the gene pool samples, prior to test the final primers on the desired patient samples (table 3.7). As mentioned earlier, PCR program used for the test experiment (figure 5.2 A ) was according to table 4.2 with modification on annealing temperature, 10 cycles annealing at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 25 cycles at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR amplified products were then investigated for the correct DNA fragment size according to table 3.6 on agarose gel.

Figure 5.2 A ) shows the tiles with approximately right DNA fragment size on the gel, including tile 3.1 (lane 2, 4761 bp ), tile 4.2 (lane 6, 4714 bp ), tile 5.1(lane 8, 3696 bp ), and 8.2 (lane $11,3729 \mathrm{bp}$ ) for gene pool of heterozygote patients. Positive control tile 1.3 did not show any visible band. Furthermore, all the alternative primers for tile 3 had approximately right sizes but compared to tile 3.1, tile 3.2 (lane $3,4604 \mathrm{bp}$ ) had a band more towards 4000 bp and even though tile 3.3 (lane $4,6025 \mathrm{bp}$ ) had the correct size, amplicon size was too big compared to other amplicons. As for tile 4, there are no right sized visible band for tile 4.1 (lane 5, 4762 bp ) and tile 4.3 (lane 7, 4732 bp ). DNA fragment of tile 5.2 (lane 9, 3990 bp ), and tile 8.3 (lane $12,3726 \mathrm{bp}$ ) had no visible band, and the amplified products migrated
through the gel in a smeared manner. Tile 8.1 (lane 10, 3096 bp ) had a visible band round 3000 bp , which is short compared to other amplicons.

Outcome of the PCR amplification from the previous experiment wasn't optimal, thus we decided to perform second gene pool experiment with few modifications. The final gene pool experiment (figure 5.2 B) was carried out similarly to the first experiment, except for modification on PCR program. For the final gene pool experiment, two sets of PCR thermal programs were used in parallel for each tile, with 35 cycles of annealing at either $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $65^{\circ}$ C. The PCR amplified products were then analyzed and verified by agarose gel electrophoresis based on the fragment band sizes.


Figure 5.2 Gel electrophoresis picture of amplified constructs on $\mathbf{1 , 2 \%}$ agarose gel. A) represents amplified tiles tested on gene pool and numbered from 1-12. Numbered lanes in the figure from 1-12 shows tile 1.3 (1), tile 3.1 (2), tile 3.2 (3), tile 3.3 (4), tile 4.1 (5), tile 4.2 (6), tile 4.3 (7), tile 5.1 ( 8 ), tile 5.2 (9), tile 8.1 (10), tile 8.2 (11), and tile 8.3 (12) respectively. B) shows gel electrophoresis result of tile 1.3 , tile 3.1 , tile 4.2 , tile 5.1 , and tile 8.2 respectively at annealing temperature at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In the second experiment as figure 5.2 B ) shows, both tile 1.3 (positive control) and tile 3.1 had right size visible bands round 4000 and 5000 bp respectively both at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. But at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ tile 1.3 had a second band round 2000 bp and tile 3.1 had very weak bands round 3000 bp at both temperature conditions. Thus, for both of these tiles, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was found to be better than $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For Tile 4.2, like in the previous amplification, only a very weak band was visible at around 5000 bp at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reducing the temperature to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ increased the band intensity. Both tile 5.1 and tile 8.2 had right size visible bands at both temperatures, but both tiles also had a second band around 3000 bp and 2500 bp respectively. Thus, results from
these experiments suggested that the best results were obtained with primers for tile 1.3 , tile 3.1, tile 8.2 and possibly 5.1 using 35 cycles at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For tile 4 , the 4.2 version performed best, but still produced a band too faint for further purification. Notably, despite producing strong bands of correct sizes, the primer pairs for tiles 3, 5 and 8 also resulted in an additional weak unspecific band. However, because these unspecific bands were clearly distinct from the correct band, gel excision of the correct band would be possible. Therefore, further optimization of PCR conditions was not performed.

## B. Genotype-dependent PCR amplification from homozygous patients

Based on the results from the previous section, we decided to perform genotype-dependent PCR amplification of tiles 1.3, 3.1 and 8.2 from homozygous risk and protective patients to obtain amplicons for cloning (Table 3.7). As the figure 5.3 shows each primer was tested on 15 ng of gDNA and PCR program was set according to table 4.2 with 35 cycles of annealing at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Gel electrophoresis was performed for further verification and analyzation of PCR amplified products. As the figure 5.3 A ) shows, tile 1.3 had very strong and correct band size for both the risk and protective haplotype. Similarly, tile 3.1 also had correct band size for all four samples, even though a very weak band was present around 3500 bp .


Figure 5.3 Genotype-dependent amplification of tile $\mathbf{1}$ and tile 3. A) shows PCR amplified tile 1.3 products both on homozygote risk and protective patient, furthermore, all the DNA fragments had the correct band size around 4000 bp . B) represents PCR amplified products of tile 3.1 on homozygote risk and protective patient gDNA. All the fragments had right band size around 5000 bp , even though all the samples had very weak band around 3500 bp .

As for tile 8 same procedure was performed as tile 1 and tile 3 , and as the figure 5.4 shows $1,2 \%$ agarose gel image presenting amplified DNA fragments on both homozygote risk and
protective type at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amplified DNA fragments of protective patient gDNA had right band size around 4000 bp , in contrast the risk patient gDNA had very weak band round 4000 bp .


Figure 5.4 Gel electrophoresis image of amplified DNA fragment of tile 8. The ladder on the left side represents molecular weight/GeneRuler 1 kb plus DNA ladder. The first two lane represents amplified homozygote risk patient gDNA and the other two lane on the right side represents amplified homozygote protective patient gDNA.

Based on the result, we decided to optimize PCR conditions specifically for tile 8.2 with risk genotype in order to obtain a stronger band, (figure 5.5 A ). Each primer was tested on 15 ng of the two-homozygote risk patient gDNA with 35 cycles annealing at either at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $60^{\circ}$ C. At $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, amplified gDNA products of both patients had stronger and correct band size around 4000 bp . In contrast, at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ amplified DNA fragments had correct size but very weak band as seen before. After verification, we performed one more PCR on risk patient gDNA with 35 cycles annealing at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and verified the result by gel electrophoresis, (figure $5.5 \mathrm{~B})$.
(A)

(B)


Figure 5.5 Visualization of PCR amplification of tile 8 on risk gDNA. A) represents visible band size of V586 and V609 risk gDNA on tile 8 both at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. B) $1,2 \%$ agarose gel image representing strong band around 4000 bp of the two amplified risk gDNA.

## C. Purification of amplified products

Following PCR and verification by gel electrophoresis, the correct band of each tile on both homozygote risk and protective patient gDNA was excised and purified by a DNA purification kit (section 4.3.3 DNA purification). Most of the genotype-specific PCR amplified products had good concentrations and yields after purification, except for tile 8 from V586 homozygote risk and V601 homozygote protective gDNA which had lower values, table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Overview of genotype-depended purified amplified products.

|  | Tile 1 |  | Tile 3 |  | Tile 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patient code | Genotype | ng/ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{L}$ | Yield | ng/ $\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{L}$ | Yield | ng $/ \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{L}$ | Yield |
| V586 | Risk | 24,2 | 484 | 16,7 | 334 | 7,7 | 231 |
| V609 | Risk | 24 | 480 | 18,2 | 364 | 16,4 | 492 |
| V601 | Protective | 27,3 | 546 | 23,5 | 470 | 7,2 | 216 |
| V602 | Protective | 29,2 | 584 | 24,5 | 490 | 10,4 | 312 |

Yield was calculated by $n g / \mu \mathrm{L}$ multiplied by the elusion volume from the DNA purification kit.

## D. Verification of amplified products via Sanger sequencing

After DNA purification of the PCR amplified products, sanger sequencing was performed (as described in section 4.3.8) to ensure the correct bands had been excised. Figure 5.6 A shows the sequencing result for tile 1 from the two homozygote protective patients. The sequencing result was aligned to the original genomic sequence retrieved from the UCSC genome browser. The right gDNA fragment had been amplified, suggesting that genotype-dependent PCR amplification from protective patients was successful. Figure 5.6 B shows the sequencing result of tile 3 from both homozygote risk and protective patient gDNA. As the alignment with the genomic sequence revealed that the PCR amplified product was the correct sequence. In this study, sanger sequencing on PCR amplified fragment was only performed on tile 1 (protective types) and tile 3 (both protective and risk types), due to troubles with in-fusion cloning (section 4.3.5) which is discussed later (section 6.2.3).


Figure 5.6 Sanger sequencing result of tile 1 and tile 3. A) The red bars above the navigation scale represent the coverage of the sequencing data, the electropherogram below represents enlarged version of the original sequenced data. Each peak represents a single nucleotide, and the four bases have different colors. The electropherogram on the left shows sequences from $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ (forward primers) direction of tile 1 of protective patients (V601 and V602). The electropherogram on the right side shows sequences from $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ direction (reverse primers). B) Sequencing data of tile 3 from both homozygote risk (V586 and V609) and protective (V601 and V602) patients.

In order to compare the enhancer activity of the different tiles within the 11 q 23.3 locus by luciferase assay the tiles needed to first be cloned into the pGL4.23-luc2-minP-empty luciferase vector. In this section, all the prior steps leading to measurement of luciferase activity are presented and discussed.

### 5.2.1 Restriction enzyme verification

To obtain the optimal digestion of the pGL4.23-luc2-minP-empty vector, single-enzyme digestion was first tested with either of the two compatible restriction enzymes HindIII-HF or KpnI-HF. Additionally, digestion was performed for both 15 minutes or 60 minutes at room temperature (figure 5.7A). While both enzymes cut the vector after 60 minutes of digestion, only KpnI-HF fully linearized the vector, and did so after only 15 minutes of digestion (Figure 5.7A). In contrast, HindIII-HF produced two strong bands after 15 minutes of digestion -one at the same position as the uncut, supercoiled position of the negative control (ca 2.5 Kb ), and one at either the linearized or relaxed/nicked position (ca $5 \mathrm{~kb} / 7 \mathrm{~kb}$ ), which means that the plasmid wasn't digested completely by the enzyme after 15 minutes digestion with restriction enzyme. Moreover, even after 60 minutes, the band from HindIII-HF migrated slower than that of KpnI-HF, further suggesting that HindIII-HF only nicked one strand instead of fully cutting both strands. Thus, based on the result, we decided to utilize KpnI-HF to linearize the vector for cloning. A second scaled-up digestion was then performed using KpnI-HF for 60 minutes (figure 5.7B)



Figure 5.7 Digestion of pGL4.23-luc2-minP-empty vector with HindIII-HF or KpnI-HF restriction enzymes. A) Pilot digestion. The vector was digested for either 15 minutes (left panel) or 60 minutes (right panel) with either HindIII-HF, KpnI-HF or no enzyme. Our plasmid has a size of 4283 bp , and the last lane on the right side of both gel shows the 3 typical bands for a non-digested plasmid (no restriction enzyme); the top band represents nicked (single strand break), the middle band represents digested plasmid band (linearized), and the last band represents supercoiled, uncut plasmid. B) Full-scale digestion. The vector was digested for 60 minutes using KpnI-HF. Sample numbered as 1 is the digested/ linearized plasmid, Sample 2 represents no DNA negative control (only the enzyme) and the third lane shows the no enzyme/non-digested plasmid migration control. The first lane on the left side of each gel represents the molecular weight/DNA ladder.

### 5.2.2 Optimization of competent cells

Next, we optimized transduction of competent cells, by testing different amounts of uncut, positive control in-fusion plasmid DNA in different types of competent bacterial cells. Three different types of component cells, including XL 10-Gold cells, XL 1-Blue cells and TOP-10 cells were tested for this experiment. Either $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ or $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ plasmid DNA was added to $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of competent cells (section 4.3.7) ,as the figure 5.8 shows.

Figure 5.8 A ) shows that XL 10-Gold competent cells formed very large, unevenly shaped and dense colonies, with both $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ and $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid DNA. In contrast, XL 1-Blue cells had less dense colonies, rather the colonies were distributed in an equally spaced manner with a round morphology (figure 5.8 B). Furthermore, for the XL 1-Blue competent cells, less DNA $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ resulted in more colonies than more DNA $(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Lastly, the TOP-10 competent cells had very poor colony growth compared to the other competent cells (figure 5.8 C). Overall, the result suggested that XL 1-Blue cells had the best outcome, specifically $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid DNA with $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ cell suspension.


Figure 5.8. Optimization of competent cells. A) represent the colony formation image of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid DNA within $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ XL 10 -Gold competent cells on the left and on the right side $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of plasmid DNA and $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of competent cells. B) XL 1-Blue cells, on the left side $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid DNA and left side $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ with $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ competent cells. C) Colony formation of TOP-10 competent cells, on the left side $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ plasmid DNA and left side $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

### 5.2.3 In-fusion cloning of genotype-specific PCR amplified products

First, we performed a pilot in-fusion cloning of the PCR amplified product of tile 1 from the homozygote risk patients. The in-fusion master mix was mixed according to table 4.3 , before incubating at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. After incubation, $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the XL 1-Blue cells were transformed with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of in-fusion master mix and plated on agar plates containing ampicillin, before incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. As shown in figure 5.9 , the transformation was successful, resulting in multiple colonies in the positive control plate. Moreover, the
cloning appeared to work as well, since the sample plates transformed with the in-fusion cloning product of pGL4.23-luc2-minP-tile-1 derived from patients V586 or V609 gDNA also produced multiple colonies. Importantly, the negative control (transformed with the digested vector only) produced very few colonies, suggesting that most of the colonies in the cloning plates resulted from successful cloning rather than vector self-annealing. Moreover, verification by Sanger sequencing (section 5.2.5) confirmed the right insert within cloned plasmid.


Figure 5.9 Colonies of pGL4.23-luc-2-minP containing genotype-dependent PCR fragment of tile 1. The first two image on the top representing positive control (from the kit) -colony formation as expected and the negative control (only linearized pGL4.23-luc-2-minP vector) very few colonies. The third and fourth image represents pGL4.23-luc-2-minP vector containing tile 1 of risk patients.

Following the successful cloning of tile 1 from two risk patients we next performed in-fusion cloning of the remaining PCR amplified tiles (tile 1 protective types, as well as protective and risk types of tile 3 and tile 8 ) using the same protocol (figure 5.10). Additionally, we
performed colony PCR (section 4.3.7, subsection A) on six individual colonies for each cloned tile to quickly identify positive clones for expansion and plasmid purification.
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Figure 5.10 Colony growth of cloned tiles and verification by colony PCR. A) represents positive control (provided by the kit) and negative control (only linearized pGL4.23-luc-2-minP) used for alle the cloned tiles. B) image showing colonies with pGL4.23_Tile 1_V601_Protective and pGL4.23_Tile 1_V602_Protective construct and colony P $\bar{C} R$ respectively. C) the first lane represents all the constructs of tile 3 including pGL4.23_Tile 3_V586_Risk, pGL4.23_Tile 3_V609_Risk, pGL4.23_Tile 3_V601_Protective and pGL4.23_Tile 3_V602_Protective. The second lane is the presentation of PCR amplification of individual colonies of each construct. D) first two image represents colonies containing pGL4.23_Tile 8_V609_Risk construct and colony PCR of the construct. Last two image showing colonies with pGL4.23_Tile 8_V602_Protective construct and colony PCR of the colonies.

The positive control contained many colonies and the negative control none (figure 5.10 A ). All the cloned constructs had colony growth (figure 5.10B-D). Figure 5.10 B ) shows colony growth pattern of pGL4.23_Tile-1_V601 and pGL4.23_Tile-1_V602 (protective genotype) and the corresponding $1.2 \%$ agarose gel image verifies that bacterial clones had the right insert (around 4000 bp ). In contrast, tile 3 risk constructs had more colonial growth than protective constructs (figure 5.10 C ). As for colony PCR, almost all the individual colonies had the right construct with the right DNA fragment size around 5000 bp . The pGL4.23_Tile 3_V602 (protective) construct had only three colonies and only one of the colonies contained the right construct with right size insert as colony PCR verified. The pGL4.23_Tile 8_V609 risk and pGL4.23_Tile 1_V602 protective constructs had many colonial growths and all the individual colonies chosen for colony PCR had the right insert (4000bp). Tile 8 had only one patient type from each group due to very low yield from DNA purification of amplified PCR fragments, which didn't allow to perform in-fusion assay (table 5.1). Thus, the results suggested that we were able to successfully clone all the tiles according to table 5.2.

### 5.2.4 Purification of cloned tiles

After verification by colony PCR, the selected colony of each construct was further grown in mini culture, before transferring 2.5 ml of the mini culture to 150 ml LB medium flasks . Plasmid DNA was then extracted by a plasmid DNA isolation/ purification kit (section 4.3.7, subsection C). The concentration of the purified plasmids were assessed by NanoDrop. As shown in table 5.2 , tile 1 risk types and tile 8 both risk and protective type had very high yields. In contrast, all the tile 3 constructs had lower yield compared to the other constructs.

Table 5.2. Overview of cloned tiles.

| Tiles | Cloned tiles | $\boldsymbol{n g} / \boldsymbol{\mu L}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Tile 1 | pGL4.23_Tile 1_V586_R | 1603 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 1_V609_R | 1430 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 1_V601_P | 478,4 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 1_V602_P | 513,7 |
| Tile 3 | pGL4.23_Tile 3_V586_R | 444,5 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 3_V609_R | 333,3 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 3_V601_P | 340 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 3_V602_P | 379,9 |
| Tile 8 | pGL4.23_Tile 8_V609_R | 1845,2 |
|  | pGL4.23_Tile 8_V602_P | 1696,2 |

R stands for homozygote risk type and P stands for homozygote protective type.

### 5.2.5 Verification of cloned tiles by sanger sequencing

Sanger sequencing was performed for further verification of the purified cloned tiles. Big dye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit reaction was set up according to table 4.8 and the thermal cycle program was used according to table 4.9. Moreover, unlike the previous sanger sequence (section 5.1.1, subsection D) experiment gene-specific primers were used, a vector-specific primer pair was used in this experiment for efficient batch PCR of all the constructs. The resulting sequencing files were visualized and analyzed in the SnapGene web tool.

As expected from colony PCR, the sequencing verified that all tiles analyzed contained the correct insert (figure 5.11). Unfortunately, tile 1 from patient 602 was not analyzed due to a methodological error. Visualization and analyzing the raw data from sanger sequence in the SnapGene verified that all the tile 3 and tile 8 constructs had the correct insert. To summarize, both colony PCR (section 5.2.3) and sequence data assessed in this study strongly suggested that we successfully cloned all the tiles presented in table 5.2.



Figure 5.11 Representation of sequence data of tile 1,3 and 8. A) The electropherogram shows cloned constructs of tile 1 of both risk and protective gDNA both in $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ end and $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ direction. Also shows the two SNPs in the tile 1. B) represents sequencing data of tile 3 constructs, including pGL4.23_Tile 3_V586, pGL4.23_Tile 3_V609, pGL4.23_Tile 3_V601 and pGL4.23_Tile 3_V602. The electropherogram on the left side shows amplified data from $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ direction and the right side electropherogram shows sequences from $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ direction. C) The first two red bars both on the left
and right side are the two parallels of pGL4.23_Tile 8_V609_risk and below the enlarged version of the marked region. The last two bars are parallels of pGL4.23_Tile 8_V602_protective.

### 5.3 Assessment of genotype-dependent enhancer activity

Having successfully cloned three tiles ( 1,3 , and 8 ), with both the risk and the protective genotypes into the luciferase reporter vector, we next sought to investigate the enhancer activity of each tile. Figure 5.12 shows an overview of the genomic position of the cloned tiles within the locus, as well as SNP density and predicted enhancer activity.

11 q23.3


Figure 5.12. Representation of all the cloned tiles. The successfully cloned tiles which was verified by both colony PCR and sanger sequencing are highlighted with different color -tile 1 (pink), tile 3 (light blue), and tile 8 (green). Furthermore, the image is also showing tile 8a, which was created in the previous study. Data from WashU Epigenome browser (E025, adipose mesenchymal cells) is indicating enhancer activity (highlighted with yellow color) in the intron of the long isoform of the HMBS gene. The four parameters (ENCODE cCREs, GH Reg Elems (DE), OregAnno, Layered H3K27Ac) are also indicating both high promoter and enhancer activity. As for tile 1 and tile 3 all these various data sources are indicating toward strong active transcription start site/ promoter activity.

Each tile, as well as the empty reporter plasmid, was transfected into three cell lines, including COS-1, ME3 and 3T3-L1. After 48h, the cells were lysed and the luciferase activity was measured (figure 5.13).


Figure 5.13 Luciferase activity of tile 1, 3 and 8. Luciferase activity in A) ME3, B) COS-1, and C) 3T3-L1 cells transfected with all the constructs of tile 1,3 and 8 . Y-axis of the left panel represents relative luciferase activity compared to the empty reporter plasmid (grey bars). All the light colors represent protective haplotype and darker color represents risk haplotype. The right panel shows the risk/protective ratio of all the cloned tiles from patient V609 (risk) and V602 (protective). All the data shown in both graphs are normalized to the pGL4.23-luc2-minP-empty vector. The bars indicating the mean $\pm$ SD of the luciferase activity $(\mathrm{n}=4)$. One way ANOVA with Holm- Šídák correction for multiple testing was performed to test for significant differences between groups. Left panel: each group compared to the empty vector. Right panel; each group compared to every other group. Ns -not significant ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.033, * * \mathrm{P}<0.002$, and ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001$. Representative results from 2-3 independent experiments (supplementary data figure 10.2 )

Relative luciferase activity of tile 3 constructs in ME3 (beige) cells had very high signal compared to other constructs with luciferase activity about 10 times higher than the empty control vector, which was highly significant (figure 5.13 A , left panel). In contrast, tile 1 constructs showed variable and minor non-significant effects independently of the genotype (figure 5.13 A ). For tile 8 , which had been cloned from only one patient of each genotype, the protective version showed a $50 \%$ reduction in activity, although this effect did not achieve statistical significance. Similarly, the risk variant of tile 8 showed a trend towards elevated activity. Thus, when calculating the ratio between risk and protective genotypes, tile 8 showed a highly significant 3.5 -fold higher enhancer activity in the risk compared to protective genotype (figure 5.13A, right panel). In contrast, no genotype-dependent differences were observed for tile 1 or 3 .

In COS-1 cells, a similar luciferase activity pattern as in ME3 cells was observed, with the tile 3 constructs, being significantly different from the control (figure 15.13 B , left panel). Signals produced by tile 1 constructs (with the exception of tile 1_V609 which produced high signals) and tile 8 constructs were not significantly different from the control. In COS-1 cells the relative risk/protective ratio was roughly 2 for both tiles 1,3 and 8 (figure 15.13B, right panel. In 3T3-L1 cells, more variation was observed. While most tile 1 tiles showed a significant repressive effect, tile 1 derived from patient 602 was unchanged compared to the control (15.13.C, left panel). The signals produced by tile 8 in both protective and risk type were also unchanged in the 3T3-L1 cells. As for tile 3 constructs, the signal pattern was similar to the other two cell lines with elevated signal, except for patient 602 , which was unchanged. In terms of risk/protective ratio, tile 1 showed a reduction, tile 3 an increase and tile 8 no difference between risk and protective tiles) when directly comparing patients 602 and 609 (figure 15.13.C, right panel). Of note, the ratios were closer to 1 for tile 1 and 3 when taking all patients into account (data not shown). In summary, although all the constructs of
tile 3 in all three cell lines had the highest enhancer activity, tile 8 manifested the most convincing genotype-dependent enhancer activity.

### 5.4 Effect of individual SNPs on enhancer activity

We next wanted to investigate possible mechanisms underlying the different enhancer activities between risk and protective haplotype of tile 8 . We therefore examined whether any of the SNPs in the LD block were located in this tile. Indeed, 7 SNPs, including rs1799993 were found to be present in tile 8 . To investigate the relative contribution of each SNP to the increased enhancer activity in the risk haplotype, we decided to perform site-directed mutagenesis of each individual SNP. As a proof-of-concept, we initially performed mutagenesis on a previously generated shorter version of tile 8 ; the tile 8 a, which covers six of the seven SNPs, figure 5.14. This tile was of particular interest since it had only been cloned from a protective, but not risk donor ${ }^{88}$.


Figure 5.14 SnapGene image/ map of tile 8 and tile 8a. Tile 8 contains seven SNPs in total and 6 of these SNPs are situated in tile 8a, table 5.6 represents overview of all the SNPs in tile 8.

Table 5.3 Overview of individual SNPs in tile 8.

| Chr | Pos(hg38) | LD ( $\left.\mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | LD(D') | Variant | Ref | Alt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | 119086381 | 0.88 | 0.95 | rs1799991 | G | A |
| 11 | 119086536 | 0.87 | 0.95 | rs1799992 | T | C |
| 11 | 119086647 | 0.9 | 0.95 | rs2846282 | G | A |
| 11 | 119087238 | 0.97 | 1 | rs34850583 | AAG | A, AA |
| 11 | 119087239 | 0.97 | 0.99 | rs11297272 | AG | A |
| 11 | 119087417 | 1 | 1 | rs1799993 | C | A |
| 11 | 119088159 | 0.95 | 0.99 | rs1006195 | G | T |

Chr stands for chromosome, pos(hg38) is the position of each SNP in the chromosome (GRCh38 Human Reference). Ref stands for "reference sequence" and Alt stands for "alternative sequence". Reference sequence is protective type and alternative sequence is the risk type. This table was adapted from: https://pubs.broadinstitute.org/mammals/haploreg/haploreg.php

### 5.4.1 Site-directed mutagenesis of tile 8a

Site-directed mutagenesis (section 4.3.6) is a method that allows specific changes to a desired DNA sequence. In this study, we attempted to change each protective variant to the risk variant of each the SNPs within tile 8a (figure 5.14). Upon closer inspection of the SNPs, rs34850583 and rs1 1297272 risk variants were both found to be representing a deletion of the same "G" nucleotide. Thus, in this thesis, mutation of rs11297272 represents both of these SNPs. Therefore, from the protective tile 8a, five mutant plasmids were generated with mutations in a single SNP each.

First, PCR amplification was performed with site-directed mutagenesis primes on the desired double stranded (tile 8a) DNA, followed by digestion of the parental DNA with DpnI. The amplified products were visualized on $1,2 \%$ agarose gel. In this study, we edited five SNPs including -rs1799991, rs 1799992, rs2846282, rs1 1297272 and rs1799993 from protective type to risk type according to table 5.3 . Length of the plasmid ( 4283 bp ) and the insert (tile $8 \mathrm{a}, 1854 \mathrm{bp}$ ) together was approximately 6200 bp (figure 5.15). All the site-directed mutagenesis-amplified pGL4.23-minP-tile 8a products had a visible band around 6200 bp , indicating right sized DNA fragments.


Figure 5.15 Gel electrophoresis image of site-directed mutagenesis PCR amplified products. The figure represents the 5 SNPs we intendent to edit through site directed mutagenesis. Ctl stands for control, which was an unrelated positive mutagenesis control provided by the kit. Both the plasmid and the insert of the control were 2000 bp , thus in total 4000 bp .

Based on the result, next we transformed $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the XL 1-Blue cells with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sitedirected mutagenesis master mix and plated on agar plates containing ampicillin, before incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. As figure 5.16 shows, all the plasmids containing the modifications had colonial growth. Furthermore, positive control (provided by the kit) also had colonial growth. The colonial growth indicated molecular successful site-directed mutagenesis of tile 8a.


Figure 5.16 Colony formation of site-directed mutagenesis of tile 8a constructs. We were able to clone all the site-directed mutagenesis constricts of tile 8a, including the SNPs rs1799991, rs1799992, rs2846282, rs11297272 and rs1799993.

### 5.4.2 Plasmid purification of tile 8a mutants

A single colony from each construct was grown in mini culture at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The next day 2.5 ml of mini culture was transferred to a bigger flask containing and grown overnight again. A plasmid purification kit (section 4.3.7, subsection C) was used to isolate insert DNA from the cells and concentration was measured by NanoDrop. Table 5.4 shows an overview of all the mutants created in this study. As the table shows, alle the constructs created by this study had very high concentration/ yield.

Table 5.4 Purified concentration of the mutant 8a tiles, assessed by NanoDrop.
Tiles Cloned tiles $n g / \mu L$

| Tile 8a | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_WT_protective | 481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tile 8a_rs91 | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs91_G $>$ A_risk | 1374 |
| Tile 8a_rs92 | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs92_T $>$ C_risk | 1695 |
| Tile 8a_rs82 | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs82_G $>$ A_risk | 1697 |
| Tile 8a_rs72 | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs72_G>-_risk | 1343 |
| Tile 8a_rs93 | pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs93_C $>$ A_risk | 1589 |

WT stands for wild type, Del stands for deletion. All the SNPs presented in this table are abbreviated version of the original /full name of the SNPs.

### 5.4.3 Verification by sanger sequencing

To verify if the editing/modification of the SNPs was correct, sanger sequencing was performed on the purified constructs presented in table 5.4, except for construct pGL4.23_Tile 8a_rs72_G>-_risk. The reason for not performing sequencing PCR on this construct is that the SNP is located outside of the 800 bp range of sanger sequencing in both $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ direction and $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ direction. Due to time constraints, separate sequencing primers for this area were not designed. For the remaining mutants, already available plasmid specific primers were used for sequencing, and the data was analyzed by SnapGene.

The WT tile 8a used as starting point for the mutagenesis was verified to possess a protective genotype at each of the SNPs (figure 5.17A, B and supplementary data, figure 10.5), confirming an intact haplotype. Moreover, all the sequenced tile 8a mutants were found to contain the correct respective mutations (figure 5.17 B and supplementary data figure10.5). Thus, sanger sequencing confirmed successful editing and cloning of desired constructs (table 5.4).



Figure 5.17 Sanger sequencing of the tile 8a_WT and mutants. A) Tile 8a_WT protective type and the SNPs located in the region. Red arrows indicate sequencing direction and coverage. The electropherogram below shows sanger sequence data from both the $5^{\prime} \rightarrow 3^{\prime}$ direction and $3^{\prime} \rightarrow 5^{\prime}$ direction. B) Sequence of all the mutants aligned to the WT sequence. Each plasmid/SNP was sequenced with 2 parallels, using plasmid specific forward or reverse primers. Red arrows indicate sequencing direction and coverage. Electropherogram peaks, as well as the corresponding sequence surrounding each SNP are shown in boxes. 1, rs.91; 2, rs.92; 3, rs.82; 4, rs.93. Mutated SNPs are highlighted in red. WT sequences are shown directly above each mutant sequence. The rs 11297272 was not sequenced and marked with green rectangle.

### 5.4.4 Assessment of genotype-dependent enhancer activity by luciferase assay

Following successful site-directed mutagenesis cloning, we assessed SNP-dependent enhancer activity by luciferase assay (figure 5.18).


Figure 5.18 Luciferase activity of tile 8a protective and risk haplotype. All the data shown are normalized to the pGL4.23-Tile 8a_WT protective plasmid. The bars indicating the mean $\pm$ SD of the luciferase activity ( $n=5$ ). One way A ANOVA test was run to determine significancy on luciferase activity between pGL4.23-Tile 8a_WT protective plasmid and all the tiles/ constructs. * $\mathrm{P}<0.033$, and *** $\mathrm{P}<0.001$. This figure represents only one replicate out of independent biological triplicates (supplementary data figure 10.3).

In all cell lines, the protective/WT tile 8a showed clear repression of enhancer activity as observed for the long tile 8 in ME3 cells. Strikingly, all the individual risk mutants showed a strong and highly significant increase in enhancer activity in all cell lines, although the effect was less strong for rs 1799992 . These results suggest that all these SNPs may be causal by strongly contributing to the risk-dependent increased enhancer activity in tile 8a of the 11 q23.3 locus.

### 5.4.5 Investigation of C/EBPb as a mediator of SNP-dependent effects

Next, we decided to investigate how any of the SNPs within tile 8a could alter the enhancer activity so dramatically in a genotype-dependent manner. Since SNP variants likely affect binding sites of TFs, we hypothesized that the risk variants in this case could either introduce a new binding site of a transcriptional activator (gain-of-function) or destroy the binding site of a repressor (loss-of-function). Previously, a search for consensus transcription binding sites overlapping the SNPS of tile 8a using the Promo predictions web tool have been performed ${ }^{88}$. Interestingly, they found the risk sequence of rs2846282 to introduce a binding site for the TF C/EBP- $\beta$ (figure 5.19), a key TF for adipocyte differentiation. We therefore investigated whether co-expression of C/EBP- $\beta$ with the reporter tiles could increase the enhancer activity specifically in the 8 a rs 2846282 risk reporter compared to WT 8 a or any of the other mutants (figure 5.20).


Figure 5.19 Transcription binding sites overlapping the rs2846282 SNP. The image shows a binding site for C/EBP- $\beta$ in the risk, but not protective sequence of rs2846282. This figure was adapted from Nina Tangen Samuelsen's master thesis (Functional dissection of a genetic locus for visceral fat mass (11q23.3), figure 5.1) and created in Biorender.com.


Figure 5.20 Comparison of luciferase activity between reporter plasmid and overexpression plasmid. All the data shown are normalized to the pCMV-empty plasmid. The bars indicating the mean $\pm$ SD of the luciferase activity ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ). Two-way ANOVA test was run to determine significancy on luciferase activity between pCMV -empty plasmid and pSPORT -CEBP- $\beta$ within the reporter plasmids. Ns -not significant $\mathrm{P}<0.12$, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}<0.033$, and ${ }^{* * *} \mathrm{P}<0.001$.

Surprisingly, co-expression with CEBP- $\beta$ resulted in significantly lower enhancer activity for most of the tiles compared co-expression with empty plasmid. These data clearly show that CEBP- $\beta$ did not have any activator effect on the reporter plasmids. Thus, we conclude that CEBP- $\beta$ is not the mediator of the SNP/genotype effect we observed in the previous luciferase assays (figures 5.13, 5.18 and 5.20).

### 5.4.6 Search for additional TF binding motifs overlapping SNPS in tile 8a

Since the predicted CEBP- $\beta$ binding site identified by PROMO was found to not be functionally relevant, we used a different approach to search for potential other TF binding sites. Using UCSC Genome Browser (chr11:119086268-119088121, GRCh38 Human Reference) and the JASPAR CORE 2022 TF binding tracks, we were able to identify multiple TF binding sites overlapping several of the protective SNPs within tile 8a (figure 5.21 and table 5.5). Having found intact TF binding sites in the protective variants, we next
used the JASPAR database directly to score the predicted binding of each of these TF to the protective and risk genotype of tile 8 a (table 5.5 ).


Figure 5.21 Overview of TF binding sites within the tile 8a SNPs. The upper navigation bar represents the position within chromosome 11 for the expanded visualization of $H M B S$ gene locus below. The next parameter is the JASPAR CORE 2022 profile of genome-wide predicted binding sites of predicted TF. The last parameter represents short genetic variants from dbSNP build 153: single nucleotide variants. The colorful bars along the image representing the SNPs within tile 8a, and in the dbSNP 153 parameter all the SNPs are highlighted with orange bars. Within the JASPAR CORE 2022 parameter all the red colored highlighted TFs are the TFs that bind to the SNPs within tile 8a. This figure was created in UCSC Genome Browser.

Table 5.5 Overview of TF binding sites tile 8a_WT (wild type/protective).

| SNP | Ref <br> (P) | Alt <br> (R) | TFs that <br> bind <br> protective <br> genotype | TFs that <br> bind risk <br> genotype | Functions of <br> TFs | Predicted effect <br> of risk SNP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rs1799991 | G | A | Zfx (0.83) | Zfx (0.84) | Transcription co- <br> activator |  |
|  |  |  | ZBTB14 <br> $(0.81)$ | ZBTB14 <br> $(0.86)$ | Transcriptional <br> activator ${ }^{112,113}$ | Slightly <br> increased <br> transcriptional <br> activity |
| rs1799992 | T | C | - | - | - | - |
| rs2846282 | G | A | Wtl(0.88) | Wt1 (0.86) | Suppressor ${ }^{114}$ | Minimum <br> change |


| rs11297272 | AG | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZNF354A } \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | - | Transcriptional repressor ${ }^{115}$ | Loss of repressor activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZNF384 } \\ & (0.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZNF384 } \\ & (0.94) \end{aligned}$ | Transcriptional activator ${ }^{112}$ | Slight increase in transcriptional activity |
| rs1799993 | C | A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RARB } \\ & (0.83) \end{aligned}$ | - | Repressor of transcriptional activity ${ }^{116}$ | Loss of binding and repressor activity |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RARG } \\ & (0,85) \end{aligned}$ | - | repressor of transcriptional activity ${ }^{117}$ | Loss of binding and repressor activity |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RXRB } \\ & (0.84) \end{aligned}$ | - | Repressor of transcriptional activity ${ }^{116}$ | Loss of binding and repressor activity |

All the data were retrieved from JASPAR CORE 2022, Website: https://testjaspar.uio.no/. Numbers presented in the bracket are relative numbers retrieved from JASPAR CORE 2022. P stands for protective, and R stands for risk.

Strikingly the three TFs RARB, RARG, and RXRB were found to bind only to the protective, but not to the risk variant of the SNP rs1799993. Since these factors can act as repressors, this would result in loss of repressor activity and thereby increased enhancer activity. Similarly, the repressor ZNF354A was only able to bind to rs11297272 in the protective, but not the risk variant. Other TFs, including Zfx, ZBTB14, Wt1, and ZNF384 were found to bind to both the risk or the protective sequence of either rs1799991, rs2846282, or rs11297272, thereby likely not contributing to the genotype-dependent effect. In summary, we were able to find several additional TFs binding sites overlapping the SNPs in tile 8a and have found loss of repressor binding to rs1 1297272 and rs1799993 to be potential causal mechanisms underlying the elevated the enhancer activity in the risk haplotype.

## 6. Discussion

The underlying mechanisms that promote selective VAT storage are largely unexplored. This thesis aimed to investigate the VAT associated 11q23.3 locus and identify casual genetic variant(s) in cis-regulatory regions within the locus, with the long-term goal of revealing underlying mechanism of VAT storage and VAT associated diseases. To this end, multiple analyses were performed as discussed in this chapter.

### 6.1 11q23.3 locus associated with visceral adiposity

Fat distribution plays an important role in the risk of developing obesity related diseases, and VAT depots are found to be more harmful compared to other types of adipose depots in the body ${ }^{39}$. As mentioned earlier, GWAS is a population-based powerful method that has identified thousands of genetic variants that are associated with human diseases, including obesity and type 2 diabetes ${ }^{118,119}$. However, very few GWAS have investigated and identified VAT associated variants. A likely explanation is that VAT associated studies require advanced imaging techniques, which are very expensive and time-consuming techniques. Moreover, GWAS cannot identify the exact causal variants nor precise downstream target genes and underlying mechanisms of diseases ${ }^{119}$. However, a recent study by Karlsson et al. was able to identify over 200 new VAT-associated loci, including the 11q23.3 locus ${ }^{24}$. The Karlsson et al. study developed a computational prediction model that allowed VAT mass prediction on a large scale, and a GWAS was performed on the participants with predicted VAT mass. One of the GWAS signals, or VAT-associated tag-SNPs were rs1784461, which is located within the 11q23.3 locus, and shows an eQTL signal for HMBS and VPS11 in VAT. The findings led to Karlsson et al. to perform luciferase assays to compare the regulatory activity between risk and protective alleles within the three regions with predicted regulatory activity of the11q23.3 locus (Karlsson et al. figure $1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$, d, and extended figure 5 A). Interestingly, they were able to map rs199993, a SNP in high LD with the tag-SNP rs1784461, to a regulatory region with genotype-dependent promoter activity and suggested that rs199993 is likely a casual variant whose risk variant may lead to increased $H M B S$ expression and increased VAT mass via HMBS' known role in regulation of mitochondrial function ${ }^{24}$.

However, there are some limitations with the Karlsson et al. study; they did not examine the entire LD block for regulatory activity, which means there might be undetectable casual
variants. Furthermore, Karlsson et al. study didn't investigate for TFs binding sites and target genes. Lasty, tile 3 (Karlsson et al. extended figure 5 A ) was cloned into pGL4.10 to assess only promoter activity. The suggested causal SNP rs 1799993 is located in the promoter region of the short isoforms of $H M B S$ (figure 5.12), which are only expressed in blood cells ${ }^{87}$, which is unlikely to be relevant for adipose tissue. Also, as the figure 5 showed, other $H M B S$ isoforms (expressed in various tissues, including adipose tissue) contain additional exons further upstream, meaning that rs1799993 is located in the first intron of these variants. Therefore, rather than regulating the promoter of a blood-specific short isoforms of HMBS, we believe that this SNP (if causal), is probably rather regulating an enhancer that may act on the long isoforms of HMBS, VPS11 (genes with eQTL signal) or another unknown gene. In this study we aimed to construct similar sized tiles covering the entire LD block to search for enhancer activity across the entire locus. Unfortunately, due to PCR challenges and limited time, only 3 tiles were successfully made. However, these tiles were cloned from patients with both the risk and protective haplotype, allowing a direct assessment of genotypedependent enhancer activity in these areas. Of note, the tiles made in this study ended up covering roughly the same regions as those described in the Karlsson study. Thus, although this thesis did not manage to expand the number of investigated regions, it does allow a relatively direct comparison of genotype-dependent promoter versus enhancer activity.

In agreement with the Karlsson study, we found the regions harboring rs1799993 to show the most consistent genotype-dependent effect. However, while Karlsson et al. found the risk/protective ratio to be about 1.5 for promoter activity, we here found it to be $\sim 3.5-20$ for enhancer activity. Thus, the effect of the risk genotype was much stronger on enhancer activity. While the previous study only focused on rs1799993, we systematically investigated the contribution of several of the closest SNPs and actually found all of them to have a strong contribution. While our study was not designed to identify downstream target genes (see future perspectives), our identification of causal/contributing SNPs allowed investigation of the upstream regulatory mechanisms through TF binding motif discovery, as discussed further below.

### 6.2 Methodological limitations

### 6.2.1 Primer design and PCR amplification

To investigate the whole LD block, the first and most important step was to design the appropriate primers, since it would affect all the downstream approaches in this study. Multiple factors must be considered when designing primers, including specificity, melting temperature (Tm), GC content and degree of self-complementary and hair-pin structures ${ }^{120}$. Thus, to account for all these factors, primers were design using NCBI primer-BLAST with stringent criteria and validated using the Beacon Designer Premier Biosoft web tool (section 4.2). For each tile, three alternative primer pairs were designed (table 3.3) Although the tiles should ideally be of the same length, designing primers for some of the tiles were challenging. As a result, some of the tiles were larger than the other tiles. A likely explanation can be that the 11 q 23.3 locus is situated in a complex region of the genome, and a possible solution to primer associated problems would be chemically synthesize the tiles. Chemically synthesis does not require template DNA, rather addition of nucleotide to single stranded DNA, which then serves as template DNA ${ }^{121}$. Thus, this method would have allowed synthesizing identical sizes tiles. However, we did not use this approach as it is time consuming and very costly. Moreover, to be representative, the synthetic DNA would need to reflect actual patient DNA, meaning that comprehensive sequencing would be necessary, which would require design of at least 40 sequencing primers anyways.

To perform molecular cloning, amplification of desired DNA sequence by PCR plays a crucial role. As presented in section 5 (result), some of the amplified DNA products had unspecific bands or no visible band at all on the gel image. One of the reasons could be that annealing temperature was too low, since too low annealing temperature promotes off-target binding. It could be that the temperature in some cases was too high, making primers unable to bind at all, leading to little PCR product ${ }^{122,123}$. Thus, in this study we optimized annealing temperature, cycle numbers, and extension time. In some cases, the alternations were successful, while other cases would require further optimization. For example, testing of a broader range of annealing temperatures could have been performed. Moreover, we didn't optimize other components of the PCR, including divalent cations such as $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (co-factor of Taq-polymerase), pH of buffers, concentration of nucleotidetriphosphates (dNTPs) or DNA polymerase ${ }^{122,123}$. Thus, in the future, for the remaining tiles, these parameters could be tested, so that the right DNA fragments can be amplified.

### 6.2.2 Troubleshooting -In-fusion cloning

In-fusion cloning is a recombinational cloning, based on site-specific recombination, where both the insert and the vector have homologues ends, which is recognized by the cloning enzyme ${ }^{124}$. In-fusion cloning was performed to clone the tiles into the pGL4.23_Luc-2_minP vector (section 5.2.3) for further functional assessment. We had difficulties with cloning of the tile 1 protective genotype and tile 3 both the protective and risk genotype, due to large number of colonies obtained with no inserts. It could be due to incomplete linearization of the plasmid (pGL4.23_Luc-2_minP empty), even though we have utilized NebCloner to check the compatibility between restriction enzyme and their respective buffer compatibility. Other possible reasons for the troubleshooting could be that in-fusion reaction was contaminated by plasmids with same antibiotic resistance or the agar plate contained wrong antibiotic ${ }^{125}$. Therefore, we digested the plasmid with the chosen restriction enzyme once more, performed in-fusion cloning, transformed competent cells, and added to agar plates with right antibiotics, which led to successful cloning of the remaining tiles.

### 6.2.3 Luciferase assay

Genetic reporter assay such as luciferase assay is utilized to determine whether a protein can activate or repress expression of a target gene, thus investigating gene regulation and transcriptional activity ${ }^{126}$. However, there are some limitations to luciferase assay, including the assay requires exogenous addition of decanal substrate, which can be made endogenously by bacterial system. Another disadvantage with the assay is the requirement of energy from ATP, which can complicate measurements or interpretation of luciferase activity ${ }^{126}$. Furthermore, there is a 4-6 hours delay from the effect of the biological treatment on transfected cells and response from the cells, which then leads to transcription ${ }^{127}$. Reporter gene assays can also exhibit gene expression or transcriptional noise, which can be either extrinsic noise or intrinsic noise ${ }^{128,129}$. Extrinsic noise is gene-independent, meaning the noise varies between cells and affects all genes. In contrast, intrinsic noise is gen-dependent since it effects varies from gene to gene and from cell to cell. Consequently, data collected from one luciferase experiment to another luciferase experiment might not be reproducible ${ }^{128,129}$.

Transfection efficiency of the cells dependent on various factors including transfection method, cell line viability, percentage of confluency, passage number, both quality and quantity of the nucleic acid, and presence or absence of the serum in the medium ${ }^{130}$. In this study, among all the luciferase assay performed on the three different cell lines, 3T3-L1 cell line had the lowest value of luciferase signal, and the possible explanation is that low
transfection efficiency (discussed later) or luciferase assay associated limitations. However, we did not optimize the different parameters mentioned above that can affect transfection, the only optimization was different transfection reagents used for different cell lines.

Another limitation of this study was the use of a single-luciferase reporter. A dual-luciferase kit, using co-expression of a constitutively active different luciferase reporter, such as Renilla luciferase, could have been used to normalize transfection efficiencies ${ }^{131,132}$. Because a dual kit costs about 5-10X more pr reaction, luciferase assays were first performed using the single kit. Repeating all the luciferase assays with the dual kit was unfortunately not feasible due to time limitations but should be considered for future work.

In this study, luciferase data were normalized to the empty reporter, according to common practice. However, because the size of the different reporters containing tiles was almost double that of the empty reporter, this could affect transfection efficiency and thereby luciferase activity. A possible solution would be to clone a known "regulatory dead" region of similar size as the tiles into the reporter. However, because we are interested in the relative luciferase activity between the cloned tiles (independently of the empty vector), generating this extra control was not prioritized.

Finally, the luciferase assays used in this thesis mostly relied on the interaction between exogenous DNA (plasmid with enhancer elements controlling the expression of the luciferase reporter gene) and endogenous TFs that bind to and activate or repress the enhancer ${ }^{133}$. In contrast, luciferase assays are most often used to assess the interaction between two or more exogenous factors (reporter plasmid and a co-expressed TF). Here, the latter approach was only possible after initial screening in the endogenous context, which allowed the identification of putative TFs (such as CEBP- $\beta$ ), that later were used for co-expression. Thus, the endogenous context may play an important role in determining the luciferase activity of the various tiles. Therefore, the choice of cell type may have a strong influence on the observed luciferase activity.

### 6.3 Evaluation of cell lines

Because this thesis investigated the genetic effects of visceral obesity, and because the epigenetic data suggested that the enhancer in i.e. $H M B S$ was only active in mesenchymal stem cells (precursors of fat cells), the ideal cells to evaluate luciferase activity in would have
been primary, mesenchymal stem cells from human visceral fat. However, this cell model was not available as it would require fresh collection of VAT from an obese patient undergoing i.e. bariatric surgery, isolation of the stromovascular fraction, fluorescenceactivated cell sorting (FACS) based on unique surface markers and cultivation for maximum a few passages ${ }^{134,135}$. Often cell lines or more specifically immortalized cell lines are therefore utilized in research to assess biological processes in vitro, instead of primary cells ${ }^{136}$. Even though primary cells resemble the cells within a living tissue, it has been shown that culturing mature adipocytes is technically challenging, since they rapidly dedifferentiate into fibroblast-like cells ${ }^{137}$. Moreover, since mature adipocytes are highly differentiated and thus unable to divide, they cannot be maintained and expanded in culture. Thus, in this study as mentioned earlier (section 4.4.1), we used three cell lines: COS-1, ME3 and 3T3-L1 for the luciferase assays. There are several advantages associated with immortal cell lines include low-priced, easy to use and they provide a pure cell population, which is very important for reproducible results ${ }^{136}$.

COS-1 cells are kidney cells and generated from epithelial cells. In contrast, ME3 are beige pre-adipocytes (the most used cell line in this thesis) and 3T3-L1 cells are white preadipocytes, both cell lines were generated from mouse embryonic fibroblast cells. COS-1 cell lines are very easy to cultivate and work with, have very high efficiency for transfection ${ }^{138}$ and are routinely used for luciferase assays. These cells were used as a non-adipogenic, "control" line. ME3 cells are harder to transfect compared to COS-1 cells but compensates it with very high metabolic activates ${ }^{101}$. Moreover, being isolated from mouse embryonic fibroblasts, they represent a more mesenchymal-like cell type. Even though 3T3-L1 cell are widely used in obesity research, the cell line has very low transcriptions activity and very difficult to transfect/very low efficiency for transfection ${ }^{139}$. The cells also have multiple copies of the genome, thus representing a cell line further from primary cells compared to ME3 cells. In this thesis, we decided to initially use all three cell lines to assess whether the luciferase activity was dependent on the endogenous context or not, as further discussed below.

### 6.4 Comparison of luciferase activity by luciferase constructs

We compared transcriptional activity of the successfully cloned tiles as presented in figure 5.12 by conducting luciferase assay on transfected COS-1, ME3, and 3T3-L1 cells. We
observed higher transcriptional activity of tile 3 luciferase constructs in ME3 cells compared to the other cell lines. This might be due to the variables that effects transfection efficiency (discussed earlier). However, in general tile 3 luciferase constructs had the highest luciferase activity in each cell lines compared to other luciferase constructs. Since each luciferase construct (table 5.2) had regulatory sequence upstream reporter/luciferase gene, thus when the promoter is activated mRNA is transcribed and translated into luciferase protein. Therefore, amount of luciferase signal is dependent on activity of the regulatory element. One possible explanation for such high luciferase activity could be that within tile 3 way more SNPs (12 SNPs, including tag-SNP rs1784461) are located compared to the other tiles. Furthermore, as presented in figure 5.12, WashU Epigenomic Browser data showed in all three cell types (E025-adipose mesenchymal cells, E063-adipose nuclei, and E023 adipose differentiated from mesenchymal cells) very strong TSS (transcription start sites) and RefSeq data shows the VPS11 gene TSS (exon) is located in tile 3. Also, ENCODE, cCREs, GH Reg Elems (DE), and OregAnno data suggested strong promoter and TBS (transcription binding sites) within tile 3 , thus this can be an indication towards the idea that most likely both cisregulatory and trans-regulatory elements are present in tile 3 , which might regulate expression of VAT associated VPS11 gene. However, the signals between risk and protective tile 3 luciferase constructs did not exhibit huge differences in the luciferase activity, suggesting that the elevated activity was independent of the risk of VAT development. This interpretation is strengthened by the fact that tile 3 demonstrated high, genotype-independent enhancer activity in all three cell lines, thus likely affecting a VAT-independent biological process.

In the ME3 cell line, tile 8 risk luciferase construct had quite high luciferase transcriptional activity compared to protective luciferase construct. In contrast, in COS-1 and 3T3-L1 cells, the luciferase activity was quite low, which can be due to the transfection efficiency or technical issues related to luciferase assay. However, in all the cell lines the signaling pattern of tile 8 constructs are quite similar, which can be an indication that tile 8 protective construct has more repressive effect, while tile 8 risk type construct has more activating effect. It may mean that the tile is responsive to TFs present in several cell types, while only available in mesenchymal stem cells due to the epigenetic signature. Regardless, the risk/protective ratio for tile 8 was most pronounced in the ME3 cells.

As for tile 1 luciferase constructs, they had very low transcriptional activity compared to the other tiles, as supported by the lack of epigenetic enhancer marks in this area and presence of only two SNPs, while tile 8 contained seven SNPs including rs1799993. As mentioned earlier, the Karlsson et al. study suggested that rs 1799993 had promoter activity ${ }^{24}$, but our investigated data from UCSC Genome Browser and WashU Epigenomic browser (figure 5.12) suggested that tile 8 is more likely involved in enhancer activity, rather than promoter activity. Furthermore, when we compared risk/protective ratio (figure 5.13) of tile 8 compared to other tiles, both in ME3 and 3T3-L1 cell lines tile 8 had genotype-dependent regulatory/enhancer activity. In this study we couldn't clone all the remaining tiles, due to time limitation and misunderstanding (we thought tiles 2,6 and 7 were successfully cloned by the previous study, while only tile 8 a actually was), we only focused on the tiles that were easy to clone. Thus, we can't exclude the possibility that other tiles may harbor additional casual variant(s), although epigenomic data suggest the other tiles are unlikely to have enhancer activity.

### 6.2 Effect of individual SNPs on enhancer activity

In this study we were able to successfully generate the mutant/risk variants of the SNPs located in tile 8 a (figure 5.14 ). Figure 5.18 shows the luciferase data of the five constructs including the protective construct. ME3 cell line had the highest luciferase signal for each mutant constructs and the luciferase activity was significantly different from the wild/protective type. Interestingly, for the other two cell lines, the signaling pattern was highly similar to ME3 cell line. These findings were surprising for two reasons; first the individual SNPs appeared to have a stronger effect alone than combined (in the long tile 8). Secondly, this effect was present even in COS-1 and 3T3-L1 cells that did not respond as strongly to the combined set of risk SNPs (figure 5.13). Thus, our finding suggested that regardless of cell types, mutated SNPs exhibit compound genotype-dependent cis-regulatory or enhancer activity in tile 8 a. Moreover, the findings validate the previous claim that tile 8 protective type has a more repressive effect and risk type has an activating affect. As mentioned before, figure 1 b from Karlsson et al. study showed the promoter activity of rs1799993 where risk/protective ratio was around 1.5 -fold, while the ratio for rs1799993 risk/protective constructs were 25,15 , and 15 -fold respectively, which indicates more towards tile 8a being involved in genotype-dependent enhancer activity, rather than promoter activity. As claimed earlier, the findings further suggest that the longer $H M B S$ gene isoforms
might be downstream regulatory gene of tile 8 . However, if we compare the results of tile 8 constructs from previous section (6.4), one could expect much more higher luciferase signal, based on the results from figure 5.18 . One possible explanation for the results could be that tile 8 additionally contains the SNP-rs1006195, which is missing in tile 8a. It is possible that the SNP-rs1006195 has more repressive effect than activating effect, when combined all the SNPs in tile 8 leads to less luciferase transcriptional activity. Importantly, the long tile 8 risk and protective tiles were cloned from 2 different patients, and although they possessed the same theoretical haplotype (the same set of SNPs in LD with the tag SNP), the middle part of the tile was not covered by sequencing. Thus, it is theoretically possible that sequence variation between the patients in that region could have influenced the result. In contrast, the single-SNP mutations in tile 8a were all generated from the same plasmid derived from a single patient. Due to time constrains, mutagenesis was only performed on tile 8a, but should ideally have been done on the long tile 8 as well.

### 6.6 Transcriptional binding motifs overlapping SNPs within tile 8a

Adipogenesis is a process that involves differentiation of preadipocytes into mature adipocytes and the process is divided into two major phases including: the commitment phase and terminal differentiation phase ${ }^{140}$. Adipogenesis is driven by complex signaling cascade involving key transcription factors $-\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ and multiple members of CCAAT/enhancerbinding family of proteins (C/EBPs). During adipogenesis, the process initiates through expression and activation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP}-\beta$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP}-\delta$, which then activates $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{EBP}-\alpha$ and activates several genes required for adipogenesis including $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ (master regulator of adipogenesis) ${ }^{140}$. Once activated PPAR $\gamma$ and C/EBP- $\alpha$ stimulates each other's expression, thus forms a feedback loop, and activated $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ then activate all other genes required for adipogenesis and fat cell function ${ }^{140}$.

A previous study by our lab suggested that the SNP rs2846282 risk variant introduces a binding site for C/EBP- $\beta$ TF (transcription factor). Increased binding of this critical adipogenic factor could explain the increased expansion of VAT in patients with the risk variant. In this study, we therefore functionally tested whether this particular SNP could affect C/EBP- $\beta$ mediated enhancer activity by performing luciferase assay. Surprisingly, as the figure 5.20 shows, co-expression with C/EBP- $\beta$ ) resulted in significantly lower luciferase activity compared to the empty plasmid. Moreover, this was true for most of the mutant tiles,
suggesting an indirect effect independent of the SNPs. Thus, our findings suggest that C/EBP- $\beta$ didn't have an activator effect and was not the mediator of the observed SNPdependent activity. The nonspecific effect of the experiment could be due to operator error or outcome of non-specific effects of biological manipulations or cell treatments ${ }^{141}$. For instance, if overexpression of C/EBP- $\alpha$ affected protein translation in general, this would give the observed results. Importantly, the total amount of DNA was equal in all transfected wells (the same amount of empty plasmid was added to wells not receiving the C/EBP- $\alpha$ plasmid), so this could not explain the results.

TFs or sequence specific TFs regulate gene expression through binding to cis-regulatory region within promoter and enhancer sequences ${ }^{142}$. And the existence or affinity of TF binding sites are most likely affected by non-coding variants, which consequently, modify the activity of a regulatory region ${ }^{74}$. Results from section 5.4 .5 showed that we were able to identify TFs binding sites (DNA-binding motifs) overlapping SNPs within tile 8a (figure 5.21) from UCSC Genome Browser and JASPAR CORE 2022. Furthermore, we observed from our finding differences in the presence of TF binding sites when comparing the risk and protective haplotype, table 5.5. These TF binding sites were either lost, strengthen, or weakened in a genotype-dependent manner. TFs bind to very specific binding motifs; thus, a single critical nucleotide modification can lead to dramatic changes in the binding affinity of the TFs to the DNA sequence ${ }^{142}$. For example, the three TFs including RARB, RARG, and RXRB bind only to the protective, but not risk variant sequence of the SNP rs1799993. The three TFs can act as repressors, consequently loss of repressor activity and thereby increased enhancer activity may occur in the risk variant of rs1799993. Likewise, for rs11297272, the repressor ZNF354A only binds to protective sequence. Other TFs, including Zfx, ZBTB14, Wt1, and ZNF384 bound to both the risk and the protective sequence of either rs 1799991, rs2846282, or rs11297272, thereby likely not contributing to the genotype-dependent effect.

Interestingly, we identified TF Wtl which during development only expressed in the intermediate mesoderm and is the major regulator of mesenchymal progenitor in the developing kidney and heart ${ }^{143}$. Wt1 binds to both the risk and protective haplotype of SNP rs2846282. Studies suggested Wt1 to be a VAT specific TF that is only expressed in visceral fat depots, but not in subcutaneous or BAT in mice and humans ${ }^{143,144}$. On average, human visceral adipose derived stem cells (ACSs) selectively express Wt1 24-fold more compared to
subcutaneous ASCs ${ }^{144}$. Wt1 has binding site in the promoter region of the human ALDH1A2 and activates the gene, which then leads to production of dehydrogenase enzyme (RDH10 and DHRS3). The dehydrogenase enzyme than oxidizes retinal into (all-trans retinoic acid) RA that has been shown to inhibit adipogenesis ${ }^{143,144}$. RA is the vitamin A metabolite and regulates gene expression by activating nuclear hormone receptors (superfamily of transcription factors) ${ }^{145}$. In agreement, the increased expression of RA-metabolizing genes in VAT is accompanied by higher endogenous RA levels in VAT. Interestingly, increase RA levels leads to activation of RA target RAR family (RAR $\alpha$, RAR $\beta$ and RAR $\gamma$ ) or retinol X receptors ( $\mathrm{RXR} \alpha, \operatorname{RXR} \beta$, and $\operatorname{RXR} \gamma$ ). Moreover, out of these six genes, RARB expression is specifically upregulated in visceral ACSs and expression of RARB is inducible by RA ${ }^{144}$. Early stage of adipogenesis of visceral ASCs is inhibited by the excessive RA-mediated activity. A Study by Schwarz et al. reported that RA prevents adipogenesis in mice by preventing C/EBP- $\beta$ induced adipocytes differentiation and PPAR $\gamma$ induction ${ }^{146}$. Inhibition of adipogenesis in human ASCs can be reversed by the antagonism of RA receptors, as well as knockdown of Wt1, which leads to dramatic suppression of ALDH1A2 gene, consequently significant increase in adipogenesis ${ }^{144}$. RXR/RAR heterodimers bind to RAREs (the retinoic acid response elements), which is composed of tandem 5'-AGGTCA-3' sites known as DR1DR5. RARB can act as repressor when binding to DR1 sites (consensus AGGGCAgAGGTCA) and acts as an activator when bound to DR5 sites (GGTTCAccgaaAGTTCA) ${ }^{27}$. The binding site overlapping rs1799993 is more closely resembling a repressive DR1 site.

Therefore, in visceral obesity risk patients, the increased luciferase enhancer activity in tile 8a with the rs1799993 risk variant may be explained by loss of the RARB/RXR repressor binding site, leading to loss of the anti-adipogenic RA-RARB/RXR signaling and stimulation of visceral adipogenesis. In other words, our findings may have unraveled a potential causal mechanism underlying the association between a risk haplotype in the 11q23.3 locus and elevated risk of increased VAT mass.

## 7. Conclusions

The main goal of this study was to investigate genotype-dependent enhancer activities in the newly discovered VAT associated 11q23.3 locus and identify the causal variant(s) with cisregulatory activity.

A total of 14 tiles covering 5 major parts of the locus was designed. Using in-fusion cloning, 3 of the 5 regions were successfully cloned from patients homozygous for either the risk or protective haplotype into a luciferase enhancer reporter. Luciferase assays revealed that overall, tile 3 had the highest enhancer activity, while tile 8 demonstrated the strongest genotype-dependent enhancer activity.

A shorter version of tile 8 was found to harbor 5 SNPs, and individual site-directed mutagenesis of each SNP demonstrated that all of these SNPs, including rs2846282 and rs1799993, contributed to the genotype-dependent enhancer activity and can be considered likely causal variants.

While functional luciferase assays showed that the effect of rs2846282 was not mediated via increased binding of C/EBP- $\beta$, in silico analyses suggested loss of binding of anti-adipogenic RARB/RXR specifically in the risk variant of rs1799993.

Overall, this thesis has strengthened rs1799993 as a likely causal SNP underlying the association between 11q23.3 risk haploblock and increased VAT mass. Additionally, four other SNPs in close vicinity have been identified as likely additional causal contributors.

## 8. Future perspective

Many experiments are needed to find the causal variant(s) and their downstream target gene(s). In this study we were able to only scratch the surface of this vast and complicated matter in the given time. Thus, much more extensive work is needed to understand the underlying mechanisms that may explain selective fat storage in VAT and diseases associated with VAT.

The findings from our study hints at which regions of the 11q23.3 locus might have enhancer activity, however there are still many unanswered questions. For the future, first focus should be designing appropriate primers and amplifying remaining tiles including tile 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Also, important step is optimizing PCR conditions, mostly annealing temperature, and touchdown PCR, before testing the amplified product on risk and protective haplotypes, and if it is possible additional patient samples than the four patient samples we tested in this study. After amplifying the right DNA fragment from the risk and protective haplotype, the tiles should be cloned into the linearized pGL4.23_Luc-2_minP vector by in-fusion cloning. Furthermore, Sanger sequencing should be to verify that the cloned plasmids contain the right insert. Dual luciferase assay should be performed on each luciferase construct to determine luciferase activity more accurately ${ }^{147}$.

Site-directed mutagenesis must be performed in all the SNPs within the long tile 8 and luciferase assays need to be done to assess genotype-dependent enhancer activity. Moreover, if additional tiles show genotype-dependent enhancer activity, site-directed mutagenesis should be performed for all SNPs located in all those tiles, to assess the specific genotype-dependent luciferase activity. Sanger-sequencing must be performed for all these constructs to verify the right insert.

In this thesis, we have identified an RXR/RAR heterodimer binding site that overlaps with the rs1799993 protective, but not risk variant. We hypothesized that this leads to loss of repressor activity ${ }^{148}$. However, this theory must be experimentally tested by overexpression of RARB and/or RXR together with tile 8a protective and tile 8a rs1799993 risk constructs.
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## 10. Supplementary Data



Figure 10.1: Gel electrophoresis image of tile 1 tested on homozygote risk and protective haplotype. A) shows PCR amplified products of tile $1,1,1,2$ and 1.3 respectively both on homozygote risk and protective patient. As the image shows there were no visible band for tile 1.1 and 1.2 DNA fragments at annealing temperature at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR amplified products of tile 1.3 had very weak band. B) represents PCR amplified products of tile 3.1 on homozygote risk and protective patient gDNA at annealing temperature at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All the fragments had right band size around 4000 bp, even though all the samples had very weak band around 2000 bp .
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Figure 10.2 Luciferase activity of tile $\mathbf{1 , 3}$ and $\mathbf{8}$. The image representing luciferase transcriptional activity of tile 1.3 and 8 constructs of ME3, COS-1 and 3T3-L1 transfected cells. Signal pattern of the constructs are similar to signal patterns of the constructs from figure 5.13. ME3 cells had the highest luciferase activity compared to the other two cell lines.


Figure 10.3 Biological independent duplicates of luciferase activity of tile 8a protective and risk haplotype. A) L1.2 and B) L1.3 represents luciferase data of the five mutant luciferase constructs, including pGL4.23_Tile 8a_WT and pGL4.23_Luc-2_minP_empty construct. All the data shown are normalized to the pGL4.23-Tile 8a_WT protective plasmid. Signal patterns of all the constructs within the cells are very similar in both experiments, except for the signals within 3T3-L1 cell lines of L 1.2 experiment, where the signal was almost 30 -fold higher than L 1.3 experiment.

## Supplementary figure 10.4:

Genomic sequence of all the tiles this study attempted to clone, including tile $1,3,4,5$ and 8 .
The sequences was retrieved from SnapGene viewer web tool. Marked in bold is the forward and reverse primers respectively.

Tile 1.1 ( 3958 bp )

## GGGGGAGGCGAAGAAAGAAAACACTTAAAACTGGATACCCGGAGTGAAGGAG

TTCTCTAATCATCCCAGCAGCGCCCACACAAAGGCCCAAGTGAAAGAGCATGGG TCCTCGGCTTTGCCACATCCCTAAACAGAGAAGCTAACAGGACAAGAGAAAGGA GACCCGAGCCTTTTGTCCACCACACAGGCAGTGAGTGGCGCACCGCAGCACTGT GCCTGTTGTCAGACACCTGGGGTGCGGCCAGGGATCCCCGCCCAGGGCTCAACC ACCTCCCCACACCTGGAGCTCCCCCGCTGACGGGGAAAGCCAGCTGGGCCTGGA CGGGAAGAGAAAGGTAATGACGTTGGGGGTAGCTAGGATGAAGGAAAGGCCTA TCTCСTCCTCGGCATCCCTGCGGGTTGTCCCGCCCCCTCCCCTTTCCCAGCTCACT CCCCGGCCCCGCTGTGCCCACAGACGCAGTGCCAGGGGCGGTAAGGTTCCCCGC CCGGCGGGTAGCCGTTACCCGGTGTTCACCTAAACCCCACCTGACGAAGGCGGC GGCTCCCACTCCCGGAAACGGATCCCGGCCCGCCCCAGCAAGTGGGGACAGACC GGGGGCCGAGCTGCGTGCCCCCCGGTGCGAGGCCGAGCGCCCGGCGTCCGCGCG GCCCTCCCTTGCGCTCCCGACCCGCCCCCGGAGCTCGCACGCTGTCTCCCCTAGG AGGGGTGGCCGGCCCGCACCTCCATCCACCCCCGCTACCTCTTGCCTCCGCTCCT GCGGCCCCAGCTCTGGGACAGACGCGGCACGAACGTACCCACGAGGCCGGCAGC GCCCCCCACCCGCGCCCTTCACAACTCCTCTCGGTTTGCAAACTGTTACATTAGC CACCAACCTCTCGGCGGCGTCTCGCGCACCAGCCGGCCCCGGACGCGGCGCGCG CTCATTGGAGCCTCGGCCGCCCGGCCCTGCGCTGCGCGCCCGGCCCCGCCCCCGC CGCCCCGGCGCGCGTACTCATTGGACCACGTCCCAGGCCCCGCCCCCTCCCTTTG CCAGCCTTGTAATGGGGCGGCGGAGGGGACCAGCCACCGGTTGGGCAGAAGCAG CCGGCGTCGGCCTGGGATTGGACCACGTCACGGGGCCAGCGCGCTCCACTCCTTC CTCCCCAGCGCCGGCCCCGGGGCGACCGCGGGACCTTTTCCGGCGCTGGATGCTT TGCTGCCGGGGTTCGGGAGTGACCTGCGCCTAGAGCAGGTCGGGCGCACTGCAG TCCCGCACTCGGGGCTCTTCTTCCGGTCACCTGTAGAGCCCGGCCGAGGAATGGA ATAACAGGCGTCCTGTCCGCAGCCCCGCGGAGGGGGCGCTGGCCTGCCGGTTAG TATTGAGGTGCCCTAGGCTTTGGATAGACGTTGGAGAATGGCTGTCCCTCGGACT GAGTCCGTCGCAACCCCCTCTGTTTTACTGAGGAAACTGAAGCCACAGAAAAAG ATGTTTGCTGATGGGTCAGAGATAACCGATGCATTCGTCGCAGAATCTGGCCAGG ATTTCTTAGGTTAAGCCCCACTCATTTGTATAAGAAAGAATATTCCAAACTTAAG TACTACACACAAAAGACACTTTTATTTGTGAGGCTATAGTTGGTTGAGCTTGTGT GCACCTTCTCCTATTTCTGTTCTATTAAATCTTGTGAACATTTCTCTACTCTTTAGC TGTTTCTGTCATAGAATGACAGAAGCACCTCACTGCCTTCTCTTTTAACTTACAGC TCCATAGAAATGTGCGCAGTCGCCCGGGCGCGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCA GCATTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCGGGCGAATCACTGGAGGTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCA GCCTGGCCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACCAAAACTACAAAAATTAGCCAG

GCGTGGTGGCGGGTGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTCACGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAA TTGCTTGAATCCGGGAGGCAGAGGTTGCTGTGAGCCCAGACTGCGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGGGCGACAACAGCGAGACTCCTTCTCGAAAAAGAAATGTACGCAGTC CTTGGAGACTGCATTTTCCTTAAGTGTGGATGGAAATAAGTCATCTGTTTTCTCTC CTACTAAGTTGATGCCTGGCCACCCTTCACAGAAAGGCCCGCTTGAAGACCCTGG CTGTCAGGGCCAGGGTTGTGTCAAGCCTGAACTTGTTTAAGGAGCTCTTAGCTCT TCCTTTCTCTACATTTTTCGTGATGCTTAGGTGGAGAGTGGTCCGGTGTCTATGCT TAGAAGGAAAAATTATTGGCAGTCCCAGAGAGAGTCTATTCTTCCTTTTTTTTTTT TTTTTTTTTTTATTGATCATTCTTGGGTGTTTCTGGCAGAGGGGGATTTGGCAGGG TCATAGGACAATAGTGGAGGGAAGGTCAGCAGATAAACAAGTGAACAAAGGTC TCTGGCTTTCCTAGGCAGAGGACCCTGCGGCCTTCCGCAGTGTTTGTGTCCCTGG GTACTTGAGATTAGGGAGTGGTGATGACTCCTAACGAGCATGCTGCCTTCAAGCA TCTGTTTAACAAAGCACATCTTGCACCGCCCTTAATCCATTTAACCCTGAGTGGA CACAGCACATGTTTCAGAGAGCACAGGGTTGGGGGTAAGGTCATAGATCAACAG CATCCCAAGGCAGAAGAATTTTTCTTAGTACAGAACAAAATGAAGTCTCCCATGT CTACTTCTTTCCACACAGACACAGCAACAATCCGATCTCTATCTTTTCCCCACCTT TCССССТТТТСТАТТССАСАAAACCACCATTGTCATCATGGCCCGTTCTCAATGAG CTGTTGGGTACACCTCCTAGACGGGGTGGTGGCCGGGCAGAGGGGCTCCTCACC TCCCAGAAGGGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGGCGCCCCCCACCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGCG GCCGGGCGGAGGCGCCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGCTGGCCGTGCG GGGGCTGGCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGCTGGCGGGGTGGCTACGG CGGGGCAGAGGCGCTCCCCACATCTCAGATGATGGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGACGCT CCTCACTTCTTAGACGGGATGGCGGCCGGGAAGAGGCGCTCCTCACTTCCCAGAC TGGGCAGCCGGGCAGAGGGGCTCCTCACATCCCAGACGATGGGCAGCCAGGCAG AGACGCTCCTCACTTCCCAGACGGGGTGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGGCTGCAATCTCG GCACTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCAGGCGGCTGGGAGGTGGAGGTTGTAGCTAGCCGA GATCACGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACATTGAGCACTGAGTGAACGAGAC TCCGTCTGCAATCCCGGCACCTCGGGAGGCCGAGGCTGGCGGATCACTCGCGGTT AGGAGCTGGAGACCAGCCCGGCCAACACAGCGAAACCCCGTCTCCACCAAAAAA ACACAGGCGTGGCGGCGCGCGCCCGCAATCGCAGGCACTCGGCAGGCTGAGGCA GGAGAATCAGGCAGGGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCCGAGATGGCAGCAGTACAGTCTA GCTTCGGCTGGGCATCAGAGGGAGACCGTGGAAAGAGAGGGAGAGGGAGACCC TGGGGAAAGGGGGAGGGGGAGGGAGAGCTATTCTTCCTTTTAAGAGTAGTTATG TATTCAGGCAGTAGACAAATTGGTAGAAATAGAAATTCAGTTAAAATTTTAACA

GAATTTAATTACACAAATAATACACAAATGTAATCTTTAAAAAATTCATTCTACA CAAAGGCAAATTTCCTTGATGCCAACCCAAACC

## Tile 1.2 (4084 bp)

TTTGCTCCCTCTACTGGGGTACATACCACTCAGTGCCAACAGGTCTGCCAAGA GCACAGCCACCAAGGCCCAACAGACTCGCCTCCTCGGCCTCTGCCTCCTAACTTT GTCTGCCATAGTGCCCCTGGGGGAGGCGAAGAAAGAAAACACTTAAAACTGGAT ACCCGGAGTGAAGGAGACAGAATCACATCCCAGAACGGAGAGGCTGTAAGATTC ATATCTACTTCATTCTTACCCAGGGCAGATCAGCCTACTTCTCCCCTTCTCCCTCC TGATGGGTACAAACCATTCTCTAATCATCCCAGCAGCGCCCACACAAAGGCCCA AGTGAAAGAGCATGGGTCCTCGGCTTTGCCACATCCCTAAACAGAGAAGCTAAC AGGACAAGAGAAAGGAGACCCGAGCCTTTTGTCCACCACACAGGCAGTGAGTGG CGCACCGCAGCACTGTGCCTGTTGTCAGACACCTGGGGTGCGGCCAGGGATCCC CGCCCAGGGCTCAACCACCTCCCCACACCTGGAGCTCCCCCGCTGACGGGGAAA GCCAGCTGGGCCTGGACGGGAAGAGAAAGGTAATGACGTTGGGGGTAGCTAGG ATGAAGGAAAGGCCTATCTCCTCCTCGGCATCCCTGCGGGTTGTCCCGCCCCCTC СССТТТСССAGCTCACTCCCCGGCCCCGCTGTGCCCACAGACGCAGTGCCAGGGG CGGTAAGGTTCCCCGCCCGGCGGGTAGCCGTTACCCGGTGTTCACCTAAACCCCA CCTGACGAAGGCGGCGGCTCCCACTCCCGGAAACGGATCCCGGCCCGCCCCAGC AAGTGGGGACAGACCGGGGGCCGAGCTGCGTGCCCCCCGGTGCGAGGCCGAGC GCCCGGCGTCCGCGCGGCCCTCCCTTGCGCTCCCGACCCGCCCCCGGAGCTCGCA CGCTGTCTCCCCTAGGAGGGGTGGCCGGCCCGCACCTCCATCCACCCCCGCTACC TCTTGCCTCCGCTCCTGCGGCCCCAGCTCTGGGACAGACGCGGCACGAACGTACC CACGAGGCCGGCAGCGCCCCCCACCCGCGCCCTTCACAACTCCTCTCGGTTTGCA AACTGTTACATTAGCCACCAACCTCTCGGCGGCGTCTCGCGCACCAGCCGGCCCC GGACGCGGCGCGCGCTCATTGGAGCCTCGGCCGCCCGGCCCTGCGCTGCGCGCC CGGCCCCGCCCCCGCCGCCCCGGCGCGCGTACTCATTGGACCACGTCCCAGGCCC CGCCCCCTCCCTTTGCCAGCCTTGTAATGGGGCGGCGGAGGGGACCAGCCACCG GTTGGGCAGAAGCAGCCGGCGTCGGCCTGGGATTGGACCACGTCACGGGGCCAG CGCGCTCCACTCCTTCCTCCCCAGCGCCGGCCCCGGGGCGACCGCGGGACCTTTT CCGGCGCTGGATGCTTTGCTGCCGGGGTTCGGGAGTGACCTGCGCCTAGAGCAG GTCGGGCGCACTGCAGTCCCGCACTCGGGGCTCTTCTTCCGGTCACCTGTAGAGC CCGGCCGAGGAATGGAATAACAGGCGTCCTGTCCGCAGCCCCGCGGAGGGGGCG CTGGCCTGCCGGTTAGTATTGAGGTGCCCTAGGCTTTGGATAGACGTTGGAGAAT

GGCTGTCCCTCGGACTGAGTCCGTCGCAACCCCCTCTGTTTTACTGAGGAAACTG AAGCCACAGAAAAAGATGTTTGCTGATGGGTCAGAGATAACCGATGCATTCGTC GCAGAATCTGGCCAGGATTTCTTAGGTTAAGCCCCACTCATTTGTATAAGAAAGA ATATTCCAAACTTAAGTACTACACACAAAAGACACTTTTATTTGTGAGGCTATAG TTGGTTGAGCTTGTGTGCACCTTCTCCTATTTCTGTTCTATTAAATCTTGTGAACA TTTCTCTACTCTTTAGCTGTTTCTGTCATAGAATGACAGAAGCACCTCACTGCCTT CTCTTTTAACTTACAGCTCCATAGAAATGTGCGCAGTCGCCCGGGCGCGGTGGCT CACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCATTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCGGGCGAATCACTGGAGGT CAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACCAAAAC TACAAAAATTAGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCGGGTGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTCACGA GGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATTGCTTGAATCCGGGAGGCAGAGGTTGCTGTGAGCCCA GACTGCGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCGACAACAGCGAGACTCCTTCTCGAAA AAGAAATGTACGCAGTCCTTGGAGACTGCATTTTCCTTAAGTGTGGATGGAAATA AGTCATCTGTTTTCTCTCСTACTAAGTTGATGCCTGGCCACCCTTCACAGAAAGG CCCGCTTGAAGACCCTGGCTGTCAGGGCCAGGGTTGTGTCAAGCCTGAACTTGTT TAAGGAGCTCTTAGCTCTTCCTTTCTCTACATTTTTCGTGATGCTTAGGTGGAGAG tgGTCCGGTGTCTATGCTTAGAAGGAAAAATTATTGGCAGTCCCAGAGAGAGTCT atTCTTCCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTATTGATCATTCTTGGGTGTTTCTGGCAG AGGGGGATTTGGCAGGGTCATAGGACAATAGTGGAGGGAAGGTCAGCAGATAA ACAAGTGAACAAAGGTCTCTGGCTTTCCTAGGCAGAGGACCCTGCGGCCTTCCGC AGTGTTTGTGTCCCTGGGTACTTGAGATTAGGGAGTGGTGATGACTCCTAACGAG CATGCTGCCTTCAAGCATCTGTTTAACAAAGCACATCTTGCACCGCCCTTAATCC ATTTAACCCTGAGTGGACACAGCACATGTTTCAGAGAGCACAGGGTTGGGGGTA AGGTCATAGATCAACAGCATCCCAAGGCAGAAGAATTTTTCTTAGTACAGAACA AAATGAAGTCTCCCATGTCTACTTCTTTCCACACAGACACAGCAACAATCCGATC ТСТАТСТТТТССССАССТТТСССССТТТТСТАТТССАСААААССАССАТТGTСАТСА TGGCCCGTTCTCAATGAGCTGTTGGGTACACCTCCTAGACGGGGTGGTGGCCGGG CAGAGGGGCTCCTCACCTCCCAGAAGGGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGGCGCCCCCCACC TCCCGGACGGGGCGGCGGCCGGGCGGAGGCGCCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACG GGGCGGCTGGCCGTGCGGGGGCTGGCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGC TGGCGGGGTGGCTACGGCGGGGCAGAGGCGCTCCCCACATCTCAGATGATGGGC GGCCGGGCAGAGACGCTCCTCACTTCTTAGACGGGATGGCGGCCGGGAAGAGGC GCTCCTCACTTCCCAGACTGGGCAGCCGGGCAGAGGGGCTCCTCACATCCCAGA CGATGGGCAGCCAGGCAGAGACGCTCCTCACTTCCCAGACGGGGTGGCGGCCGG

GCAGAGGCTGCAATCTCGGCACTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCAGGCGGCTGGGAGGTG GAGGTTGTAGCTAGCCGAGATCACGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACATTGA GCACTGAGTGAACGAGACTCCGTCTGCAATCCCGGCACCTCGGGAGGCCGAGGC TGGCGGATCACTCGCGGTTAGGAGCTGGAGACCAGCCCGGCCAACACAGCGAAA CCCCGTCTCCACCAAAAAAACACAGGCGTGGCGGCGCGCGCCCGCAATCGCAGG CACTCGGCAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATCAGGCAGGGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCCGAG ATGGCAGCAGTACAGTCTAGCTTCGGCTGGGCATCAGAGGGAGACCGTGGAAAG AGAGGGAGAGGGAGACCCTGGGGAAAGGGGGAGGGGGAGGGAGAGCTATTCTT CCTTTTAAGAGTAGTTATGTATTCAGGCAGTAGACAAATTGGTAGAAATAGAAAT TCAGTTAAAATTTTAACAGAATTTAATTACACAAATAATACACAAATGTAATCTT TAAAAAATTCATTCTACACAAAGGCAAATTTCCTTGATGCCAACCCAAACC

## Tile 1.3 (4003 bp)

AAGGAGACCCGAGCCTTTTGTCCACCACACAGGCAGTGAGTGGCGCACCGCAG CACTGTGCCTGTTGTCAGACACCTGGGGTGCGGCCAGGGATCCCCGCCCAGGGCT CAACCACCTCCCCACACCTGGAGCTCCCCCGCTGACGGGGAAAGCCAGCTGGGC CTGGACGGGAAGAGAAAGGTAATGACGTTGGGGGTAGCTAGGATGAAGGAAAG GCCTATCTCCTCCTCGGCATCCCTGCGGGTTGTCCCGCCCCCTCСССTTTCCCAGC TCACTCCCCGGCCCCGCTGTGCCCACAGACGCAGTGCCAGGGGCGGTAAGGTTC CCCGCCCGGCGGGTAGCCGTTACCCGGTGTTCACCTAAACCCCACCTGACGAAG GCGGCGGCTCCCACTCCCGGAAACGGATCCCGGCCCGCCCCAGCAAGTGGGGAC AGACCGGGGGCCGAGCTGCGTGCCCCCCGGTGCGAGGCCGAGCGCCCGGCGTCC GCGCGGCCCTCCCTTGCGCTCCCGACCCGCCCCCGGAGCTCGCACGCTGTCTCCC CTAGGAGGGGTGGCCGGCCCGCACCTCCATCCACCCCCGCTACCTCTTGCCTCCG CTCCTGCGGCCCCAGCTCTGGGACAGACGCGGCACGAACGTACCCACGAGGCCG GCAGCGCCCCCCACCCGCGCCCTTCACAACTCCTCTCGGTTTGCAAACTGTTACA TTAGCCACCAACCTCTCGGCGGCGTCTCGCGCACCAGCCGGCCCCGGACGCGGC GCGCGCTCATTGGAGCCTCGGCCGCCCGGCCCTGCGCTGCGCGCCCGGCCCCGCC CCCGCCGCCCCGGCGCGCGTACTCATTGGACCACGTCCCAGGCCCCGCCCCCTCC CTTTGCCAGCCTTGTAATGGGGCGGCGGAGGGGACCAGCCACCGGTTGGGCAGA AGCAGCCGGCGTCGGCCTGGGATTGGACCACGTCACGGGGCCAGCGCGCTCCAC TCCTTCCTCCCCAGCGCCGGCCCCGGGGCGACCGCGGGACCTTTTCCGGCGCTGG ATGCTTTGCTGCCGGGGTTCGGGAGTGACCTGCGCCTAGAGCAGGTCGGGCGCA CTGCAGTCCCGCACTCGGGGCTCTTCTTCCGGTCACCTGTAGAGCCCGGCCGAGG

AATGGAATAACAGGCGTCCTGTCCGCAGCCCCGCGGAGGGGGCGCTGGCCTGCC GGTTAGTATTGAGGTGCCCTAGGCTTTGGATAGACGTTGGAGAATGGCTGTCCCT CGGACTGAGTCCGTCGCAACCCCCTCTGTTTTACTGAGGAAACTGAAGCCACAGA AAAAGATGTTTGCTGATGGGTCAGAGATAACCGATGCATTCGTCGCAGAATCTG GCCAGGATTTCTTAGGTTAAGCCCCACTCATTTGTATAAGAAAGAATATTCCAAA CTTAAGTACTACACACAAAAGACACTTTTATTTGTGAGGCTATAGTTGGTTGAGC TTGTGTGCACCTTCTCCTATTTCTGTTCTATTAAATCTTGTGAACATTTCTCTACTC TTTAGCTGTTTCTGTCATAGAATGACAGAAGCACCTCACTGCCTTCTCTTTTAACT TACAGCTCCATAGAAATGTGCGCAGTCGCCCGGGCGCGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTA ATCCCAGCATTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCGGGCGAATCACTGGAGGTCAGGAGTTCG AGACCAGCCTGGCCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACCAAAACTACAAAAATT AGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCGGGTGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTCACGAGGCTGAGGCA GGAGAATTGCTTGAATCCGGGAGGCAGAGGTTGCTGTGAGCCCAGACTGCGCCA CTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCGACAACAGCGAGACTCCTTCTCGAAAAAGAAATGTA CGCAGTCCTTGGAGACTGCATTTTCCTTAAGTGTGGATGGAAATAAGTCATCTGT TTTCTCTCCTACTAAGTTGATGCCTGGCCACCCTTCACAGAAAGGCCCGCTTGAA GACCCTGGCTGTCAGGGCCAGGGTTGTGTCAAGCCTGAACTTGTTTAAGGAGCTC TTAGCTCTTCCTTTCTCTACATTTTTCGTGATGCTTAGGTGGAGAGTGGTCCGGTG TCTATGCTTAGAAGGAAAAATTATTGGCAGTCCCAGAGAGAGTCTATTCTTCCTT TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTATTGATCATTCTTGGGTGTTTCTGGCAGAGGGGGATT TGGCAGGGTCATAGGACAATAGTGGAGGGAAGGTCAGCAGATAAACAAGTGAA CAAAGGTCTCTGGCTTTCCTAGGCAGAGGACCCTGCGGCCTTCCGCAGTGTTTGT GTCCCTGGGTACTTGAGATTAGGGAGTGGTGATGACTCCTAACGAGCATGCTGCC TTCAAGCATCTGTTTAACAAAGCACATCTTGCACCGCCCTTAATCCATTTAACCCT GAGTGGACACAGCACATGTTTCAGAGAGCACAGGGTTGGGGGTAAGGTCATAGA TCAACAGCATCCCAAGGCAGAAGAATTTTTCTTAGTACAGAACAAAATGAAGTC TCCCATGTCTACTTCTTTCCACACAGACACAGCAACAATCCGATCTCTATCTTTTC CCCACCTTTCCCCCTTTTCTATTCCACAAAACCACCATTGTCATCATGGCCCGTTC TCAATGAGCTGTTGGGTACACCTCCTAGACGGGGTGGTGGCCGGGCAGAGGGGC TCCTCACCTCCCAGAAGGGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGGCGCCCCCCACCTCCCGGACG GGGCGGCGGCCGGGCGGAGGCGCCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGCTG GCCGTGCGGGGGCTGGCCCCCCACCTCCCTCCCGGACGGGGCGGCTGGCGGGGT GGCTACGGCGGGGCAGAGGCGCTCCCCACATCTCAGATGATGGGCGGCCGGGCA GAGACGCTCCTCACTTCTTAGACGGGATGGCGGCCGGGAAGAGGCGCTCCTCAC

TTCCCAGACTGGGCAGCCGGGCAGAGGGGCTCCTCACATCCCAGACGATGGGCA GCCAGGCAGAGACGCTCCTCACTTCCCAGACGGGGTGGCGGCCGGGCAGAGGCT GCAATCTCGGCACTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCAGGCGGCTGGGAGGTGGAGGTTGTA GCTAGCCGAGATCACGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACATTGAGCACTGAGT GAACGAGACTCCGTCTGCAATCCCGGCACCTCGGGAGGCCGAGGCTGGCGGATC ACTCGCGGTTAGGAGCTGGAGACCAGCCCGGCCAACACAGCGAAACCCCGTCTC CACCAAAAAAACACAGGCGTGGCGGCGCGCGCCCGCAATCGCAGGCACTCGGCA GGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATCAGGCAGGGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCCGAGATGGCAGCA GTACAGTCTAGCTTCGGCTGGGCATCAGAGGGAGACCGTGGAAAGAGAGGGAG AGGGAGACCCTGGGGAAAGGGGGAGGGGGAGGGAGAGCTATTCTTCCTTTTAAG AGTAGTTATGTATTCAGGCAGTAGACAAATTGGTAGAAATAGAAATTCAGTTAA AATTTTAACAGAATTTAATTACACAAATAATACACAAATGTAATCTTTAAAAAAT TCATTCTACACAAAGGCAAATTTCCTTGATGCCAACCCAAACCCCCACTCTCTCT TGTGGAGGCACCTGCTATTGTCAGTGTGGTGTATAAGTTTCCAGGTCTTTCCCTCT TTTACACATATAGATATCCTAGAAACATGTAGCTTTTATCTTTTCATAATATACAT TTTTTATTTTTTGCAACCAACACCTTGTTTGCCTTTTATCTTTTTTAAAACCATAAA ATGTTACACAATTACATTGTTTGCAATTTTTTTAAACTAATAATAAAGTGTGGAG CATTTTCCATGTAACTGTGGTTCATTTCCTCGTTTACTGCTGTAGAACATTCCATA GCATGGGGTTCGTTCTGC

## Tile 3.1 (4761 bp)

TGCATTTGGGACAAGAAGTGTTCCTGTTTTGTTCCAGTGGATGTAACTGTTTTA TATCATGGGCAGCAGTTTGCTAAAGGGAAAAGAAAATACTGCAAGACAATTCTT GCATTCTTGATTCTGAGTTATGACCTCTCTAATTCGAAACTAAAGACTTGTATTTC TTCTTTATCTTTAGTCCATTCAGAACTCGAGTTCTCAGTATGCAGAACTAACTGTA AGTGAACAGTCATTTTCTGTGTAATTTGCTTGGTTATATTCCTCACTATCCTGAAA TTCCTGGGTTCAGACCAGTGTAACTAAGAGACAAACCCTGGTGTATGTTCTGACA AGCATAATCTAGCTTTACACCCATCAAACTATCTAATTAGAGGGTAGGGGACTGA ATTACTCATTTTATTTCCAAAGAACTTTGAAGTTTTTGCCCAGAGAGAGCCACTTT AAGTTAAACTCTTTGAGACTCCATTTCTCATCAGGGATAATGATACCTCTTCTGC AGATGGTTGCTACGAAGATTCCATGAAAAGCGAAGGCACTTTATTAGATATAATT TACTACATGAAATTAGGGGCTTGTTACTGTCAGTTCTTTTTATGCCTGTCTCTAGG GTGGTGGTTGAGGACCATGTCTTGTTCATTTATATTCTGGGCATTTGGTACAATTC CTGGACTAGGAGTGCTCAATACATATTTGTCAAAAGAAGGAAAGGAAAGAAGGT

AGTAAGTCTGAAGAGGTGCTGTGGGTCTCTCTGGCAGGCCAATCTCGGCCTTTGT AACCCTCATCAATAAGAGGATCCAATGGGCACAAGTAGAACCAGATTCAGCCCT CAGAGGAAGACGTGGAGCTTCTGCCATTGGACAACAGGGGGAGCACCCAAGCA ACAAAGATGCACTCTATCTCTTGTTCACATCTCTGCTTTTACATTTCTTCTTTTTTG AAGCCTGTTGCCCACGCTGGTCTTGAACTCCTGGCCTCAAGGGATCCTCCTGCCT CAGCCTCTTAAAGCGCTGGGATTACAAGCATGAGCCAGCTTGCCTGGCCACATTG CTTCTTTTAACATATATTCTTCATAGGCTTAACAACTGACATATTAACATACTATC TAAACATTCTGATGACCAGTATGGAATATGCTCAGTAGGTGAAAGTGGGTTCAAC CCGCTCCCATTTGTGCTTCTGCCCAACAGTTGGTATCCTGAAACAGTTGCAATGA ATTGGAGATATCCTGTGTGATGAAGAGGAAAGAAACTTGAGTTGGAAGTCAGGA AATCTGTGTGCATGGTGGTGGCTAGAGTTTCTTCCTATCATTTACCTCTTCAGACA AATGGACGCCACCTGCCCATCAACCAGATTGAGGAGAGGAGTGGATAAGAGGA GAGGCATCCACACCACATTCATTCCTTCAACCAAACATGGAACTTCTACCAGGCT CTGCTAGGCCTCGTTCACAGTTACGGTACAAGATGTAGCCCCCGCTCTCAGGGAA CTCTGGCTGGTGGAAATACAGCATCAAATATTCTGAAATAAGTTGGGGGAGGGC CGGGTGCGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATTCCAGTACTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCGGGTG GATCACCCGAGGTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAAAATGGCAAAACCCCA ACTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCAGGCAGCTGTAATCC CAGCTACTCAGGAGGCTGAGGCATAAGAATCGCTTGAACTCGGGAGGCGGAGGT TGCAGTCAGCTGAGATTGTGCCACTGCGCTTCAGCCTGGGGGATAGAGAGAGAC TCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAGGAAGTTGG GGGAGTGCAAACAATAAACTTCACAAATACCACAGGGTCACTTTTCCATATGTCC CTACCATCTCAAAATGATGCTATGTCACTTCTGTCTATAGGTTCTCATGGCACAC AAACAGTAAACCTGCAGTAGTTGTCCATGAGGATAGATCTTGCTTGGATTCAAAG ATGGTTTAGCCAGAGTTGGGAGTGGAGAATGATCATGTAATATCTTGGACACCTG CCACTTCTCATTAAAACACTCAGCTCTCAAAGCTGCCACTTCCAGGTTTTTGCTCA GTTCTATGTCCCCTACCCTGCCACTATGAAAGGGGGAGAAAGCCCAGGGCCTTG GGAATTCCTGCCCCACCAGTATTTCCCAGTTTCCTTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACAAGG TCTTGCTCTGTCGCCCATGCTGGAGTGCAGCGGCTCGATCACAGCTCACTGCAGC TTCCAACTCCGGGGCTCAATCGATCCTCCCACTTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGCTGGGA CCACAGGTGTCCACCACCATGCCAGGCTCATTTTTGTATTTTTTGTAGAGATGGG GTTTCACCATGTTGCCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACACCTGGGCTCAAGTGATTCCCCC ACCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGACAGGCATGAGCCATCGCGCCCA GCCCGTTTCCTTAAGAAACGTTTTGAGCGTCTGTTAGGTGTCATACGCTCTGACG

GGGGAAACAAAAACGAATATAAAACATTGACTATGGTTCACAATCTGCGTGGTG GCAGACACAAACTTCTAATTTTATTTCTCCTCTCATACAGCCGAAAACCTGGTTT AACATACACTGTTTCATTTATGCCCCAAAACAGTCCTGTGAGGTCAAAACGTCAT TCACTTACGCACGGCGGGTGACAGTTCACAAGGCACATGACATCCCTCATCTTGA TCTCCCGGTATCCGCGCATTTTAGGAAGAAACATGCTCAAAGGGATGAAGGGTC CGCTCTCAAGCATTTTAGCTAGCGAAGTTACAGTCACATCCGGCAAACTCGCACA CTGCAGATCTAGGACTACCCCGCGCGGCCCCGCCCACTTTCCCAGCAGCGGGAC GCTGTCACCCCGACTCAGGAGCTCCTGGGCCCGCGGGCTCCCGGAAGCTGCCGA CCACGTGATTCGCTGGCTCAGCTCACGTGACAAAGCTCCCGGAGGTGGGAGCCC TGGGCCAAAATGGCGGCCTACCTGCAGTGGCGGCGCTTCGTTTTCTTCGACAAGG AGCTGGTGAAGGAGCCGCTGAGCAATGATGGGGCCGCTCCCGGGGCCACACCTG CTTCTGGATCCGCTGCTTCCAAGTTCCTTTGCCTCCCTCCTGGCATCACTGTCTGC GACTCAGGCCGAGGGAGCCTGGTCTTTGGAGATATCCTTCGTTTGGAGCTGTCTT TTCCCTCCCGGGATCCCGAAGAGATCGAGTTAGGATGAAATCTGTTTGTCGGAGG GGTCCGTGCCGCGCGCCTCTTTGGTTTAGCTGGTCATCCAGAGTTGTTCTGTGGTC TACGGGAAGAAAGAAGGAAGTCCATCTCCTAACTTGTTATCAACTGAGCAATCC TGGGCAAGTCATTTAACCTCTCTGGGTTTTAATTTCCTTATCTGTAGGAGATGTGT GCATGCCAATTTGACGAGGTTAATTTGAGATCAATAAGAAAAAGAATGCAAGTT GGCTTTAAAAGATGAATATGTAAAGTGACATGATTTCCCCTGCCCTAATATTATC GTTGGCGAGGAGGTAAATGAGCCTAGCGGTTTGTTGCTTTCATCTTCATGTTGCT GCTACTAAATTCTGGCCTTTTTACCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGATACGGAGT CTTACTCTGTTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGGGATCTGGGCTCACTGTAACC GTCTCTTGAGTTCAAGCGATTCTCCTGCCACAGCCTCCCGAGTAGCTGGGACTAC AGGCGCTTGCCACCACACCCGGCTAATTTTTTACATTTTCAGTAGAGACGGGGTT TCACCATATTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACTCCTGACCTCGTGATCCACCCGCCTC GGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGAGTGAGCCATCGCGCCCGGCGCACTAA ATTTTTTTCTTTTTTTTGAGACGGACTTTCACTCTTGTTGCACAGGCTGGAGTGCA ATGGCATGATCTGGGCACACTGCAACCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCAAGCAATTCTCC TGCCTCAACCTCCTGAGTAGCTGAAATTACAGGCACGCACCATTATACCTGGCTA ATTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAA CCCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCCGCACCCCCCCCCCCCCCCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTG GGATTACAGGTGTGAGTCACCGCGCCTGGCCTCACTCTTTTTAAGATAAGGAGTA TGTGGGAAAATCCTTGAAAATTTTAGAGTTATATACATGTAATTGTTATCTGAGT TCTGGTCTGAATGTAAGTATCTCTCCTTGGAAAGGAGGCTCCTTGACTACCCTGC

ACATATGGAAGGCCAGATCTGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTACAGCTTACAGGCTTC CAAGCCTACAAACTACGGGTGACACACCTGTACCAACTGAAGCAGCACAATATT CTGGCATCTGTTGGAGAAGATGAAGAGGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGTCCCAGC AGGGAAATGGGAAAGATCCAGAAGCCTAGGAATGATTTTTTGTTGGAGGATGAC TAGCATTTACACTTCTGAGGTCTGTCCACAGGTTAAGATCTGGAACCTGGAGAAG AGAGATGGTGGCAATCCACTCTGCACTCGAATCTTCCCTGCT

## Tile 3.2 (4604 bp)

TGCATTTGGGACAAGAAGTGTTCCTGTTTTGTTCCAGTGGATGTAACTGTTTTA TATCATGGGCAGCAGTTTGCTAAAGGGAAAAGAAAATACTGCAAGACAATTCTT GCATTCTTGATTCTGAGTTATGACCTCTCTAATTCGAAACTAAAGACTTGTATTTC TTCTTTATCTTTAGTCCATTCAGAACTCGAGTTCTCAGTATGCAGAACTAACTGTA AGTGAACAGTCATTTTCTGTGTAATTTGCTTGGTTATATTCCTCACTATCCTGAAA TTCCTGGGTTCAGACCAGTGTAACTAAGAGACAAACCCTGGTGTATGTTCTGACA AGCATAATCTAGCTTTACACCCATCAAACTATCTAATTAGAGGGTAGGGGACTGA ATTACTCATTTTATTTCCAAAGAACTTTGAAGTTTTTGCCCAGAGAGAGCCACTTT AAGTTAAACTCTTTGAGACTCCATTTCTCATCAGGGATAATGATACCTCTTCTGC AGATGGTTGCTACGAAGATTCCATGAAAAGCGAAGGCACTTTATTAGATATAATT TACTACATGAAATTAGGGGCTTGTTACTGTCAGTTCTTTTTATGCCTGTCTCTAGG GTGGTGGTTGAGGACCATGTCTTGTTCATTTATATTCTGGGCATTTGGTACAATTC CTGGACTAGGAGTGCTCAATACATATTTGTCAAAAGAAGGAAAGGAAAGAAGGT AGTAAGTCTGAAGAGGTGCTGTGGGTCTCTCTGGCAGGCCAATCTCGGCCTTTGT AACCCTCATCAATAAGAGGATCCAATGGGCACAAGTAGAACCAGATTCAGCCCT CAGAGGAAGACGTGGAGCTTCTGCCATTGGACAACAGGGGGAGCACCCAAGCA ACAAAGATGCACTCTATCTCTTGTTCACATCTCTGCTTTTACATTTCTTCTTTTTTG AAGCCTGTTGCCCACGCTGGTCTTGAACTCCTGGCCTCAAGGGATCCTCCTGCCT CAGCCTCTTAAAGCGCTGGGATTACAAGCATGAGCCAGCTTGCCTGGCCACATTG CTTCTTTTAACATATATTCTTCATAGGCTTAACAACTGACATATTAACATACTATC TAAACATTCTGATGACCAGTATGGAATATGCTCAGTAGGTGAAAGTGGGTTCAAC CCGCTCCCATTTGTGCTTCTGCCCAACAGTTGGTATCCTGAAACAGTTGCAATGA ATTGGAGATATCCTGTGTGATGAAGAGGAAAGAAACTTGAGTTGGAAGTCAGGA AATCTGTGTGCATGGTGGTGGCTAGAGTTTCTTCCTATCATTTACCTCTTCAGACA AATGGACGCCACCTGCCCATCAACCAGATTGAGGAGAGGAGTGGATAAGAGGA GAGGCATCCACACCACATTCATTCCTTCAACCAAACATGGAACTTCTACCAGGCT

CTGCTAGGCCTCGTTCACAGTTACGGTACAAGATGTAGCCCCCGCTCTCAGGGAA CTCTGGCTGGTGGAAATACAGCATCAAATATTCTGAAATAAGTTGGGGGAGGGC CGGGTGCGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATTCCAGTACTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCGGGTG GATCACCCGAGGTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAAAATGGCAAAACCCCA ACTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCAGGCAGCTGTAATCC CAGCTACTCAGGAGGCTGAGGCATAAGAATCGCTTGAACTCGGGAGGCGGAGGT TGCAGTCAGCTGAGATTGTGCCACTGCGCTTCAGCCTGGGGGATAGAGAGAGAC TCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAGGAAGTTGG GGGAGTGCAAACAATAAACTTCACAAATACCACAGGGTCACTTTTCCATATGTCC CTACCATCTCAAAATGATGCTATGTCACTTCTGTCTATAGGTTCTCATGGCACAC AAACAGTAAACCTGCAGTAGTTGTCCATGAGGATAGATCTTGCTTGGATTCAAAG ATGGTTTAGCCAGAGTTGGGAGTGGAGAATGATCATGTAATATCTTGGACACCTG CCACTTCTCATTAAAACACTCAGCTCTCAAAGCTGCCACTTCCAGGTTTTTGCTCA GTTCTATGTCCCCTACCCTGCCACTATGAAAGGGGGAGAAAGCCCAGGGCCTTG GGAATTCCTGCCCCACCAGTATTTCCCAGTTTCCTTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACAAGG TCTTGCTCTGTCGCCCATGCTGGAGTGCAGCGGCTCGATCACAGCTCACTGCAGC TTCCAACTCCGGGGCTCAATCGATCCTCCCACTTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGCTGGGA CCACAGGTGTCCACCACCATGCCAGGCTCATTTTTGTATTTTTTGTAGAGATGGG GTTTCACCATGTTGCCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACACCTGGGCTCAAGTGATTCCCCC ACCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGACAGGCATGAGCCATCGCGCCCA GCCCGTTTCCTTAAGAAACGTTTTGAGCGTCTGTTAGGTGTCATACGCTCTGACG GGGGAAACAAAAACGAATATAAAACATTGACTATGGTTCACAATCTGCGTGGTG GCAGACACAAACTTCTAATTTTATTTCTCCTCTCATACAGCCGAAAACCTGGTTT AACATACACTGTTTCATTTATGCCCCAAAACAGTCCTGTGAGGTCAAAACGTCAT TCACTTACGCACGGCGGGTGACAGTTCACAAGGCACATGACATCCCTCATCTTGA TCTCCCGGTATCCGCGCATTTTAGGAAGAAACATGCTCAAAGGGATGAAGGGTC CGCTCTCAAGCATTTTAGCTAGCGAAGTTACAGTCACATCCGGCAAACTCGCACA CTGCAGATCTAGGACTACCCCGCGCGGCCCCGCCCACTTTCCCAGCAGCGGGAC GCTGTCACCCCGACTCAGGAGCTCCTGGGCCCGCGGGCTCCCGGAAGCTGCCGA CCACGTGATTCGCTGGCTCAGCTCACGTGACAAAGCTCCCGGAGGTGGGAGCCC TGGGCCAAAATGGCGGCCTACCTGCAGTGGCGGCGCTTCGTTTTCTTCGACAAGG AGCTGGTGAAGGAGCCGCTGAGCAATGATGGGGCCGCTCCCGGGGCCACACCTG CTTCTGGATCCGCTGCTTCCAAGTTCCTTTGCCTCCCTCCTGGCATCACTGTCTGC GACTCAGGCCGAGGGAGCCTGGTCTTTGGAGATATCCTTCGTTTGGAGCTGTCTT

TTCCCTCCCGGGATCCCGAAGAGATCGAGTTAGGATGAAATCTGTTTGTCGGAGG GGTCCGTGCCGCGCGCCTCTTTGGTTTAGCTGGTCATCCAGAGTTGTTCTGTGGTC TACGGGAAGAAAGAAGGAAGTCCATCTCCTAACTTGTTATCAACTGAGCAATCC TGGGCAAGTCATTTAACCTCTCTGGGTTTTAATTTCCTTATCTGTAGGAGATGTGT GCATGCCAATTTGACGAGGTTAATTTGAGATCAATAAGAAAAAGAATGCAAGTT GGCTTTAAAAGATGAATATGTAAAGTGACATGATTTCCCCTGCCCTAATATTATC GTTGGCGAGGAGGTAAATGAGCCTAGCGGTTTGTTGCTTTCATCTTCATGTTGCT GCTACTAAATTCTGGCCTTTTTACCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGATACGGAGT CTTACTCTGTTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGGGATCTGGGCTCACTGTAACC GTCTCTTGAGTTCAAGCGATTCTCCTGCCACAGCCTCCCGAGTAGCTGGGACTAC AGGCGCTTGCCACCACACCCGGCTAATTTTTTACATTTTCAGTAGAGACGGGGTT TCACCATATTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACTCCTGACCTCGTGATCCACCCGCCTC GGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGAGTGAGCCATCGCGCCCGGCGCACTAA ATTTTTTTCTTTTTTTTGAGACGGACTTTCACTCTTGTTGCACAGGCTGGAGTGCA ATGGCATGATCTGGGCACACTGCAACCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCAAGCAATTCTCC TGCCTCAACCTCCTGAGTAGCTGAAATTACAGGCACGCACCATTATACCTGGCTA ATTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAA CCCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCCGCACCCCCCCCCCCCCCCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTG GGATTACAGGTGTGAGTCACCGCGCCTGGCCTCACTCTTTTTAAGATAAGGAGTA TGTGGGAAAATCCTTGAAAATTTTAGAGTTATATACATGTAATTGTTATCTGAGT TCTGGTCTGAATGTAAGTATCTCTCCTTGGAAAGGAGGCTCCTTGACTACCCTGC ACATATGGAAGGCCAGATCTGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTACAGCTTACAGGCTTC CAAGCCTACAAACTACGGGTGACACACCTGTACCAACTGAAGCAGCACAATATT CTGGCATCTGTTGGAGAAGATGAAGAGGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGT

## Tile 3.3 ( 6025 bp )

AGGTTTGACTTTGCTGTAACCCTAGGCAGGAGAGAGCCCATTTTCAGCAGGAG ATAAACCAAGATAAATTTTTTCCTACTTCTATAACAATATTTTTAGAATTACAAA AGAAATATGTGGCTAGGGCCAGGCACGGTGGCTCACAGTACTTTGGGAGGCCCA GGCGGGTGGGTCATTTGTGGTCAGGAGTTTGAGACCAGCCTGGACAACATGGTG AAACCCTGTCTCTAGTAAAAATACAAAAATTAACTGGGTGGTAGTGGTGTGTGCC TGTAATCCCAGATACTTGAGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATCACTTGAACCTGGGAG GCAGAGGTTGGGGTGAGCTGAGATCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCGACAG AGTGAGACCCTGTCTCCCCGGCCCCCGCCTCCAAAAAAAAGAAAGAAATATGGT

GGCTTACACTTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGAAGGCTGAGGCGTGTGGATTGCTTGA GCCTAGCAGTTTGTGACTAGCCTGGGCAACAGGGCAGAACACTGTCTCTACTAA AAGTACAACAACAACAAAATTAACTGGGCATGGTGGCATGAGCCTGTAGTCTCA GCTACTGGGGAGGCTGAGGTGGGAGTATCTCGTGAGCCTAGGAGGTGGAGACTG TGGTGAGCCGTGATTGTGCCACTGCACTCTAGCCGTGTCTCAAAAAACAAAACA AAACAAAAAAGAACGAAAGAAATATGCGGTTATTGACGAAAACTGGAAGAACA TTAAAACAACAACAGGCCGGGCGTGGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTG GGAGGCAGAGGCGAGTGGATCACTTGAGGCCAGGAGTTTGAGACCAACCGGGG AAACATGTCAAAACCATGTCTCTACAAAAAATACAAAAACTAGCCGGGCATGAT GGCGTGCGCCTGTAGTCTCAGCTACTTGGGTGGCTGAGGCACAAGAATCGCTTGA ACCTGGGAGACGAGACAGAGGTTGCAGTGAGTCGAGATCGTGCCACTGCACTCC AGCCTGGGCAATGGAGGGAGACTCTATCTCAAAAAGAAAAGAAGGTAGTTTCTA CCTGGAGAGCCAGATGATAAAAACGGAAAATTAAAGTTTGCTGAGGCAACTACA CAGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATCACTTGAACCTGGGAGGCGGACGTTGCAGTGA GCCGAGATCACGCCACTGCACTCAAGCCTGACGACTGGCGACAGAGCGAGACTC CGTCTCAAAAATAAAATAAAATAAGATAAAAATAAATTAAAGTTTGCATTTGGG aCAAGAAGTGTTCCTGTTTTGTTCCAGTGGATGTAACTGTTTTATATCATGGGCA GCAGTTTGCTAAAGGGAAAAGAAAATACTGCAAGACAATTCTTGCATTCTTGATT CTGAGTTATGACCTCTCTAATTCGAAACTAAAGACTTGTATTTCTTCTTTATCTTT AGTCCATTCAGAACTCGAGTTCTCAGTATGCAGAACTAACTGTAAGTGAACAGTC ATTTTCTGTGTAATTTGCTTGGTTATATTCCTCACTATCCTGAAATTCCTGGGTTC
 AGCTTTACACCCATCAAACTATCTAATTAGAGGGTAGGGGACTGAATTACTCATT TTATTTCCAAAGAACTTTGAAGTTTTTGCCCAGAGAGAGCCACTTTAAGTTAAAC TCTTTGAGACTCCATTTCTCATCAGGGATAATGATACCTCTTCTGCAGATGGTTGC TACGAAGATTCCATGAAAAGCGAAGGCACTTTATTAGATATAATTTACTACATGA AATTAGGGGCTTGTTACTGTCAGTTCTTTTTATGCCTGTCTCTAGGGTGGTGGTTG agGaccatgTctigttcatttatattctgagcattigatacanttcctgaactagg agTGCTCAATACATATTTGTCAAAAGAAGGAAAGGAAAGAAGGTAGTAAGTCTG AAGAGGTGCTGTGGGTCTCTCTGGCAGGCCAATCTCGGCCTTTGTAACCCTCATC AATAAGAGGATCCAATGGGCACAAGTAGAACCAGATTCAGCCCTCAGAGGAAG ACGTGGAGCTTCTGCCATTGGACAACAGGGGGAGCACCCAAGCAACAAAGATGC ACTCTATCTCTTGTTCACATCTCTGCTTTTACATTTCTTCTTTTTTGAAGCCTGTTG CCCACGCTGGTCTTGAACTCCTGGCCTCAAGGGATCCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCTTA

AAGCGCTGGGATTACAAGCATGAGCCAGCTTGCCTGGCCACATTGCTTCTTTTAA CATATATTCTTCATAGGCTTAACAACTGACATATTAACATACTATCTAAACATTCT GATGACCAGTATGGAATATGCTCAGTAGGTGAAAGTGGGTTCAACCCGCTCCCA TTTGTGCTTCTGCCCAACAGTTGGTATCCTGAAACAGTTGCAATGAATTGGAGAT ATCCTGTGTGATGAAGAGGAAAGAAACTTGAGTTGGAAGTCAGGAAATCTGTGT GCATGGTGGTGGCTAGAGTTTCTTCCTATCATTTACCTCTTCAGACAAATGGACG CCACCTGCCCATCAACCAGATTGAGGAGAGGAGTGGATAAGAGGAGAGGCATCC ACACCACATTCATTCCTTCAACCAAACATGGAACTTCTACCAGGCTCTGCTAGGC CTCGTTCACAGTTACGGTACAAGATGTAGCCCCCGCTCTCAGGGAACTCTGGCTG GTGGAAATACAGCATCAAATATTCTGAAATAAGTTGGGGGAGGGCCGGGTGCGG TGGCTCACGCCTGTAATTCCAGTACTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCGGGTGGATCACCCG AGGTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAAAATGGCAAAACCCCAACTCTACTA AAAATACAAAAATTAGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCAGGCAGCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTC AGGAGGCTGAGGCATAAGAATCGCTTGAACTCGGGAGGCGGAGGTTGCAGTCAG CTGAGATTGTGCCACTGCGCTTCAGCCTGGGGGATAGAGAGAGACTCTGTCTAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAGGAAGTTGGGGGAGTGC AAACAATAAACTTCACAAATACCACAGGGTCACTTTTCCATATGTCCCTACCATC TCAAAATGATGCTATGTCACTTCTGTCTATAGGTTCTCATGGCACACAAACAGTA AACCTGCAGTAGTTGTCCATGAGGATAGATCTTGCTTGGATTCAAAGATGGTTTA GCCAGAGTTGGGAGTGGAGAATGATCATGTAATATCTTGGACACCTGCCACTTCT CATTAAAACACTCAGCTCTCAAAGCTGCCACTTCCAGGTTTTTGCTCAGTTCTATG TCCCCTACCCTGCCACTATGAAAGGGGGAGAAAGCCCAGGGCCTTGGGAATTCC TGCCCCACCAGTATTTCCCAGTTTCCTTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACAAGGTCTTGCTCT GTCGCCCATGCTGGAGTGCAGCGGCTCGATCACAGCTCACTGCAGCTTCCAACTC CGGGGCTCAATCGATCCTCCCACTTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGCTGGGACCACAGGTG TCCACCACCATGCCAGGCTCATTTTTGTATTTTTTGTAGAGATGGGGTTTCACCAT GTTGCCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACACCTGGGCTCAAGTGATTCCCCCACCTCGGCCT CCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGACAGGCATGAGCCATCGCGCCCAGCCCGTTTCCT TAAGAAACGTTTTGAGCGTCTGTTAGGTGTCATACGCTCTGACGGGGGAAACAA AAACGAATATAAAACATTGACTATGGTTCACAATCTGCGTGGTGGCAGACACAA ACTTCTAATTTTATTTCTCCTCTCATACAGCCGAAAACCTGGTTTAACATACACTG TTTCATTTATGCCCCAAAACAGTCCTGTGAGGTCAAAACGTCATTCACTTACGCA CGGCGGGTGACAGTTCACAAGGCACATGACATCCCTCATCTTGATCTCCCGGTAT CCGCGCATTTTAGGAAGAAACATGCTCAAAGGGATGAAGGGTCCGCTCTCAAGC

ATTTTAGCTAGCGAAGTTACAGTCACATCCGGCAAACTCGCACACTGCAGATCTA GGACTACCCCGCGCGGCCCCGCCCACTTTCCCAGCAGCGGGACGCTGTCACCCCG ACTCAGGAGCTCCTGGGCCCGCGGGCTCCCGGAAGCTGCCGACCACGTGATTCG CTGGCTCAGCTCACGTGACAAAGCTCCCGGAGGTGGGAGCCCTGGGCCAAAATG GCGGCCTACCTGCAGTGGCGGCGCTTCGTTTTCTTCGACAAGGAGCTGGTGAAGG AGCCGCTGAGCAATGATGGGGCCGCTCCCGGGGCCACACCTGCTTCTGGATCCG CTGCTTCCAAGTTCCTTTGCCTCCCTCCTGGCATCACTGTCTGCGACTCAGGCCGA GGGAGCCTGGTCTTTGGAGATATCCTTCGTTTGGAGCTGTCTTTTCCCTCCCGGGA TCCCGAAGAGATCGAGTTAGGATGAAATCTGTTTGTCGGAGGGGTCCGTGCCGC GCGCCTCTTTGGTTTAGCTGGTCATCCAGAGTTGTTCTGTGGTCTACGGGAAGAA AGAAGGAAGTCCATCTCCTAACTTGTTATCAACTGAGCAATCCTGGGCAAGTCAT TTAACCTCTCTGGGTTTTAATTTCCTTATCTGTAGGAGATGTGTGCATGCCAATTT GACGAGGTTAATTTGAGATCAATAAGAAAAAGAATGCAAGTTGGCTTTAAAAGA TGAATATGTAAAGTGACATGATTTCCCCTGCCCTAATATTATCGTTGGCGAGGAG GTAAATGAGCCTAGCGGTTTGTTGCTTTCATCTTCATGTTGCTGCTACTAAATTCT GGCCTTTTTACCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGATACGGAGTCTTACTCTGTTGC CCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGGGATCTGGGCTCACTGTAACCGTCTCTTGAGTTC AAGCGATTCTCCTGCCACAGCCTCCCGAGTAGCTGGGACTACAGGCGCTTGCCAC CACACCCGGCTAATTTTTTACATTTTCAGTAGAGACGGGGTTTCACCATATTGGC CAGGCTGGTCTCGAACTCCTGACCTCGTGATCCACCCGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGT GCTGGGATTACAGGAGTGAGCCATCGCGCCCGGCGCACTAAATTTTTTTCTTTTT TTTGAGACGGACTTTCACTCTTGTTGCACAGGCTGGAGTGCAATGGCATGATCTG GGCACACTGCAACCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCAAGCAATTCTCCTGCCTCAACCTCC TGAGTAGCTGAAATTACAGGCACGCACCATTATACCTGGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTT AGTAGAGACAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTCTCGAACCCCTGACCTCAG GTGATCCGCACCCCCCCCCCCCCCCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGTGT GAGTCACCGCGCCTGGCCTCACTCTTTTTAAGATAAGGAGTATGTGGGAAAATCC TTGAAAATTTTAGAGTTATATACATGTAATTGTTATCTGAGTTCTGGTCTGAATGT AAGTATCTCTCCTTGGAAAGGAGGCTCCTTGACTACCCTGCACATATGGAAGGCC AGATCTGGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTACAGCTTACAGGCTTCCAAGCCTACAAACT ACGGGTGACACACCTGTACCAACTGAAGCAGCACAATATTCTGGCATCTGTTGG AGAAGATGAAGAGGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGTCCCAGCAGGGAAATGGGAA AGATCCAGAAGCCTAGGAATGATTTTTTGTTGGAGGATGACTAGCATTTACACTT

CTGAGGTCTGTCCACAGGTTAAGATCTGGAACCTGGAGAAGAGAGATGGTGGC AATCCA

## Tile 4.1 (4762 bp)

GGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTACAGCTTACAGGCTTCCAAGCCTACAAACTACGGG TGACACACCTGTACCAACTGAAGCAGCACAATATTCTGGCATCTGTTGGAGAAG ATGAAGAGGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGTCCCAGCAGGGAAATGGGAAAGATCC AGAAGCCTAGGAATGATTTTTTGTTGGAGGATGACTAGCATTTACACTTCTGAGG TCTGTCCACAGGTTAAGATCTGGAACCTGGAGAAGAGAGATGGTGGCAATCCAC TCTGCACTCGAATCTTCCCTGCTATTCCAGGAACAGAGCCAACTGTTGTATCTTGT TTGACTGTCCATGAAAATCTCAACTTTATGGCCATTGGTAAACAGAAGGCAAAAC TAACCCTCCTAGATTTGTTATAGATTTTCTTCAGAGTTGCTTCTGCCTCTCATCTTT ACTGTTTTCAGGAGACCACTTTGTACTGAACTGAGGCCTTTGCGATATTAAATTTT GGAATGGGGGCCAGGCGCGGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACCTTGGGAGG CTGAGGTGGGCGGATCACCTGAGATTGGGAGTTTGAGATCAGCCTGACCAACAT GGAGAAACCCCATCTCTACTAAAAATACAAAATTAGTTGGGTGTAGTGGCACAT GCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTAGGGAGGCTGCGGCAGGAAAATCACTTGAACCTGG GAGGCGGAGTTTGCAGTGAGCCAAGATCGCGCCATTGCACTGTAGCCTGGGCAA CAAGAGCAAAACTCTGTCTCAAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAAAT AAATAAAGTTTGGAATGGGAAGGCATTTAATTTTGTTCTTTGAAAATGTGTCAGT GAGTACCAATATTCAGGTTAACCATTAGAGAGGTTGGGTATATGGAGAAAGCTT GTCACTTCTGAGTGCCTCAAGTGGTCTTTTTTCСССТСТССАСТTССТGATCTTTTC AGCCTTACTGAAGTAATTTTCTTGTTTTCTTACAGGTTTCACAGATGGCAGTGTTA CATTGAACAAAGGAGACATCACCCGGGACCGGCATAGCAAGACCCAGATTTTGC ACAAGGGCAACTATCCTGTAACTGGATTGGCCTTTCGCCAAGCAGGAAAGACCA CTCACTTGTTTGTTGTGACAACAGAGAACGTCCAGGTATGACCAAGGCCTCCACT CTTAGGAGCAGGCAGGGAGGGCTTCTCCATTGTTCAGGGGGATAGGGTAATGAA GTGACAGGAAAGGTGGGAGTGTTAAAGTTTTAATCATATAATTCGAATCATTTGC CTAGCTTTGTATTTTATTTTTTTGCCTAGGAAGCTGGAAAGAGTTAGACTCTGGGC TTGTAGTAAAAAGATGTGAATTTTCTTTCTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACCGAGTCTCAC TGTGTTGCTCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGAGATCTTGACTCACTGTAACCTCAGT CTCCCAGGTTCAAGCAATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTAGCTGGGATTACAG GCACACACCAGCATGTCCAGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACAGGGTTTCA CCATGTTGGCCAGTCTGGTCTCCAACTCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCCACCCACCTTG

GCCTTCCAAAGTGCTGAGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACCGCACTCGGCCTTGAATTT TATTTCTAACTAGCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCTTTGAGACAGGATCTTGCTGTGTAACC CAGGCTAGAGTGCAATGGTGCTGTCTCAGCTCACTGCAACCTCTGCCTCCTGGGC TCAAGTGATCCTCCCACCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTACGTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGTC ACCATGCCTGGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTTCTAGATATGGGGGTTTCACCATGTTGC CCAGGCTGATCTCGAACTCCTGGGCCCAAGCAATCCACCTGCCTCAACCACCCAA AGTGCTGGAATTACAGGCATGAGCCACTGCACCGGCCTATTTTCTAACTAACTTT TTAAATGACGTTGGACAAGTATCTTTACCTTTCTTGTTCCTGGTGCCCCAGATTTA CAACAGTCTACTCTCTCAGGAATACTCTTGATAAAGTAGTAGATTATTACATTTTT CTTACTTGCAGAATTTCTGTACTAGATAGTGATAATATATACAGAGAAAGAAATT GTGATCTCTGGTTATATATTTAATAGATGGGTAATGGTTAATGAAGAAGGCCAGC AGCCAAGAGAAAGACTTTAGAATGCCAAGAGGGAAAAAAGAGCCAGTATGGAG ATGGGAATACCTTTGTGAAGGCTTAGAGTTACCTCCTCAAAGGAGCCTGTTAACC CCTTTGTTGCTTCTGGCAGTCCTATATAGTTTCTGGAAAAGACTACCCTCGCGTGG AGTTGGACACCCATGGTTGTGGCCTGCGCTGCTCAGCCCTAAGTGACCCTTCTCA GGACCTGCAGTTCATTGTGGCCGGGGATGAGTGTGTCTACTTGTACCAGCCTGAT GAACGTGGGCCCTGCTTCGCCTTTGAGGGCCATAAGCTCATTGCCCACTGGTTTA GAGGCTACCTTATCATTGTCTCCCGTGACCGGAAGGTTTCTCCCAAGTAAGGACT CAGTGAGAAGGGACAGGGAGAGGGCTGGACTTGTTCCCCAGAATCCGCCTGATT TTAAAATCCTAATGCCTGGATACTGCCAATCTCCTACTCCTACTCCGGTGTTATGT AGGTAACATTTCTGTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGATGGAGTCTCGCTCTGTCACCCAGGAG TGCAGTGGCGTGATCTCGGCTCACTGCAGCCTCGCCTCCTGGGTTCCAGTGATAC TCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCTGAATAGCTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGCCACCACGCCTGG CTACTTTTTGTATTTTCAGTAGAGACGAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTGT CAGACTCCTGACCTCAAGTGATCTACCCGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTACTGGGATT ACAGGTGTGAGCCACTGCACCCAGCCAGTGACACTTATAGCTAGAGTTGGTTAC AATGCTTTTTTTAACTGAAAAATGGATGTTTTTACTGCAGGTATTTGCTACATAGC CCTAGCATACTAGAATGCTCCAGGGAATTTGGACCTGCTTTTTTTGTTCTGTTTTG TTTGAGATGGAGTCTCGCTCTATTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGCGATCTCG GCTCACTGCAAGCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCACGCCATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCC GAGCAACTGGGACTACAGGTGCCCGCCCCCATGCCCGGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTT TATTAGAGACGGGGTTTCACCGTGTTAGCCAGGATGGTCTCGATCTCCTGACCTC GTGATCTGCCTGCCTCGTCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACTG CGCCCGGCCTTGTTTTGTTTTTTAACTCTTTTGTCAAAATAAATTGGGCAGAGGAA

CAAGGGATTCAGCAGTGGAGAAATTAGAAAGTGCTGGGAAACGTAGGATGCAA GTTTTCTGTTTTGTTTTGGTTTTATTTTTTTAAGGGGTAAGTGGGGTCTTCTGCATG ATGAAAGTACTCCCTGTTAGATGCTGGTTTGTTTTGCTTTCATTCAGTTCCAGGCA CAGTGTTTTACATATACCATGTATTGGATTATTATTTTCTAAATGAATGGATATGT TAGTGTACTTAATTTTCTAATCTGTTACTTGGGTTAATTGTAGGATCCTAAAGGGT AAGATCAGGTTTATGTGTTCTCTATGTACAACTACCGGCACAGCGCTGCATGTTA TGGGATGAGAGGGACCAGAGAGGTGATTTGTGCTGGGTGAAATGTGCACCAAAG TTATCATGGGAAAGGAAATAGGGGAGATAAGACAGAGATGTCCAAACATCTGGT GCTTTTTCTTTCCTATGCAGGTCAGAGTTTACCAGCAGGGATTCACAGAGCTCCG ACAAGCAGATTCTAAACATCTATGACCTGTGCAACAAGTTCATAGCCTATAGCAC CGTCTTTGAGGATGTAGTGGATGTGCTTGCTGAGTGGGGCTCCCTGTACGTGCTG ACGCGGGATGGGCGGGTCCACGCACTGCAGGAGAAGGACACACAGACCAAACT GGAGGCAAGGCCACCAGGCTCGCAGAGCTGGCCACAGGCACCTAGAAGCAGCT GCAGGAGCAGGTTCCCCAAGTCATATTGGCCAGGGTGTTTCCCTCCTTGTGGGTC ACAGCCTTACTCAGCTTCCTTAGGGTAGGATTATAAGGTAAATGGCCTGGGATGG GGCTTTAGAGGGAAGTAGTGGCTGGGAGCCTGAGCCCACTCTAAGGGTTCACTTT GTTTCCAGAGAACCTTATGAAATAAGATGATACTGATCTTGGGGATATCTGGTAG CTCTTGGTTTGTCTAGGATCTAGGCAGATCAGAAATCCAGGACTTTCTGTTTTGTG TGTTGTGCGCACATTTTGGGAAAGTGTCTTCCCAGGACCACTTCTACCAATTTTCT AATCTCTCTTCCCTTCTAGATGCTGTTTAAGAAGAACCTATTTGAGATGGCGATTA ACCTTGCCAAGAGCCAGCATCTGGACAGTGATGGGCTGGCCCAGATTTTCATGCA GTATGGAGACCATCTCTACAGCAAGGGCAACCACGATGGGGCTGTCCAGCAATA TATCCGGTCAGTCTGGAGGCACTTTGGGAT
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GCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGTCCCAGCAGGGAAATGGGAAAGATCCAGAAGCCT AGGAATGATTTTTTGTTGGAGGATGACTAGCATTTACACTTCTGAGGTCTGTCCA CAGGTTAAGATCTGGAACCTGGAGAAGAGAGATGGTGGCAATCCACTCTGCACT CGAATCTTCCCTGCTATTCCAGGAACAGAGCCAACTGTTGTATCTTGTTTGACTGT CCATGAAAATCTCAACTTTATGGCCATTGGTAAACAGAAGGCAAAACTAACCCT CCTAGATTTGTTATAGATTTTCTTCAGAGTTGCTTCTGCCTCTCATCTTTACTGTTT TCAGGAGACCACTTTGTACTGAACTGAGGCCTTTGCGATATTAAATTTTGGAATG GGGGCCAGGCGCGGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACCTTGGGAGGCTGAGG TGGGCGGATCACCTGAGATTGGGAGTTTGAGATCAGCCTGACCAACATGGAGAA

ACCCCATCTCTACTAAAAATACAAAATTAGTTGGGTGTAGTGGCACATGCCTGTA ATCCCAGCTACTAGGGAGGCTGCGGCAGGAAAATCACTTGAACCTGGGAGGCGG AGTTTGCAGTGAGCCAAGATCGCGCCATTGCACTGTAGCCTGGGCAACAAGAGC AAAACTCTGTCTCAAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAAATAAATAAA GTTTGGAATGGGAAGGCATTTAATTTTGTTCTTTGAAAATGTGTCAGTGAGTACC AATATTCAGGTTAACCATTAGAGAGGTTGGGTATATGGAGAAAGCTTGTCACTTC TGAGTGCCTCAAGTGGTCTTTTTTCCCCTCTCCACTTCCTGATCTTTTCAGCCTTAC TGAAGTAATTTTCTTGTTTTCTTACAGGTTTCACAGATGGCAGTGTTACATTGAAC AAAGGAGACATCACCCGGGACCGGCATAGCAAGACCCAGATTTTGCACAAGGGC AACTATCCTGTAACTGGATTGGCCTTTCGCCAAGCAGGAAAGACCACTCACTTGT TTGTTGTGACAACAGAGAACGTCCAGGTATGACCAAGGCCTCCACTCTTAGGAG CAGGCAGGGAGGGCTTCTCCATTGTTCAGGGGGATAGGGTAATGAAGTGACAGG AAAGGTGGGAGTGTTAAAGTTTTAATCATATAATTCGAATCATTTGCCTAGCTTT GTATTTTATTTTTTTGCCTAGGAAGCTGGAAAGAGTTAGACTCTGGGCTTGTAGT AAAAAGATGTGAATTTTCTTTCTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACCGAGTCTCACTGTGTTG CTCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGAGATCTTGACTCACTGTAACCTCAGTCTCCCAG GTTCAAGCAATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGCACACA CCAGCATGTCCAGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACAGGGTTTCACCATGTTG GCCAGTCTGGTCTCCAACTCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCCACCCACCTTGGCCTTCCA AAGTGCTGAGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACCGCACTCGGCCTTGAATTTTATTTCTA ACTAGCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCTTTGAGACAGGATCTTGCTGTGTAACCCAGGCTA GAGTGCAATGGTGCTGTCTCAGCTCACTGCAACCTCTGCCTCCTGGGCTCAAGTG ATCCTCCCACCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTACGTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGTCACCATGC CTGGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTTCTAGATATGGGGGTTTCACCATGTTGCCCAGGCT GATCTCGAACTCCTGGGCCCAAGCAATCCACCTGCCTCAACCACCCAAAGTGCTG GAATTACAGGCATGAGCCACTGCACCGGCCTATTTTCTAACTAACTTTTTAAATG ACGTTGGACAAGTATCTTTACCTTTCTTGTTCCTGGTGCCCCAGATTTACAACAGT CTACTCTCTCAGGAATACTCTTGATAAAGTAGTAGATTATTACATTTTTCTTACTT GCAGAATTTCTGTACTAGATAGTGATAATATATACAGAGAAAGAAATTGTGATCT CTGGTTATATATTTAATAGATGGGTAATGGTTAATGAAGAAGGCCAGCAGCCAA GAGAAAGACTTTAGAATGCCAAGAGGGAAAAAAGAGCCAGTATGGAGATGGGA ATACCTTTGTGAAGGCTTAGAGTTACCTCCTCAAAGGAGCCTGTTAACCCCTTTG TTGCTTCTGGCAGTCCTATATAGTTTCTGGAAAAGACTACCCTCGCGTGGAGTTG GACACCCATGGTTGTGGCCTGCGCTGCTCAGCCCTAAGTGACCCTTCTCAGGACC

TGCAGTTCATTGTGGCCGGGGATGAGTGTGTCTACTTGTACCAGCCTGATGAACG TGGGCCCTGCTTCGCCTTTGAGGGCCATAAGCTCATTGCCCACTGGTTTAGAGGC TACCTTATCATTGTCTCCCGTGACCGGAAGGTTTCTCCCAAGTAAGGACTCAGTG AGAAGGGACAGGGAGAGGGCTGGACTTGTTCCCCAGAATCCGCCTGATTTTAAA ATCCTAATGCCTGGATACTGCCAATCTCCTACTCCTACTCCGGTGTTATGTAGGTA ACATTTCTGTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGATGGAGTCTCGCTCTGTCACCCAGGAGTGCAG TGGCGTGATCTCGGCTCACTGCAGCCTCGCCTCCTGGGTTCCAGTGATACTCCTG CCTCAGCCTCCTGAATAGCTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGCCACCACGCCTGGCTACT TTTTGTATTTTCAGTAGAGACGAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTGTCAGA CTCCTGACCTCAAGTGATCTACCCGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTACTGGGATTACAG GTGTGAGCCACTGCACCCAGCCAGTGACACTTATAGCTAGAGTTGGTTACAATGC TTTTTTTAACTGAAAAATGGATGTTTTTACTGCAGGTATTTGCTACATAGCCCTAG CATACTAGAATGCTCCAGGGAATTTGGACCTGCTTTTTTTGTTCTGTTTTGTTTGA GATGGAGTCTCGCTCTATTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGCGATCTCGGCTCA CTGCAAGCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCACGCCATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCCGAGCA ACTGGGACTACAGGTGCCCGCCCCCATGCCCGGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTTTATTAG AGACGGGGTTTCACCGTGTTAGCCAGGATGGTCTCGATCTCCTGACCTCGTGATC TGCCTGCCTCGTCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACTGCGCCCG GCCTTGTTTTGTTTTTTAACTCTTTTGTCAAAATAAATTGGGCAGAGGAACAAGG GATTCAGCAGTGGAGAAATTAGAAAGTGCTGGGAAACGTAGGATGCAAGTTTTC TGTTTTGTTTTGGTTTTATTTTTTTAAGGGGTAAGTGGGGTCTTCTGCATGATGAA AGTACTCCCTGTTAGATGCTGGTTTGTTTTGCTTTCATTCAGTTCCAGGCACAGTG TTTTACATATACCATGTATTGGATTATTATTTTCTAAATGAATGGATATGTTAGTG TACTTAATTTTCTAATCTGTTACTTGGGTTAATTGTAGGATCCTAAAGGGTAAGAT CAGGTTTATGTGTTCTCTATGTACAACTACCGGCACAGCGCTGCATGTTATGGGA TGAGAGGGACCAGAGAGGTGATTTGTGCTGGGTGAAATGTGCACCAAAGTTATC ATGGGAAAGGAAATAGGGGAGATAAGACAGAGATGTCCAAACATCTGGTGCTTT TTCTTTCCTATGCAGGTCAGAGTTTACCAGCAGGGATTCACAGAGCTCCGACAAG CAGATTCTAAACATCTATGACCTGTGCAACAAGTTCATAGCCTATAGCACCGTCT TTGAGGATGTAGTGGATGTGCTTGCTGAGTGGGGCTCCCTGTACGTGCTGACGCG GGATGGGCGGGTCCACGCACTGCAGGAGAAGGACACACAGACCAAACTGGAGG CAAGGCCACCAGGCTCGCAGAGCTGGCCACAGGCACCTAGAAGCAGCTGCAGGA GCAGGTTCCCCAAGTCATATTGGCCAGGGTGTTTCCCTCCTTGTGGGTCACAGCC TTACTCAGCTTCCTTAGGGTAGGATTATAAGGTAAATGGCCTGGGATGGGGCTTT

AGAGGGAAGTAGTGGCTGGGAGCCTGAGCCCACTCTAAGGGTTCACTTTGTTTCC AGAGAACCTTATGAAATAAGATGATACTGATCTTGGGGATATCTGGTAGCTCTTG GTTTGTCTAGGATCTAGGCAGATCAGAAATCCAGGACTTTCTGTTTTGTGTGTTGT GCGCACATTTTGGGAAAGTGTCTTCCCAGGACCACTTCTACCAATTTTCTAATCTC TCTTCCCTTCTAGATGCTGTTTAAGAAGAACCTATTTGAGATGGCGATTAACCTTG CCAAGAGCCAGCATCTGGACAGTGATGGGCTGGCCCAGATTTTCATGCAGTATG GAGACCATCTCTACAGCAAGGGCAACCACGATGGGGCTGTCCAGCAATATATCC GGTCAGTCTGGAGGCACTTTGGGATATAGCTGTGAATGCAGGGACAGGCAGCTA GATAGCTAAAGCCCATCCATGCTCCAGGTAGGGGCTAGAA
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GGTTCTTGCCACGTTCCCTACAGCTTACAGGCTTCCAAGCCTACAAACTACGGG TGACACACCTGTACCAACTGAAGCAGCACAATATTCTGGCATCTGTTGGAGAAG ATGAAGAGGGCATCAACCCCTTGGTGAGTCCCAGCAGGGAAATGGGAAAGATCC AGAAGCCTAGGAATGATTTTTTGTTGGAGGATGACTAGCATTTACACTTCTGAGG TCTGTCCACAGGTTAAGATCTGGAACCTGGAGAAGAGAGATGGTGGCAATCCAC TCTGCACTCGAATCTTCCCTGCTATTCCAGGAACAGAGCCAACTGTTGTATCTTGT TTGACTGTCCATGAAAATCTCAACTTTATGGCCATTGGTAAACAGAAGGCAAAAC TAACCCTCCTAGATTTGTTATAGATTTTCTTCAGAGTTGCTTCTGCCTCTCATCTTT ACTGTTTTCAGGAGACCACTTTGTACTGAACTGAGGCCTTTGCGATATTAAATTTT GGAATGGGGGCCAGGCGCGGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACCTTGGGAGG CTGAGGTGGGCGGATCACCTGAGATTGGGAGTTTGAGATCAGCCTGACCAACAT GGAGAAACCCCATCTCTACTAAAAATACAAAATTAGTTGGGTGTAGTGGCACAT GCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTAGGGAGGCTGCGGCAGGAAAATCACTTGAACCTGG GAGGCGGAGTTTGCAGTGAGCCAAGATCGCGCCATTGCACTGTAGCCTGGGCAA CAAGAGCAAAACTCTGTCTCAAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAATAAAAT AAATAAAGTTTGGAATGGGAAGGCATTTAATTTTGTTCTTTGAAAATGTGTCAGT GAGTACCAATATTCAGGTTAACCATTAGAGAGGTTGGGTATATGGAGAAAGCTT GTCACTTCTGAGTGCCTCAAGTGGTCTTTTTTCСССТСТССАСТTССТGATCTTTTC AGCCTTACTGAAGTAATTTTCTTGTTTTCTTACAGGTTTCACAGATGGCAGTGTTA CATTGAACAAAGGAGACATCACCCGGGACCGGCATAGCAAGACCCAGATTTTGC ACAAGGGCAACTATCCTGTAACTGGATTGGCCTTTCGCCAAGCAGGAAAGACCA CTCACTTGTTTGTTGTGACAACAGAGAACGTCCAGGTATGACCAAGGCCTCCACT CTTAGGAGCAGGCAGGGAGGGCTTCTCCATTGTTCAGGGGGATAGGGTAATGAA

GTGACAGGAAAGGTGGGAGTGTTAAAGTTTTAATCATATAATTCGAATCATTTGC CTAGCTTTGTATTTTATTTTTTTGCCTAGGAAGCTGGAAAGAGTTAGACTCTGGGC TTGTAGTAAAAAGATGTGAATTTTCTTTCTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGACCGAGTCTCAC TGTGTTGCTCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGAGATCTTGACTCACTGTAACCTCAGT CTCCCAGGTTCAAGCAATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTAGCTGGGATTACAG GCACACACCAGCATGTCCAGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACAGGGTTTCA CCATGTTGGCCAGTCTGGTCTCCAACTCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCCACCCACCTTG GCCTTCCAAAGTGCTGAGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACCGCACTCGGCCTTGAATTT TATTTCTAACTAGCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTCTTTGAGACAGGATCTTGCTGTGTAACC CAGGCTAGAGTGCAATGGTGCTGTCTCAGCTCACTGCAACCTCTGCCTCCTGGGC TCAAGTGATCCTCCCACCTCAGCCTCCTGAGTACGTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGTC ACCATGCCTGGCTAATTTTTGTATTTTTTCTAGATATGGGGGTTTCACCATGTTGC CCAGGCTGATCTCGAACTCCTGGGCCCAAGCAATCCACCTGCCTCAACCACCCAA AGTGCTGGAATTACAGGCATGAGCCACTGCACCGGCCTATTTTCTAACTAACTTT TTAAATGACGTTGGACAAGTATCTTTACCTTTCTTGTTCCTGGTGCCCCAGATTTA CAACAGTCTACTCTCTCAGGAATACTCTTGATAAAGTAGTAGATTATTACATTTTT CTTACTTGCAGAATTTCTGTACTAGATAGTGATAATATATACAGAGAAAGAAATT GTGATCTCTGGTTATATATTTAATAGATGGGTAATGGTTAATGAAGAAGGCCAGC AGCCAAGAGAAAGACTTTAGAATGCCAAGAGGGAAAAAAGAGCCAGTATGGAG ATGGGAATACCTTTGTGAAGGCTTAGAGTTACCTCCTCAAAGGAGCCTGTTAACC CCTTTGTTGCTTCTGGCAGTCCTATATAGTTTCTGGAAAAGACTACCCTCGCGTGG AGTTGGACACCCATGGTTGTGGCCTGCGCTGCTCAGCCCTAAGTGACCCTTCTCA GGACCTGCAGTTCATTGTGGCCGGGGATGAGTGTGTCTACTTGTACCAGCCTGAT GAACGTGGGCCCTGCTTCGCCTTTGAGGGCCATAAGCTCATTGCCCACTGGTTTA GAGGCTACCTTATCATTGTCTCCCGTGACCGGAAGGTTTCTCCCAAGTAAGGACT CAGTGAGAAGGGACAGGGAGAGGGCTGGACTTGTTCCCCAGAATCCGCCTGATT TTAAAATCCTAATGCCTGGATACTGCCAATCTCCTACTCCTACTCCGGTGTTATGT AGGTAACATTTCTGTTTTTTTTTTTTGAGATGGAGTCTCGCTCTGTCACCCAGGAG TGCAGTGGCGTGATCTCGGCTCACTGCAGCCTCGCCTCCTGGGTTCCAGTGATAC TCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCTGAATAGCTGGGATTACAGGCATGTGCCACCACGCCTGG CTACTTTTTGTATTTTCAGTAGAGACGAGGTTTCACCATGTTGGCCAGGCTGGTGT CAGACTCCTGACCTCAAGTGATCTACCCGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTACTGGGATT ACAGGTGTGAGCCACTGCACCCAGCCAGTGACACTTATAGCTAGAGTTGGTTAC AATGCTTTTTTTAACTGAAAAATGGATGTTTTTACTGCAGGTATTTGCTACATAGC

CCTAGCATACTAGAATGCTCCAGGGAATTTGGACCTGCTTTTTTTGTTCTGTTTTG TTTGAGATGGAGTCTCGCTCTATTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTGCAGTGGCGCGATCTCG GCTCACTGCAAGCTCCGCCTCCCGGGTTCACGCCATTCTCCTGCCTCAGCCTCCC GAGCAACTGGGACTACAGGTGCCCGCCCCCATGCCCGGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTT TATTAGAGACGGGGTTTCACCGTGTTAGCCAGGATGGTCTCGATCTCCTGACCTC GTGATCTGCCTGCCTCGTCCTCCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCGTGAGCCACTG CGCCCGGCCTTGTTTTGTTTTTTAACTCTTTTGTCAAAATAAATTGGGCAGAGGAA CAAGGGATTCAGCAGTGGAGAAATTAGAAAGTGCTGGGAAACGTAGGATGCAA GTTTTCTGTTTTGTTTTGGTTTTATTTTTTTAAGGGGTAAGTGGGGTCTTCTGCATG ATGAAAGTACTCCCTGTTAGATGCTGGTTTGTTTTGCTTTCATTCAGTTCCAGGCA CAGTGTTTTACATATACCATGTATTGGATTATTATTTTCTAAATGAATGGATATGT TAGTGTACTTAATTTTCTAATCTGTTACTTGGGTTAATTGTAGGATCCTAAAGGGT AAGATCAGGTTTATGTGTTCTCTATGTACAACTACCGGCACAGCGCTGCATGTTA TGGGATGAGAGGGACCAGAGAGGTGATTTGTGCTGGGTGAAATGTGCACCAAAG TTATCATGGGAAAGGAAATAGGGGAGATAAGACAGAGATGTCCAAACATCTGGT GCTTTTTCTTTCCTATGCAGGTCAGAGTTTACCAGCAGGGATTCACAGAGCTCCG ACAAGCAGATTCTAAACATCTATGACCTGTGCAACAAGTTCATAGCCTATAGCAC CGTCTTTGAGGATGTAGTGGATGTGCTTGCTGAGTGGGGCTCCCTGTACGTGCTG ACGCGGGATGGGCGGGTCCACGCACTGCAGGAGAAGGACACACAGACCAAACT GGAGGCAAGGCCACCAGGCTCGCAGAGCTGGCCACAGGCACCTAGAAGCAGCT GCAGGAGCAGGTTCCCCAAGTCATATTGGCCAGGGTGTTTCCCTCCTTGTGGGTC ACAGCCTTACTCAGCTTCCTTAGGGTAGGATTATAAGGTAAATGGCCTGGGATGG GGCTTTAGAGGGAAGTAGTGGCTGGGAGCCTGAGCCCACTCTAAGGGTTCACTTT GTTTCCAGAGAACCTTATGAAATAAGATGATACTGATCTTGGGGATATCTGGTAG CTCTTGGTTTGTCTAGGATCTAGGCAGATCAGAAATCCAGGACTTTCTGTTTTGTG TGTTGTGCGCACATTTTGGGAAAGTGTCTTCCCAGGACCACTTCTACCAATTTTCT AATCTCTCTTCCCTTCTAGATGCTGTTTAAGAAGAACCTATTTGAGATGGCGATTA ACCTTGCCAAGAGCCAGCATCTGGACAGTGATGGGCTGGCCCAGATTTTCATGCA GTATGGAGACCATCTCTACAGCAAGGGCAACCACGATGGGGCTGTCCAGCAAT A
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CTCTACAGCAAGGGCAACCACGATGGGGCTGTCCAGCAATATATCCGGTCAGT CTGGAGGCACTTTGGGATATAGCTGTGAATGCAGGGACAGGCAGCTAGATAGCT

AAAGCCCATCCATGCTCCAGGTAGGGGCTAGAATTCTACCAGGAACTGTTTTTGT TTTTGGTTTTTTGTTTGTTTTTGTTAGTTTTTTTTTGAGATGGAGTTTCGCTCTTGTT GTCCAAGCTGGAGTGCAATGGCGCGATCTCGACTTACTGCAACCTTCCCTCCTGG GTTCACATGATTCTCCTGCTTCAGTCTCCCGAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGCGCGTG CCACCACACCTGGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAAACGGGGTTTCACCATGTT AGCCAGGCTGGTCATGAACTCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCTGCCCGCTTCAGCCTCCC AAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCATGAGCCACTGCGCCCGGTGTTTTTTTCTTTGCTTT TTTTGTTTGTTTGTTTGTTTTTGAGACAGATTCTCGCTCTTTCACCCAGGCTGGAGT GCAATGGTGTGATCTCGGCTCACTGCAACCTCTGCCTCGGGTTCAAGCAATTCTC CTGTCTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGATCATGCTGCCATGCCTGGCT AATTTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACGGGGTTTCACCACGTTCTCTAGGCTGGTCTC GAACTCCTGAGCTCAGGCAATCTGCCTGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGTTAGGATTA CAGGTGTGAGCCACAGTGCCTGGCCTTTTTAATTTTCTTTATAAGAACTATGATGC CTTAAATGAGAGAACAAGATTCCTTGTTGAAAAGGGAATTTTAGGGTCAAATATT ATATGACCAGTATGCAGTTGAGCCGATGATTACCTCTCATTTTAACCTTTAGCTTG GCCTTTGTAAATATTCACTTTGACCTGGTTCTTTAAGGGGGAAAAGGTATTCATCT CTTGCTTCCATAATTCCTTCCATCAGTACTAATTCTTGGAATTTTCTGCCTTAAGA AGGGGTTTTAGAAATCTGTCTAAGGTGGCCGGGCGCGGTAGCTCACGCATGTAA TCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGAGGCGGGTGGATCACGAGGTCAGGAGATCGAGA CCATCCTGGCTAACACGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAAATACAAAAAATTA GCTGGGTGTCGTGGCGGGCGCCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTGAGGCAG GAGAATGGCCTGAACCCCAGAGGCGGAGCTTGCAGTGAGCCGAGATCGCACCAC TGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTATCAGAGCAGGACTGTCTCAAAAAAAAAAAAGTTTGTC TAAGGCTGGGGTGCAGTGGCATGTGCCTGTGATCCTATCCCTTTGGGAGACCGAG GTGGGAGGAATGCATGAGCCCAGTCTGAGCAACATAGCAAGACCCCATTTCTAA CAATAAATAAATAAATAAAATTTAAAAATATGGCTGGGCGCCGTGGCTCATTCCT GTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCGGGTGGATCACTTGAGGTTAGGAGT TTGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACATGGTGAAACCCTGTCTCCACTAAAAAAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAATACAAGGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCTCAGCACTT TGGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGTGGATCACCTGAGGTCAAGAGTTCAAGACCAGCCTGG CCAACATGGCGAAACCCCATCTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGG TGGCGGGCGCCTGTAATCCCATCTTAAAAAAAAAAATTAGCTGGGTGTGGTGGC ATATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCCACTCGCAAAGCTGAGGCAGGAGATTCACTTGAAC CTGGGAAGCAGAGGCTATAGTGAGCCAAGATCGTGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGG

GCAACAGAGCAAGACTCTATCAAAAAAATAATAGTAGATAATAATAATAATAAT AAATAAATAAATTGCCAGGGGGCAGTGACTCACGCCTGTAATCCAAACACTTTG GGAGGCTGAGGTGGGCGGATCACGAGGTCAAGAGATTGAGACCATCCTGGCCAA CATGGTGAAACCCCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCTGGGTGTGGTGGC ATGCACCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTCGGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATAGCTTGAAC CCAGGAGGCGGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCCAAGATCGTGCCCTGCACTCCAGCCTGGC GAAATAGCGAAACTTCGTCTCAAAAAAATAATAATAAAAAAAAGGCCGGGTGTGG TGGCTCACACCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGAGGTGAGTGGATCACCTG AGGTCGGGAGTTCGAAACTAGCCTGACCAACATGGAGAAACCCTGCCTCTACTA AAAATACAAAACTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGCACATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTACTCA GGAGGCTGAGGCAGAAGAATCGCTTGATCCTGGGAGGCAGAGGTTGCGGTGGGC TGAGATCGTGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGGAGCGAACCTCCCTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAATTAATTAAATTTTAAAATAATGTAAAAGAAAGAGAACAAAA ATACAACAATAAAACAACAACAAAATAGTAATAATATAAAAAGAAACCTGTTTG GCCTCTTCCCCGTTCTCTTTAGAATTCCTATTTTTAGCCAAATTTAAGAAGCAGTG CTCTGGAGCCTTGTTACCCAGTGTGGTCCACAGTCTAGTAGCACCGATATTACCT GGGACTTTGTTAGAAATGTAGAATCTCAGGCCCCACTCCAGATCCACTGAAATAG AATTTGCATTTTGAGAAGATCTGCAAGTGATTCCTGTGTACATGAGCTGGAGAAG TACACTGATTCAGATGCTATCGGGTGCACATGTCTCCCTAGAACCATTGGAAAGT TGGAGCCATCCTACGTGATCCGCAAGTTTCTGGATGCCCAGCGCATTCACAACCT GACTGCCTACCTGCAGACCCTGCACCGACAATCCCTGGCCAATGCCGACCATACC ACCCTGCTCCTCAACTGCTATACCAAGCTCAAGGACAGCTCGAAGCTGGAGGAG TTCATCAAGGTGCAGGATGTTGTTGGTGGGGAAGTCTTGGAGGCCCCACTGAGC ATGAGGTGGCTCCACCGGGACTTGTTCGTTTCAGCAAGACATAGGGAGAGGAAA GGGCTGACCTTATTTAGAGGAGTGGCTGTTCCTGTTAGTTCGGTGCCATCTCCCCT CTTGTTTTATGATTCTAGAAATTCCCATGGTATCTAAGCCCAGGGTGGTGGCACT GGGGAAGTCCTGTCCCTAGCGGTGTCCCTCTAATGGTAGGGGCAGAGGAAAACT TTAGTCAGAAACTCCCACAGGCTGAGTAGCATAATATTTTCAAAGTGTGAACGTT ATGATATCACCTTAGGAATCTGAAAGTCAGGTGCCTGTTTCCTCTCCCTTCTCTAT CTCCCTCTGTGTAAATGATTTTGTCTCATGTCTCCCTGGCAGAAAAAGAGTGAGA GTGAAGTCCACTTTGATGTGGAGACAGCCATCAAGGTCCTCCGGCAGGCTGGCT ACTACTCCCATGCCCTGTATCTGGCGGAGAACC

GTCTGGAGGCACTTTGGGATATAGCTGTGAATGCAGGGACAGGCAGCTAGATA GCTAAAGCCCATCCATGCTCCAGGTAGGGGCTAGAATTCTACCAGGAACTGTTTT TGTTTTTGGTTTTTTGTTTGTTTTTGTTAGTTTTTTTTTGAGATGGAGTTTCGCTCTT GTTGTCCAAGCTGGAGTGCAATGGCGCGATCTCGACTTACTGCAACCTTCCCTCC TGGGTTCACATGATTCTCCTGCTTCAGTCTCCCGAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGCGC GTGCCACCACACCTGGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAAACGGGGTTTCACCAT GTTAGCCAGGCTGGTCATGAACTCCTGACCTCAGGTGATCTGCCCGCTTCAGCCT CCCAAAGTGCTGGGATTACAGGCATGAGCCACTGCGCCCGGTGTTTTTTTCTTTG CTTTTTTTGTTTGTTTGTTTGTTTTTGAGACAGATTCTCGCTCTTTCACCCAGGCTG GAGTGCAATGGTGTGATCTCGGCTCACTGCAACCTCTGCCTCGGGTTCAAGCAAT TCTCCTGTCTCAGCCTCCCAAGTAGCTGGGATTACAGGATCATGCTGCCATGCCT GGCTAATTTTTTGTATTTTTAGTAGAGACGGGGTTTCACCACGTTCTCTAGGCTGG TCTCGAACTCCTGAGCTCAGGCAATCTGCCTGCCTCGGCCTCCCAAAGTGTTAGG ATTACAGGTGTGAGCCACAGTGCCTGGCCTTTTTAATTTTCTTTATAAGAACTATG ATGCCTTAAATGAGAGAACAAGATTCCTTGTTGAAAAGGGAATTTTAGGGTCAA ATATTATATGACCAGTATGCAGTTGAGCCGATGATTACCTCTCATTTTAACCTTTA GCTTGGCCTTTGTAAATATTCACTTTGACCTGGTTCTTTAAGGGGGAAAAGGTAT TCATCTCTTGCTTCCATAATTCCTTCCATCAGTACTAATTCTTGGAATTTTCTGCCT TAAGAAGGGGTTTTAGAAATCTGTCTAAGGTGGCCGGGCGCGGTAGCTCACGCA TGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGAGGCGGGTGGATCACGAGGTCAGGAGAT CGAGACCATCCTGGCTAACACGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAAATACAAAA AATTAGCTGGGTGTCGTGGCGGGCGCCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTGA GGCAGGAGAATGGCCTGAACCCCAGAGGCGGAGCTTGCAGTGAGCCGAGATCGC ACCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTATCAGAGCAGGACTGTCTCAAAAAAAAAAAGT TTGTCTAAGGCTGGGGTGCAGTGGCATGTGCCTGTGATCCTATCCCTTTGGGAGA CCGAGGTGGGAGGAATGCATGAGCCCAGTCTGAGCAACATAGCAAGACCCCATT TCTAACAATAAATAAATAAATAAAATTTAAAAATATGGCTGGGCGCCGTGGCTC ATTCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCAAGGCGGGTGGATCACTTGAGGTTA GGAGTTTGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACATGGTGAAACCCTGTCTCCACTAAAAAAA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAATACAAGGCCAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCTCAG CACTTTGGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGTGGATCACCTGAGGTCAAGAGTTCAAGACCAG CCTGGCCAACATGGCGAAACCCCATCTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCTGGG CATGGTGGCGGGCGCCTGTAATCCCATCTTAAAAAAAAAAATTAGCTGGGTGTG GTGGCATATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCCACTCGCAAAGCTGAGGCAGGAGATTCACTT

GAACCTGGGAAGCAGAGGCTATAGTGAGCCAAGATCGTGCCACTGCACTCCAGC CTGGGCAACAGAGCAAGACTCTATCAAAAAAATAATAGTAGATAATAATAATAA TAATAAATAAATAAATTGCCAGGGGGCAGTGACTCACGCCTGTAATCCAAACAC TTTGGGAGGCTGAGGTGGGCGGATCACGAGGTCAAGAGATTGAGACCATCCTGG CCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAATTAGCTGGGTGTGGT GGCATGCACCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTCGGGAGGCTGAGGCAGGAGAATAGCTTG AACCCAGGAGGCGGAGGTTGCAGTGAGCCAAGATCGTGCCCTGCACTCCAGCCT GGCGAAATAGCGAAACTTCGTCTCAAAAAAATAATAATAAAAAAAGGCCGGGTG TGGTGGCTCACACCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGAGGTGAGTGGATCAC CTGAGGTCGGGAGTTCGAAACTAGCCTGACCAACATGGAGAAACCCTGCCTCTA CTAAAAATACAAAACTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGCACATGCCTGTAATCCCAGCTAC TCAGGAGGCTGAGGCAGAAGAATCGCTTGATCCTGGGAGGCAGAGGTTGCGGTG GGCTGAGATCGTGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGGAGCGAACCTCCCTC TCAAAAAAAAAAAAATTAATTAAATTTTAAAATAATGTAAAAGAAAGAGAACAA AAATACAACAATAAAACAACAACAAAATAGTAATAATATAAAAAGAAACCTGTT TGGCCTCTTCCCCGTTCTCTTTAGAATTCCTATTTTTAGCCAAATTTAAGAAGCAG TGCTCTGGAGCCTTGTTACCCAGTGTGGTCCACAGTCTAGTAGCACCGATATTAC CTGGGACTTTGTTAGAAATGTAGAATCTCAGGCCCCACTCCAGATCCACTGAAAT AGAATTTGCATTTTGAGAAGATCTGCAAGTGATTCCTGTGTACATGAGCTGGAGA AGTACACTGATTCAGATGCTATCGGGTGCACATGTCTCCCTAGAACCATTGGAAA GTTGGAGCCATCCTACGTGATCCGCAAGTTTCTGGATGCCCAGCGCATTCACAAC CTGACTGCCTACCTGCAGACCCTGCACCGACAATCCCTGGCCAATGCCGACCATA CCACCCTGCTCCTCAACTGCTATACCAAGCTCAAGGACAGCTCGAAGCTGGAGG AGTTCATCAAGGTGCAGGATGTTGTTGGTGGGGAAGTCTTGGAGGCCCCACTGA GCATGAGGTGGCTCCACCGGGACTTGTTCGTTTCAGCAAGACATAGGGAGAGGA AAGGGCTGACCTTATTTAGAGGAGTGGCTGTTCCTGTTAGTTCGGTGCCATCTCC CCTCTTGTTTTATGATTCTAGAAATTCCCATGGTATCTAAGCCCAGGGTGGTGGC ACTGGGGAAGTCCTGTCCCTAGCGGTGTCCCTCTAATGGTAGGGGCAGAGGAAA ACTTTAGTCAGAAACTCCCACAGGCTGAGTAGCATAATATTTTCAAAGTGTGAAC GTTATGATATCACCTTAGGAATCTGAAAGTCAGGTGCCTGTTTCСТСТСССТТСТС TATCTCCCTCTGTGTAAATGATTTTGTCTCATGTCTCCCTGGCAGAAAAAGAGTG AGAGTGAAGTCCACTTTGATGTGGAGACAGCCATCAAGGTCCTCCGGCAGGCTG GCTACTACTCCCATGCCCTGTATCTGGCGGAGAACCATGCACATCATGAGTGGTA CCTGAAGATCCAGCTAGAAGACATTAAGGTAGGTGAGACTGTCTTTTTTCCCCAA

GАGACAGGGTCTCACTATGTTGCCCAGGCTGGAGTTGAATTCCTGGGCTGAGGTG ATCСТСССАССССАТССТССТGAGTGGAGGTGAGACTCTCAACTTGGGCAGAGCC CTGTCGTTTTTGAAGACATCTCCAGAATGGTGAAAGTGCTTGCTGGTGGCTGAGG САGGAGTATACACTATTCTGCCCTTTACTTTTCCACAGAATTATCAGGAAGCCCT TCGATACATCGGCAAGCTGCCTTTTGAGCAGGCAGAGAGCAACATGAAGCG
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CCCCTTTGGGAAGACACGTTCCACTTTTGATTCATAGGAGAGAGTATCAGCCA AGCCTCCGAACTGCACACAAACGTCTTAGAAGTGCGCCTTCTTTTTGTGTTATAG TGGTCTCCCAGCCACAGCCAACGCTCCAAGTCCCCAGCTGTGACACACCTACTGA АТТАСТАССGTGGGTGGGAGGCCGCCGTGGGCCTTTCCATTACGAGCCTGCTTGC CGAGCCCTGGGCTTGTGCACAGACAAACTGCAGAGCTGGTGGAGGCCACTGCCA GGCCGAGATAAGAAAGAGATGGGGAGCTGCTAATCTCCCCCTGTCCAGCCTGTT GGTGAGGGCTGGGATCTTTGCTCTTGCAGTCATTCCAGAGCCCTGGACTAGGAGT AGGAAGATCTGAATTGTGGCCCCAACTCTCTTTCGGTTATTAGCTCTGTGACCCT AGGCAAGTCACCTCATCCCTTGATGCCACCCGTTGCTTCTGTAACATGGTCCCAA AGGTGCCTGTCTTGTCCACCTGATAGGATTTTTGAGACGACAACAATATGCAAAA GCAATAGCTTCAACATAGAAGTGCTCAGTGTTTTATTTTTTAATGAAACGGTTTG AСTTGGATATGCTGTGCACATTCAATGAACTTAAGGAATTGTTTGAACCTAGTAG тTCTGGGACCTTAGAGTCCTTTCTGTGGGCTCCCTGTGGCCCAGAATTTTGGTGGC САСGTTTAATATCAAGCCTAGCCTAATTTGCAAAGGGTCTCCCAGGGTTAATTTA
 СТТСGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCATAGCACCCC GACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAGGCCGGGCA CAGTGGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCGGAGGCAGGTGGATTGC CTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACCCCGTTTCTA
 СTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAAGGTGGAGGTTGCACT GAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCGACTCCCCC
 TTTTAGCTGGGCATGGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCT GAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAGCTGAGAAC ATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCAAAAAAAAA АААААААGGААСТАСАТАGGАТGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGTTGACTGTCG

ACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAATGGGATCAG GCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGGGGCAG GAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTAC TAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTCCAGCTTGA ACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTG AGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAGAGAAATGG GACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTGAATACTTT TGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTCTTGACTTG GGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCACTCTAGGT GCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGAGGCAGAA GAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCTGGTGGGA CAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACGTCAGATC CGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACCCTTAATA CTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGTAGCCAGA CATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACTCTAGTCT ACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTCTGTTGTA GATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGGTCCTGGC TGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCTGATGGGC CTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACTGCCTTGGT CAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCAACAGCAG GTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGAGGGCAG AAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCCACTCTGAG ACTCAGCTGGCCACAGGCCAGGTTCTGAAGTTCCTTTCTTCCAAGCCAGTGATTC TGGTTCTTGGACAAGGTGTTGAGGAACACTAGAAACAGAGGGGACTGTGACCTG GGGACTTTTTCTGCAGGAAGAAAACAGCCCAAAGATGAGAGTGATTCGCGTGGG TACCCGCAAGAGCCAGGTGGGTGCAGGAGCCGGGGTGGAGGAGGTTTGTCAGAA CAGTTATGATGCTCACAGCATCACAAATTGGGGGACTCAGAGGGTTAGTTCCTAG TATGAAGGAGATGGGGTGGCTGGGCGTTAAGTTCCCCGGGAAATGGCAGATTAC ATTCTATGGCAAGATCATCCCTAGGCTGGGAAAATTGTTGGAGTGCAGAGGGCT CCCAAGCCCCTTCTCATGCCCAGATGGAAATTCCAGTCCCTTCAGGATCTGCCT AACCTGTGAC
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AGAGCAAAGGAAGCGCCATAGAAGCTGCACTACTTGCTCATGTCACAGCTGGG GAATGGGGTGGTCGAATGGGGAGGTCCACTGTCGCAATGTTCCAATTCCCGCCC AGAGGGAGGGACCTCCCCTTCGAGGGAGGGCGCCGGAAGTGACGCGAGGCTCTG CGGAGACCAGGAGTCAGACTGTAGGACGACCTCGGGTCCCACGTGTCCCCGGTA CTCGCCGGCCGGAGCCCCCGGCTTCCCGGGGCCGGGGGACCTTAGCGGCACCCA CACACAGCCTACTTTCCAAGCGGAGCCATGTCTGGTAACGGCAATGCGGCTGCA ACGGCGGTGAGTGCTGAGCCGGTGACCAGCACACTTTGGGCTTCTGGACGAGCC GTGCAGCGATTGGCCCCAGGTTGCCATCCTCAGTCGTCTATTGGTCAGAACGGCT ATCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTGGTCCGAGTAGCTTTTAAAGGGCCAG TAGCTCGGTTGCCCTCCGGAAGGAATGGGGAAATCAGAGAGCGGTGATACTGGG TTAAGAGTGGAAGGATTGTTTGGAACGGAACTCCGGTCCCTGCGGGCATCTGGG TGGGATTCCCATCAGGCCTGGGATGCACGGCTCTAGATTTAGTGACCCAGACCAA GAACGTTCGTCTACACAGACGGGGTCCTTTCATTCGAGGCTGGGCTGAGGCGGAT GCAGATACGGCCCCTTTGGGAAGACACGTTCCACTTTTGATTCATAGGAGAGAGT ATCAGCCAAGCCTCCGAACTGCACACAAACGTCTTAGAAGTGCGCCTTCTTTTTG TGTTATAGTGGTCTCCCAGCCACAGCCAACGCTCCAAGTCCCCAGCTGTGACACA CCTACTGAATTACTACCGTGGGTGGGAGGCCGCCGTGGGCCTTTCCATTACGAGC CTGCTTGCCGAGCCCTGGGCTTGTGCACAGACAAACTGCAGAGCTGGTGGAGGC CACTGCCAGGCCGAGATAAGAAAGAGATGGGGAGCTGCTAATCTCCCCCTGTCC AGCCTGTTGGTGAGGGCTGGGATCTTTGCTCTTGCAGTCATTCCAGAGCCCTGGA CTAGGAGTAGGAAGATCTGAATTGTGGCCCCAACTCTCTTTCGGTTATTAGCTCT GTGACCCTAGGCAAGTCACCTCATCCCTTGATGCCACCCGTTGCTTCTGTAACAT GGTCCCAAAGGTGCCTGTCTTGTCCACCTGATAGGATTTTTGAGACGACAACAAT ATGCAAAAGCAATAGCTTCAACATAGAAGTGCTCAGTGTTTTATTTTTTAATGAA ACGGTTTGACTTGGATATGCTGTGCACATTCAATGAACTTAAGGAATTGTTTGAA CCTAGTAGTTCTGGGACCTTAGAGTCCTTTCTGTGGGCTCCCTGTGGCCCAGAAT TTTGGTGGCCACGTTTAATATCAAGCCTAGCCTAATTTGCAAAGGGTCTCCCAGG GTTAATTTATTGGAGTGATCACATGGAGTAGACCAGAGTCTGAGGGCAGAAAGC TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGT CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG

GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCG ACTCCCCCTCAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACA CACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC GTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTC CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAG AGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTG AATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTC TTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCA CTCTAGGTGCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGA GGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCT GGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACG TCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACC CTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGT AGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACT CTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTC TGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGG TCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCT GATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACT GCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCA ACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCССTСTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCССTGAGGA GGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCCAC TCTGAGACTCAGCTGGCCACAGGCCAGGTTCTGAAGTTCCTTTCTTCCAAGCCAG TGATTCTGGTTCTTGGACAAGGTGTTGAGGAACACTAGAAACAGAGGGGACTGT GACCTGGGGACTTTTTCTGCAGGAAGAAAACAGCCCAAAGATGAGAGTGATTCG CGTGGGTACCCGCAAGAGCCAGGTGGGTGCAGGAGCCGGGGTGGAGGAGGTTTG TCAGAACAGTTATGATGCTCACAGCATCACAAATTGGGGGACTCAGAGGGTTAG

TTCCTAGTATGAAGGAGATGGGGTGGCTGGGCGTTAAGTTCCCCGGGAAATGGC AGATTACATTCTATGGCA
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AGGTCCACTGTCGCAATGTTCCAATTCCCGCCCAGAGGGAGGGACCTCCCCTTC GAGGGAGGGCGCCGGAAGTGACGCGAGGCTCTGCGGAGACCAGGAGTCAGACT GTAGGACGACCTCGGGTCCCACGTGTCCCCGGTACTCGCCGGCCGGAGCCCCCG GCTTCCCGGGGCCGGGGGACCTTAGCGGCACCCACACACAGCCTACTTTCCAAG CGGAGCCATGTCTGGTAACGGCAATGCGGCTGCAACGGCGGTGAGTGCTGAGCC GGTGACCAGCACACTTTGGGCTTCTGGACGAGCCGTGCAGCGATTGGCCCCAGG TTGCCATCCTCAGTCGTCTATTGGTCAGAACGGCTATCTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT TTTTTTTTTTGGTCCGAGTAGCTTTTAAAGGGCCAGTAGCTCGGTTGCCCTCCGGA AGGAATGGGGAAATCAGAGAGCGGTGATACTGGGTTAAGAGTGGAAGGATTGTT TGGAACGGAACTCCGGTCCCTGCGGGCATCTGGGTGGGATTCCCATCAGGCCTG GGATGCACGGCTCTAGATTTAGTGACCCAGACCAAGAACGTTCGTCTACACAGA CGGGGTCCTTTCATTCGAGGCTGGGCTGAGGCGGATGCAGATACGGCCCCTTTGG GAAGACACGTTCCACTTTTGATTCATAGGAGAGAGTATCAGCCAAGCCTCCGAA CTGCACACAAACGTCTTAGAAGTGCGCCTTCTTTTTGTGTTATAGTGGTCTCCCAG CCACAGCCAACGCTCCAAGTCCCCAGCTGTGACACACCTACTGAATTACTACCGT GgGTGGGAGGCCGCCGTGGGCCTTTCCATTACGAGCCTGCTTGCCGAGCCCTGGG CTTGTGCACAGACAAACTGCAGAGCTGGTGGAGGCCACTGCCAGGCCGAGATAA GAAAGAGATGGGGAGCTGCTAATCTCCCCCTGTCCAGCCTGTTGGTGAGGGCTG GGATCTTTGCTCTTGCAGTCATTCCAGAGCCCTGGACTAGGAGTAGGAAGATCTG AATTGTGGCCCCAACTCTCTTTCGGTTATTAGCTCTGTGACCCTAGGCAAGTCAC CTCATCCCTTGATGCCACCCGTTGCTTCTGTAACATGGTCCCAAAGGTGCCTGTCT TGTCCACCTGATAGGATTTTTGAGACGACAACAATATGCAAAAGCAATAGCTTCA ACATAGAAGTGCTCAGTGTTTTATTTTTTAATGAAACGGTTTGACTTGGATATGCT GTGCACATTCAATGAACTTAAGGAATTGTTTGAACCTAGTAGTTCTGGGACCTTA GAGTCCTTTCTGTGGGCTCCCTGTGGCCCAGAATTTTGGTGGCCACGTTTAATATC AAGCCTAGCCTAATTTGCAAAGGGTCTCCCAGGGTTAATTTATTGGAGTGATCAC ATGGAGTAGACCAGAGTCTGAGGGCAGAAAGCTGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGA GGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCATAGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTG AAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAGGCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGC CTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAGGTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGA

GTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACCCCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAA AAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTA AGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAGGTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCG CGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCGACTCCCCCTCAAAAAAAGAA AAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACACACACATATTTTAGCTGGGC ATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGA ATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAGCTGAGAACATGCCACTGCA CTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGA ACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGTTGACTGTCGACAGTATCAG TATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAATGGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTG GTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGCTGGGGCAGGAGGATCACA AGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATGTG AAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTCCAGCTTGAACCCAGGGG TGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGAGCAAAACA GTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAGAGAAATGGGACCTCCGT CTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTGAATACTTTTGTCCAAGG TACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTCTTGACTTGGGCTCTGGC CTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCACTCTAGGTGCACGAACT TTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGAGGCAGAAGAAACCTGA GTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCTGGTGGGACAGTGTACC CAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACGTCAGATCCGTTTACCT CAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACCCTTAATACTGTCAGCT TTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGTAGCCAGACATCTGGGC CTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACTCTAGTCTACTCCATGT GGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTCTGTTGTAGATAATGA ATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGGTCCTGGCTGCCCTGC CCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCTGATGGGCCTTATCTC TTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACTGCCTTGGTCAAGGTG GGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCAACAGCAGGTCCTACT ATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGAGGGCAGAAGGTACT GAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCCACTCTGAGACTCAGCT GGCCACAGGCCAGGTTCTGAAGTTCCTTTCTTCCAAGCCAGTGATTCTGGTTCTT GGACAAGGTGTTGAGGAACACTAGAAACAGAGGGGACTGTGACCTGGGGACTTT TTCTGCAGGAAGAAAACAGCCCAAAGATGAGAGTGATTCGCGTGGGTACCCGCA

AGAGCCAGGTGGGTGCAGGAGCCGGGGTGGAGGAGGTTTGTCAGAACAGTTATG ATGCTCACAGCATCACAAATTGGGGGACTCAGAGGGTTAGTTCCTAGTATGAAG GAGATGGGGTGGCTGGGCGTTAAGTTCCCCGGGAAATGGCAGATTACATTCTAT GGCAAGATCATCCCTAGGCTGGGAAAATTGTTGGAGTGCAGAGGGCTCCCAAGC CCCTTCTCATG

## Supplementary figure 10.5:

Genomic sequence of the mutant luciferase constructs, including tile 8a_protective type and the five mutants. The sequences were retrieved from SnapGene viewer web tool. Marked in bold is the forward and reverse primers respectively, and the red colored bold letter is the mutant (from protective sequence to risk sequence).

## Tile 8a_WT_protective

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGT CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCG AСТСССССТСAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACA CACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC GTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTC CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAG AGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTG AATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTC TTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCA

CTCTAGGTGCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGA GGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCT GGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACG TCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACC CTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGT AGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACT CTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTC TGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGG TCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCT GATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACT GCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCA ACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGA GGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCC

## Tile 8a_rs1799991_G>A

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGAGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGT CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCG ACTCCCCCTCAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACA CACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC GTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTC CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAG AGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTG

AATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTC TTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCA CTCTAGGTGCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGA GGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCT GGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACG TCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACC CTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGT AGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACT CTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTC TGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGG TCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCT GATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACT GCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCA ACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGA GGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAA

## Tile 8a_rs1799992_T > C

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGCAG TCCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGA GGTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGC GACTCCCCCTCAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACAC ACACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACT TGGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTA GCTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTC AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATG TTGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAA TGGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGG CTGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCC CGTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTT CCAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCAC

TCCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGA GAGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGT GAATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTT CTTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGC ACTCTAGGTGCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTG AGGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATC CTGGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTA CGTCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGA CCCTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTT GTAGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGA CTCTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTG TCTGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGT GGTCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGG CTGATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAA CTGCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAG CAACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAG GAGGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCC

## Tile 8a_rs2846282_G>A

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGT CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCA AСТСССССТСAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACA CACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC

GTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTC CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAG AGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTG AATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTC TTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCA CTCTAGGTGCACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGA GGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCT GGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACG TCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACC CTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGT AGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACT CTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTC TGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGG TCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCT GATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACT GCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCA ACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGA GGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCC

## Tile 8a_rs11297272_G>-

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG GTGGATTGCCTGAGCTCAGGAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTAGGGAACACAGTGAAACC CCGTTTCTACTAAAAATACAAAAAATTAGCCGACGTGGTGGCATGCGCCTGTAGT CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGTGACAGAGCGCG AСТСССССТСAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAATATATATATATATATACACACA CACACATATTTTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCCCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGCAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT

GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC
 CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT ССАGССТGАGСААААСАGТGАGАСТСТGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGA GAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTGA ATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTCT TGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACGAGAGGCAGCAC TСТАGGTGСАСGAACTTTAGGGAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGAG
 GTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACGT CAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACCC TTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGTA GCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACTC TAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTGT GTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTGCGCAGTGTGGT CCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCTG ATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACTG CCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCAA САGСАGGTCCTACTATTCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGAG GGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCC

## Tile 8a_rs1799993 C>A

TGTCACCTGCTTCGGCAATAGAGGCCCCAGATGTCTGGGTGCAAAAGAACTCCAT AGCACCCCGACCAACATGGTGAAACCCCGTCTCTACTAAAAATATAAAAATTAG GCCGGGCACAGTGGCTCATGCCTGTAATCCTAGCACTTTGGGAGGCCGAGGCAG

 CCCAGCTACTTGGGAGGCTAAGACAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGGAGGTGGAG GTTGCACTGAGCCGAGACCGCGCCATTGCACTCCAGCGTGGGTGACAGAGCGCG
 САСАСАТАТТТТАGСТGGGCATGGTGGTGTGCGTCTGTAGTAGTCGCAGCTACTT GGGAGGCTGAGTCAGGAGAATCGCTTGAACCTGGAAGGGAGTGGTTGTAGTTAG


#### Abstract

CTGAGAACATGCCACTGCACTCCAGCCTGGGCAACAGAGGGAGACTCTGTCTCA AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGGAACTACATAGGATGAACATCCCAGATCAGGGAATGT TGACTGTCGACAGTATCAGTATCTACAGTGGCTACTGTCTGATGTAGAAAGAAAT GGGATCAGGCTAGGCGTGGTGGCTCACGCCTGTAATCCCAGCACTTTGGGAGGC TGGGGCAGGAGGATCACAAGTTCGAGACCAGCCTGGCCAACACAGTGAAACCCC GTCTCTACTAAAAATGTGAAAATTAGCTGGGCATGGTGGAACATGCCTGTAGTTC CAGCTTGAACCCAGGGGTGGAGGTTGTAGTGAGCCTAGATCACGCCACTGCACT CCAGCCTGAGCAAAACAGTGAGACTCTGTCTAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAGAG AGAAATGGGACCTCCGTCTTAGACTGAAGAATTCAGTTCTACGTGCTTAGCAGTG AATACTTTTGTCCAAGGTACTCTGGCAGGAGGAAGAGGCGTGTCCTCTTGAGTTC TTGACTTGGGCTCTGGCCTGTTAATATTTCCATGTTGGTGAAACCAGAGGCAGCA CTCTAGGTGAACGAACTTTAGGCAGCGCAGCCTCCTAGTCTTATGGAACATCTGA GGCAGAAGAAACCTGAGTCCAACCTTTTCATTTTATAGATGAACAAACAGATCCT GGTGGGACAGTGTACCCAAGGTCACCCAGCCAAGAGGCTGAGCAGGACTGTACG TCAGATCCGTTTACCTCAGTCCTTAATGCATGCAGTCCAGCCAGATTAAGGGACC CTTAATACTGTCAGCTTTCCCCACTGTGGGATCTTCATCCTCTTGACTTCTTTTGT AGCCAGACATCTGGGCCTCTTGCTGGAGAAGGTGGCAGCTTGCTGCTCTTAGACT CTAGTCTACTCCATGTGGCATCTGGATGGCACTGAAATTTTCTCAAGTGCCTTGTC TGTTGTAGATAATGAATCTATCCTCCAGTGACTCAGCACAGGTTCCCCAGTGTGG TCCTGGCTGCCCTGCCCCTGCCAGCTGCAGGCCCCACCCTTCCTGTGGCCAGGCT GATGGGCCTTATCTCTTTACCCACCTGGCTGTGCACAGCACTCCCACTGACAACT GCCTTGGTCAAGGTGGGCTTCAGGGCTCAGTGTCCTGGTTACTGCAGCGGCAGCA ACAGCAGGTCCTACTATCGCCTCCCTCTAGTCTCTGCTTCTCTGGATCCCTGAGGA GGGCAGAAGGTACTGAGGAAGGTTAAAGGGACCAGCCTTGGAGTATTTCCCC


