





https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znab425

Advance Access Publication Date: 7 December 2021

Needle Point

Time to halt perioperative chemotherapy for resectable colorectal liver metastasis?

Kjetil Søreide (D)*

Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Hepatopancreatobiliary Unit, Stavanger University Hospital and University of Bergen, Norway

*Correspondence to: Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Hepatopancreatobiliary Unit, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway and University of Bergen, Norway (e-mail: ksoreide@mac.com)

Colorectal liver metastasis (CRLM) is a treatable condition, with the prospect of cure in some patients in whom surgery and multimodal therapy is possible¹⁻³. Historical boundaries to liver resection (such as number, size, and location of CRLMs) have been replaced by poor cancer biology as the principal prognostic factor⁴⁻⁷. Before liver resection, surgeons now assess what will stay (future liver remnant), rather than contemplating what will go (tumour burden), to determine surgical operability or need for preoperative modifications (for example, portal vein embolization or associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy) to increase resectability and prevent liver failure³. Further technical advances are being introduced, such as simultaneous portal vein and liver vein embolization (liver vein deprivation)8, and are now being assessed in ongoing prospective randomized trials. Systemic cytotoxic therapy (such as oxaliplatin or irinotecan), biological agents (bevacizumab or cetuximab), and immune checkpoint inhibitors have expanded systemic treatment options such that tumours are deemed suitable for resection⁹. Unfortunately, the vast majority (70–80 per cent) experience recurrence after hepatectomy, most often in the liver (regrowth of persistent CRLMs)¹⁰. Repeat liver resection with or without ablation techniques², hepatic artery infusion¹¹, and selective internal radiation therapy¹² or drug delivery are all possible for disease control. There are reports of improved progression-free survival but there may be no gain in overall survival, so the selection of patients is key to limiting what could be non-effective or even futile interventions.

One of the most controversial aspects of CRLM management is perioperative chemotherapy. Of note, the assumed effect of chemotherapy is extrapolated and based on studies of stage III adjuvant and unresectable CRLMs. Novel chemotherapy regimens were tested in patients with resectable CRLMs¹³, but no effect on overall survival was found in the EPOC trial. The resection criteria for CRLMs at the time are now out of date (maximum of 4 metastases). Further confusion was added by the New EPOC trial¹⁴, in which cetuximab (an epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitor that is effective in the absence of RAS pathway mutations) added to perioperative treatment for operable CRLM was associated with worse overall survival¹⁵. The New EPOC study

also included mostly low-burden disease (about 80 per cent had 3 or fewer lesions). The cumulative evidence does not support post-operative chemotherapy after CRLM resection ¹⁶, yet it is included in guidelines (European and North American) and is considered standard of care in some centres around the world ¹⁷.

More recently, the JCOG0603 trial ¹⁸ found that overall survival was no better with oxaliplatin-based therapy after CRLM surgery. Although this trial had no limit on the number of CRLMs (if resectable), the vast majority of patients enrolled had oligometastatic disease (3 or fewer lesions), yet needed dose reduction or had some form of dose delay. Indeed, only two-thirds completed the intended nine cycles of chemotherapy. The 3-year survival rate of 91.8 per cent suggests that metastasectomy alone provides excellent disease control in selected patients.

If there is no overall survival gain from adjuvant chemotherapy in this setting, why is overtreatment (no proven or only modest benefits exist, yet side-effect risks remain) so pervasively persistent? As in much of healthcare, the clinician's desire to do something is much stronger than the decision to do nothing, fed by impressions that more is better. The JCOG0603 trial took 13 years, with slow accrual likely reflecting patients and clinicians being hesitant to randomize to hepatectomy alone as though it was intuitively inferior. Meanwhile half of patients receiving oxaliplatin have evidence of neurotoxicity at 1 year, with symptoms persisting in one-quarter of patients¹⁹. In the JCOG0603 trial¹⁸, most had some neuropathic symptoms and 10 per cent had severe neuropathy. If adjuvant chemotherapy over surgery alone for liver-limited disease (4 or fewer CRLMs) cannot improve survival, we should pause and reflect. Have we asked patients what they want? How is it best to design trials of tailored treatment when current risk scores predict outcomes so inaccurately?^{6,7} Why is primary tumour sideness²⁰ such a strong predictor of overall survival? Better therapy may emerge as we learn from the molecular mimicry, heterogeneity, and clonal evolution of tumours that occur in the treatment journey. As it stands, the liberal use of perioperative chemotherapy in patients with resectable CRLM should be questioned.

Disclosure. The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- Imai K, Allard MA, Castro Benitez C, Vibert E, Sa Cunha A, Cherqui D et al. Long-term outcomes of radiofrequency ablation combined with hepatectomy compared with hepatectomy alone for colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg 2017;104:570–579.
- Isoniemi H, Uutela A, Nordin A, Lantto E, Kellokumpu I, Ovissi A et al. Centralized repeated resectability assessment of patients with colorectal liver metastases during first-line treatment: prospective study. Br J Surg 2021;108:817–825.
- Simoneau E, Hassanain M, Shaheen M, Aljiffry M, Molla N, Chaudhury P et al. Portal vein embolization and its effect on tumour progression for colorectal cancer liver metastases. Br J Surg 2015;102:1240–1249.
- 4. Yamashita S, Chun YS, Kopetz SE, Vauthey JN. Biomarkers in colorectal liver metastases. *Br J Surq* 2018;**105**:618–627.
- Brudvik KW, Kopetz SE, Li L, Conrad C, Aloia TA, Vauthey JN. Meta-analysis of KRAS mutations and survival after resection of colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg 2015;102: 1175–1183.
- Kawaguchi Y, Kopetz S, Tran Cao HS, Panettieri E, De Bellis M, Nishioka Y et al. Contour prognostic model for predicting survival after resection of colorectal liver metastases: development and multicentre validation study using largest diameter and number of metastases with RAS mutation status. Br J Surg 2021; 108:968–975.
- Margonis GA, Sasaki K, Gholami S, Kim Y, Andreatos N, Rezaee N et al. Genetic And Morphological Evaluation (GAME) score for patients with colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg 2018;105: 1210–1220.
- Heil J, Korenblik R, Heid F, Bechstein WO, Bemelmans M, Binkert C et al. Preoperative portal vein or portal and hepatic vein embolization: DRAGON collaborative group analysis. Br J Surg 2021; 108:834–842.
- 9. Kanani A, Veen T, Søreide K. Neoadjuvant immunotherapy in primary and metastatic colorectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 2021; **108**:1417–1425.
- Hallet J, Sa Cunha A, Adam R, Goéré D, Bachellier P, Azoulay D et al.; French Colorectal Liver Metastases Working Group, Association Française de Chirurgie (AFC). Factors influencing recurrence following initial hepatectomy for colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surq 2016;103:1366–1376.
- 11. Datta J, Narayan RR, Goldman DA, Chatila WK, Gonen M, Strong J et al. Distinct genomic profiles are associated with conversion to resection and survival in patients with initially unresectable colorectal liver metastases treated with

- systemic and hepatic artery chemotherapy. Ann Surg 2020; DOI: 10.1097/SLA.000000000004613.
- Garlipp B, Gibbs P, Van Hazel GA, Jeyarajah R, Martin RCG, Bruns CJ et al. Secondary technical resectability of colorectal cancer liver metastases after chemotherapy with or without selective internal radiotherapy in the randomized SIRFLOX trial. Br J Surg 2019;106:1837–1846.
- 13. Nordlinger B, Sorbye H, Glimelius B, Poston GJ, Schlag PM, Rougier P et al.; Fédération Francophone de Cancérologie Digestive (FFCD). Perioperative chemotherapy with FOLFOX4 and surgery versus surgery alone for resectable liver metastases from colorectal cancer (EORTC Intergroup trial 40983): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2008;371:1007–1016.
- Primrose J, Falk S, Finch-Jones M, Valle J, O'Reilly D, Siriwardena A et al. Systemic chemotherapy with or without cetuximab in patients with resectable colorectal liver metastasis: the New EPOC randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 2014;15:601–611.
- Bridgewater JA, Pugh SA, Maishman T, Eminton Z, Mellor J, Whitehead A, et al.; New EPOC investigators. Systemic chemotherapy with or without cetuximab in patients with resectable colorectal liver metastasis (New EPOC): long-term results of a multicentre, randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2020;21:398–411.
- Bosma NA, Keehn AR, Lee-Ying R, Karim S, MacLean AR, Brenner DR. Efficacy of perioperative chemotherapy in resected colorectal liver metastasis: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Surg Oncol 2021;47:3113–3122.
- Kawaguchi Y, Vauthey JN. The landmark series: randomized control trials examining perioperative chemotherapy and postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy for resectable colorectal liver metastasis. Ann Surg Oncol 2020; 27:4263–4270.
- Kanemitsu Y, Shimizu Y, Mizusawa J, Inaba Y, Hamaguchi T, Shida D et al.; JCOG Colorectal Cancer Study Group. Hepatectomy followed by mFOLFOX6 versus hepatectomy alone for liver-only metastatic colorectal cancer (JCOG0603): a phase II or III randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol 2021; 39:3789–3799.
- Teng C, Cohen J, Egger S, Blinman PL, Vardy JL. Systematic review of long-term chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) following adjuvant oxaliplatin for colorectal cancer. Support Care Cancer 2021;30:33–47.
- Wang XY, Zhang R, Wang Z, Geng Y, Lin J, Ma K et al. Metaanalysis of the association between primary tumour location and prognosis after surgical resection of colorectal liver metastases. Br J Surg 2019;106:1747–1760.