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#### Abstract

Purpose: To identify modifiable risk factors in early midlife associated with incident hypertension 26 years later in women and men. Materials and methods: We used data from 1025 women and 703 men in the communitybased Hordaland Health Study examined at the mean age of 42 years (baseline) and after a 26 year follow-up. Patients with hypertension at baseline were excluded. Blood pressure (BP) was classified according to European guidelines. Factors associated with incident hypertension were identified in logistic regression analyses. Results: At baseline, women had a lower average BP and a lower prevalence of high-normal BP ( $19 \%$ vs $37 \%, p<.05$ ). Overall, $39 \%$ of women and $45 \%$ of men developed hypertension during follow-up ( $p<.05$ ). Among those with high-normal BP at baseline, $72 \%$ of women and $58 \%$ of men developed hypertension ( $p<.01$ ). In multivariable logistic regression analyses, high-normal BP at baseline was a stronger predictor of incident hypertension in women (odds ratio, OR 4.8, [95\% confidence interval, CI 3.4-6.9]) than in men (OR 2.1, [95\% Cl 1.5-2.8]), $p<.01$ for sex interaction. A higher baseline body mass index (BMI) was associated with incident hypertension in both sexes. Conclusions: High-normal BP in midlife is a stronger risk factor for developing hypertension 26 years later in women than in men, independent of BMI.

\section*{PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY} - There is a knowledge gap regarding the understanding of sex differences in hypertension and cardiovascular disease. The World Health Organisation has identified hypertension as the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in women. - This manuscript focuses on sex differences in risk factors in early midlife associated with the development of hypertension 26 years later. We studied 1025 women and 703 men who participated in the community-based Hordaland Health Study at the age of 42 years, and after 26 years. Factors associated with hypertension were identified in statistical analyses. - Our main findings were that having a high-normal blood pressure (systolic blood pressure $130-139 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or a diastolic blood pressure $85-89 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) in midlife was a significantly stronger risk factor for the development of hypertension in women than in men during fol-low-up. Having a higher body mass index in midlife was associated with the development of hypertension in both sexes. - This study contributes to the understanding of sex differences in hypertension development and adds further knowledge regarding high-normal blood pressure as a particularly important risk factor for hypertension and cardiovascular disease in women.
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## Introduction

Hypertension is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) in both women and men, pointing to the importance of preventing hypertension [1,2].

Although BP is lower in young women than in men of similar age, all components of BP increase more steeply in women starting as early as in the third decade of life [2]. The mechanisms behind this sex

[^0]difference in the development of BP are incompletely understood but have been attributed to reproductive events in women such as hypertensive pregnancy complications and menopause [3,4]. However, hypertensive pregnancy disorders affect only $5 \%-10 \%$ of pregnancies [5], and a rapid BP increase during the first year after menopause was found in only $35 \%$ of women in the Study across the Nation [3,6]. Furthermore, Cifkova et al. found that new-onset hypertension during the menopausal transition was particularly common in women with a clustering of metabolic risk factors [7].

In studies that include both sexes, weight gain has been suggested to be the main cause of the development of hypertension [8-10]. In the Framingham Heart Study, a 5\% weight gain in midlife was associated with $20-30 \%$ increased odds of hypertension over 4 years [9]. In normotensive, middle-aged participants in the Strong Heart Study, increased waist circumference, reflecting visceral obesity, was a main predictor of hypertension 8 years later [10]. However, these studies had a relatively short follow-up time, and most did not report sex-specific results. Thus, there is a lack of sex-specific information on health factors in midlife associated with incident hypertension later in life. In this study population, we have previously shown that the development of hypertension during the first six years of follow-up was associated with high-normal BP at baseline in both sexes [8]. The current study aimed to expand these findings with a follow-up period of up to 26 years.

## Methods

## Study population

The Hordaland Health study is a community-based study in Hordaland County in Western Norway, initiated as a collaboration between the National Health Screening Service, the University of Bergen, and local health services in 1992 (https://husk-en.w.uib.no/) [11,12]. Three surveys have been conducted within the Hordaland Health Study, in 1992/93, 1997/99 and 2018-2020, respectively. Participants were invited based on year of birth and site of residence. In total, approximately 36,000 residents of Hordaland County participated in the two first surveys. Participants born in 1950-51, who had participated in both previous surveys, were invited for the third survey. From a total of 4849 individuals born 1950-51 who participated in the first survey, 3733 participated also in the second survey. Of these, 3304 were still alive and living in Hordaland County in 2018. These participants
received a personal invitation by mail, and 2252 accepted the invitation and signed electronic informed consent. Of these, 2183 (64\%) showed up at the clinical visit.

The current study focuses on the 1194 women and 989 men born in 1950-51 who participated in both the first survey at age of 42 years (baseline) and in the follow-up surveys after 26 years. Participants who lacked data on BP $(n=8)$, body mass index (BMI) $(n=2)$, heart rate $(n=1)$, level of education $(n=7)$, and patients with hypertension or on antihypertensive medication at baseline were excluded $(n=380)$. Thus, a total of 1025 women and 703 men were eligible for the present analyses.

All participants provided their informed consent. The present study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (2017/294).

## Baseline blood pressure measurements

Attended BP measurements were performed by trained nurses and bioengineers. Brachial BP was measured with calibrated sphygmomanometers and the appropriate cuff size using a Dinamap 845 XT or Dinamap 8100 sphygmomanometer (Critikon, Tampa, FL) at baseline and by an OMRON HEM-907 (OMRON Healthcare, Kyoto, Japan) at follow-up. Three measurements were performed at 1 -minute intervals after a minimum of 5 min of initial rest in the seated position. The average of the two last measurements was taken as the clinic BP and used for the analyses. BP was classified according to the European Society of Cardiology/European Society of Hypertension guidelines[13]. High-normal BP was defined as a systolic BP of $130-139 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or a diastolic BP of $85-89 \mathrm{mmHg}$. Hypertension was defined as a systolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or a diastolic BP $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

## Other cardiovascular risk factors

Medical history, smoking, alcohol intake, education, menopausal status, drug use including contraceptive pills, hormone replacement therapy, antihypertensive medication, and time since last meal were collected in self-reported questionnaires in both surveys. Menopause was defined as 1 year since the last menstruation. Height was measured to the nearest centimetre, and weight with light clothing to the nearest half-kilogram on a calibrated scale. BMI was
calculated as weight in $\mathrm{kg} /$ height $^{2}$. Overweight was defined as a BMI $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Smoking was defined as current daily smoking. Level of education was classified as (1) primary education/lower secondary school, (2) upper secondary school, and (3) higher education. Physical activity level was classified as sedentary or non-sedentary. Sedentary participants performed less than 4 h of moderate or physically vigorous activity a week. One alcohol unit is defined as one glass of wine, a half-litre of ordinary strength lager, or a single shot of spirits.

## Outcome

Incident hypertension at follow-up after 26 years, defined as measured systolic $\mathrm{BP} \geq 140 \mathrm{mmHg}$ or diastolic BP $\geq 90 \mathrm{mmHg}$, or self-reported use of antihypertensive medication.

## Statistical analyses

Analyses were done using the STATA statistical software package, version 17 (StataCorp, Texas, USA). Continuous variables are expressed as means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR), and categorical variables as numbers and percentages. Comparisons between groups were done using the Student's $t$-test, analyses of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey post hoc test, or by the Chisquare test, as appropriate. For non-normally distributed variables (serum triglycerides) comparisons between groups were done by quantile regression. Baseline health factors associated with incident hypertension after 26 years of follow-up were identified in uni- and multivariable logistic regression analyses. Results are reported as odds ratios (OR) with $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) and $p$-values. The main model included baseline BMI, high-normal BP, heart rate, physical activity, daily smoking, serum total cholesterol, serum triglycerides and level of education at baseline as covariates. We also ran this analysis, excluding participants who experienced a CVD event during follow-up. To assess the impact of the clinical condition 'overweight' we performed a secondary analysis where we replaced baseline BMI with overweight (BMI $\geq 25.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ). A supplementary model aimed to identify associations between changes in metabolic factors (BMI, serum total cholesterol and serum triglycerides) over 26 years with incident hypertension in uni- and multivariable logistic regression analyses. This model was adjusted for heart rate, physical activity, daily smoking, level of education at baseline, and
changes in BMI, serum total cholesterol, and serum triglycerides. To test for interactions between explanatory variables and sex in the total study population, we compared a model with an interaction term with a model without an interaction term, using the likeli-hood-ratio test. A two-tailed $p$-value of $<.05$ was considered statistically significant.

## Results

At baseline, women had lower systolic and diastolic BP, and a lower prevalence of high-normal BP than men (all $p<.001$ ) (Table 1). Among participants with a high-normal BP at baseline, a larger proportion of men than women had high-normal systolic BP ( $94 \%$ vs $85 \%$ $p=.002$ ), while a larger proportion of women than men had high-normal diastolic BP ( $37 \%$ vs $28 \%$, $p=.04$ ). The prevalence of combined high-normal systolic and high-normal diastolic BP did not differ by sex ( $p=.90$ ). At baseline, women also had a lower BMI, serum triglycerides and serum total cholesterol, a higher heart rate, and a smaller proportion were overweight (all $p<.001$ ) (Table 1). No significant differences between sexes were found for physical activity or smoking (both $p>.7$ ), but men had a higher intake of alcohol ( $p<.001$ ). At baseline, $1.1 \%$ of women reported having passed menopause. Five participants had diabetes at baseline, one woman and four men.

When dividing women and men into groups with normal and high-normal BP at baseline, we found a higher proportion of overweight in women with a high-normal BP compared to those with normal BP, while this was not seen in men. (Table 2).

## Factors associated with incident hypertension after 26 years of follow-up

In total, 403 (39\%) women and 318 ( $45 \%$ ) men had developed hypertension after 26 years of follow-up ( $p<.05$ ). Of these, $70 \%$ of women and $72 \%$ of men ( $p=.41$ ) used antihypertensive medication. Among participants with normal BP at baseline, $32 \%$ of women and $38 \%$ of men developed hypertension ( $p<.001$ ). Among participants with high-normal BP at baseline, $72 \%$ of women and $58 \%$ of men developed hypertension during follow-up ( $p<.001$ ) (Figure 1). Women and men who remained normotensive at the last fol-low-up were characterised by lower BMI and lower serum triglycerides than their hypertensive counterparts. Furthermore, women who remained normotensive also had higher serum total cholesterol and lower heart rate than women with hypertension at follow-up (Table S1).

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population at baseline and 26-year follow-up.

|  | Baseline |  |  | 26-year follow-up |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | $p$ | Women | Men | $p$ |
| Variables |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age (years) | $42 \pm 0.7$ | $42 \pm 0.7$ | . 316 | $68 \pm 0.8$ | $68 \pm 0.7$ | . 037 |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | $119 \pm 10$ | $126 \pm 8$ | <. 001 | $125 \pm 16$ | $128 \pm 14$ | . 001 |
| Diastolic BP ( mmHg ) | $73 \pm 7$ | $76 \pm 7$ | <. 001 | $73 \pm 10$ | $73 \pm 10$ | . 973 |
| HNBP ( $N$ (\%)) | 190 (19) | 262 (37) | <. 001 |  |  |  |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $23.4 \pm 3.1$ | $24.7 \pm 2.5$ | <. 001 | $25.7 \pm 4.4$ | $26.6 \pm 3.5$ | . 001 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | $73 \pm 12$ | $67 \pm 12$ | <. 001 | $71 \pm 10$ | $66 \pm 11$ | <. 001 |
| Smoking ( $N$ (\%)) | 324 (32) | 229 (32) | . 673 | 92 (9) | 57 (8) | . 528 |
| Alcohol (units per week) | $2 \pm 2$ | $4 \pm 5$ | <. 001 |  |  |  |
| Physical activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sedentary ( $N$ (\%)) | 162 (16) | 112 (16) | . 943 |  |  |  |
| s-total cholesterol (mmol/L) | $5.3 \pm 0.9$ | $5.6 \pm 1.0$ | <. 001 | $5.6 \pm 1.0$ | $4.8 \pm 1.0$ | $<.001$ |
| s -triglyerides (mmol/L) | 1.0 (0.7-1.3) | 1.5 (1.1-2.2) | <. 001 | 1.3 (1.0-1.8) | 1.3 (1.0-1.8) | . 247 |
| Overweight ( $N(\%)$ ) | 248 (24) | 288 (41) | <. 001 | 549 (54) | 463 (66) | <. 001 |
| Diabetes ( $N$ (\%)) | 1 (0.1) | 4 (0.6) | . 073 | 46 (5) | 49 (7.0) | . 026 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{s}$-total cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |  | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ | $-0.76 \pm 0.05$ | <. 001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |  |  |  | $2.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.8 \pm 0.1$ | <. 001 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{s}$-triglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |  | $0.35 \pm 0.02$ | $-0.26 \pm 0.04$ | <. 001 |
| Education ( $N$ (\%)) |  |  | <. 001 |  |  |  |
| Primary/lower secondary school | 213 (20) | 89 (13) |  |  |  |  |
| Upper secondary school | 441 (42) | 274 (38) |  |  |  |  |
| Higher education | 407 (38) | 351 (49) |  |  |  |  |
| Lipid-lowering medication ( $N(\%)$ ) |  |  |  | 259 (34) | 229 (46) | $<.001$ |
| BP-lowering medication ( N (\%)) |  |  |  | 284 (28) | 231 (33) | . 021 |

The Hordaland Health Studies. BP: Blood pressure; BMI: body mass index; HNBP: High-normal blood pressure; s-: serum.
For serum triglycerides, the data are given as median, interquartile range (IQR). The other continuous variables are given as mean $\pm$ SD (standard deviation) Other variables as number ( $N$ ) and percentage (\%). $\Delta$-values refer to change between baseline and follow-up. $p ; p$-value. $p$-values for differences between women and men.

Table 2. Characteristics of women and men grouped according to BP categories at baseline.

|  | Women |  | Men |  | ANOVA <br> $p$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ( $n=1025$ ) |  | ( $n=703$ ) |  |  |
|  | Normal BP $n=835$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HNBP } \\ n=190 \end{gathered}$ | Normal BP $n=441$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HNBP } \\ n=262 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | $115 \pm 8^{\text {\# }}$ | $133 \pm 5^{\text {¢ }}$ | $121 \pm 6$ | $133 \pm 4^{\text {§ }}$ |  |
| Diastolic BP ( mmHg ) | $71 \pm 6^{\text {\# }}$ | $81 \pm 6^{\S}$ | $74 \pm 5.8$ | $80 \pm 6^{\text {§ }}$ |  |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $23.2 \pm 2.9^{\#}$ | $24.3 \pm 3.5^{\text {§ }}$ | $24.5 \pm 2.4$ | $25.1 \pm 2.7^{\text {§ }}$ | <. 001 |
| Heart rate (beats/min) | $72 \pm 11^{\text {\# }}$ | $76 \pm 13^{*, 8}$ | $66 \pm 11$ | $68 \pm 13^{\text {§ }}$ | . 001 |
| Smoking ( $N$, (\%)) | 259 (31) | 65 (24) | 152 (34) | 77 (29) | . 415 |
| Alcohol (units per week) | $2.0 \pm 2.9^{\#}$ | $2.0 \pm 2.6^{*}$ | $3.5 \pm 3.7$ | $4.2 \pm 5.6$ | . 001 |
| Sedentary ( $N$ (\%)) | 133 (16) | 29 (15) | 65 (15) | 47 (18) | . 725 |
| s - cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $5.3 \pm 0.9^{\#}$ | $5.4 \pm 0.9 *$ | $5.6 \pm 1.0$ | $5.7 \pm 1.0$ | <. 001 |
| s -triglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $1.1 \pm 0.6^{\#}$ | $1.2 \pm 0.7^{*}$ | $1.7 \pm 0.9$ | $1.9 \pm 1.2^{\text {8 }}$ | <. 001 |
| Overweight ( $N(\%)$ ) | 179 (21) | 69 (36) ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 170 (39) | 118 (45) | <. 001 |
| Higher education ( $N(\%)$ ) | 334 (40) | 60 (32)* | 222 (50) | 121 (46) | <. 001 |

The Hordaland Health Studies. BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; HNBP: High-normal blood pressure; s-: serum; serum cholesterol: Total cholesterol.
${ }^{*} p<.05$ for the difference between women and men in HNBP.
${ }^{\#} p<.05$ for differences between women versus men in the normal BP group.
${ }^{s} p<.05$ for the difference within the same sex, between groups of normal BP and high normal BP.

## Women

In univariate analyses, incident hypertension at the 26 year follow-up was associated with high-normal BP, overweight, higher BMI, and serum triglycerides at baseline and with lower education (all $p<.02$ ). No association was found with menopausal status at baseline. In multivariable analyses, incident hypertension was associated with high-normal BP and higher BMI at baseline (Table 3), and when BMI was replaced by overweight in the model, also with baseline overweight (all $p<.001$ ) (Table S2). Being a daily smoker was associated with a
lower risk of incident hypertension in women, in both univariate and multivariable analyses (Table 3). Excluding women who experienced CVD during followup (1.6\%) did not change the results for the association of high-normal BP with incident hypertension (OR 3.98 [ $95 \%$ CI 2.84-5.61], $p<.001$ ).

In a secondary adjusted model, an increase in BMI over 26 years of follow-up was significantly associated with incident hypertension in women (Table S3). Furthermore, a decrease in serum total cholesterol


Figure 1. Prevalence of BP categories in women and men at baseline and after 26-year follow-up.

Table 3. Health factors in midlife associated with incident hypertension 26 years later.

|  | Women |  |  |  | Men |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Univariate |  | Multivariable |  | Univariate |  | Multivariable |  |
|  | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ |
| Systolic BP (mmHg) | 1.08 (1.07-1.10) | <. 001 |  |  | 1.06 (1.04-1.08) | <. 001 |  |  |
| Diastolic BP ( mmHg ) | 1.12 (1.10-1.14) | <. 001 |  |  | 1.07 (1.05-1.10) | <. 001 |  |  |
| High-normal BP ( mmHg ) | 5.36 (3.79-7.58) | <. 001 | 4.81 (3.37-6.86) | $<.001$ | 1. (1.63-3.05) | <. 001 | 2.07 (1.50-2.85) | $<.001$ |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | 1.12 (1.08-1.17) | <. 001 | 1.11 (1.05-1.16) | <. 001 | 1.12 (1.05-1.19) | <. 001 | 1.08 (1.01-1.15) | . 018 |
| Heart rate (Beats/min) | 1.02 (1.01-1.03) | . 002 | 1.01 (1.00-1.02) | . 232 | 1.01 (1.00-1.03) | . 026 | 1.00 (0.99-1.02) | . 521 |
| Daily smoker | 0.66 (0.50-0.86) | . 002 | 0.67 (0.50-0.90) | . 008 | 0.78 (0.57-1.07) | . 128 | 0.83 (0.58-1.19) | . 307 |
| Alcohol (units per week) | 1.02 (0.97-1.08) | . 349 |  |  | 1.00 (0.96-1.04) | . 970 |  |  |
| Sedentary | 1.29 (0.92-1.80) | . 146 | 1.24 (0.86-1.79) | . 245 | 1.20 (0.80-1.80) | . 370 | 1.01 (0.66-1.56) | . 956 |
| s -Cholesterol ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 1.11 (0.96-1.30) | . 152 | 0.96 (0.82-1.12) | . 579 | 1.16 (1.00-1.35) | . 045 | 1.05 (0.90-1.24) | . 534 |
| s -Triglycerides ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 1.26 (1.04-1.52) | . 018 | 0.99 (0.79-1.23) | . 908 | 1.26 (1.09-1.46) | . 002 | 1.11 (0.94-1.30) | . 217 |
| Primary/lower secondary school | Reference |  | Reference |  | Reference |  | Reference |  |
| Upper secondary school | 0.97 (0.69-1.36) | . 864 | 0.96 (0.67-1.38) | . 845 | 0.89 (0.55-1.43) | . 624 | 0.98 (0.59-1.62) | . 938 |
| Higher education | 0.67 (0.47-0.94) | . 022 | 0.80 (0.55-1.17) | . 250 | 0.61 (0.38-0.98) | . 043 | 0.75 (0.45-1.23) | . 252 |

Logistic regression analyses. The Hordaland Health Studies. OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; p: p-value; BMI: body mass index; BP: blood pressure; $s$-: serum; Serum-Cholesterol: Total cholesterol. Model adjusted for baseline BMI, high-normal BP, heart rate, physical activity, daily smoking, serum cholesterol, and serum triglycerides.
and an increase in serum triglycerides were associated with incident hypertension in women (Table S3).

## Men

In univariate analyses, incident hypertension was associated with baseline high-normal BP, lower education, overweight, as well as higher BMI, heart rate, serum triglycerides, and serum total cholesterol at baseline (all $p<.05$ ). In multivariable analyses, incident hypertension was associated with having a highnormal BP and higher BMI at baseline (both $p<.02$ ) (Table 3). Excluding men who experienced CVD
during follow-up (6.8\%) did not change the results for the association of high-normal BP with incident hypertension (OR 2.07 [ $95 \%$ CI 1.50-2.87], $p<.001$ ).

In a secondary adjusted model, an increase in BMI and a decrease in serum total cholesterol over 26 years of follow-up were significantly associated with incident hypertension in men (Table S2).

## Sex-interaction analysis

Having a high-normal BP at baseline was a significant risk factor for incident hypertension at follow-up in both sexes, but the association was stronger for
women (Figure 2), as documented with a significant interaction test for sex $(p<.001)$. Sex interaction remained significant ( $p=.001$ ) in the model excluding participants who experienced CVD during follow-up. Being overweight at baseline was significantly associated with incident hypertension in the multivariable analysis for women only (Figure 2) (Table S3), but a significant interaction test for sex was not found ( $p=.34$ ).

No sex difference was documented in sex-interaction analyses for the association between change in metabolic variables during follow-up and incident hypertension (all $p>.05$ ).

## Discussion

The present study adds sex-specific knowledge on the association of health factors in early midlife with incident hypertension in the subsequent 26 years. Women with high-normal BP in their early 40s had a significantly higher risk of developing hypertension during follow-up than their male counterparts, independent of the presence of overweight. Our findings expand the previous observation of a greater increase in BP in women than men during early midlife [8] and demonstrates that high-normal BP in early midlife is particularly associated with increased long-term risk for hypertension in women.

It is well known that high-normal BP can progress to hypertension, especially when clustering of metabolic risk factors is present [8-10]. However, sex-specific data are scarce. In the Framingham Heart Study, Vasan et al. found that the progression from highnormal BP to hypertension was twice as common in middle-aged subjects compared to older subjects [9]. In American Indians participating in the Strong Heart Study, $38 \%$ of, on average 59 years old participants with high-normal BP, developed hypertension during 4 years of follow-up [10]. The progression to hypertension was particularly associated with a higher baseline waist circumference and the presence of diabetes or left ventricular hypertrophy [10].

High-normal BP in early midlife has emerged as a particularly important risk factor for CVD in women [14-17]. In a recent meta-analysis of 47 studies, highnormal BP was associated with a 2 -fold higher risk of CVD [14]. Wang et al. found that this risk was limited to subjects younger than 70 years of age in the general population of the United States [15]. In the Hordaland Health Study, we previously reported that high-normal BP in early midlife was associated with a 2-fold increased risk for hospitalisation for acute coronary syndromes in women before the age of 60 years, independent of the presence of other CVD risk factors, while this risk was not observed in men at similar age [16]. Similar observations have been reported from the UK Biobank study, finding high-normal BP


Figure 2. Associations of high-normal BP and overweight with risk of incident hypertension over 26 years in women and men. $P$ for sex-difference is the p -value for sex-interaction in multivariable regression analyses.
to carry a $40 \%$ higher risk for acute myocardial infarction in women than in men younger than 60 years [17].

In a previous report from the Hordaland Health Study, a single unit higher BMI in 42-year-old subjects was associated with an $11 \%$ higher risk of hypertension in women and $10 \%$ in men over 6 years of follow-up [8]. In the present study, higher BMI in early midlife remained associated with an increased risk of incident hypertension during 26 years of fol-low-up in both women and men. Despite overweight being more common in men than women at baseline, overweight was associated with incident hypertension during follow-up only in women after adjustment for other risk factors. This is in line with previous findings in the Strong Heart Study [10]. The significant association of daily smoking with reduced risk of incident hypertension is in line with findings in previous observational studies [18].

Dyslipidemia is highly prevalent in subjects with high-normal BP and is associated with atheromatosis and an increased risk of CVD [19]. Previous publications have documented sex differences in the life course development of serum total cholesterol, as also reflected in our results [20]. As expected, more men than women reported the use of lipid-lowering medication at follow-up. However, no significant associations between serum total cholesterol at baseline with incident hypertension was found in the adjusted analysis in either sex.

## Study limitations

The Hordaland Health Study was performed in a limited geographical area in Western Norway and the study included primarily Caucasians. Generalisability to other ethnicities should be done with caution. Among patients with hypertension at the last followup, $53 \%$ were classified based on self-reported use of antihypertensive medication, pointing to the potential for improving the management of hypertension and preventing CVD. It is also possible that some of these participants were using these medications for other indications and thus could have been misclassified. However, fewer than $4 \%$ of participants experienced hospitalisation for myocardial infarction, angina pectoris, heart failure, or stroke during follow-up.

Hypertensive disorders complicate $5-10 \%$ of pregnancies and are associated with an increased risk of hypertension and increased arterial stiffness in midlife [4,21]. We did not have information on hypertensive disorders during pregnancy in our cohort. BP
classification was based on BP measured in triplets at a single baseline visit, and therefore, the prevalence of hypertension at baseline may have been overestimated. Since fasting blood sugar was not measured at baseline, the prevalence of diabetes may have been underestimated. However, obesity at baseline was rare, $3.2 \%$ in our cohort, reducing the probability of type 2 diabetes. Finally, we did not have information regarding serum LDL and HDL cholesterol levels or the use of cholesterol-lowering drugs. The prospective design, the size of the study sample, the high participation rate above $64 \%$ in both surveys, and the length of follow-up are strengths of our study.

## Conclusion

In the Hordaland Health study, having a high-normal BP in midlife was a stronger risk factor for the development of hypertension later in life in women than in men. Having a higher BMI in midlife was associated with incident hypertension in both sexes. Systematic follow-up of subjects with high-normal BP in their forties may improve early detection of hypertension in women.
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