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#### Abstract

The cross-correlation between two maximum length sequences ( $m$-sequences) of the same period has been studied since the end of 1960s. One open conjecture by Helleseth states that the crosscorrelation between any two $p$-ary $m$-sequences takes on the value -1 for at least one shift provided that the decimation $d$ obeys $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$. This was known as the -1 Conjecture. Up to now, the -1 Conjecture was confirmed for the following decimations: (1) Niho-type decimations, i.e., $d=s\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}-1\right)+1$, where $s$ is an integer; (2) all the complete permutation polynomial (CPP) exponents $d$ satisfying $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$, and (3) the additional families of decimations tabulated in this paper. In this paper, we first discuss the connection between the -1 conjecture on crosscorrelation of $m$-sequences and CPP exponents, then we confirm the -1 conjecture for a new type of decimations by giving a new class of CPP exponents. The decimations are of the type $d=1+l\left(p^{r t m}-1\right) /(r+1)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$, where $p$ is a prime, $r+1$ is an odd prime satisfying $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1), t$ is an odd integer $(t>2$ if $p=2)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$, and $m$ is a positive integer. We transform the problem of determining whether $d$ is a CPP exponent into investigating the existence of irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ satisfying a congruence equation. By a theorem given by Rosen that considered the number of irreducible polynomials with a special congruence relation, we prove that $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ for sufficiently large $t$. When $m$ is odd, our new CPP exponents are of Niho type; thus, we give a new class of CPP exponents of Niho type. When $m$ is even, we obtain a new class of CPP exponents which are not of Niho type. As a consequence, we show that the -1 conjecture is true for $d=1+l\left(p^{r t m}-1\right) /(r+1)$ when $t$ is a sufficiently large integer.


Index Terms Cross-correlation, $m$-sequences, Permutation polynomials, Finite Fields, Irreducible polynomials.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $p$ be a prime and $\{s(i)\}_{i=0}^{p^{n}-2}$ be a $p$-ary $m$-sequence of period $p^{n}-1$, where $n$ is a positive integer. The $d$-decimated sequence of $\{s(i)\}$ given by $\{s(d i)\}$ is also an $m$-sequence if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p^{n}-1\right)=1$. The cross-correlation function between $\{s(i)\}$ and its $d$-decimated sequence $\{s(d i)\}$ is defined by

$$
C_{d}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{n}-2} \xi^{s(i+t)-s(d i)}
$$

where $0 \leq t<p^{n}-1$, and $\xi=e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{p}}$ is a complex primitive $p$-th root of unity. In [24], Helleseth proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 ([24, Conjecture 5.1]) Suppose $p$ is a prime. Let $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p^{n}-1\right)=1$. When $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-$ $1)$, then -1 is one of the values that $C_{d}(t)$ takes on.

There is a natural connection between Conjecture 1 and complete permutation monomials. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ be a finite field of $p^{n}$ elements. We denote by $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[x]$ is called a permutation polynomial (PP) if the associated polynomial mapping $f: c \mapsto f(c)$ from $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ to itself is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[x]$ is called a complete permutation polynomial (CPP) if both $f(x)$ and $f(x)+x$ are permutations of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. It is an interesting and important problem to find permutation polynomials with good cryptographic properties such as high nonlinearity $[5,8,21]$, low differential uniformity $[6,7,22,45]$, low $c$-differential uniformity $[18,23,39]$, and low boomerang uniformity [34, 36, 40, 50].

Conjecture 1 can be connected to the CPP exponents which are defined as follows.
Definition 1 For a positive integer d and $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$, a monomial function $a x^{d}$ is a complete permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p^{n}-1\right)=1$ and ax $x^{d}+x$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Such $d$ is called a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

To the best of our knowledge, Conjecture 1 was confirmed for the following cases: (1) Niho-type decimations [42], i.e., $d=s\left(p^{\frac{n}{2}}-1\right)+1$, where $s$ is an integer [12, 17, 25, 46]; (2) all the CPP exponents $d$ satisfying $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$, and (3) all the exponents listed in Table 1. In Table 2, we summarize some known CPP exponents over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. In 2008, Charpin and Kyureghyan [13] determined all the parameters $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $a \neq 0$ such that $x^{2^{i}+2}+a x$ are permutation polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. In 2014, Tu, Zeng, and Hu [51] gave three classes of CPP exponents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$. In [52], a class of CPP exponents over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{n}}$ of Niho type was given. Some classes of CPP exponents of the form $d=\frac{2^{t m}-1}{2^{m}-1}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{t m}}$ were given in [54]. The CPP exponents of the form $\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ were studied in [2] for the cases $n=2$ and $n=3,[55]$ for the case $n=4,[43]$ for the case $n=5$, and [3] for the case $n=6$. In 2016, Bartoli et al.

Table 1: Exponents $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ such that -1 occurs as a value of $C_{d}(t)$

| $p$ | $n$ | $d$ | $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$ | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | any integer | $2^{m}+1$ or $2^{2 m}-2^{m}+1$ <br> $n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, m)$ is odd | YES | $[22,29]$ |
| 2 | $n=2 m$ with $m$ odd | $2^{m+1}+3^{1}$ or $2^{m}+2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}+1$ | YES | $[14]$ |
| 2 | $n=2 m+1$ | $2^{m}+3$ | YES | $[11,20]$ |
| 2 | $n$ odd | $2^{2 m}+2^{m}-1, n \mid 4 m+1$ | YES | $[20]$ |
| 3 | $n=2 m+1$ | $2 \cdot 3^{m}+1$ | YES | $[15]$ |
| 3 | $n$ odd | $3^{m}+2, n \mid 4 m-1$ | YES | $[15,30]$ |
| odd prime | any integer | $\left(p^{2 m}+1\right) / 2$ or $p^{2 m}-p^{m}+1$ <br> $n / \operatorname{gcd}(n, m)$ is odd | YES | $[24,49]$ |
| 3 | $n=3 m$ | $3^{m}+2$ or $3^{2 m}+2$ | YES | $[57,59]$ |
| 2 or 3 | any integer | $p^{n}-2$ | YES | $[31,32]$ |
| 2 | $n=4 m$ with odd $m$ | $2^{2 m}+2^{m}+1$ | YES | $[16]$ |
| 2 | $n$ odd | $\left(2^{l}+1\right) /\left(2^{m}+1\right)$, <br> $(l, m) \in\{(2 t, t),(5 t, t),(5 t, 3 t)\}$ | YES | $[28,58]$ |
| odd prime | $4 \mid p^{n}-1$ | $\frac{p^{n}-1}{2}+p^{i}$ | YES for even $n$ | $[24]$ |
| 2 | $n=4 m$ with even $m$ | $2^{2 m}-2^{m}+1$ | YES | $[26]$ |
| $p \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ | $n$ even | $\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+p^{i}$ | YES | $[24]$ |
| prime | $n=4 m, p^{m} \neq 2 \bmod 3$ | $\frac{p^{n}-1}{3} p^{i} \neq 2(\bmod 3)$ | $p^{2 m}-p^{m}+1$ | YES |
|  | $[27]$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1} 2^{m+1}+3$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2 m}}$ for odd $m$, see Table 2.
[4] classified complete permutation monomials of degree $d=\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$, where $q$ is odd, $n+1$ is a prime and $(n+1)^{4}<q$. In 2019, by using Dickson polynomials and the AGW criteria, Feng et al. [19] further studied the CPP exponents of the form $\frac{q^{n}-1}{q-1}+1$ and showed that [55, Conjecture 4.18] is false in general.

In this paper, we first show the relation between Conjecture 1 and CPP exponents, then we confirm Conjecture 1 for a new type of decimations by giving a new class of CPP exponents. More precisely, we consider a class of CPP exponents of the form $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$, where $r+1$ is an odd prime satisfying $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1), t$ is an odd integer $(t>2$ if $p=2)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$, and $m$ is a positive integer. For odd $m$, we construct a new class of CPP exponents of Niho type. For even $m$, we construct a new class of CPP exponents which are not of Niho type. We systematically develop a method to transform the problem of determining whether $d$ is a CPP exponent into investigating the existence of irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ satisfying a congruence equation. Thanks to a theorem given by Rosen [47, Theorem 4.8], we show that $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ for sufficiently large $t$. Our method is different from all the previous ones, and shows that proving the complete permutation property of a polynomial is usually difficult since determining the number of irreducible polynomials satisfying a congruence equation is usually hard.

Table 2: CPP exponents $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$

| $p$ | $n$ | $d$ | $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$ | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| odd prime | any integer | $\frac{p^{n}+1}{2}$ | YES for odd $n$; <br> NO for even $n$ | [41] |
| prime | $\begin{gathered} n=n_{1} n_{2} r \\ \operatorname{ord}_{r}(p)^{1}=n_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{n}-1}{r}+1$ | YES | [33] |
| prime | $n=2 m$ | $\begin{gathered} s\left(p^{m}-1\right)+1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left((s-1)(2 s-1), p^{m}+1\right)=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(s, p^{m}+1\right)>1 \end{gathered}$ | YES | [52] |
| 2 | $n=2 m, m$ odd | $2^{m}+2$ | YES | [2, 48] |
| 3 | $n=2 m$ | $3^{m}+2$ | YES | [2, 55] |
| $p \equiv-1(\bmod 6)$ | $n=2 m, m$ odd | $p^{m}+2$ | NO | [2] |
| 2 | $n=3 m, m>1$ | $2^{2 m}+2^{m}+2$ | YES | [2] |
| prime | $\begin{gathered} n=2 m \\ p^{m} \equiv 0, \pm 2(\bmod 5) \end{gathered}$ | $2 p^{m}+3$ | YES for $p=2$; NO for odd prime | [51] |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} n=r t, \operatorname{gcd}(r, t)=1 \\ r \in\{4,6,10\} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{2^{n}-1}{2^{t}-1}+1$ | YES | [54] |
| odd prime | $n=(p-1) m$ | $\frac{p^{n}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | YES | [38, 55] |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} n=6 m \\ \operatorname{gcd}(m, 3)=1 \end{gathered}$ | $2^{4 m-1}+2^{2 m-1}$ | YES | [38] |
| 2 | $n=4 m$ | $\left(1+2^{2 m-1}\right)\left(1+2^{2 m}\right)+1$ | YES | [38] |
| odd prime | $n=4 m$ | $\frac{p^{4 m}-1}{2}+p^{2 m}$ | YES | [38] |
| odd prime | $\begin{gathered} n=4 m \\ p^{m} \not \equiv 1(\bmod 5) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{4 m}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { YES for } p=3,5 ; \\ & \text { NO for other } p \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | [55] |
| odd prime | $\begin{gathered} n=6 m \\ p^{m} \not \equiv 1(\bmod 7) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{6 m}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | YES for $p=3,7$; NO for other $p$ | [3, 55] |
| odd prime | $n=2 m$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(p^{m}-1\right) \frac{p^{2}-1}{2}+p^{i} \\ 1 \leq i \leq n \end{gathered}$ | YES | [55] |
| odd prime | $n=p-1$ | $\begin{aligned} & t \cdot \frac{p^{n}-1}{p-1}+1 \\ & 1 \leq t \leq p-2 \end{aligned}$ | YES | [55] |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} n=2 m \\ m>2, m \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{2^{n}-1}{3}+1$ | YES | [48] |
| prime | $\begin{gathered} n=r m, r+1 \neq p \\ r+1 \text { is prime } \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(r+1, p^{2 m}-1\right)=1 \\ \operatorname{ord}_{r+1}\left(p^{m}\right)=r \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{r m}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | YES if $p-1 \mid r$; <br> NO for others | [19] |
| odd prime | $\begin{gathered} n=r m, r \mid p-1 \\ r>1 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{(p-1) m}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | YES | [19] |
| odd prime | $\begin{gathered} n=r m, r \mid p^{m}-1 \\ r>1 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{p^{\left(p^{m}-1\right) m}-1}{p^{m}-1}+1$ | YES | [19] |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} n=2 m \\ m \geq 3 \text { is odd } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} l \cdot \frac{2^{n}-1}{3}+1, l=1,2 \\ m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod 3) \end{gathered}$ | YES | [35] |

[^1]The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminaries and show the relation between Conjecture 1 and CPP exponents. In Section 3, we show that for sufficiently large $t, d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$. Section 4 concludes our paper with some conjectures.

## 2 Preliminaries

In [37], a criterion for permutation polynomials is given by using the additive characters of the underlying finite field.

Lemma 1 ([37, Theorem 7.7]) A mapping $g: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ is a permutation polynomial if and only if for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$,

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \xi^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(\alpha g(x))}=0,
$$

where the trace function from $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ onto $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is defined by $\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x^{p^{i}}, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
The following lemmas will also be needed in the sequel.
Lemma 2 ([37, Corollary 3.47]) An irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of degree $n$ remains irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=1$.

Lemma 3 ([1, 44, 53, 56]) Let $p$ be a prime. Let $l$, n and $s$ be positive integers such that $s \mid p^{n}-1$. Let $g(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[x]$. Then $f(x)=x^{l} g\left(x^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{s}}\right)$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l, \frac{p^{n}-1}{s}\right)=1$ and $x^{l} g(x)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{s}}$ is a permutation of $\mu_{s}$, where $\mu_{s}$ is the set of $s$-th roots of unity in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

In the following we recall a lemma which considers the number of monic irreducible polynomials satisfying a congruence equation. Let $l(x)$ and $u(x)$ be two polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$, where $\operatorname{gcd}(l(x), u(x))=$ 1. Let $\Phi(u)$ be the Euler function in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$, i.e., $\Phi(u)$ is the size of the multiplicative group $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x] / u(x)\right)^{\times}$. Denote by $\pi(l, u, n)$ the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree $n$ in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ which are congruent to $l(x)$ modulo $u(x)$, i.e.,

$$
\pi(l, u, n)=\mid\left\{f(x)=x^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} x^{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[x]: f(x) \text { is irreducible, } f(x) \equiv l(x)(\bmod u(x))\right\} \mid,
$$

where $|S|$ is the cardinality of a finite set $S$.
Lemma 4 ([47, Theorem 4.8]) Let $l(x)$ and $u(x)$ be two polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(l(x), u(x))=1$. Then

$$
\pi(l, u, n)=\frac{1}{\Phi(u)} \frac{q^{n}}{n}+O\left(\frac{q^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n}\right) .
$$

Now we show the connection between Conjecture 1 and CPP exponents. Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. The trace representation of a $p$-ary $m$-sequence $\{s(i)\}$ is $s(i)=\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha^{i}\right)$. Thus, the cross-correlation function between $\{s(i)\}$ and $\{s(d i)\}$ can be expressed by

$$
C_{d}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^{n}-2} \xi^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha^{i+t}\right)-\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha^{d i}\right)}=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \xi^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\gamma x+x^{d}\right)}-1,
$$

where $\gamma=-\alpha^{t}$.
Therefore, to prove Conjecture 1 is equivalent to prove that there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ such that

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \xi^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(x^{d}+\gamma x\right)}=0 .
$$

From Lemma 1, if $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, then there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$ such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}^{*}$, $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \xi^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{n}\left(\alpha\left(x^{d}+\gamma x\right)\right)}=0$, which implies that Conjecture 1 is true for $d$. As a result, a sufficient condition for Conjecture 1 to be true is that $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. It can be easily seen that if $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$, then $d^{-1} \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$. It is known that if $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, so is $d^{-1}$ [41, Theorem 2]. Thus we have the following lemma immediately.

Lemma 5 Conjecture 1 is true for any CPP exponent $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

## 3 A class of CPP exponents of the form $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$

In this section, we consider a class of CPP exponents of the form $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$. The following notations will be used throughout the rest of the paper.

- $p$ is a prime.
- $r+1$ is an odd prime such that $\frac{r}{2}$ is the least positive integer satisfying $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$ (i.e., $p$ is a primitive element of $\left.\mathbb{F}_{r+1}\right)$, and $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$.
- $t$ is an odd integer $(t>2$ if $p=2)$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$.
- $\omega$ is a $(r+1)$-th primitive root in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$, i.e., $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}} \backslash\{1\}$ and $\omega^{r+1}=1$.

Proposition 1 Let $m$ be an integer and $n=r t m$. Let $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$, where $1 \leq l \leq r$. For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$, suppose that $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq r$. Then $x^{d}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(i l m+1, r+1)=1$.

Proof: Since $\operatorname{gcd}(r, t)=1$, then $\{t, 2 t, 3 t, \cdots,(r-1) t\}(\bmod r)=\{1,2,3, \cdots, r-1\}$, which implies

$$
\left\{p^{j t}\left(\bmod p^{r}-1\right) \mid 0 \leq j \leq r-1\right\}=\left\{p^{j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq r-1\right\} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\left\{p^{j t}(\bmod r+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq r-1\right\}=\left\{p^{j}(\bmod r+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq r-1\right\}=\{1,2, \cdots, r\}
$$

where the last equal sign holds due to $p$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{r+1}$. It follows that

$$
\left\{\omega^{p^{j t}} \mid 0 \leq j \leq r-1\right\}=\left\{\omega^{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\right\} .
$$

From $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}$, we have $\left(a^{p^{j t}}+\omega^{p^{j t}}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i \cdot p^{j t}}$. Since $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}$, we have $\left(a+\omega^{p^{j t}}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=$ $\omega^{i \cdot p^{j t}}$. Let $\omega^{p^{j t}}=\omega^{s}$, then $\left(a+\omega^{s}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$. Since $(a+1) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}$, one has

$$
\left(a+\omega^{0}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=(a+1)^{\left(p^{t}-1\right) \frac{1+p^{t}+\cdots+p^{(r-1) t}}{r+1}}=1=\omega^{0},
$$

where the second equal sign holds due to

$$
1+p^{t}+\cdots+p^{(r-1) t} \equiv 1+2+\cdots+r(\bmod r+1) \equiv(1+r) \cdot \frac{r}{2}(\bmod r+1) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod r+1)
$$

Thus, $\left(a+\omega^{s}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i s}$ for $0 \leq s \leq r$. Replacing $s$ with $l s$, we have $\left(a+\omega^{l s}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i l s}$. As a consequence,

$$
\left(a+\omega^{l s}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}}=\left(a+\omega^{l s}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1} \cdot \frac{p^{n}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\omega^{i l s \cdot \frac{p^{n}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\omega^{i l s m},
$$

where the last equal sign holds due to $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$.
From Lemma 3, to prove that $x^{d}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ is equivalent to prove that $x\left(a+x^{l}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}}$ is a permutation of $\mu_{r+1}=\left\{x \mid x^{r+1}=1, x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}\right\}=\left\{\omega^{j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq r\right\}$.

From $\left(a+\omega^{l s}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i l s m}$, we have $\omega^{s}\left(a+\omega^{l s}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i l s m+s}=\omega^{(i l m+1) s}$. Then $\left\{\omega^{(i l m+1) s} \mid 0 \leq\right.$ $s \leq r\}$ is a permutation of $\mu_{r+1}$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(i l m+1, r+1)=1$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 6 Let $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$. Then
(1) $\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1} \equiv k t(\bmod r+1)$,
(2) $\left(p^{t}-1\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}\right.$.

Proof: (1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1} & =\frac{1}{r+1}\left[(k(r+1)+1)^{t}-1\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{r+1}\left[k^{t}(r+1)^{t}+\binom{t}{1} k^{t-1}(r+1)^{t-1}+\cdots+\binom{t}{t-1} k(r+1)+1-1\right] \\
& =k^{t}(r+1)^{t-1}+t k^{t-1}(r+2)^{t-2}+\cdots+k t \\
& \equiv k t \quad(\bmod r+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Note that $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$, which implies $t$ is odd due to $r$ is even. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1, p^{t}-1\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } p=2 \\ 2, & \text { if } p \text { is an odd prime }\end{cases}
$$

Remember that $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$, we have $r+1 \left\lvert\,\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1\right)\right.$. By $\left.\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1\right) \right\rvert\,\left(p^{r t}-1\right)$ and $\left(p^{t}-1\right) \mid\left(p^{r t}-1\right)$, we have $\left.\left(p^{t}-1\right)\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1\right) \right\rvert\, p^{r t}-1$ if $p=2$, and $\left.\left(p^{t}-1\right) \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1}{2} \right\rvert\, p^{r t}-1$ if $p$ is an odd prime. As a consequence, $\left.\left(p^{t}-1\right) \frac{\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1\right)}{r+1} \right\rvert\, \frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}$ if $p=2$, and $\left.\left(p^{t}-1\right) \frac{\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}+1\right)}{2(r+1)} \right\rvert\, \frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}$ if $p$ is an odd prime, which implies $p^{t}-1 \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}\right.$. This completes the proof.

Lemma 7 Let $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$. Then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d, p^{r t m}-1\right)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$. Proof: Recall that $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$. By Lemma 6, we have $l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1} \equiv k t l m(\bmod r+1)$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1, r+1\right)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$. Together with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+\right.$ $\left.1, \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}\right)=1$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1, p^{r t m}-1\right)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$.

Corollary 1 Let $n=r$ tm, where $r+1 \mid m$. Let $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$, where $1 \leq l \leq r$. Then $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

Proof: We have that $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$. Thus, by Lemma $7, \operatorname{gcd}\left(l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1, p^{r t m}-1\right)=1$. On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{gcd}(i l m+1, r+1)=1$ for any $i$ and $l$. By Proposition 1 , for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*}$ and $a \neq-1, x^{d}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Then the conclusion follows.

Corollary 2 Let $n=r t m$, where $r+1 \nmid m$. For each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$, there exists an $1 \leq l \leq r$, such that $x^{d}+$ ax is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, where $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$.

Proof: Recall that for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$, we have $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{p^{t}-1}}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose that for some $1 \leq l^{\prime} \leq r$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(i l^{\prime} m+1, r+1\right)=r+1$, then $r+1 \mid\left(i l^{\prime} m+1\right)$, which implies $i \neq 0$.

Since $r+1 \nmid m$, we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(i\left(l^{\prime}+1\right) m+1, r+1\right)=1$. Then the conclusion follows from Proposition 1.

In the following, we will concentrate on the case $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=1$. Let

$$
C_{i}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}:(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}\right\}
$$

and $N_{i}=\left|C_{i}\right|$ be the number of elements in $C_{i}$.
Proposition 2 Let $n=r t m$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=1$. Let $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1$, where $1 \leq l \leq r$. Then $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if both of the following conditions are satisfied:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$;
(2) $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$.

Proof: If $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1, p^{r t m}-1\right)=1$ by Lemma 7. Since $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=1, \quad \operatorname{gcd}(i l m+1, r+1)=r+1$ has a unique solution $i \equiv-(l m)^{-1}(\bmod r+1)$. By Proposition 1 , for $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}, x^{d}+a x$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if and only if $a \notin C_{-(l m)^{-1}}$. Thus, if $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$, then there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$ such that $x^{d}+a x$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. This completes the proof.

Remark 1 By Lemma 6, we have $p^{t}-1 \left\lvert\, \frac{r^{r t}-1}{r+1}\right.$, thus $p-1 \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}\right.$, which implies that $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{r+1}+1 \equiv$ $1(\bmod p-1)$. As a consequence, if d satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2, then Conjecture 1 is true for $d$.

The following theorem is our main result.

Theorem 1 Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r+1)=1$. There exists a constant $T$ such that for each $t \geq T$, $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ if $\operatorname{gcd}(k t m l+1, r+1)=1$.

To prove Theorem 1, according to Proposition 2, we need to show that $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ for $t \geq T$. Recall that $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$. We will first show in Lemma 9 that if $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$. Then we prove that if $k t m l \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ for $t \geq T$ in Lemmas 10-12.

Lemma 8 Recall that $C_{i}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}:(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}\right\}$. Then $\left|C_{i}\right|=\left|C_{k t-i}\right|$, where $k$ is an integer such that $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$.

Proof: If $a \in C_{i}$, then $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}$. Consider

$$
\left(a^{-1}+\omega^{-1}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\left(\frac{a+\omega}{a \omega}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\frac{\omega^{i}}{(a \omega)^{\frac{p^{r t-1}}{r+1}}} .
$$

By Lemma 6, we have $a^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=1$ and $\omega^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{k t}$. Therefore from the above equation, we get

$$
\left(a^{-1}+\omega^{-1}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i-k t}
$$

Taking the $p^{\frac{r}{2}}$-th power on both sides of the above equation, we have

$$
\left(a^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}}+\omega^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}}\right)^{p^{r t}-1} \frac{r+1}{r+1}=\omega^{(i-k t) p^{\frac{r}{2}}} .
$$

Remember that $\omega^{p^{\frac{r}{2}}}=\omega^{-1}$, thus we have

$$
\left(a^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}}+\omega\right)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{k t-i}
$$

thus, $a^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \in C_{k t-i}$.
Since $f(x)=x^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}}$ is a permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\star}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$, thus for $a_{1} \in C_{i}$ and $a_{2} \in C_{i}$ with $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$, we have

$$
a_{1}^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \in C_{k t-i}, a_{2}^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \in C_{k t-i}, \text { and } a_{1}^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}} \neq a_{2}^{-p^{\frac{r}{2}}}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 9 Let $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=1$. Suppose that $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$. Then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}(k t, r+1)=r+1$,
(2) $\operatorname{gcd}(k t, r+1)=1,-(l m)^{-1} \not \equiv k t+(l m)^{-1}(\bmod r+1)($ or $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1))$.

Proof: (1) Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, k t)=r+1$, i.e. $r+1 \mid k t$. From Lemma 8, we have

$$
\left|C_{i}\right|=\left|C_{k t-i}\right|=\left|C_{r+1-i}\right|,
$$

then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|=\left|C_{r+1-(l m)^{-1}}\right|=\left|C_{(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ due to $C_{r+1-(l m)^{-1}} \bigcap C_{(l m)^{-1}}=\emptyset$.
(2) Suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, k t)=1$ and $k t m l \not \equiv r-1(\bmod r+1)$. Then $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, which implies

$$
-(l m)^{-1} \not \equiv k t+(l m)^{-1} \quad(\bmod r+1)
$$

Thus the result follows from $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|=\left|C_{k t+(l m)^{-1}}\right|$ and $C_{-(l m)^{-1}} \bigcap C_{k t+(l m)^{-1}}=\emptyset$.
By Proposition 2 and Lemma 9, we have

Proposition 3 Suppose that $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$ and $k t m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$. Then $d=l \times$ $\frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$.

Proof: Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(m, r+1)=1$. By Lemma 9, if $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<$ $p^{t}-2$. Together with $k t m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$, both conditions in Proposition 2 are satisfied, thus $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=r+1$. Corollary 1 shows that $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$. This completes the proof.

Now let us consider the case $k t m l \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$. The following lemma which considers the number of monic irreducible polynomials satisfying a congruence equation will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 10 There exists a constant $T$ such that for each odd prime $t \geq T$, there are some monic irreducible polynomials $f(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ such that $f(z) \not \equiv z^{2^{-1} t} h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$ for any $h(z)$ satisfying $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$.

Proof: see Appendix A.
Using Lemma 6 and Lemma 10, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 11 There exists a constant $T$ such that for each odd prime $t \geq T,\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ if $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$ and $k t l m \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$.

Proof: see Appendix B.
Lemma 12 Suppose that $t^{\prime}=t s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. If $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$, then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}^{\prime}\right|<$ $p^{t^{\prime}}-2$, where

$$
C_{-(l m)^{-1}}^{\prime}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t^{\prime}}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\} \left\lvert\,(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{-(l m)^{-1}}\right.\right\}
$$

and

$$
C_{-(l m)^{-1}}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\} \left\lvert\,(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{-(l m)^{-1}}\right.\right\} .
$$

Proof: See Appendix C.
Proof of Theorem 1: Let $\operatorname{gcd}(r+1, m)=1$ and $t \geq T$ be an odd integer, where $T$ is a fixed positive integer for each $r$. By Proposition 2, to complete the proof of Theorem 1, it is enough to show that for $t \geq T,\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$. If $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, by Lemma $9,\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$. For the case $k t m l \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, Lemma 11 and Lemma 12 show that $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ for $t \geq T$.

In the following we consider a special case $r=2$ of Theorem 1 , i.e., $r=2$ and $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. We will show that if $r=2$, then for any integer $m$ and odd integer $t(t \geq 3$ if $p=2), d=\frac{p^{2 t m}-1}{3}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 t m}}$ if and only if $p^{t m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 2(\bmod 9) ; d=2 \cdot \frac{p^{2 t m}-1}{3}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 t m}}$ if and only if $p^{t m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4(\bmod 9)$.

Lemma 13 Let $p$ be a prime such that $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Let $t$ be an odd integer $(t>1$ if $p=2)$ and $r=2$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq 2,\left|C_{i}\right|>0$.

Proof: Recall that $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}} \backslash\{1\}$ and $\omega^{r+1}=1$. Let $r=2$ and $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Since $t$ is odd, then every element $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2 t}}$ can be represented uniquely as $u=u_{0}+u_{1} \omega$, where $u_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}$.

For any $0 \leq i \leq 2$, there are $\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}$ elements $u_{0}+u_{1} \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2 t}}$ such that $\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \omega\right)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$.
Case 1: let $p>5$, or $p=5, t \geq 3$, or $p=2, t \geq 5$. If $(-1+\omega)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$, then we have

$$
\left(-1 \times u_{0}+u_{0} \omega\right)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}
$$

for any $u_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*}$ due to $u_{0}^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=1$. Similarly, if $(0+\omega)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$, then we have

$$
\left(0+u_{0} \omega\right)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}
$$

for any $u_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*}$. Suppose that $p>5$, or $p=5, t \geq 3$, or $p=2, t \geq 5$. Then $3\left(p^{t}-1\right)<\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}$. This means that for any $0 \leq i \leq 2$, there exist elements $u_{0}+u_{1} \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2 t}}^{*} \backslash\left\{0+u_{0} \omega,-u_{0}+u_{0} \omega, u_{0}+0 \times \omega: u_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*}\right\}$ such that $\left(u_{0}+u_{1} \omega\right)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$, i.e., $\left(u_{1}^{-1} u_{0}+\omega\right)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$, where $u_{1}^{-1} u_{0} \neq 0,-1$. Thus, $\left|C_{i}\right|>0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

Case 2: let $t=1$ and $p=5$. Note that $(0+\omega)^{\frac{5^{2}-1}{3}}=\omega^{8}=\omega^{2},(-1+\omega)^{\frac{5^{2}-1}{3}}=(-1+\omega)^{8}=\omega$, and $u_{0}^{\frac{5^{2}-1}{3}}=1$. Since $p-1<\frac{p^{2}-1}{3}$, then there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$ such that $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{2 t}-1}{3}}=\omega^{i}$, where $0 \leq i \leq 2$, i.e., $\left|C_{i}\right|>0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

Case 3: let $t=3$ and $p=2$. It can be checked that $C_{1}=\left\{\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \alpha^{4}\right\}$ and $C_{2}=\left\{\alpha^{3}, \alpha^{5}, \alpha^{6}\right\}$, where $\alpha$ is a primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{2^{3}}$.

By Lemma 13 and Proposition 1, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 3 Let $p$ be a prime such that $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Let $n=2 t m$, where $m$ is an integer, and $t$ is an odd integer with $t \geq 3$ if $p=2$. Let $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1$. Then there exists $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*}$ such that $x^{d}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Thus, $d=l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1$ is a $C P P$ exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if $k t m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$.

Corollary 4 Let $p$ be an odd prime such that $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$. Let $n=2 m$, where $m$ can be any integer. Then $l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1$ is a $C P P$ exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 m}}$ if $p^{m} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$. Moreover, $\frac{p^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 m}}$ if and only if $p^{m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 2(\bmod 9)$, and $2 \times \frac{p^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2 m}}$ if and only if $p^{m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4(\bmod 9)$.

Proof: Since $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, we get $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\frac{p^{2 m}-1}{3}+1, p^{2 m}-1\right)=1$ if and only if $p^{m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 2(\bmod 9)$, and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(2 \cdot \frac{p^{2 m}-1}{3}+1, p^{2 m}-1\right)=1$ if and only if $p^{m} \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4(\bmod 9)$. In the following we show that $x^{l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ for $a=\frac{p+1}{2}$.

Let $a=\frac{p+1}{2}$. By Lemma 3, $x^{l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1}+a x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ if and only if $x\left(x^{l}+a\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}$ permutes $\left\{1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Since $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{3}} & =(a+\omega)^{(p-1) \frac{p+1}{3}}=\left(\frac{a+\omega^{p}}{a+\omega}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{3}}=\left(\frac{a+\omega^{2}}{a+\omega}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{3}}=\left(\frac{a-1-\omega}{a+\omega}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{3}} \\
& =\left(\frac{(p-1) / 2-\omega}{-((p-1) / 2-\omega)}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{3}}=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equal sign holds due to $\frac{p+1}{3}$ is even. Similarly, it can be shown that $\left(a+\omega^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{3}}=1$ and $(a+1)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{3}}=1$. Thus,

$$
(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}=\left((a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{2}-1}{3}}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{p^{2}-1}}=1,
$$

$\left(a+\omega^{2}\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}=1$, and $(a+1)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}=1$.
Therefore, for $l=1,2,\left(x^{l}+a\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}=1$ if $x \in\left\{1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$, as a consequence, $x\left(x^{l}+a\right)^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{3}}$ permutes $\left\{1, \omega, \omega^{2}\right\}$. Thus, $x^{l \times \frac{p^{n}-1}{3}+1}+\frac{p+1}{2} x$ is a PP over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ for any odd prime $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$ and even $n$.

Remark 2 Corollary 4 gives a new class of CPP exponents, and the following CPP exponents over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ are some examples of Corollary 4, which can be explained for the first time:
(1) $p=11, n=4, d=2 \times \frac{11^{4}-1}{3}+1=9761$;
(2) $p=5, n=4, d=1 \times \frac{5^{4}-1}{3}+1=209$; and
(3) $p=11, n=2, d=1 \times \frac{11^{2}-1}{3}+1=41$.

By Corollary 1 and Theorem 1, the following theorem can be obtained immediately.
Theorem 2 There exists a constant $T$ such that for each $t \geq T, d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ if $k t m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$.

Theorem 2 shows that if $k t m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$, then Conjecture 1 is true for $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$, where $t \geq T$.

Let $m$ be odd in Theorem 2. Then $p^{\frac{r}{2} t m} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$ by $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$. Therefore, $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1=l \times \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1}{r+1}\left(p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}-1\right)+1$ is an Niho-type exponent. It was shown in [52] that if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \cdot \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1}{r+1}-1, p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1\right)=1$, then $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Thus, Theorem 2 gives a new class of CPP exponents of Niho type if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \cdot \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1}{r+1}-1, p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1\right) \neq 1$. Similar as in Lemma 6, let $p^{\frac{r}{2}}=k^{\prime}(r+1)-1$, it can be shown that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(l \cdot \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1}{r+1}-1, p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1\right) \neq 1$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{\prime} \operatorname{tml}-1, r+1\right)=r+1$. From $p^{r}=1+k(r+1)=\left(k^{\prime}(r+1)-1\right)^{2}=\left(p^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{2}$, we have $k=\frac{\left(k^{\prime}(r+1)-1\right)^{2}-1}{r+1}=k^{\prime 2}(r+1)-2 k^{\prime}$. By $k t m l=\left(k^{\prime 2}(r+1)-2 k^{\prime}\right) t m l \equiv-2 k^{\prime} t m l(\bmod r+1)$, it can be shown that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k^{\prime} t m l-1, r+1\right)=r+1$ if and only if $k t m l \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$. As a result, if $m$ is odd, Theorem 2 gives a new class of CPP exponents of Niho type if $k t m l \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$.

Let $m$ be even in Theorem 2 , then $p^{\frac{r}{2} t m} \equiv 1(\bmod r+1)$. Therefore, $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1=$ $l \times \frac{p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}-1}{r+1}\left(p^{\frac{r}{2} t m}+1\right)+1$ is not of Niho-type. As a result, Theorem 2 gives a new class of CPP exponents which are not of Niho type, and thus confirms Conjecture 1 for a new class of decimations.

Example 1 Let $p=5, r=2$, and $t=1$. Then $d=l \times \frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$. From $p^{r}=(r+1) \times k+1$, one gets $k=8$. If $m$ is odd and $k t m l=8 m l \equiv-2(\bmod 3)$, i.e., $m l \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, then $d=l \times \frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new $C P P$ exponent of Niho type. Thus, we get $d_{1}=\frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new $C P P$ exponent of Niho type if $m \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$, and $d_{2}=2 \times \frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new CPP exponent of Niho type if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$.

If $m$ is even and $k t m l=8 m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$, i.e., $m l \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$, then $d=l \times \frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new $C P P$ exponent which is not of Niho type. Thus, we get $d_{1}=\frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new CPP exponent which is not of Niho type if $m \equiv 0,2(\bmod 6)$, and $d_{2}=2 \times \frac{5^{2 m}-1}{3}+1$ is a new CPP exponent which is not of Niho type if $m \equiv 0,4(\bmod 6)$.

Example 2 Let $p=3$ and $r=4$. Then $d=l \times \frac{3^{4 t m}-1}{5}+1$. From $p^{r}=(r+1) \times k+1$, one gets $k=16$. Let $m$ be even and $t=1$. By Proposition 3, if $k t m l=16 m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod 5)$ and $k t m l=16 m l \not \equiv-2$ $(\bmod 5)$, i.e., $m l \not \equiv-1(\bmod 5)$ and $m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod 5)$, then $d=l \times \frac{3^{4 m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new CPP exponent which is not of Niho type. Thus, $d_{1}=\frac{3^{4 m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new $C P P$ exponent if $m \equiv 2,6(\bmod 10)^{1}$, $d_{2}=2 \cdot \frac{3^{4 m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new $C P P$ exponent if $m \equiv 6,8(\bmod 10), d_{3}=3 \cdot \frac{3^{4 m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new $C P P$

[^2]exponent if $m \equiv 2,4(\bmod 10)^{2}$, and $d_{4}=4 \cdot \frac{3^{4 m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new CPP exponent if $m \equiv 4,8(\bmod 10)$.
Let $m$ be odd and $k t m l=16 t m l \equiv-2(\bmod 5)$, i.e., $t m l \equiv-2(\bmod 5)$, where $t \geq 3$ is an odd integer. Then $d=l \times \frac{3^{4 t m}-1}{5}+1$ is a new CPP exponent of Niho type.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we confirmed Conjecture 1 for a new class of decimations by constructing a new class of CPP exponents $d$ with $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$. We summarized some known results on CPP exponents over finite fields, and discussed the connection between Conjecture 1 and CPP exponents. Suppose that $r+1$ is an odd prime such that $p^{\frac{r}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod r+1)$ and $t$ is an integer such that $\operatorname{gcd}(r, t)=1$. We analyzed a class of exponents of the form $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ and proved that $d$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ for sufficiently large $t$. Since $d \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$, we confirm Conjecture 1 for a new class of decimations. Note that Carlitz and Wells $[9,10]$ proved that $x^{\frac{q-1}{m}+1}+a x$ is a $\operatorname{PP}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for any $m \mid q-1$ and sufficiently large $q$. However, the method we used to show the CPP property of $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is quite different from all the previous ones. Specially, we transferred the problem of determining whether $d$ is a CPP exponent into investigating the existence of irreducible polynomials satisfying a congruence equation, which may be of independent interest. Moreover, in Proposition 3, for the case $k t m l \not \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, we proved that $d=l \times \frac{p^{r t m}-1}{r+1}+1$ is a CPP exponent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t m}}$ without the condition $t$ is sufficiently large. At the end of this paper, we propose a conjecture based on computer experiments. Recall that $C_{i}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}:(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{i}\right\}$. In Tables 3 and 4 , we lists the number of elements in $C_{i}$ for some $p$ and $r$. Computer experiments indicate the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2 Let $t$ be an odd prime such that $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$ and $k t l m \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$. Then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$.

According to Lemma 9 and Lemma 12, if the above conjecture is true, then $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$ for all $t \neq 1$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$. By the proof of Lemma 11, Conjecture 2 is equivalent to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3 Let $t$ be an odd prime such that $\operatorname{gcd}(t, r)=1$ and $k t l m \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$. Then for any $h(z)$ such that $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, there exists irreducible polynomials $f(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ such that $f(z) \not \equiv z^{2^{-1} t} h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$.

[^3]Table 3: Number of elements in $C_{i}$ for $p=2$ and $r=4$

| $t$ | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| 7 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 42 | 21 |
| 9 | 111 | 72 | 111 | 108 | 108 |
| 11 | 385 | 429 | 429 | 385 | 418 |
| 13 | 1573 | 1677 | 1690 | 1677 | 1573 |
| 15 | 6486 | 6560 | 6580 | 6580 | 6560 |
| 17 | 26452 | 26452 | 26010 | 26146 | 26010 |
| 19 | 105412 | 104842 | 105412 | 104310 | 104310 |
| 21 | 418575 | 419580 | 419580 | 418575 | 420840 |

Table 4: Number of elements in $C_{i}$ for $p=3$ and $r=4$

| $t$ | $N_{0}$ | $N_{1}$ | $N_{2}$ | $N_{3}$ | $N_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| 5 | 41 | 60 | 40 | 40 | 60 |
| 7 | 420 | 421 | 420 | 462 | 462 |
| 9 | 3894 | 3876 | 4141 | 3876 | 3894 |
| 11 | 35684 | 35684 | 35332 | 35113 | 35332 |

## Appendix A: proof of Lemma 10

Proof: Since $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(h^{k}(z), z^{r+1}-1\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(h(z), z^{r+1}-1\right)=1$. According to Lemma 4 , for any $h(z)$ satisfying $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, the number of monic irreducible polynomials $f(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ such that $f(z) \equiv{z^{2}}^{-1} t h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$ is

$$
\frac{1}{\Phi\left(z^{r+1}-1\right)} \frac{p^{t}}{t}+O\left(\frac{p^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}\right)
$$

Note that one root of the polynomial $1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}$ is $w$ with $w^{r+1}=1$, and the minimal polynomial of $\omega$ is $1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}$, thus $1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. It is known that

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /\left(z^{r+1}-1\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /(z-1) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /\left(1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}\right)
$$

where $\oplus$ is the direct sum. Since $\mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /\left(1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /(z-1) \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /\left(1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}\right) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}
$$

As a consequence, $\mathbb{F}_{p}[z] /\left(z^{r+1}-1\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$. Thus, $h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$ can be represented by a polynomial pair

$$
\left(h(1), h(z)\left(\bmod \frac{z^{r}-1}{z-1}\right)\right)=(h(1), h(\omega)),
$$

where $h^{k}(\omega)=1$, i.e., $h(\omega) \in R=\left\{\omega \mid \omega^{k}=1, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}\right\}$. Thus, the number of polynomials $h(z)$ such that $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{r+1}-1\right)$ is $|R|=k$.

On the other hand, $\Phi\left(1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}\right)=p^{r}$ due to $1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Thus, $\Phi\left(z^{r+1}-1\right)=\Phi(z-1) \Phi\left(1+z+z^{2}+\cdots+z^{r}\right)=p^{r}$. As a consequence, the number of monic irreducible polynomials $f(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ such that $f(z) \equiv z^{2^{-1}} t h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$ for any $h(z)$ satisfying $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{r+1}-1\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{k}{\Phi\left(z^{r+1}-1\right)} \frac{p^{t}}{t}+O\left(\frac{p^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}\right) & =\frac{k}{p^{r}} \cdot \frac{p^{t}}{t}+O\left(\frac{p^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}\right) \\
& =\frac{k}{k(r+1)+1} \frac{p^{t}}{t}+O\left(\frac{p^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}\right) \\
& \approx \frac{1}{r+1} \frac{p^{t}}{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is known that the number of irreducible polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t\left(t\right.$ is prime) is $\frac{1}{t}\left(p^{t}-p\right)$ [37, Theorem 3.25]. Therefore, we can always find a sufficiently large integer $T$ for each $r$ such that $\frac{1}{t}\left(p^{t}-p\right)>\frac{k}{k(r+1)+1} \frac{p^{t}}{t}+O\left(\frac{p^{\frac{t}{2}}}{t}\right)$ if $t>T$. Thus we complete the proof.

## Appendix B: proof of Lemma 11

Proof: Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Define

$$
f(z)=\prod_{\lambda=0}^{t-1}\left(\alpha^{p^{\lambda}}+z\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[z] .
$$

It is well known that $f(z)$ is the minimal polynomial of $-\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, and $f(z)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$. Recall that $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{r}} \backslash\{1\}$ and $\omega^{r+1}=1$. Let $z=\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\omega) & =\prod_{\lambda=0}^{t-1}\left(\alpha^{p^{\lambda}}+\omega\right)=\prod_{\lambda=0}^{t-1}\left(\alpha^{p^{r \lambda}}+\omega\right)=\prod_{\lambda=0}^{t-1}(\alpha+\omega)^{\left(p^{r}\right)^{\lambda}} \\
& =(\alpha+\omega)^{1+p^{r}+p^{2 r}+\cdots+p^{(t-1) r}}=(\alpha+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{p^{r}-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second equation is due to $\{r, 2 r, 3 r, \cdots,(t-1) r\}(\bmod t)=\{1,2,3, \cdots, t-1\}$ by $\operatorname{gcd}(r, t)=1$.
Remember $p^{r}=k(r+1)+1$, thus if $\alpha \in C_{j}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{\star}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\} \left\lvert\,(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{j}\right.\right\}$, then $f(\omega)^{k}=(\alpha+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{j}$. Since $f(z) \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[z]$, we have $f\left(\omega^{p^{i}}\right)^{k}=f(\omega)^{p^{i} \cdot k}=\omega^{p^{i} \cdot j}$. Then $f\left(\omega^{i}\right)^{k}=\omega^{i j}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ due to $\left\{p^{i}(\bmod r+1), 0 \leq i \leq r-1\right\}=\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$. By Lemma 6, we have $p^{t}-1 \left\lvert\, \frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}\right.$, then $f(1)^{k}=(\alpha+1)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=1$. Therefore we have $f\left(\omega^{i}\right)^{k}=\omega^{i j}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{r+1}$.

Consider $f^{k}(z)=\left(z^{r+1}-1\right) g(z)+r(z)$, then the degree of $g(z)$ is $\operatorname{deg}(g(z))=k t-(r+1)$, and $\operatorname{deg}(r(z)) \leq r$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{r+1}, r\left(\omega^{i}\right)=f^{k}\left(\omega^{i}\right)=\omega^{i j}$. Thus, $r(z)=z^{j}$ since $\operatorname{deg}(r(z)) \leq r$. As a consequence, $f^{k}(z) \equiv z^{j}\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, which is equivalent to $f(z) \equiv z^{k^{-1} j} h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, where $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$. Now we have shown that if $\alpha \in C_{j}$, then $f(z) \equiv z^{k^{-1} j} h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$ for some $h(z)$ satisfying $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$.

Let $j=-(l m)^{-1}$. Since $k t l m \equiv-2(\bmod r+1)$, thus $k^{-1} j=-(k l m)^{-1} \equiv 2^{-1} t(\bmod r+1)$. By Lemma 10 , if $t \geq T$, then for any $h(z)$ such that $h^{k}(z) \equiv 1\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$, there exists an irreducible polynomial $f^{\prime}(z)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ with degree $t$ such that $f^{\prime}(z) \not \equiv z^{2^{-1} t} h(z)\left(\bmod z^{r+1}-1\right)$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ be a root of $f^{\prime}(z)$. Then $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$, but $\alpha^{\prime} \notin C_{j}=C_{-(l m)^{-1}}$. Thus, $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$.

## Appendix C: proof of Lemma 12

Proof: If $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}\right|<p^{t}-2$, then there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{r+1}$ with $j \not \equiv-(l m)^{-1}(\bmod r+1)$ such that $\left|C_{j}\right|=\left|C_{k t-j}\right|>0$. Thus, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{t}}^{*} \backslash\{-1\}$ such that $(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=\omega^{j}$ and $(b+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1}}=$ $\omega^{k t-j}$. As a consequence,

$$
(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{r+1}}=(a+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1} \frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\left(\omega^{j}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\omega^{j s}
$$

and

$$
(b+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{r+1}}=(b+\omega)^{\frac{p^{r t}-1}{r+1} \frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\left(\omega^{k t-j}\right)^{\frac{p^{r t^{\prime}}-1}{p^{r t}-1}}=\omega^{(k t-j) s},
$$

i.e., $a \in C_{j s}^{\prime}$ and $b \in C_{k t^{\prime}-j s}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\left|C_{j s}^{\prime}\right|>0$ and $\left|C_{k t^{\prime}-j s}^{\prime}\right|>0$.

Since $j \not \equiv-(l m)^{-1}(\bmod r+1)$ and $-(l m)^{-1} \equiv k t+(l m)^{-1}(\bmod r+1)$, we have $j \not \equiv k t-j$ $(\bmod r+1)$, then $j s \not \equiv k t^{\prime}-j s(\bmod r+1)^{3}$, that is $C_{j s}^{\prime} \cap C_{k t^{\prime}-j s}^{\prime}=\emptyset$, together with $\left|C_{j s}^{\prime}\right|>0$ and $\left|C_{k t^{\prime}-j s}^{\prime}\right|>0$, we obtain $0<\left|C_{j s}^{\prime}\right|<p^{t^{\prime}}-2$ and $0<\left|C_{k t^{\prime}-j s}^{\prime}\right|<p^{t^{\prime}}-2$. Then it follows that $\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}^{\prime}\right|<p^{t^{\prime}}-2$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ We denote the order of $p$ modulo $r$ by $\operatorname{ord}_{r}(p)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Let $m=2$, then the CPP exponent $d_{1}=\frac{3^{8}}{5}-1 ~=1313$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{8}}$ can now be explained for the first time.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Let $m=2$, then the CPP exponent $d_{3}=3 \cdot \frac{3^{8}-1}{5}+1=3937$ over $\mathbb{F}_{3^{8}}$ can now be explained for the first time.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Here we assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(s, r+1)=1$, since if $\operatorname{gcd}(s, r+1)=r+1$, then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k t^{\prime}, r+1\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(k t s, r+1)=r+1$. By (1) in Lemma $9,\left|C_{-(l m)^{-1}}^{\prime}\right|<p^{t^{\prime}}-2$.

