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#### Abstract

As people age, they tend to spend more time indoors, and the colors in their surroundings may significantly impact their mood and overall well-being. However, there is a lack of empirical evidence to provide informed guidance on color choices, irrespective of age group. To work towards informed choices, we investigated whether the associations between colors and emotions observed in younger individuals also apply to older adults. We recruited 7,393 participants, aged between 16 and 88 years and coming from 31 countries. Each participant associated 12 colour terms with 20 emotion concepts and rated the intensity of each associated emotion. Different age groups exhibited highly similar patterns of color-emotion associations (average similarity coefficient of 0.97 ), with subtle yet meaningful age-related differences. Adolescents associated the greatest number but the least positively biased emotions with colours. Older participants associated a smaller number but more intense and more positive emotions with all colour terms, displaying a positivity bias. Age also predicted arousal and power biases, varying by color. Findings suggest parallels in colour-emotion associations between younger and older adults, with subtle but significant age-related variations. Future studies should next assess whether colour-emotion associations reflect what people actually feel when exposed to colour.
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## 1. Introduction

Colours carry affective meanings across languages and cultures. For instance, English speakers would say they feel blue when they are sad, while German speakers would say they are blue when they are drunk. Despite such differences, colour-emotion associations are surprisingly consistent across countries (Adams \& Osgood, 1973; Hupka et al., 1997; Jonauskaite, AbuAkel, et al., 2020; Jonauskaite, Wicker, et al., 2019; Madden et al., 2000; Ou et al., 2018; Uusküla et al., 2023). Examples of widely shared associations include associations between red and anger, red and love, pink and love, white and relief, grey and sadness, black and sadness, and black and fear (Fugate \& Franco, 2019; Hanada, 2018; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Kaya \& Epps, 2004). Likewise, lighter and brighter colours are consistently associated with more positive emotions, darker and desaturated colours with more negative emotions, and darker and more saturated colours with more arousing emotions (Adams \& Osgood, 1973; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Specker et al., 2018; Valdez \& Mehrabian, 1994). Beyond widely shared associations, there are some modulations due to linguistic or geographic factors. For instance, participants living closer to the equator, and especially in dryer countries, associated yellow with joy to a lower extent than those living in rainier and colder countries (Jonauskaite, Abdel-Khalek, et al., 2019).

An important shortcoming of the colour-emotion research to date is that most of the previous findings originate from young adults, ignoring physiological, cognitive, affective, and experiential changes that can come with age (see reviews on developmental and age-related functional changes; (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015; Charles \& Carstensen, 2010; Delcampo-Carda et al., 2019; Drag \& Bieliauskas, 2010; Griber et al., 2020; Maule et al., 2023; Owsley, 2016). Especially noteworthy are age-related changes in the sensory domain, including reduction in visual capacity due to life-long use of key eye structures and eye diseases such as glaucoma or macular degeneration (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015). Some more recent research also points to potential age-related changes in the experience and the processing of emotions (Charles \& Carstensen, 2010). Then, a very common health issue, particularly in very old age, is reduced physical mobility. And so, staying in the same-coloured environment over prolonged periods of time might bear on individuals' functioning and wellbeing, both positively and negatively (Torres et al., 2020).

However, before being able to investigate such applied questions, basic assumptions must be verified. One such assumption is that the empirical evidence on the colour-emotion associations obtained with younger individuals is comparable to older adults. While there are large surveys investigating colour-emotion associations systematically across cultures, (e.g., (Adams \& Osgood, 1973; Jonauskaite, Abdel-Khalek, et al., 2019; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2018; Uusküla et al., 2023), baseline knowledge on similarities or discrepancies across different age groups is still missing. Thus, in the current study, we elaborated on potential age-related differences in colour-emotion associations.

Very few studies have focused on age-related differences in colour-emotion associations. In Ou and colleagues' study (2012), 20 participants in their 20s and 20 participants in their 60s, all from Taiwan, rated samples of colours on scales opposing warm-cool, heavy-light, activepassive, and like-dislike. Only the active-passive scale is informative for colour-emotion
associations, likely representing emotional arousal. Both older and younger participants rated red, orange, and yellow hues as most active and green and blue hues as least active. Also, both older and younger participants rated more chromatic colour samples as more active than less chromatic samples. Only younger participants also rated lighter colour samples as more active than darker colour samples. In fact, across all colour samples, older participants rated samples as less active than younger participants. In another large-scale multi-nation study, (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020), there was a U-shape relationship between the number of colour-emotion associations and age. Specifically, the 50-60-year-old group associated the smallest number of emotions while older as well as younger participants associated a slightly larger number of emotions with any colour. In the same study, participants over 70 years old produced the least similar pattern of colour-emotion associations when compared to the remaining participants.

To contextualize the evidence and to anticipate further age-related changes in colour-emotion associations, the literature on age-related changes in visual and affective types of processing may be informative. For visual processing, studies showed that chromatic sensitivity decreases from the age of 20 years old onwards (Paramei \& Oakley, 2014), due to a decrease in retinal ganglion cell axons (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015). Also, from the age of 40 to 50 years old onwards, individuals find it increasingly difficult to discriminate colours along the yellow-blue axes due to lens brunescence (i.e., yellowing and opaqueness of the lens; (Weale, 1988). That said, subjective colour perception seems little affected by ageing due to colour constancy, which acts as a compensation mechanism (Werner, 1996; Wuerger, 2013). In other words, as lens brunescence happens gradually over the years, individuals have time to adapt to their new perceptual realities, and so, subjectively, they do not perceive colours to be yellower (Hardy et al., 2005).

For affective processing, older individuals show a positivity bias (Carstensen \& DeLiema, 2018; Reed \& Carstensen, 2012), which has been shown both cross-sectionally (Carstensen et al., 2000; Mroczek \& Kolarz, 1998), cross-culturally (Kwon et al., 2009), and longitudinally, with studies spanning over 23 years of participant lives (Charles et al., 2001). This bias reliably manifests in diverse cognitive functions, such as selectively remembering positive rather than negative events (Reed et al., 2014). It also applies to emotion-regulation strategies in general (e.g., (Boerner \& Jopp, 2007; Uittenhove et al., 2023) and when facing negative experiences, including prolonged health-related challenges (Carstensen et al., 2020; Puente-Martínez et al., 2021). Other studies showed that older adults experience more positive than negative emotions and have overall a higher life satisfaction than younger adults (Drag \& Bieliauskas, 2010). Even very old adults continue having high levels of happiness (Jopp \& Rott, 2006). Considering how widely spread this positivity is, it might also emerge for colour-emotion associations. However, predicting cross-cultural effects is challenging, because age-related positivity bias further interacts with social and cultural factors (Grossmann et al., 2014; Jebb et al., 2020; Kwon et al., 2009; Lawrie et al., 2020).

To test colour-emotion associations across adulthood and into old age, we used crosssectional data from the ongoing International Colour-Emotion Association Survey (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Mohr et al., 2018). In this survey, participants are asked to associate 12 colour categories (colour terms) with 20 emotion concepts. Most previous
studies concentrated on university students. To complement these data, we focussed our data collection efforts on colour-emotion associations in adults beyond the common age range of student populations. Thus, the overall sample ranged from 16 to 88 years old. Participants came from 31 countries (see Figure 1) and completed the survey in their native language ( 22 languages were used in this study). We analysed the associations for the 20 emotion concepts i) as separate emotion categories, ii) by counting the total number of associated emotions, iii) by analysing the intensity of all associated emotions, and iv) by grouping emotions by valence, arousal, and power (also known as dominance or potency; (Fontaine et al., 2007; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020).

Based on the age-related changes in the visual system (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015; Owsley, 2016), we expected some age-related differences in colour-emotion associations, likely observable along the yellow-blue axis. However, we expected any such effects to be small due to the compensatory colour constancy mechanism (Hardy et al., 2005). Based on the positivity bias (Reed et al., 2014), we expected older participants to associate colours with more positive emotions than younger participants (i.e., show a positive valence bias). Based on two previous studies (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Ou et al., 2012), older participants might associate fewer and less arousing emotions with colours than younger participants. We also tested if age-related differences depended on the rated colour (in analogy to (Ou et al., 2012). Finally, we used this extensive dataset to verify whether age-related differences were comparable across the 31 studied countries (Adams \& Osgood, 1973; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020).


Figure 1. The world map of the studied countries coloured by the presence of the age effect on valence bias. In dark blue countries older participants associated more positive emotions
with colours ( $p<.050$ ) while in light blue countries this was not the case. Grey countries (NA) are those which were not included in the study.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Participants

We extracted a dataset of 7,393 participants from the ongoing International Colour-Emotion Association Survey. Participants came from 31 countries ( 1,881 men, 1,734 participants 50 years old or older, mean age $=35.90 \mathrm{y}$., age range $=16-88 \mathrm{y}$.; see Table 1). The sample sizes ranged from 74 participants (Croatia) to 595 participants (Greece; see Table 1 for further details). In each country, there were at least 25 participants aged 50 years old or older.

Participants had completed the survey in their native language, apart from those being from India, the Philippines, and Nigeria, who completed the survey in English, because English is the official language in these countries. In these latter cases, participants indicated being fluent in English (self-reported mean fluency rating of 6.98 out of 8 ). For most analyses, we considered age as a continuous variable, but for some analyses, we separated our participants into age groups. We created a group of adolescents (16-19 y.) and six groups of adults, with the oldest group spanning over two decades (70-89 years old; see Table 2). We decided on this age range because, overall, there were very few participants over the age of $80(n=25$; $0.3 \%$ of the sample). Moreover, in 15 countries, we had no participant older than 79 (see Age, Range in Table 1). We did not collect information on participants' ethnicity, sexual orientation, socio-economic or disability statuses.

Participation was voluntary. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). We received ethics approval from the Research Ethics Commission of the University of Lausanne (C_SSP_032020_00003). Forty-six per cent of this dataset has been published before answering different research questions (Jonauskaite, Abdel-Khalek, et al., 2019; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Jonauskaite, Wicker, et al., 2019; Ram et al., 2020; Uusküla et al., 2023). For the current study, we had made efforts to recruit older participants so we could analyse the data from an adult lifespan perspective.

Table 1. Demographic information, separated by country of origin.

| Country of origin | Language | $N$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \text { (age } \\ & \geq 50 \mathrm{y} . \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Gender |  | Age (in years) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \% men | \% women | Mean | SD | Range |
| Austria | German | 187 | 44 | 17.11 | 81.28 | 34.53 | 15.47 | 18-71 |
| Azerbaijan | Azerbaijani | 379 | 80 | 26.65 | 73.35 | 36.41 | 13.82 | 17-70 |


| China | Chinese | 205 | 35 | 29.27 | 70.24 | 32.40 | 17.29 | 17-80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Colombia | Spanish | 103 | 26 | 41.75 | 58.25 | 35.93 | 14.99 | 18-74 |
| Croatia | Croatian | 74 | 25 | 16.22 | 83.78 | 38.82 | 12.94 | 18-60 |
| Cyprus | Greek | 264 | 34 | 23.86 | 76.14 | 30.11 | 13.91 | 16-85 |
| Estonia | Estonian | 272 | 47 | 10.29 | 89.71 | 39.24 | 11.50 | 18-70 |
| France | French | 241 | 61 | 28.63 | 70.12 | 36.79 | 15.78 | 17-76 |
| Germany | German | 443 | 96 | 18.96 | 80.81 | 35.79 | 15.37 | 16-83 |
| Greece | Greek | 595 | 51 | 17.14 | 82.52 | 30.27 | 10.66 | 16-76 |
| India | English | 103 | 34 | 35.92 | 64.08 | 38.43 | 18.61 | 17-73 |
| Israel | Hebrew | 97 | 35 | 15.46 | 84.54 | 43.40 | 14.18 | 21-82 |
| Italy | Italian | 165 | 46 | 32.12 | 67.88 | 38.89 | 16.39 | 19-80 |
| Japan | Japanese | 147 | 52 | 53.06 | 44.22 | 41.67 | 13.82 | 17-76 |
| Latvia | Latvian | 167 | 36 | 18.56 | 80.24 | 38.61 | 13.84 | 19-83 |
| Lithuania | Lithuanian | 205 | 55 | 17.07 | 82.93 | 38.29 | 14.37 | 16-80 |
| Mexico | Spanish | 362 | 124 | 33.43 | 66.30 | 39.50 | 18.89 | 16-88 |
| Netherlands | Dutch | 95 | 41 | 35.79 | 64.21 | 42.88 | 18.03 | 17-84 |
| Nigeria | English | 132 | 40 | 44.70 | 55.30 | 38.15 | 12.73 | 19-65 |
| Norway | Norwegian | 392 | 114 | 17.35 | 81.89 | 39.57 | 15.02 | 16-86 |
| Philippines | English | 275 | 64 | 26.91 | 70.55 | 34.12 | 16.51 | 18-85 |
| Poland | Polish | 296 | 129 | 28.04 | 71.96 | 43.02 | 19.48 | 17-81 |
| Russia | Russian | 161 | 43 | 37.27 | 62.11 | 35.92 | 16.88 | 16-78 |
| Saudi Arabia | Arabic | 213 | 36 | 34.74 | 64.79 | 31.81 | 14.68 | 16-85 |
| Serbia | Serbian | 105 | 29 | 22.86 | 77.14 | 39.35 | 16.40 | 20-78 |
| Spain | Spanish | 162 | 26 | 23.46 | 75.93 | 34.33 | 12.96 | 19-75 |
| Sweden | Swedish | 316 | 81 | 15.82 | 82.59 | 37.53 | 14.76 | 16-82 |
| Switzerland | French | 588 | 53 | 30.27 | 69.05 | 26.08 | 12.07 | 16-79 |
| Ukraine | Ukrainian | 89 | 30 | 16.85 | 83.15 | 38.85 | 22.30 | 18-87 |
| United |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kingdom | English | 289 | 121 | 29.76 | 68.51 | 44.55 | 16.70 | 16-77 |
| United States | English | 271 | 46 | 27.31 | 71.59 | 32.20 | 15.62 | 16-83 |
| All countries together | All languages | 7,393 | 1,734 | 25.44 | 73.92 | 35.90 | 15.81 | 16-88 |

Note. Across all countries, 47 participants ( $0.64 \%$ ) chose not to report their gender.

Table 2. Age and gender information by age group.

| Age group | $n$ | Gender |  |  | Age (in years) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | \% men | \% women |  | Mean | SD |
| 16-19 years old | 615 | 20.33 | 79.02 |  | 18.32 | 0.90 |
| 20-29 years old | 2,902 | 22.29 | 76.77 |  | 23.43 | 2.79 |
| 30-39 years old | 1,230 | 26.18 | 73.50 |  | 34.03 | 2.88 |
| 40-49 years old | 912 | 25.88 | 73.90 |  | 44.45 | 2.95 |
| $50-59$ years old | 971 | 30.69 | 68.38 |  | 54.23 | 2.74 |


| $60-69$ years old | 549 | 32.06 | 67.76 | 63.99 | 2.72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-89$ years old | 214 | 35.98 | 64.02 | 74.50 | 4.14 |

Note. 47 participants ( $0.64 \%$ ) chose not to report their gender.

### 2.2. Emotion Stimuli

We used the Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW version 3.0; (Scherer, 2005; Scherer et al., 2013) to measure associations between colour terms and emotion concepts. The GEW is a selfreport research tool to assess the most relevant emotions in a user-friendly way (also see, (Tran, 2004). There are 20 emotions, presented in a circular format on the GEW, with similar emotions appearing nearby (Figure 2).

These 20 emotions can be further grouped by their underlying affective dimensions according to valence, arousal, and power (see Figure 2). The affective loadings have been derived in a previous study, conducted in 34 populations coming from 27 countries, speaking 28 languages (Fontaine et al., 2007, 2013; Soriano et al., 2013). The same loadings have been used previously in colour-emotion research (Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Uusküla et al., 2023).

With our collaborators in the International Colour-Emotion Associations Survey, we translated and back-translated the GEW into 46 languages (see the Acknowledgment list in (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020), also see https://www.colourexperience.ch/collaborations for the most recent list of collaborators). We here present the GEW emotion terms for the 22 languages reported in this study (Table S 1, Table S 2, and Table S 3).


Figure 2. Geneva Emotion Wheel (GEW). We used the GEW, adapted from Scherer et al. (2013), to assess associations between colour terms and emotion concepts. We display how each emotion term loads on the affective dimensions of valence, arousal (marked with A+ for high arousal and A- for low arousal), and power. The affective loadings were determined in previous studies (Fontaine et al., 2007, 2013; Scherer et al., 2013) and have been used in previous related studies (Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Uusküla et al., 2023). Participants did not see the dotted lines or the affective loadings.

### 2.3. Colour Stimuli

We assessed emotion associations with 12 colour terms, glossed in English as red, orange, yellow, green, turquoise, blue, purple, pink, brown, white, grey, and black. Eleven of these
colour terms (i.e., all but turquoise ${ }^{1}$ ) are basic in Indo-European languages and in many other language families (e.g., (Berlin \& Kay, 1969; Biggam, 2012; Corbett \& Davies, 1997; Uusküla, 2006; Uusküla et al., 2012). A basic colour term implies that its meaning is understood by all native speakers of its respective language, and the term cannot be easily categorised under another term (e.g., lavender is not a basic colour term since it is a shade of purple; (Biggam, 2012). As there are more colour terms for warm shades (e.g., red, orange, yellow, brown, pink) than cool shades (e.g., blue, green), we included turquoise to have an additional term covering the area of green-blue shades. We opted for the turquoise in English because it has been suggested to be a potential emerging basic colour term (Mylonas \& MacDonald, 2015; Zimmer, 1982; Zollinger, 1984). See Table S 4, Table S 5, and Table S 6 for the exact colour terms in each language.

Our participants saw the 12 colour terms in their native languages and written in black ink. As we worked with colour terms, participants never saw physical colours corresponding to the colour terms (see studies comparing emotion associations with colour terms and the corresponding focal colours (Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020).

### 2.4. Procedure of the International Colour-Emotion Association Survey

Participants completed the online survey in their native language, when possible (http://www2.unil.ch/onlinepsylab/colour/main.php) (see Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al. (2020) for details on the translation procedure). This ongoing survey starts by stating its main goal and providing ethical information, namely that i) participation is anonymous and strictly confidential, ii) responses are used for research purposes and its dissemination, and iii) participants can stop the survey at any time without experiencing any consequences. Afterwards, participants give informed consent by clicking on the "Let's go" button. Then, the following pages of the survey explain the task and how the GEW works. Here, participants go through a manipulation check making sure they understand the task. More concretely, participants have to correct the faulty responses made by Peter, an imaginary character. Having passed this check, participants see the 12 colour terms (written in black ink on a grey background, see Figure 2) one after the other above the GEW in a randomised order. They are asked to associate the 20 GEW emotion concepts with the given colour terms by selecting one, several, or none of the GEW emotions that they think are associated with each colour term. In this survey, participants can also indicate a non-listed emotion term for each colour

[^1]term, called "other emotion" (not analysed here). When making an association, participants evaluate the emotion intensity for every associated emotion by choosing circles of increasing size on the GEW (see Figure 2). The largest circle was converted to the intensity of 5 and the smallest circle to 1 . When no emotion was chosen, we converted this choice to 0 .

After associating the 12 colour terms with emotion concepts, participants reported their demographic information: age, gender, colour vision impairments ("Do you have any trouble seeing certain colours?"), colour importance in their life, country of origin and country of residence ("What is your country of residence? The most recent country you have been living in for at least 2 years"), native language, and fluency of the language in which they completed the survey. A "do not want to answer" option was available for all questions. On the final page, participants were thanked and graphically presented with the results from a previous, related study. Participants were further able to contact us via an e-mail address. On average, our participants took 13.5 minutes to complete the survey. We prefiltered the data from very quick (< 3 minutes) and very slow (> 90 minutes) responders; thus, the range in the current study was between 3.0 and 89.7 minutes.

### 2.5. Data Preparation and Analysis

Our dataset consisted of 240 data points per participant (12 colour terms $\times 20$ emotions). We derived several new variables to analyse this complex dataset, in analogy to previous studies (see Table 3, (Jonauskaite, Abdel-Khalek, et al., 2019; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Jonauskaite, Dael, et al., 2019; Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Uusküla et al., 2023). When necessary, we controlled for the family-wise error, arising from multiple comparisons, with the False Discovery Rate (FDR) correction (Benjamini \& Hochberg, 1995).

Table 3. Dependent variables in this study

| Dependent variable | Description | Possible values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Presence of association | Presence or absence of colouremotion association | Yes/No (for all 240 colouremotion associations) |
| Broadness | The number of emotions participants associated with the colour terms | 0-20 (for each colour term) |
| Emotion intensity | The intensity of each emotion associated with a given colour term | 1-5 (for each colour term and only for associated emotions) |
| Affective bias: valence | Relative bias towards positive (+ values) or negative (- values) emotions | -1 to +1 |
| Affective bias: arousal (also known as activity) | Relative bias towards high arousal (+ values) or low arousal (- values) emotions | -1 to +1 |


| Affective bias: power | Relative bias towards high <br> power (+ values) or low power (- | -1 to +1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (also known as |  |  |
| dominance or potency) | values) emotions |  |

### 2.5.1. Patterns of Colour-Emotion Associations

We created the patterns of colour-emotion associations by coding for the presence of each colour-emotion association (see Table 3). More precisely, we gave a value of 1 (association present) to all emotion intensity ratings between 1 and 5 . We gave a value of 0 (association absent) when no emotion intensity rating was present. Subsequently, we calculated the proportion of participants in each age group who associated each colour term with each emotion concept. To this end, we split our participants into seven age groups, namely 16-19, $20-29,30-39,40-49,50-59,60-69$, and $70-89$ years old. The proportions varied from 0 (no one associated) to 1 (everyone associated). These proportions, calculated for 240 colour-emotion combinations, constituted the pattern of colour-emotion associations.

From this pattern, we identified the most frequent associations. We also correlated the patterns of colour-emotion associations of each age group with the patterns of associations of the remaining groups (global pattern) to establish the degree of overall similarity in these patterns (Pearson correlations). Global patterns were created by calculating the patterns of all age groups apart from the age group in question. In this way, each age group contributed equally to the global pattern. Correlations theoretically varied from -1 (completely opposite patterns) to 0 (no similarity) to 1 (identical). In addition, we performed these correlations for each colour term, separately.

### 2.5.2. Broadness and Emotion Intensity

For broadness and emotion intensity (see Table 3), we fitted two analogous linear mixed models (Imer; Ime4 and afex R packages, (Bates et al., 2015; Kuznetsova et al., 2017; Singmann et al., 2023). Our predictor variables were age (continuous variable, range $=16-88$ ), colour (categorical variable with 12 levels) and their two-way interaction. To estimate cultural differences, we also added country (categorical variable with 31 levels) and the interaction between country and age. To limit the complexity of the models and since we had no predictions for the three-way interactions, we did not include them in the models. We estimated $p$-values with Kenward-Roger's approximation method, with the pbkrtest package (Halekoh \& Højsgaard, 2014). We calculated pseudo R-squared values with the rcompanion R package (Mangiafico, 2023).

Formally, the models can be described like this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Broadness ~ Age * Colour + Age * Country + (1| Participant) } \\
& \text { Emotion intensity ~ Age * Colour + Age * Country + (1| Participant) }
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate any non-linear effects of age, we additionally ran linear mixed models replacing the linear variable Age with the categorical variable Age Group (see Table 2). In the latter models, we could not include Country since not all countries had enough participants in each age group (see Table 1).

### 2.5.3. Affective Biases: Valence, Arousal, and Power

We calculated the valence bias in the following way. First, we counted the number of emotions ( $n_{\text {total }}$ ) that each participant associated with the given colour term. Then, we calculated the number of positive ( $\mathrm{n}_{\text {positive }}$ ) and negative ( $\mathrm{n}_{\text {negative }}$ ) emotions (see Figure 2 ), following (Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Uusküla et al., 2023). Finally, we subtracted the number of negative emotions from positive emotions and divided the difference by the total number of associated emotions. Formally, the calculation can be described as such,

$$
\text { Valence bias }=\left(n_{\text {positive }}-n_{\text {negative }}\right) /\left(n_{\text {positive }}+n_{\text {negative }}\right)
$$

Here, the maximum number of $n_{\text {positive }}=10, n_{\text {negative }}=10$, and $\left(n_{\text {positive }}+n_{\text {negative }}\right)=20$ emotions (see Figure 2). Thus, the valence bias could vary between -1 and 1 . The extreme negative bias (-1) indicates that a participant associated only negative emotions while the extreme positive bias (1) indicates that a participant associated only positive emotions with a given colour term.

In analogy, we calculated arousal and power biases, by exchanging positive and negative emotions, with high and low arousal, and high and low power emotions, respectively (see Figure 2). Formally, the calculation can be described as such,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Arousal bias }=\left(n_{\text {high arousal }}-n_{\text {low arousal }}\right) /\left(n_{\text {high arousal }}+n_{\text {low arousal }}\right) \\
\text { Power bias }=\left(n_{\text {high power }}-n_{\text {low power }}\right) /\left(n_{\text {high power }}+n_{\text {low power }}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Arousal bias could vary from -1 and 1, respectively, indicating that a participant associated only low arousing or high arousing emotions with a given colour term. Power bias could vary from -1 and 1, respectively, indicating that a participant associated only low power or high power emotions with a given colour term.

For valence, arousal, and power biases, we fitted analogous linear mixed models to those for broadness and emotion intensity:

```
Valence bias ~ Age * Colour * Country + (1| Participant)
Arousal bias ~ Age * Colour * Country + (1| Participant)
Power bias ~ Age * Colour * Country + (1| Participant)
```

We additionally ran analogous linear mixed models to estimate any non-linear effects of age. To that end, we replaced Age with Age Group (see Table 2).

### 2.6. Transparency and Openness

We report how we determined our sample size, all data exclusions (if any), all manipulations, and all measures in the study. All data and research materials are available at https://osf.io/873df/?view only=fb146158681b4102900278fdc6fb093d. All data were analysed with R, version 4.2.3 (R Core Team, 2023) and R Studio (Posit team, 2022). The study design and its analysis were not pre-registered.

## 3. Results

Based on the global pattern of colour-emotion associations (all age groups together), we identified 14 colour-emotion associations chosen by at least $40 \%$ of participants (same criterion as in Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020). These associations were red-love (chosen by $69.2 \%$ of all participants), yellow-joy (55.7\%), red-anger (55.2\%), black-sadness (53.7\%), pink-love (53.2\%), black-fear (48.7\%), grey-sadness (47.7\%), orange-joy (44.6\%), greydisappointment (43.9\%), white-relief (43.5\%), pink-pleasure (41.8\%), pink-joy (41.8\%), blackhate (41.6\%), and orange-amusement (41.0\%).

After establishing the patterns of colour-emotion associations for each age group separately (Figure 3 and Figure 4), we contrasted each of these group-specific patterns to the global pattern of all other age groups combined. The Pearson correlations were high ( $r \geq .934$ ), indicating a high degree of similarity in the patterns of colour-emotion associations across the age groups (see Table 4). These correlations were also extremely high when looking at each colour term individually, ranging from $r=.931$ (blue) to $r=.989$ (pink), see Table S 7.

The numerically strongest correlation was observed between the colour-emotion association pattern of 30-39-year-olds and the global pattern ( $r=.991$; Table 4). We compared all other correlations to the 30-39 age group and observed that 40-49-year-olds had an equally strong correlation. The remaining age groups had significantly lower correlations. The weakest (but still very strong) correlations with the global pattern were those of the youngest and the oldest groups ( $r \geq .935$;Table 4).

All age groups ( $\mathrm{N}=7393$ )

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.19 & 0.27 & 0.26 & 0.31 & 0.28 & 0.28 & 0.24 & 0.19 & 0.09 & 0.16 & 0.09 & 0.1\end{array}$ Amusement $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.19 & 0.41 & 0.38 & 0.22 & 0.25 & 0.16 & 0.19 & 0.34 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.04\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.22 & 0.17 & 0.19 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.23 & 0.07 & 0.14\end{array}$ Joy 0.27 0.45 0.56 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.33 & 0.33 & 0.32 & 0.32 & 0.35 & 0.25 & 0.24 & 0.42 & 0.06 & 0.18 & 0.04 & 0.06\end{array}$ Contentment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.14 & 0.25 & 0.26 & 0.39 & 0.32 & 0.35 & 0.19 & 0.25 & 0.13 & 0.3 & 0.09 & 0.07\end{array}$ | Admiration | 0.2 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.05 | 0.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}0.69 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 0.16 & 0.53 & 0.04 & 0.19 & 0.03 & 0.04\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Relief } & 0.05 & 0.13 & 0.18 & 0.35 & 0.34 & 0.34 & 0.13 & 0.18 & 0.1 & 0.44 & 0.1 & 0.06\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Compassion } & 0.09 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.16 & 0.14 & 0.2 & 0.17 & 0.19 & 0.11 & 0.25 & 0.11 & 0.1\end{array}$ Sadness |  | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Guilt $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.12 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.13 & 0.05 & 0.14 & 0.07 & 0.26 & 0.32\end{array}$ Regret $0.080 .060 .070 .070 .06 \quad 0.130 .14 \begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0.05 & 0.16 & 0.11 & 0.31 & 0.29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.2 & 0.08 & 0.1 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.11 & 0.09 & 0.16 & 0.06 & 0.21 & 0.22\end{array}$ Disappointment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.07 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.13 & 0.05 & 0.22 & 0.08 & 0.44 & 0.32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.16 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.09 & 0.23 & 0.49\end{array}$ Disgust $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.07 & 0.08 & 0.12 & 0.17 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.38 & 0.03 & 0.13 & 0.19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.12 & 0.08 & 0.1 & 0.08 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.11 & 0.05 & 0.18 & 0.06 & 0.2 & 0.26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.31 & 0.07 & 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.03 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.12 & 0.42\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.55 & 0.11 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.31\end{array}$



## 20-29 years old ( $\mathrm{n}=\mathbf{2 9 0 2 \text { ) }}$

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.19 & 0.26 & 0.28 & 0.33 & 0.29 & 0.29 & 0.25 & 0.21 & 0.09 & 0.17 & 0.08 & 0.11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Amusement } & 0.19 & 0.43 & 0.42 & 0.26 & 0.29 & 0.18 & 0.21 & 0.35 & 0.05 & 0.09 & 0.03 & 0.04\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.23 & 0.17 & 0.22 & 0.19 & 0.18 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 0.13 & 0.07 & 0.25 & 0.07 & 0.15\end{array}$ | Joy | 0.25 | 0.44 | 0.6 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 0.46 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.37 & 0.33 & 0.34 & 0.32 & 0.37 & 0.26 & 0.25 & 0.45 & 0.06 & 0.2 & 0.04 & 0.07\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contentment } & 0.15 & 0.26 & 0.29 & 0.38 & 0.34 & 0.34 & 0.2 & 0.26 & 0.12 & 0.33 & 0.09 & 0.08\end{array}$ Admiration 0.220 .18 0.24 $0.2 \begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 0.24 & 0.26 & 0.23 & 0.27 & 0.05 & 0.26 & 0.05 & 0.08\end{array}$ Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.77 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.17 & 0.62 & 0.04 & 0.19 & 0.03 & 0.05\end{array}$ Relief $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 0.05 & 0.13 & 0.19 & 0.35 & 0.39 & 0.39 & 0.13 & 0.18 & 0.1 & 0.48 & 0.1 & 0.06\end{array}$ Compassion | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.1 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Sadness | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Guilt } & 0.14 & 0.08 & 0.1 & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.15 & 0.06 & 0.15 & 0.08 & 0.31 & 0.37\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Regret } & 0.1 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.09 & 0.08 & 0.17 & 0.15 & 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.13 & 0.37 & 0.33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.23 & 0.09 & 0.12 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.1 & 0.14 & 0.12 & 0.18 & 0.07 & 0.24 & 0.27\end{array}$ Disappointment $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll} & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.16 & 0.15 & 0.05 & 0.23 & 0.09 & 0.49 & 0.39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.17 & 0.06 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.14 & 0.14 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.27 & 0.55\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Disgust } & 0.08 & 0.1 & 0.15 & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.06 & 0.44 & 0.03 & 0.14 & 0.21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.16 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.13 & 0.05 & 0.21 & 0.07 & 0.25 & 0.32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.39 & 0.08 & 0.1 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.49\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.63 & 0.13 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.12 & 0.36\end{array}$



## $16-19$ years old $(\mathbf{n}=615)$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.21 & 0.29 & 0.3 & 0.32 & 0.33 & 0.29 & 0.28 & 0.27 & 0.12 & 0.22 & 0.1 & 0.11\end{array}$ Amusement $0.21 \begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 0.44 & 0.45 & 0.29 & 0.32 & 0.22 & 0.25 & 0.37 & 0.07 & 0.11 & 0.06 & 0.07\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.26 & 0.27 & 0.3 & 0.22 & 0.2 & 0.26 & 0.28 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.28 & 0.1 & 0.17\end{array}$ | Joy | 0.27 | 0.4 | 0.63 | 0.34 | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.31 & 0.31 & 0.4 & 0.34 & 0.41 & 0.29 & 0.31 & 0.51 & 0.09 & 0.25 & 0.08 & 0.1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Contentment } & 0.18 & 0.28 & 0.31 & 0.36 & 0.35 & 0.33 & 0.23 & 0.3 & 0.14 & 0.35 & 0.1 & 0.11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Admiration } & 0.28 & 0.25 & 0.31 & 0.24 & 0.29 & 0.3 & 0.29 & 0.38 & 0.08 & 0.26 & 0.07 & 0.1\end{array}$ Love $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}0.76 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.14 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.22 & 0.65 & 0.07 & 0.2 & 0.06 & 0.07\end{array}$ Relief $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.1 & 0.17 & 0.25 & 0.33 & 0.41 & 0.38 & 0.18 & 0.22 & 0.12 & 0.49 & 0.12 & 0.08\end{array}$ Compassion $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.16 & 0.16 & 0.19 & 0.18 & 0.21 & 0.26 & 0.22 & 0.27 & 0.14 & 0.32 & 0.13 & 0.11\end{array}$ Sadness |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.55 | 0.52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Guilt $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 0.17 & 0.11 & 0.13 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 0.22 & 0.19 & 0.08 & 0.18 & 0.13 & 0.37 & 0.44\end{array}$ Regret $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 0.15 & 0.11 & 0.12 & 0.12 & 0.12 & 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.08 & 0.21 & 0.17 & 0.42 & 0.4\end{array}$ Shame $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 0.25 & 0.12 & 0.15 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.16 & 0.2 & 0.12 & 0.22 & 0.12 & 0.34 & 0.3\end{array}$ Disappointment | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.43 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.2 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.09 & 0.18 & 0.2 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.14 & 0.34 & 0.6\end{array}$ Disgust $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.12 & 0.14 & 0.16 & 0.33 & 0.08 & 0.09 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.49 & 0.07 & 0.2 & 0.28\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.17 & 0.12 & 0.14 & 0.13 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.19 & 0.08 & 0.22 & 0.1 & 0.27 & 0.32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.46 & 0.13 & 0.12 & 0.09 & 0.07 & 0.09 & 0.13 & 0.07 & 0.13 & 0.07 & 0.2 & 0.55\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.67 & 0.16 & 0.11 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.15 & 0.36\end{array}$



## $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{- 3 9}$ years old $(\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{1 2 3 0})$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.18 & 0.28 & 0.26 & 0.3 & 0.27 & 0.27 & 0.24 & 0.17 & 0.07 & 0.15 & 0.07 & 0.1\end{array}$ | Amusement | 0.18 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.2 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.22 & 0.15 & 0.16 & 0.14 & 0.15 & 0.26 & 0.24 & 0.1 & 0.06 & 0.21 & 0.05 & 0.15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Joy } & 0.27 & 0.48 & 0.57 & 0.34 & 0.33 & 0.21 & 0.19 & 0.42 & 0.03 & 0.2 & 0.02 & 0.02\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.33 & 0.32 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.34 & 0.23 & 0.23 & 0.41 & 0.05 & 0.16 & 0.02 & 0.06\end{array}$ Contentment $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 0.13 & 0.23 & 0.25 & 0.41 & 0.32 & 0.36 & 0.19 & 0.23 & 0.13 & 0.29 & 0.09 & 0.07\end{array}$

 Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.68 & 0.11 & 0.1 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.09 & 0.16 & 0.53 & 0.03 & 0.18 & 0.02 & 0.03\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Relief } & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.17 & 0.38 & 0.36 & 0.37 & 0.13 & 0.16 & 0.09 & 0.41 & 0.09 & 0.04\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Compassion } & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.08 & 0.16 & 0.13 & 0.2 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.1 & 0.25 & 0.1 & 0.12\end{array}$ Sadness 0.030 .030 .040 .030 .070 .25 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Guilt } & 0.12 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.1 & 0.03 & 0.11 & 0.04 & 0.22 & 0.31\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Regret } & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.15 & 0.08 & 0.27 & 0.26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.19 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.02 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.08 & 0.16 & 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.19\end{array}$

Figure 3. Colour-emotion association patterns for all participants together as well as separated by age group (16-19, 20-29, and 30-39 years old; see also Figure 4). Numbers in cells refer to proportions (ie., the proportion of participants in each group who associated a given colour term with a given emotion term).

40-49 years old ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 1 2 \text { ) }}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.2 & 0.28 & 0.24 & 0.31 & 0.25 & 0.27 & 0.21 & 0.15 & 0.08 & 0.13 & 0.08 & 0.11\end{array}$ Amusement $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.21 & 0.37 & 0.33 & 0.2 & 0.19 & 0.11 & 0.15 & 0.31 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.04\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.2 & 0.14 & 0.15 & 0.13 & 0.14 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.1 & 0.06 & 0.18 & 0.07 & 0.13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Joy } & 0.3 & 0.47 & 0.52 & 0.3 & 0.29 & 0.16 & 0.13 & 0.35 & 0.04 & 0.21 & 0.03 & 0.03\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.31 & 0.3 & 0.28 & 0.28 & 0.33 & 0.21 & 0.22 & 0.38 & 0.06 & 0.17 & 0.04 & 0.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Contentment } & 0.13 & 0.25 & 0.23 & 0.4 & 0.31 & 0.38 & 0.18 & 0.25 & 0.14 & 0.28 & 0.09 & 0.08\end{array}$ Admiration $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { A.22 } & 0.17 & 0.15 & 0.18 & 0.23 & 0.22 & 0.22 & 0.2 & 0.06 & 0.18 & 0.06 & 0.08\end{array}$ | Love | 0.63 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.1 | 0.13 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.2 | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Relief } & 0.05 & 0.12 & 0.14 & 0.34 & 0.28 & 0.29 & 0.11 & 0.18 & 0.09 & 0.44 & 0.1 & 0.05\end{array}$ Compassion $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.07 & 0.09 & 0.08 & 0.16 & 0.12 & 0.18 & 0.17 & 0.13 & 0.1 & 0.23 & 0.1 & 0.1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Sadness } & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.23 & 0.18 & 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.12 & 0.44 & 0.53\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Guilt } & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.11 & 0.04 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.21 & 0.29\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Regret } & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.14 & 0.04 & 0.13 & 0.09 & 0.25 & 0.24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.18 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.14 & 0.06 & 0.16 & 0.18\end{array}$ Disappointment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.06 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.21 & 0.06 & 0.39 & 0.24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.1 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.07 & 0.2 & 0.43\end{array}$ Disgust 0.060 .06 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.05 & 0.18 & 0.05 & 0.14 & 0.2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.23 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.03 & 0.09 & 0.34\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.52 & 0.09 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.26\end{array}$



## 60-69 years old ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{5 4 9}$ )

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.15 & 0.26 & 0.21 & 0.28 & 0.24 & 0.27 & 0.21 & 0.15 & 0.11 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\text { Amusement } & 0.16 & 0.34 & 0.27 & 0.17 & 0.2 & 0.16 & 0.17 & 0.32 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.22 & 0.16 & 0.13 & 0.14 & 0.15 & 0.23 & 0.2 & 0.12 & 0.06 & 0.21 & 0.06 & 0.1\end{array}$ Joy $0.310 .43 ~ 0.45$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.27 & 0.37 & 0.32 & 0.36 & 0.34 & 0.28 & 0.21 & 0.37 & 0.07 & 0.19 & 0.05 & 0.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contentment } & 0.14 & 0.24 & 0.23 & 0.44 & 0.28 & 0.39 & 0.17 & 0.24 & 0.14 & 0.24 & 0.1 & 0.07\end{array}$
 Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.56 & 0.13 & 0.11 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 0.12 & 0.12 & 0.34 & 0.05 & 0.18 & 0.04 & 0.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Relief } & 0.06 & 0.14 & 0.2 & 0.33 & 0.22 & 0.28 & 0.11 & 0.18 & 0.1 & 0.33 & 0.11 & 0.06\end{array}$ Compassion $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}0.07 & 0.08 & 0.09 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.15 & 0.14 & 0.13 & 0.11 & 0.19 & 0.12 & 0.13\end{array}$ Sadness 0.050 .050 .050 .050 .060 .140 .180 .06 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Guilt } & 0.11 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.13 & 0.05 & 0.16 & 0.23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Regret } & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.15 & 0.06 & 0.18 & 0.08 & 0.27 & 0.26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.16 & 0.07 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.1 & 0.07 & 0.13 & 0.06 & 0.17 & 0.16\end{array}$ Disappointment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll} & 0.07 & 0.07 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.12 & 0.06 & 0.23 & 0.08 & 0.38 & 0.24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.13 & 0.06 & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.1 & 0.07 & 0.18 & 0.4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Disgust } & 0.07 & 0.07 & 0.07 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.26 & 0.05 & 0.13 & 0.18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.11 & 0.07 & 0.11 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.09 & 0.06 & 0.14 & 0.05 & 0.15 & 0.2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.19 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.28\end{array}$ Anger $0.41 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll} & 0.08 & 0.11 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.26\end{array}$


50-59 years old ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 7 1}$ )

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.17 & 0.25 & 0.22 & 0.28 & 0.26 & 0.28 & 0.22 & 0.16 & 0.1 & 0.16 & 0.09 & 0.09\end{array}$ Amusement $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.17 & 0.4 & 0.32 & 0.16 & 0.2 & 0.13 & 0.14 & 0.29 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.04\end{array}$ Pride $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.19 & 0.13 & 0.13 & 0.15 & 0.16 & 0.25 & 0.2 & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.23 & 0.05 & 0.12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Joy } & 0.28 & 0.46 & 0.49 & 0.28 & 0.29 & 0.22 & 0.17 & 0.36 & 0.04 & 0.23 & 0.03 & 0.03\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.26 & 0.33 & 0.28 & 0.32 & 0.33 & 0.24 & 0.21 & 0.36 & 0.07 & 0.15 & 0.05 & 0.05\end{array}$ Contentment $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.13 & 0.24 & 0.22 & 0.38 & 0.28 & 0.34 & 0.18 & 0.23 & 0.15 & 0.24 & 0.11 & 0.06\end{array}$ | Admiration | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}0.57 & 0.13 & 0.11 & 0.11 & 0.12 & 0.11 & 0.15 & 0.43 & 0.04 & 0.18 & 0.04 & 0.04\end{array}$ Relief $0.060 .120 .14 \begin{array}{lllllllllll}0.34 & 0.25 & 0.29 & 0.11 & 0.17 & 0.1 & 0.37 & 0.09 & 0.05\end{array}$ Compassion 0.060 .08 Sadness |  | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.54 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Guilt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.07 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.03 & 0.12 & 0.05 & 0.19 & 0.23\end{array}$ Regret $0.060 .04 \begin{array}{llllllllllllllll} & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.11 & 0.03 & 0.15 & 0.07 & 0.26 & 0.22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Shame } & 0.15 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.04 & 0.15 & 0.16\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.14 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.03 & 0.08 & 0.07 & 0.16 & 0.4\end{array}$ Disgust 0.06 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Contempt } & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.15 & 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.17 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.02 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.08 & 0.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.43 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.24\end{array}$


## 70-89 years old ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 1 4}$ )

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Interest } & 0.17 & 0.23 & 0.24 & 0.3 & 0.22 & 0.21 & 0.23 & 0.18 & 0.1 & 0.17 & 0.1 & 0.08\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Amusement } & 0.21 & 0.33 & 0.26 & 0.19 & 0.17 & 0.16 & 0.18 & 0.3 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.03\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\text { Pride } & 0.22 & 0.14 & 0.12 & 0.15 & 0.15 & 0.19 & 0.18 & 0.12 & 0.07 & 0.15 & 0.06 & 0.1\end{array}$ | Joy | 0.41 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.35 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.03 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Pleasure } & 0.34 & 0.36 & 0.26 & 0.37 & 0.27 & 0.28 & 0.17 & 0.35 & 0.07 & 0.17 & 0.05 & 0.06\end{array}$ Contentment $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 0.17 & 0.23 & 0.23 & 0.42 & 0.21 & 0.33 & 0.21 & 0.23 & 0.12 & 0.24 & 0.09 & 0.06\end{array}$ Admiration 0.23 0.23 0.210 .210 .28 Love $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.62 & 0.11 & 0.13 & 0.15 & 0.13 & 0.15 & 0.11 & 0.37 & 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.03 & 0.02\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Relief } & 0.05 & 0.09 & 0.13 & 0.25 & 0.22 & 0.25 & 0.07 & 0.15 & 0.06 & 0.34 & 0.09 & 0.04\end{array}$ Compassion $0.060 .09 \quad 0.06$

 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Guilt } & 0.06 & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.15 & 0.18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Regret } & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.13 & 0.08 & 0.21 & 0.19\end{array}$ Shame $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}0.09 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.04 & 0.1 & 0.04 & 0.15 & 0.14\end{array}$ Disappointment $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} & 0.02 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.12 & 0.12 & 0.04 & 0.23 & 0.1 & 0.34 & 0.23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Fear } & 0.09 & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0.08 & 0.12 & 0.4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { Disgust } & 0.02 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.05 & 0.04 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.22 & 0.04 & 0.14 & 0.14\end{array}$ Contempt $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}0.03 & 0.06 & 0.08 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.13 & 0.04 & 0.19 & 0.14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Hate } & 0.13 & 0.04 & 0.07 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.02 & 0.05 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.06 & 0.09 & 0.25\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { Anger } & 0.25 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.03 & 0.03 & 0.06 & 0.03 & 0.07 & 0.04 & 0.06 & 0.2\end{array}$


Figure 4. Colour-emotion association patterns separated by age group (40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70-89 years old; see also Figure 3). Numbers in cells refer to proportions (ie., the proportion of participants in each group who associated a given colour term with a given emotion term).

Table 4. Correlation table between the global matrix of colour-emotion associations (excluding the age group of interest) and the age group of interest; comparison of the strength of correlation with the strongest correlation (30-39 y.)

|  | Pattern similarity index | Comparison <br> with the <br> strongest <br> correlation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age group | $r$ value | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | $z$ value |
| $16-19$ years old vs. global | $.942^{* * *}$ | $[.926-.955]$ | $-10.28^{* * *}$ |
| $20-29$ years old vs. global | $.976^{* * *}$ | $[.969-.981]$ | $-5.38^{* * *}$ |
| $30-39$ years old vs. global | $.991^{* * *}$ | $[.988-.993]$ | 0 |
| $40-49$ years old vs. global | $.989^{* * *}$ | $[.986-.991]$ | -1.10 |
| $50-59$ years old vs. global | $.983^{* * *}$ | $[.978-.987]$ | $-3.48^{* * *}$ |
| $60-69$ years old vs. global | $.970^{* * *}$ | $[.961-.976]$ | $-6.61^{* * *}$ |
| $70-89$ years old vs. global | $.935^{* * *}$ | $[.916-.949]$ | $-10.92^{* * *}$ |

Note. Significance coded as such: * $p<.050 ;{ }^{* *} p<.010 ;{ }^{* * *} p<.001$.

### 3.1. Age-related Differences in Broadness of Emotion Associations

Participants associated on average 3.29 emotions ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=[3.26,3.32]$ ) with the 12 colour terms. A linear mixed model predicting broadness from Age, Colour, Country and two-way interactions between Age and Colour, and Age and Country as predictors of broadness was significant, $F(83,13670)=82.7, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.073$.

The main effect of Age, $F(1,7554)=90.0, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.002$, suggested that as participants' age increased, broadness decreased. In other words, as participants got older, they associated fewer emotions with colour terms (Figure 5 and Table 5). We found a significant two-way interaction between Age and Colour, $F(11,81286)=29.9, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.070$. As participants got older, they associated fewer emotions with each of the colour terms (see Table 6). We also found a significant two-way interaction between Country and Age, $F(30,7553)=2.72, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.004$. Age was a significant predictor in 16 countries, in which older participants associated fewer emotions with colour terms (Table 7).

Additionally, the main effect of Colour, $F(11,81286)=202.1, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.065$, highlighted that broadness values differed by colour term. Red had the highest broadness values, while brown had the lowest broadness values (see Table S 8). The main effect of Country, $F(30,7550)=3.04, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.002$, highlighted that broadness values also differed by country. Participants coming from Japan had the highest broadness values, participants from Azerbaijan had the lowest broadness values (see Table S 10).

An additional linear mixed model predicting broadness from Age Group, Colour, and a twoway interaction between Age Group and Colour was also significant, $F(83,86691)=80.7, p<$ .001, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.071$. The main effect of Age Group, $F(6,7697)=24.7, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.002$, indicated that broadness differed across the groups. Deviation
planned contrasts revealed that participants aged $16-19 \mathrm{y}$. and $20-29 \mathrm{y}$. associated significantly more emotions than did participants from the remaining age groups (on average) while participants from all age groups above the age of 30 associated fewer emotions than average (Figure 6A). The main effect of colour, $F(11,81246)=258.1, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.065$, has been interpreted in the model on continuous age above. See Table S 12 for the interpretation of the interaction between Colour and Age Group, F(66, 81246) = $6.24, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.071$.

Table 5. Statistics for age as a significant predictor of broadness, emotion intensity, and affective biases

| Dependent variables | $\beta$ | $S D$ | $t$ value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Broadness | -0.42 | 0.04 | $-9.48^{* * *}$ |
| Emotion intensity | 0.09 | 0.01 | $9.26^{* * *}$ |
| Valence bias | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | $13.68^{* * *}$ |
| Arousal bias | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.46 |
| Power bias | $<0.01$ | $<0.01$ | 0.33 |

Note. significance coded as such: ${ }^{*} p \leq .050,{ }^{* *} p \leq .010,{ }^{* * *} p \leq .001$. Also see Figure 5 and Figure 7 for visual representation of these results.

Table 6. Statistics for age, separated by colour term, predicting broadness, emotion intensity, and affective biases (Age x Colour interaction)

| Colour term | Broadness |  |  | Emotion intensity |  |  | Valence bias |  |  | Arousal bias |  |  | Power bias |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\beta$ | $S D$ | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value |
| Red | -0.56 | 0.04 | -12.65*** | 0.06 | 0.01 | 6.37*** | 0.08 | 0.01 | 10.20*** | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.43 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.62 |
| Orange | -0.29 | 0.04 | -7.08*** | 0.12 | 0.01 | 10.62*** | 0.09 | 0.01 | 11.22*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 3.26** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.91 |
| Yellow | -0.47 | 0.04 | -11.38*** | 0.05 | 0.01 | 4.81*** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.36 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 2.37* | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.58 |
| Green | -0.34 | 0.04 | -8.24*** | 0.12 | 0.01 | 10.85*** | 0.12 | 0.01 | 15.18*** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.07 | -0.06 | 0.01 | -7.49*** |
| Turquoise | -0.40 | 0.04 | -9.76*** | 0.08 | 0.01 | 7.08*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 4.49*** | 0.04 | 0.01 | 5.21*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | $3.74 * * *$ |
| Blue | -0.46 | 0.04 | -10.80*** | 0.08 | 0.01 | 7.65*** | 0.12 | 0.01 | 14.25*** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.25 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 6.50*** |
| Purple | -0.41 | 0.04 | -9.72*** | 0.10 | 0.01 | 8.29*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.91** | -0.03 | 0.01 | -4.08*** | -0.03 | 0.01 | -3.33** |
| Pink | -0.46 | 0.04 | -11.30*** | 0.05 | 0.01 | 4.66*** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.93 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -2.48* | 0.04 | 0.01 | 5.18*** |
| Brown | -0.23 | 0.04 | -5.70*** | 0.04 | 0.01 | 2.97** | 0.06 | 0.01 | 6.86*** | -0.01 | 0.01 | -1.70 | -0.07 | 0.01 | -8.42*** |
| White | -0.35 | 0.04 | -8.31*** | 0.05 | 0.01 | 3.96*** | 0.02 | 0.01 | 2.55* | 0.03 | 0.01 | 3.42** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 3.94*** |
| Grey | -0.45 | 0.04 | -11.04*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.46* | 0.07 | 0.01 | 8.87*** | -0.05 | 0.01 | -7.44*** | -0.03 | 0.01 | -3.93*** |
| Black | -0.61 | 0.04 | -14.05*** | 0.04 | 0.01 | 3.52*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 3.55*** | -0.06 | 0.01 | -8.93*** | -0.05 | 0.01 | -6.24*** |

Note. significance coded as such: ${ }^{*} p \leq .050,{ }^{* *} p \leq .010,{ }^{* * *} p \leq .001$. All $p$-values after FDR correction. Also see Figure 5 and Figure 7 for visual representation of the results.

Table 7. Statistics for age, separated by country, predicting broadness, emotion intensity, and affective biases (Age x Country interaction)

| Country | $n$ | Broadness |  |  | Emotion intensity |  |  | Valence bias |  |  | Arousal bias |  |  | Power bias |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value | $\beta$ | SD | $t$ value |
| Austria | 187 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.76 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 2.04 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -0.63 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -0.87 |
| Azerbaijan | 379 | -0.24 | 0.11 | -2.14 | -0.03 | 0.04 | -0.84 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.66 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 1.37 |
| China | 205 | -0.30 | 0.22 | -1.37 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 1.77 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 5.40*** | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.27 | -0.03 | 0.01 | -1.98 |
| Colombia | 103 | -0.97 | 0.35 | -2.80* | 0.15 | 0.07 | 2.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 2.94** | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.56 | -0.05 | 0.02 | -2.43 |
| Croatia | 74 | 0.13 | 0.57 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 1.64 | -0.02 | 0.04 | -0.64 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.68 | -0.04 | 0.03 | -1.51 |
| Cyprus | 264 | -0.70 | 0.24 | -2.88* | 0.20 | 0.05 | 4.21*** | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.36* | -0.02 | 0.01 | -1.42 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Estonia | 272 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 2.96* | 0.05 | 0.02 | 2.29* | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.61 | -0.05 | 0.02 | -3.27* |
| France | 241 | -0.29 | 0.17 | -1.69 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 1.61 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.18* | -0.02 | 0.01 | -1.70 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -1.50 |
| Germany | 443 | -0.66 | 0.14 | -4.59*** | 0.14 | 0.03 | 4.49*** | 0.03 | 0.01 | 3.18** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.61 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.72 |
| Greece | 595 | -0.51 | 0.18 | -2.87* | 0.07 | 0.04 | 1.93 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 5.21*** | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.91 |
| India | 103 | -0.66 | 0.20 | -3.39** | 0.15 | 0.05 | 2.72* | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.04 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -0.87 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.70 |
| Israel | 97 | -0.39 | 0.29 | -1.37 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 4.02*** | 0.06 | 0.02 | 2.67 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1.82 |
| Italy | 165 | -0.01 | 0.22 | -0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.95 | -0.01 | 0.01 | -0.93 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.38 |
| Japan | 147 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.90 | -0.04 | 0.07 | -0.61 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 3.07** | 0.05 | 0.02 | 3.31* | 0.05 | 0.02 | 3.26* |
| Latvia | 167 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 1.80 | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.63 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.07 |
| Lithuania | 205 | -0.98 | 0.31 | -3.21** | 0.16 | 0.05 | 3.08* | 0.09 | 0.02 | 5.22*** | -0.03 | 0.02 | -2.33 | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.71 |
| Mexico | 362 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 2.95* | 0.06 | 0.01 | $5.34 * * *$ | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.43 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -1.92 |
| Netherlands | 95 | -0.77 | 0.23 | -3.37** | 0.13 | 0.07 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.17 | -0.04 | 0.02 | -2.48 | -0.04 | 0.02 | -1.92 |
| Nigeria | 132 | -0.42 | 0.16 | -2.65* | 0.14 | 0.05 | 3.05* | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.57 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.54 |
| Norway | 392 | -0.38 | 0.15 | -2.59* | 0.05 | 0.04 | 1.50 | 0.06 | 0.01 | $5.31 * * *$ | -0.02 | 0.01 | -2.23 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.39 |
| Philippines | 275 | -0.72 | 0.23 | -3.16** | 0.21 | 0.04 | 5.75*** | 0.07 | 0.01 | 5.62*** | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.10 |
| Poland | 296 | -0.25 | 0.12 | -2.16 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 3.92*** | 0.04 | 0.01 | 3.22** | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.28 | -0.02 | 0.01 | -2.33 |
| Russia | 161 | -0.38 | 0.18 | -2.12 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 3.72** | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.64 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -1.25 | -0.02 | 0.02 | -1.33 |
| Saudi Arabia | 213 | -0.48 | 0.24 | -1.98 | -0.01 | 0.05 | -0.19 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.40 | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.16 |
| Serbia | 105 | -0.90 | 0.32 | -2.83* | 0.15 | 0.07 | 2.08 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.05 | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.47 |
| Spain | 162 | -1.10 | 0.27 | $-4.17^{* * *}$ | 0.06 | 0.06 | 1.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 2.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.47 | -0.03 | 0.02 | -1.54 |
| Sweden | 316 | -1.00 | 0.19 | -5.20*** | 0.10 | 0.04 | 2.53* | 0.06 | 0.01 | 4.69*** | -0.01 | 0.01 | -1.17 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.07 |
| Switzerland | 588 | -0.75 | 0.18 | $-4.22^{* * *}$ | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.07 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 3.57** | -0.02 | 0.01 | -1.86 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.35 |
| Ukraine | 89 | -0.80 | 0.34 | -2.38* | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 3.27** | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 1.74 |
| United Kingdom | 289 | -0.41 | 0.15 | -2.81* | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 3.49** | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 2.48 |

Note. significance coded as such: ${ }^{*} p \leq .050,{ }^{* *} p \leq .010,{ }^{* * *} p \leq .001$. All $p$-values after FDR correction.


Figure 5. Broadness (A) and emotion intensity (B), both predicted by participants' age. Both broadness and emotion intensity variables were averaged across colour terms before plotting. Broadness represents the number of emotions associated with a colour term. It ranges from 0 to 20 emotions. Emotion intensity represents the intensity rating of each emotion associated with a colour term. It ranges from 1 to 5 . Each point represents an individual participant.


Figure 6. Age Group differences on broadness (A), emotion intensity (B) and valence bias (C). Horizontal line marks mean ratings across all participants while grey shadings mark 95\% confidence intervals (CI). Stars indicate cases when age group ratings were below or above these mean ratings (i.e., deviation contrast), after the correction for multiple comparisons (FDR); ${ }^{* * *} \boldsymbol{p} \leq .050$.

### 3.2. Age-related Differences in the Intensity of Associated Emotions

Across the colour terms, participants associated emotions with an average intensity of 3.82 ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=[3.81,3.83]$ ). A linear mixed model with Age, Colour, Country, and two-way interactions between Age and Colour, and Age and Country as predictors of emotion intensity was significant, $F(83,13302)=80.01, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.082$.

The main effect of Age, $F(1,7371)=85.8, p<.001, p s e u d o R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.001$, suggested that with age, older participants associated emotions of higher intensity (Figure 5 and Table 5). There were significant two-way interactions between i) Age and Colour, $F(11,75127)=$ $13.4, p<.001, p s e u d o R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.073$, and ii) Age and Country, $F(30,7360)=2.67, p<$ .001 , pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.001$. Older participants associated more intense emotions with all colour terms (see Table 6). Age was a significant predictor in 11 countries, in all of which the main effects of age had the same direction - with increasing age, participants gave higher emotion intensity ratings (Table 7).

Additionally, the main effect of Colour, $F(11,75116)=92.1, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.071$, highlighted that emotion intensity varied by colour term. Red was associated with the most intense emotions, while brown was associated with the least intense emotions (see Table S 8). The main effect of Country, $F(30,7360)=4.29, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=$ .007, highlighted that emotion intensity also varied by country. Participants coming from Saudi Arabia associated the most intense emotions, while those coming from Japan associated the least intense emotions (see Table S 10).

An additional linear mixed model predicting emotion intensity from Age Group, Colour, and a two-way interaction between Age Group and Colour was also significant, $F(83,80463)=73.2$, $p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.075$. The main effect of Age Group, $F(6,7439)=12.2, p<$ .001, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) = .001, indicated that emotion intensity differed across the age groups. Deviation planned contrasts showed that participants in the age groups below 40 years old associated significantly less intense emotions than did participants on average while participants from all the age groups above the age of 40 associated more intense emotions than average (Figure 6B). The main effect of Colour, $F(11,75072)=299.1, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.071$, has been interpreted in the linear model above. For the interpretation of the interaction between Colour and Age Group, $F(66,75072)=3.83, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) = .075, see Table S 13.

### 3.3. Affective biases

### 3.3.1. Age-related Differences in Valence Bias

On average and across the colour terms, participants associated emotions biased towards the positive end of the valence dimension, $M=.256,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=[0.251,0.262], t(88715)=92.4 p<$ .001, one-sample $t$-test. A linear mixed model with Age, Colour, Country, and two-way interactions between Age and Colour, and Age and Country as predictors of valence bias was significant, $F(83,13264)=596.8, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.389$.

The main effect of Age, $F(1,7336)=187.2, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.005$, suggested that as participants' age increased, participants associated emotions more strongly biased towards positive valence (Figure 7A and Table 5). This main effect was qualified by two significant two-way interactions: i) Age and Colour, $F(11,81306)=27.6, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.385$, and ii) Age and Country, $F(30,7332)=2.71, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.0011$. For all colour terms, apart from yellow and pink, as age increased, participants associated emotions more strongly biased towards positive valence (see Table 6). Age was a significant predictor of valence bias in 19 out of 31 countries, in which the main effects of age went to the same direction - older participants associated emotions more strongly biased towards positive valence with all colour terms (see Table 7).

In addition, there was the main effect of Colour, $F(11,81306)=738.8, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) = .379, highlighting that valence bias varied by colour term. Emotion associations with pink were most positively biased while associations with black were least positively biased (see Table S 9). The main effect of country, $F(30,7328)=2.71, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.007$, highlighted that valence bias varied by country. Participants coming from Nigeria produced most positively biased emotion associations, while participants coming from Switzerland produced the least positively biased emotion associations (see Table S 11).

The linear mixed model predicting valence bias from Age Group, Colour, and a two-way interaction between Age Group and Colour was also significant, $F(83,86661)=591.8, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.386$. The main effect of Age Group, $F(6,7394)=78.9, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.006$, indicated that valence bias differed across the groups. Based on the deviation planned contrasts, the valence bias was significantly lower in the age groups below 30 years old than on average, while the valence bias was significantly elevated in the age groups above 30 years old (Figure 6C). See Table S 14 for the interpretation of the interaction between colour and age group, $F(66,81251)=6.02, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) = .386, and see the linear model above for the interpretation of the main effect of colour, $F(11,81251)=2525.5, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.379$.
[Insert Figure 7 around here]
Figure 7. Affective biases predicted by Age. We only included colour terms showing significant main effects of age. Colours code for affective biases and are not related to the actual colour terms: blue - valence bias (A), orange - arousal bias (B\&C), pink - power bias (D\&E). Each point represents an individual participant, averaged across target colour terms. Also see Table 6.

### 3.3.2. Age-related Differences in Arousal Bias

Across the colour terms, participants on average associated emotions biased towards emotions of low arousal ( $M=-.021,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=[-.025,-.016], t(88715)=-8.68 p<.001$, onesample $t$-test). A linear mixed model with Age, Colour, Country, and two-way interactions
between Age and Colour, and Age and Country as predictors of arousal bias was significant, $F(83,13238)=274.2, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.231$.

The main effect of Age was not significant, $F(1,88632)=0.54, p=.464$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) < .0001, meaning that arousal bias, when all colour terms and countries were considered together, did not differ with age (Table 5). However, there were two significant two-way interactions: i) Age and Colour, $F(11,88632)=19.2, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.0228$, and ii) Age and Country, $F(30,88632)=2.20, p<.001, p s e u d o R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.003$.

Age was a significant predictor for 8 out of 12 colour terms but the effects went to two directions (Table 6). For one group of colour terms (i.e., yellow, orange, turquoise, and white), as participants got older, they associated emotions biased more strongly towards high arousal (Figure 7 B ). For the second group of colour terms (i.e., purple, pink, grey, and black), as participants got older, they associated emotions biased more strongly towards low arousal (Figure 7C). Age did not predict differences in arousal bias for red, green, blue, and brown. Regarding country differences, age was only a significant predictor in Japan. As age increased, Japanese participants associated emotions of higher arousal with all colour terms (see Table 7).

In addition to the age-related effects, the main effect of Colour, $F(11,88632)=319.5, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) = .226, highlighted that arousal bias varied by colour term. Red was associated with emotions most strongly biased towards high arousal while brown was associated with emotions least strongly biased towards high arousal (see Table S 9). The main effect of Country, $F(30,88632)=3.02, p<.001, p s e u d o R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.002$, highlighted that arousal bias also varied by country. Participants coming from Spain associated emotions most strongly biased towards high arousal while participants coming from Austria associated emotions least strongly biased towards high arousal (see Table S 11).

The linear mixed model predicting arousal bias from Age Group, Colour, and a two-way interaction between Age Group and Colour was also significant, $F(83,86662)=272.2, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.230$. However, like above, the main effect of Age Group was not significant, $F(6,7393)=1.99, p=.064$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) < .001. See Table S 15 for the interpretation of the interaction between Colour and Age Group, $F(66,81251)=4.89, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.230$, and see the above linear model for the interpretation of the main effect of Colour, $F(11,81251)=1168.5, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.226$.

### 3.3.3. Age-related Differences in Power Bias

Across the colour terms, participants associated emotions biased towards emotions of high power ( $M=.011,95 \% C l=[.007, .016], t(88715)=4.81, p<.001$, one-sample $t$-test). A linear mixed model with Age, Colour, Country, and two-way interactions between Age and Colour, and age and country as predictors of power bias was significant, $F(83,13238)=132.4, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.125$.

The main effect of Age was not significant, $F(1,88632)=0.95, p=.330$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) < .001, meaning that power bias, when all colour terms and countries were considered
together, did not differ with age (Table 5). Nevertheless, there were two significant two-way interactions: i) Age and Colour, $F(11,88632)=28.6, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.123$, and ii) Age and Country, $F(30,88632)=2.74, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.003$.

Regarding Age interaction with Colour, the effects went to two directions (Table 6). As participants got older, they associated emotions biased more strongly towards high power with blue, turquoise, pink, and white (Figure 7D). At the same time, as participants got older, they associated emotions biased more strongly towards low power with green, purple, brown, grey, and black (Figure 7E). Age did not predict differences in power bias for red, yellow, and orange. Regarding country effects, age was a significant predictor of power bias in two countries - Japan and Estonia, but the effects went to the opposite directions (see Table 7). Older Japanese participants associated emotions of higher power while older Estonian participants associated emotions of lower power with all colour terms.

Finally, the main effect of Colour, $F(11,88632)=171.5, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=$ .119, highlighted that power biased varied by colour term. Orange was associated with emotions most strongly biased towards high power while grey was associated with emotions least strongly biased towards high power (see Table S 9). The main effect of Country, F(30, 88632) $=2.91, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.002$, highlighted that power biases also varied by country. Participants coming from Serbia associated emotions most strongly biased towards high power while participants coming from Austria associated emotions least strongly biased towards high power (see Table S 11).

The linear mixed model predicting power bias from Age Group, Colour, and a two-way interaction between Age Group and Colour was also significant, $F(83,86662)=131.3, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) =.124. Like above, however, the main effect of Age Group was not significant, $F(6,88632)=1.91, p=.076$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) < .001. See Table $S 16$ for the interpretation of the interaction between colour and age group, $F(66,88632)=6.82, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}$ (Nagelkerke) $=.124$, and see the linear model above for the interpretation of the main effect of colour, $F(11,88632)=539.0, p<.001$, pseudo $R^{2}($ Nagelkerke $)=.119$.

### 3.4. Summary of cross-cultural results

Based on Table 7, age was a significant predictor of broadness in 16 countries, emotion intensity - 11 countries, valence bias - 19 countries, arousal bias - one country, and power bias - two countries. There were five countries in which age was a significant predictor of three variables (broadness, emotion intensity, and valence bias) - Cyprus, Germany, Lithuania, Philippines, and Sweden. However, these were not the countries with the highest sample sizes. Overall, the relationship between the sample size and the number of significant main effects of age was not significant, $F(1,29)=2.95, p=.096$, partial $R^{2}=.061$.

## 4. Discussion

The current study provides important baseline knowledge on age-related differences in colour-emotion associations, and does so cross-culturally. We investigated such potential differences because there are various age-related physiological, psychological, and affective
changes (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015; Drag \& Bieliauskas, 2010; Owsley, 2016; Reed \& Carstensen, 2012) that might affect colour-emotion associations. With older individuals spending more time in a few (often indoor) spaces, colour choices might have stronger bearing on their overall functioning and well-being (Delcampo-Carda et al., 2019; Griber et al., 2020).

Across participants, we found 14 frequent colour-emotion associations, including red-love, red-anger, yellow-joy, pink-love, pink-pleasure, orange-amusement, grey-sadness, blacksadness, black-fear, some of which have been previously reported (Fugate \& Franco, 2019; Hanada, 2018; Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020; Kaya \& Epps, 2004; Sutton \& Altarriba, 2016). These associations were present irrespective of participants' age as colour-emotion association patterns were nearly identical across the age groups (Pearson $r$ scores between 0.94 and 0.99 , with an average of 0.97 ). That said, the youngest ( $16-19$-year-old) and the oldest (70-89-year-old) participants produced the least similar colour-emotion association patterns, hinting at some age-related differences. In previous studies, colour-emotion association patterns were highly similar i) cross-culturally among 30 nations (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020), ii) when comparing emotion associations with colour terms and colour patches (Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020), and iii) when comparing colour-emotion associations between those with and without red-green colour blindness (Jonauskaite et al., 2021). Thus, our current results reinforced the idea of stability and universality of colour-emotion associations in adulthood.

Study findings further indicated differences of small effect size across the age groups. First, older participants associated fewer but more intense emotions with all colour terms. These results indicated that i) colour-emotion associations were more specific with age (fewer emotions associated with each colour) and that ii) participants were more certain about their selections (higher emotion intensity rating), mirroring findings of a previous study (Jonauskaite, Abu-Akel, et al., 2020). Focusing on the opposite end of the age continuum, adolescents associated the largest number of emotions with colour terms, but these emotions were the least intense. Knowing that adolescents often have difficulties differentiating felt emotions (Nook et al., 2018), and that emotion abstraction continues developing (Nook et al., 2020), these results might reflect uncertainty in colour-emotion associations among adolescents.

Second, we confirmed an enhanced positivity bias in the elderly (Reed et al., 2014), because with age, participants associated more positive emotions with colour terms. Third, our agerelated results did not selectively apply to colours falling on the yellow-blue axis, indicating that they were not driven by age-related changes in colour vision, such as lens brunescence (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015). The latter result was expected, since colour constancy ensures stable colour perception for changing environments as well as with advancing age, including changes in chromatic sensitivity (Hardy et al., 2005).

Finally, we found age-related differences in arousal and power (i.e., dominance) biases, but they depended on colour term. With age, turquoise and white were associated with more arousing and higher power emotions while black, grey, and purple were associated with less arousing and lower power emotions. Lightness might be the connecting factor for these findings (see focal colours in (Lindsey \& Brown, 2014), meaning that overall darker colours
lose their arousal and potency as people get older. Red was the only colour term with no agerelated differences in power and arousal biases. As red was associated with the most arousing emotions of all colour terms, our results signalled that the red-arousal association remained stable with age. The latter interpretation is also in line with a previous study (Ou et al., 2012), showing stable arousal judgments of red with age. However, we did not replicate lower arousal ratings of all colours in older participants (Ou et al., 2012).

Discrepancies between their and our results might come from cultural differences. Ou and colleagues studied Taiwanese participants, while this country was not part of the 31 countries investigated in the present study. Indeed, origin country might matter because not all agerelated effects were significant in all countries. Nonetheless, the numerical effects, whether significant or not, went into the same direction in all 31 countries. Obviously, one explanation for the differences between countries could be statistical power, which increases with sample size (Faul et al., 2007). However, sample size did not predict the number of significant effects observed in a country, pointing towards alternative explanations (e.g., genuine cultural differences).

### 4.1. Limitations, and Future Directions

Here, we used colour terms as stimuli. We chose this methodology because currently it is nearly impossible to ensure that colour presentation remains stable across different screens and environmental conditions (Colombo \& Derrington, 2001). In previous studies, young adults associated similar emotions with colour terms and colour patches (Jonauskaite et al., 2021; Jonauskaite, Parraga, et al., 2020), supporting the idea that using colour terms is a valid approach. However, older individuals (on a group level) might have lower vividness of mental visual imagery (Gulyás et al., 2022), which might in turn affect imagery of colours when presented with colour terms. It is unclear whether vivid mental imagery of colours is necessary to associate colour terms with emotions (perhaps not, as even colour-blind individuals produce similar associations; (Jonauskaite et al., 2021). Older adults might also have smaller colour vocabularies (Griber et al., 2021). As we studied basic colour terms, presumably known to all speakers of a language (Berlin \& Kay, 1969; Kay et al., 2009), this concern might be more applicable to the non-basic colour term (i.e., turquoise). Yet, we observed no age-related differences in colour-emotion associations, which were specific to turquoise.

Overall, we had a limited amount of information on our participants because we kept the online survey relatively short. This also meant that we were unable to run vision tests and had to rely on self-report, for instance, by excluding participants who indicated having trouble seeing colours (i.e., who were presumably colour blind). Thus, to test for the stability of our results, whether using colour terms or colour patches, future studies should be run in the laboratory, testing not only participants' colour vision (Conway et al., 2018) but also other basic visual functions, such as visual acuity and contrast sensitivity (Owsley, 2016). Indeed, a within-subject study showed that basic visual functions rarely correlate with each other (Cappe et al., 2014). Then, future studies should also test both older participants experiencing healthy ageing and those with abnormal changes in colour vision (e.g., those with cataracts or macular degeneration; (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015). In future studies, participants' living environments is also worth considering, as some individuals might have spent more time
in urban versus rural regions, others more time indoors versus outdoors, and yet others in green versus arid environments. Indeed, green spaces can positively impact well-being (Briki \& Majed, 2019; Li et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2019; Nakshian, 1964). As older participants have already a stronger liking for green colours than younger participants (Dittmar, 2001; Nemcsics \& Takács, 2019a), green might become even more pleasant (and important) with age.

Some of the observed age-related differences in colour-emotion associations might have emerged due to an extreme response bias in the elderly (Van Vaerenbergh \& Thomas, 2013). This bias would predict that with age, individuals preferentially select the extreme endpoints on rating scales. Applied to our study, such an extreme response bias might explain why our older participants associated more intense emotions with colour terms, because the most intensive emotions were selected when clicking on the biggest circles at the outer edge of the GEW. While possible, the literature on the relationship between extreme response style and age is mixed. Some studies, including a meta-analysis, reported that older participants have a less pronounced extreme response bias (Batchelor \& Miao, 2016), while others reporting the opposite (Meisenberg \& Williams, 2008; Schneider, 2018). Also, a recent large-sample study with $173^{\prime} 000$ participants found that cognitive abilities were more important than age to account for extreme response biases (Klar et al., 2022). Extreme response bias also differed as a function of participants' gender, culture, education, and personalities (Batchelor \& Miao, 2016; Harzing, 2006; Klar et al., 2022; Meisenberg \& Williams, 2008). Therefore, only future studies can disentangle whether and how extreme response biases might impact colouremotion associations.

Finally, the current study used a cross-sectional study design, with which we cannot separate the potential influence by cohort and age. We know that individuals of different cohorts have lived through vastly different historical times. They experienced different challenges, also of emotional nature (e.g., wars, human-caused and natural disasters, economic turmoil, etc.), and these experiences further depended on one's country of residence and socioeconomic status. Cross-sectional studies cannot account for such generational and context-specific effects. Perhaps, our results would look different if we had collected colour-emotion associations with a longitudinal design, over an extended period of time. No such study exists on colour-emotion associations to our knowledge, apart from one longitudinal study, showing seasonal influences on colour preferences, testing participants nine times over 11 weeks in autumn (Schloss \& Heck, 2017).

### 4.2. Practical implications

Returning to the beginning of this article, we were concerned with colour selections to benefit people with reduced mobility, focussing on older age. With the current study, we showed that findings from younger populations can be largely applied to older populations. With this knowledge at hand, one might be tempted to use these results in applied settings such as interior design, health sector, or marketing, for instance, by designing interior spaces using colours having positive connotations. However, colour-emotion associations studied here and in most other previous studies were abstract and had little to do with actual feelings. It remains to be seen whether and in which circumstances such widely shared colour-emotion associations directly impact human emotions and psychological functioning. It is problematic
to simply assume that looking at colours associated with positive emotions would also induce a positive affective experience, and vice versa (see (Kaiser, 1984; Weijs et al., 2023; Wilms \& Oberfeld, 2018). Applied experimental studies are needed to provide empirical evidence that allows translation into practice.

When choosing colours for interior and exterior spaces, professionals must decide whether they should follow results on colour-emotion associations or colour preferences. Preferences are defined as relatively stable evaluative aesthetic judgments in the sense of liking or disliking a colour, generating unspecific positive or negative feelings (Scherer, 2005). Thus, by definition, they are less specific than colour-emotion associations, and, on some occasions, colour-emotion associations might differ from preferences (e.g., pink is a positive yet often disliked colour; (Jonauskaite, Dael, et al., 2019). Previous studies showed both similarities and differences in older participants' colour preferences (Beke et al., 2008; Dittmar, 2001; Jung et al., 2022; Nemcsics \& Takács, 2019a, 2019b; Ou et al., 2012; Silver \& Ferrante, 1995; Torres et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2019). For example, older Asian participants preferred warmer, darker, and more muted colours than younger participants (Zhang et al., 2019). Yet, overall, they liked all colours to a lower extent than younger participants (Ou et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2019), resembling our current findings that older participants associated fewer emotions with colours. These observations might make colour selections for elderly more challenging.

## 5. Conclusions

This is the first large-scale intercultural study systematically investigating age differences of colour-emotion association. Our 7,400 participants between 16 and 88 years old came from 31 nations. They associated similar colours with emotions, vouching for comparability across the adulthood. We also found of small effect but meaningful age differences. First, older participants associated fewer but more intense and more positive emotions with all colour terms, supporting a general positivity bias in cognitive functions (Reed et al., 2014). Second, patterns of colour-emotion associations were most different in late adolescents and the oldest adults, suggesting that colour-emotion associations become most stable in middle adulthood (30-49 years old). Third, age-related differences in colours considered as arousing or powerful depended on colour in question. We did not find that any finding would be more pronounced for colours along the yellow-blue axis, indicating that age-related changes in colour perception are of low relevance, and likely compensated by colour constancy mechanisms (Barbur \& Rodriguez-Carmona, 2015; Hardy et al., 2005). Future studies are needed to bridge the gap between abstract colour-emotion associations and felt emotions, important when making colour choices for applied purposes, such as hospital or elderly homes. For that, one must assess felt emotions, which can be challenging to achieve (Kaiser, 1984; Weijs et al., 2023).
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## Supplemental Material

Table S 1．Emotions terms in the 22 languages used in this study（divided in three tables）．Emotions terms are order as shown in the Geneva Emotion Wheel

| English | Arabic | Azerbaijani | Chinese | Croatian | Dutch | Estonian | French |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest | اهتمام | Maraq | 感兴趣 | Interes | Interesse | Iõbu | Intérêt |
| Amusement | كسلية | Әyləncə | 欢愉 | Zabava | Amusement | Uhkus | Amusement |
| Pride | كبرياء | Qürur | 自豪 | Ponos | Trots | Rõõm | Fierté |
| Joy | فرح | Sevinc | 欢乐 | Radost | Blijheid | Nauding | Joie |
| Pleasure | سرور | Həzz | 愉快 | Zadovoljstvo | Plezier | Rahulolu | Plaisir |
| Contentment | قناعة | Məmnunlua | 满足 | Ispunjenost | Tevredenheid | Imetlus | Contentement |
| Admiration | إعجاب | Heyranlıq | 赞赏 | Divljenje | Bewondering | Armastus | Admiration |
| Love |  | Sevgi | 爱 | Ljubav | Liefde | Kergendus | Amour |
| Relief | طمفأينّة | Rahatlama | 如释重负 | Olakšanje | Opluchting | Kaastunne | Soulagement |
| Compassion | حزن | （Yüngülləşmə） | 同情 | Sažaljenje | Medelijden | Kurbus | Compassion |
| Sadness | خَزْنِ | Mərhəmət | 同情 <br> 韭伤 | Tuga | Verdriet | Süü | Tristesse |
| Guilt | ذنب | Kədər | 悲伤 | Krivica | Schuld | Kahetsus | Culpabilité |
| Regret | عارم | Günah | 内疚 | Žaljenje | Spijt | Häbi | Regret |
| Shame | خار | Təəssüf | 后悔 | Sramota | Schaamte | Pettumus | Honte |
| Disappointment | خيبة | Utanma | 失望 | Razočaranje | Ontgoocheling | Hirm | Déception |
| Fear | قوف | Məyusluq | 恐惧 | Strah | Angst | Vastikus | Peur |
| Disgust | قرف | Qorxu | 厌恶 | Gađenje | Walging | Põlgus | Dégoût |
| Contempt | كاحتفار | lyrənmə | 轻视 | Prezir | Minachting | Vihkamine | Mépris |
| Hate | كراهيه | Ikrah | 増恨 | Mržnja | Haat | Viha | Haine |
| Anger | غضب | Hirs | 忿怒 | Ljutnja | Kwaadheid |  | Colère |

Table S 2．Emotions terms in the $\mathbf{2 2}$ languages used in this study（divided in three tables）．Emotions terms are order as shown in the Geneva Emotion Wheel．

| German | Greek | Hebrew | Italian | Japanese | Latvian | Lithuanian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interesse | Evठıهфદ́pov | עניין | Interesse | 関心のある | Interese | Susidomėjimas |
| Belustigung |  | שעשוע | Divertimento | 楽しみ | Uzjautrinājums | Linksmumas |
| Stolz | Yперпфávعıа | גאווה | Orgoglio | 誇り | Lepnums | Išdidumas |
| Freude | X $\alpha \rho \alpha \dot{1}$ | שמחה | Gioia | 喜び | Prieks | Džiaugsmas |
| Vergnügen | Euxapiotnon | הנאה | Piacere | 快感 | Bauda | Malonumas |
| Zufriedenheit | Iкаvoroinon | שביעות | Contentezza | 満足 | Apmierinājums | Pasitenkinimas |
| Bewunderung | Өaupaбнós | רצון | Ammirazione | 称賛 | Apbrīna | Žavèjimasis |
| Liebe | Aүárı | הערצה | Amore | 愛 | Milestība | Meilė |
| Erleichterung | Avakoúфıп | ＂אהבה | Sollievo | 安堵 | Atvieglojums | Palengvejimas |
| Mitgefühl | ¿upróvia | הקלה | Compassione | 同情 | Līdzjutība | Užuojauta |
| Trauer | O入íqn | חמלה | Tristezza | 悲しみ | Skumjas | Liūdesys |
| Schuld | Evoxń | עצבות | Colpa | 罪 | Vaina | Kaltė |
| Bereuen | Metávoia | אשמה | Rimpianto |  | Nožela | Apgailestavimas |
| Scham | Nтропй | חרטה | Vergogna | 後悔 | Kauns | Gėda |
| Enttäuchsung | Апоүońteuon | אכזבה | Delusione | 䎵 | Vilšanās | Nusivylimas |
| Angst | Фóßos | פחד | Paura | 失望 | Bailes | Baimė |
| Ekel | A $\chi^{\text {dia }}$ | גועל | Disgusto | 恐怖 | Riebums | Pasibjaurèjimas |
| Verachtung | Пгрıфоóvnon | בוז | Disprezzo | 嫌悪 | Nicinājums | Panieka |
| Hass | Míoos | שנאה | Odio | 軽荗 | Naids | Neapykanta |
| Wut | Ourós | בעס | Collera | 憎しみ <br> 怒り | Dusmas | Pyktis |

Table S 3. Emotions terms in the $\mathbf{2 2}$ languages used in this study (divided in three tables). Emotions terms are order as shown in the Geneva Emotion Wheel.

| Norwegian | Polish | Russian | Serbian | Spanish | Swedish | Ukrainian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interesse | Zainteresowanie | Заинтересованность | Interesovanje | Interés | Intresse | Зацікавленість |
| Fornøyelse | Rozbawienie | Весёлость | Zabava | Diversión | Underhållning | Веселість |
| Stolthet | Duma | Гордость | Ponos | Orgullo | Stolthet | Гордість |
| Glede | Radość | Радость | Radost | Alegría | Glädje | Радість |
| Nytelse | Przyjemność | Удовольствие | Zadovoljstvo | Placer | Njutning | Задоволення |
| Tilfredshet | Podziw | Удовлетворенность | Ispunjenost | Satisfacción | Belåtenhet | Задоволеність |
| Beundring | Miłość | Восхищение | Divljenje | Admiración | Beundran | Захоплення |
| Kjærlighet | Uczucie ulgi | Любовь | Ljubav | Amor | Kärlek | Любов |
| Lettelse | Współczuci | Облегчение | Olakšanje | Alivio | Lättnad | Полегшення |
| Medfølelse | Smutek | Сострадание | Sazaljenje | Compasión | Medkänsla | Співчуття |
| Tristhet | Poczucie_winy | Грусть | Tuga | Tristeza | Ledsamhet | Смуток |
| Skyldfølelse | Żal/Żałowanie | Вина | Krivica | Culpabilidad | Skuld | Вина |
| Anger | Wstyd | Сожаление | Zaljenje | Arrepentimiento | Ånger | Жаль |
| Skam | Rozczarowanie | Стыд | Sramota | Vergüenza | Skam | Сором |
| Skuffelse | Strach | Разочарование | Razočaranje | Decepción | Besvikelse | Розчарування |
| Frykt | Obrzydzenie | Страх | Strah | Miedo | Rädsla | Страх |
| Avsky | Pogarda | Отвращение | Gađenje | Asco | Avsmak | Відраза |
| Forakt | Nienawiść | Презрение | Prezir | Desprecio | Förakt | Презирство |
| Hat | Złość | Ненависть | Mržnja | Odio | Hat | Ненависть |
| Sinne |  | Гнев | Ljutnja | Cólera | Ilska | Гнів |

Table S 4．Colour terms in the 22 languages used in this study（divided in three tables）．For the explanation of the translation of turquoise， please see the section＂Colour Stimuli＂in the main text．

| English | Arabic | Azerbaijani | Chinese | Croatian | Dutch | Estonian | French |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White |  | Ağ | 白色 | Bijela | Wit | Valge | Blanc |
| Black | ابيض | Qara | 黑色 | Crna | Zwart | Must | Noir |
| Grey | راسود | Boz | 灰色 | Siva | Grijs | Hall | Gris |
| Red | احمر | Qirmizı | 红色 | Crvena | Rood | Punane | Rouge |
| Orange | برتقالي | Narıncı | 桔色 | Narancasta | Oranje | Oranž | Orange |
| Yellow | اصفر | Sarı | 黄色 | Zuta | Geel | Kollane | Jaune |
| Green | اضضر | Yaşl | 绿色 | Zelena | Groen | Roheline | Vert |
| Turquoise | ازرق | Mavi | 绿色 | Tirkizna | Turquoise | Türkiis | Turquoise |
| Blue | سماوي | Göy | 青色 | Plava | Blauw | Sinine | Bleu |
| Purple | ازرق | Bənovşəyi <br> Qəhvəуi | 蓝色 | Ljubicasta | Paars | Lilla | Violet |
| Brown | بنفسجي | Qəhvəyi | 紫色 | Smeda | Bruin | Pruun | Brun |
| Pink | بني | Çəhrayı | 棕色 | Roza | Roze | Roosa | Rose |
|  | زهري |  | 粉色 |  |  |  |  |

Table S 5．Colour terms in the 22 languages used in this study（divided in three tables）．For the explanation of the translation of turquoise， please see the section＂Colour Stimuli＂in the main text．

| German | Greek | Hebrew | Italian | Japanese | Latvian | Lithuanian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weiss | ＾عuкó | לבן | Bianco | 白 | Balta | Balta |
| Schwarz | Maúpo | שחור | Nero | 黒 | Melna | Juoda |
| Grau | Гкрı | אפור | Grigio | グレー | Pelēka | Pilka |
| Rot | Kóккıvo | אדום | Rosso | 赤 | Sarkana | Raudona |
| Orange | Портока入í | כתום | Arancione | オレンジ | Oranža | Oranžinė |
| Gelb | Kítpıvo | צהוב | Giallo | 黄色 | Dzeltena | Geltona |
| Grün | Прáбıvo | ירוק | Verde | 緑 | Zala | Žalia |
| Türkis |  | תכלת | Turchese | ターコイズ | Tirkīza | Žydra／Turkio |
| Blau | Млле่ | כחול | Blu | 青 | Zila | Mėlyna |
| Lila | M $\omega \beta$ | סגול | Viola | 紫 | Violeta | Violetinė |
| Braun | К $\alpha$ ¢є́ | חום | Marrone | 茶色 | Brūna | Ruda |
| Rosa | $\mathrm{Po} \mathrm{\zeta}$ | ורוד | Rosa | ピンク | Roza | Rožinė |

Table S 6. Colour terms in the 22 languages used in this study (divided in three tables). For the explanation of the translation of turquoise, please see the section "Colour Stimuli" in the main text.

| Norwegian | Polish | Russian | Serbian | Spanish | Swedish | Ukrainian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hvit | Biały | Белый | Bela | Blanco | Vit | Білий |
| Svart | Czarny | Чёрный | Crna | Negro | Svart | Чорний |
| Grå | Szary | Серый | Siva | Gris | Grå | Сірий |
| Rød | Czerwony | Красный | Crvena | Rojo | Röd | Червоний |
| Oransje | Pomarańczowy | Оранжевый | Narandzasta | Naranja | Orange | Померанчевий |
| Gul | Żółty | Жёлтый |  | Amarillo | Gul | Жовтий |
| Grøn | Zielony | Зелёный | Zelena | Verde | Grön | Зелений |
| Turkis | Turkusowy | Голубой | Tirkizna | Turquesa | Turkos | Блакитний |
| Blå | Niebieski | Синий | Plava |  | Blå | Синій |
| Lilla | Fioletowy | Фиолетовый | Ljubicasta | Violeta | Lila | Фіолетовий |
| Brun | Brązowy | Коричневый | Smedja Roza | Marrón | Brun | Коричневий |
| Rosa | Różowy | Розовый |  | Rosa | Rosa | Рожевий |

Table S 7. Averaged correlations of colour-emotion association matrices of each age group and the global matrix.

|  | Average pattern |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Colour term | similarity index $(r)$ | Lower $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Higher 95\% CI |
| Red | 0.963 | 0.918 | 1.000 |
| Orange | 0.984 | 0.974 | 0.995 |
| Yellow | 0.986 | 0.977 | 0.995 |
| Green | 0.966 | 0.938 | 0.994 |
| Turquoise | 0.982 | 0.968 | 0.995 |
| Blue | 0.931 | 0.877 | 0.984 |
| Purple | 0.951 | 0.920 | 0.982 |
| Pink | 0.989 | 0.981 | 0.997 |
| Brown | 0.958 | 0.927 | 0.990 |
| White | 0.982 | 0.971 | 0.992 |
| Grey | 0.982 | 0.969 | 0.996 |
| Black | 0.976 | 0.960 | 0.992 |

[^2]Table S 8. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of broadness and emotion intensity variables, separated by colour.

| Colour term | Broadness |  |  | Emotion intensity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison |
| Red | 4.11 | [4.02-4.20] | higher | 4.15 | [4.13-4.17] | higher |
| Orange | 3.10 | [3.02-3.18] | lower | 3.76 | [3.73-3.78] | lower |
| Yellow | 3.42 | [3.34-3.50] | higher | 3.89 | [3.87-3.92] | higher |
| Green | 3.29 | [3.20-3.37] | no difference | 3.88 | [3.86-3.90] | higher |
| Turquoise | 3.05 | [2.97-3.13] | lower | 3.80 | [3.78-3.83] | no difference |
| Blue | 3.44 | [3.35-3.52] | higher | 3.84 | [3.82-3.86] | no difference |
| Purple | 3.13 | [3.05-3.22] | lower | 3.66 | [3.64-3.68] | lower |
| Pink | 3.37 | [3.29-3.45] | no difference | 3.75 | [3.73-3.77] | lower |
| Brown | 2.40 | [2.32-2.48] | lower | 3.41 | [3.39-3.44] | lower |
| White | 2.99 | [2.91-3.07] | lower | 4.00 | [3.98-4.03] | higher |
| Grey | 3.13 | [3.05-3.21] | lower | 3.60 | [3.57-3.62] | lower |
| Black | 4.09 | [4.00-4.17] | higher | 4.01 | [3.99-4.03] | higher |
| All colour terms together | 3.29 | [3.26-3.32] | - | 3.82 | [3.81-3.83] | - |

Note. The mean value of each colour term was compared to the average value of all the remaining colour terms (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the colour term had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other colours; Comparison (lower) = the colour term had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other colours; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the colour term did not differ from the other colours. In bold, the highest and the lowest values for each variable.

Table S 9. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of valence, arousal, and power, separated by colour.

| Colour term | Valence |  |  | Arousal |  |  | Power |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison | M | 95\% CI | Comparison | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison |
| Red | 0.20 | [0.19-0.22] | lower | 0.61 | [0.6-0.62] | higher | 0.16 | [0.14-0.17] | higher |
| Orange | 0.58 | [0.56-0.59] | higher | 0.24 | [0.23-0.26] | higher | 0.39 | [0.38-0.41] | higher |
| Yellow | 0.52 | [0.51-0.54] | higher | 0.25 | [0.24-0.27] | higher | 0.37 | [0.36-0.39] | higher |
| Green | 0.60 | [0.58-0.61] | higher | -0.18 | -[0.19-0.16] | lower | 0.08 | [0.06-0.09] | higher |
| Turquoise | 0.71 | [0.69-0.72] | higher | -0.03 | -[0.04-0.01] | no difference | 0.05 | [0.03-0.07] | higher |
| Blue | 0.47 | [0.45-0.48] | higher | -0.30 | -[0.31-0.28] | lower | -0.17 | -[0.19-0.16] | lower |
| Purple | 0.27 | [0.26-0.29] | no difference | -0.07 | -[0.09-0.05] | lower | 0.00 | [-0.02-0.01] | no difference |
| Pink | 0.75 | [0.74-0.76] | higher | 0.39 | [0.37-0.40] | higher | 0.01 | [0.00-0.03] | no difference |
| Brown | -0.37 | -[0.39-0.35] | lower | -0.42 | -[0.44-0.41] | lower | -0.03 | -[0.04-0.01] | lower |
| White | 0.57 | [0.56-0.58] | higher | -0.28 | -[0.30-0.27] | lower | -0.30 | -[0.31-0.28] | lower |
| Grey | -0.58 | -[0.59-0.56] | lower | -0.41 | -[0.43-0.40] | lower | -0.42 | -[0.43-0.4] | lower |
| Black | -0.64 | -[0.66-0.63] | lower | -0.06 | -[0.07-0.04] | lower | 0.00 | [-0.02-0.01] | lower |
| All colour terms together | 0.26 | [0.25-0.26] | - | -0.02 | -[0.03-0.02] | - | 0.01 | [0.01-0.07] | - |

Note. The mean value of each colour term was compared to the average value of all the remaining colour terms (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the colour term had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other colours; Comparison (lower) = the colour term had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other colours; Comparison (no difference) = the value of the colour term did not differ from the other colours. In bold, the highest and the lowest values for each variable.

Table S 10. Means and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of broadness and emotion intensity, separated by participants' country of origin.

| Broadness |  |  |  | Emotion intensity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison | Country | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison |
| Japan | 4.41 | [4.23-4.60] | higher | Saudi Arabia | 4.17 | [4.14-4.21] | higher |
| Philippines | 3.99 | [3.84-4.14] | higher | Nigeria | 4.08 | [4.05-4.12] | higher |
| Lithuania | 3.86 | [3.68-4.03] | higher | India | 4.06 | [4.00-4.12] | higher |
| Nigeria | 3.79 | [3.69-3.90] | higher | Mexico | 4.05 | [4.03-4.08] | higher |
| Switzerland | 3.78 | [3.69-3.87] | higher | Cyprus | 4.05 | [4.01-4.08] | higher |
| Ukraine | 3.75 | [3.46-4.04] | higher | Philippines | 4.01 | [3.98-4.04] | higher |
| China | 3.64 | [3.49-3.80] | higher | Azerbaijan | 4.00 | [3.96-4.03] | higher |
| Austria | 3.63 | [3.47-3.78] | higher | Spain | 3.98 | [3.93-4.02] | higher |
| Latvia | 3.62 | [3.42-3.82] | higher | Italy | 3.95 | [3.91-3.99] | higher |
| Germany | 3.60 | [3.51-3.70] | higher | Russia | 3.94 | [3.90-3.98] | higher |
| Mexico | 3.53 | [3.41-3.66] | higher | Greece | 3.91 | [3.89-3.93] | higher |
| Sweden | 3.52 | [3.40-3.64] | higher | Colombia | 3.90 | [3.84-3.96] | higher |
| United States | 3.40 | [3.29-3.52] | no difference | Lithuania | 3.90 | [3.86-3.94] | higher |
| Croatia | 3.31 | [3.03-3.60] | no difference | Ukraine | 3.84 | [3.77-3.91] | no difference |
| Greece | 3.23 | [3.14-3.32] | no difference | Croatia | 3.83 | [3.76-3.90] | no difference |
| Israel | 3.22 | [3.05-3.39] | no difference | Estonia | 3.82 | [3.79-3.86] | no difference |
| Estonia | 3.20 | [3.08-3.32] | no difference | Poland | 3.82 | [3.79-3.86] | no difference |
| Cyprus | 3.14 | [3.01-3.28] | lower | France | 3.79 | [3.76-3.83] | no difference |
| France | 3.12 | [3.01-3.24] | lower | China | 3.78 | [3.74-3.82] | no difference |
| Colombia | 3.11 | [2.90-3.32] | no difference | Serbia | 3.78 | [3.72-3.84] | no difference |
| Norway | 3.09 | [3.00-3.18] | lower | United States | 3.73 | [3.70-3.77] | lower |
| Italy | 3.09 | [2.94-3.23] | lower | Norway | 3.73 | [3.70-3.75] | lower |


| Serbia | 3.07 | $[2.86-3.27]$ | lower | Switzerland | 3.70 | $[3.67-3.72]$ | lower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Saudi Arabia | 2.99 | $[2.84-3.14]$ | lower | Israel | 3.69 | $[3.64-3.75]$ | lower |
| Poland | 2.98 | $[2.88-3.07]$ | lower | Latvia | 3.68 | $[3.64-3.73]$ | lower |
| United Kingdom | 2.88 | $[2.78-2.98]$ | lower | Netherlands | 3.66 | $[3.60-3.72]$ | lower |
| Spain | 2.77 | $[2.62-2.92]$ | lower | United Kingdom | 3.65 | $[3.62-3.69]$ | lower |
| Netherlands | 2.75 | $[2.57-2.92]$ | lower | Austria | 3.59 | $[3.55-3.63]$ | lower |
| Russia | 2.65 | $[2.52-2.77]$ | lower | Sweden | 3.59 | $[3.56-3.62]$ | lower |
| India | 2.43 | $[2.27-2.59]$ | lower | Germany | 3.53 | $[3.50-3.56]$ | lower |
| Azerbaijan | 2.04 | $[1.97-2.11]$ | lower | Japan | 3.35 | $[3.31-3.40]$ | lower |

Note. Countries are ordered from the highest to the lowest mean value. The mean value of each country was also compared to the average value of all the remaining countries (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the country had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other countries; Comparison (lower) = the country had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other countries; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the country did not differ from the other countries.

Table S 11. Means and $95 \%$ confidence intervals of valence, arousal, and power, separated by participants' country of origin.

| Valence |  |  |  | Country | Arousal |  |  | Power |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison |  | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison | Country | M | 95\% Cl | Comparison |
| Nigeria | 0.43 | [0.39-0.47] | higher | Spain | 0.07 | [0.03-0.10] | higher | Serbia | 0.06 | [0.02-0.10] | higher |
| Estonia | 0.39 | [0.36-0.42] | higher | Nigeria | 0.05 | [0.02-0.07] | higher | Sweden | 0.05 | [0.03-0.07] | higher |
| Serbia | 0.37 | [0.32-0.41] | higher | Colombia | 0.04 | [0.00-0.08] | higher | Israel | 0.05 | [0.01-0.09] | no difference |
| Lithuania | 0.34 | [0.31-0.37] | higher | Netherlands | 0.04 | [-0.01-0.08] | higher | France | 0.05 | [0.02-0.07] | higher |
| Mexico | 0.33 | [0.30-0.35] | higher | China | 0.02 | [0.00-0.05] | higher | Netherlands | 0.04 | [0.00-0.08] | no difference |
| Saudi Arabia | 0.32 | [0.29-0.35] | higher | India | 0.02 | [-0.02-0.06] | no difference | Greece | 0.04 | [0.02-0.06] | higher |
| Croatia | 0.32 | [0.26-0.37] | higher | Mexico | 0.01 | [-0.01-0.03] | higher | Switzerland | 0.04 | [0.02-0.05] | higher |
| Azerbaijan | 0.31 | [0.29-0.33] | higher | Switzerland | 0.00 | [-0.01-0.02] | higher | Croatia | 0.04 | [-0.01-0.08] | no difference |
| Norway | 0.30 | [0.28-0.33] | higher | Saudi Arabia | 0.00 | [-0.03-0.03] | no difference | Nigeria | 0.03 | [0.01-0.06] | no difference |
| Japan | 0.30 | [0.26-0.34] | higher | Serbia | 0.00 | [-0.04-0.04] | no difference | Saudi Arabia | 0.03 | [0.00-0.06] | no difference |
| Israel | 0.30 | [0.26-0.35] | no difference | Croatia | 0.00 | [-0.05-0.05] | no difference | Ukraine | 0.03 | [-0.01-0.08] | no difference |
| Latvia | 0.30 | [0.26-0.33] | higher | Cyprus | -0.01 | [-0.03-0.02] | no difference | United Kingdom | 0.03 | [0.01-0.05] | no difference |
| Colombia | 0.29 | [0.25-0.34] | no difference | France | -0.01 | [-0.04-0.01] | no difference | India | 0.03 | [-0.02-0.07] | no difference |
| Sweden | 0.28 | [0.26-0.31] | no difference | United Kingdom | -0.01 | [-0.04-0.01] | no difference | China | 0.02 | [-0.01-0.05] | no difference |
| Spain | 0.27 | [0.24-0.31] | no difference | Poland | -0.02 | [-0.04-0.01] | no difference | Norway | 0.02 | [0.00-0.04] | no difference |
| India | 0.26 | [0.21-0.31] | no difference | Israel | -0.02 | [-0.06-0.02] | no difference | Poland | 0.01 | [-0.01-0.04] | no difference |
| Austria | 0.26 | [0.22-0.29] | no difference | Philippines | -0.02 | [-0.04-0.00] | no difference | United States | 0.01 | [-0.01-0.03] | no difference |
| Poland | 0.26 | [0.23-0.28] | no difference | Sweden | -0.03 | [-0.05-0.00] | no difference | Spain | 0.01 | [-0.03-0.04] | no difference |
| Ukraine | 0.25 | [0.20-0.30] | no difference | Ukraine | -0.03 | [-0.07-0.02] | no difference | Latvia | 0.01 | [-0.02-0.04] | no difference |
| Germany | 0.24 | [0.22-0.26] | no difference | United States | -0.03 | -[0.05-0.01] | no difference | Cyprus | 0.00 | [-0.02-0.03] | no difference |
| Russia | 0.24 | [0.20-0.28] | no difference | Greece | -0.03 | -[0.05-0.02] | no difference | Colombia | 0.00 | [-0.04-0.04] | no difference |
| United Kingdom | 0.24 | [0.21-0.27] | no difference | Azerbaijan | -0.04 | -[0.06-0.01] | no difference | Mexico | -0.01 | [-0.03-0.01] | no difference |


| China | 0.22 | [0.19-0.25] | no difference | Norway | -0.04 | -[0.06-0.02] | no difference | Russia | -0.01 | [-0.05-0.02] | no difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Italy | 0.22 | [0.18-0.25] | no difference | Latvia | -0.04 | -[0.07-0.01] | no difference | Italy | -0.01 | [-0.04-0.02] | no difference |
| United States | 0.22 | [0.19-0.24] | lower | Japan | -0.04 | -[0.07-0.01] | no difference | Germany | -0.01 | [-0.03-0.00] | lower |
| Cyprus | 0.20 | [0.17-0.23] | lower | Russia | -0.05 | -[0.08-0.01] | no difference | Lithuania | -0.02 | [-0.04-0.01] | no difference |
| Philippines | 0.19 | [0.17-0.22] | lower | Lithuania | -0.05 | -[0.08-0.02] | no difference | Philippines | -0.02 | [-0.04-0.01] | no difference |
| Netherlands | 0.19 | [0.14-0.24] | lower | Estonia | -0.05 | -[0.08-0.03] | lower | Azerbaijan | -0.02 | [-0.04-0.00] | lower |
| France | 0.18 | [0.15-0.21] | lower | Italy | -0.06 | -[0.09-0.03] | no difference | Estonia | -0.03 | -[0.06-0.01] | lower |
| Greece | 0.18 | [0.16-0.20] | lower | Germany | -0.06 | -[0.08-0.05] | lower | Japan | -0.04 | -[0.07-0.01] | lower |
| Switzerland | 0.16 | [0.14-0.18] | lower | Austria | -0.10 | -[0.13-0.07] | lower | Austria | -0.05 | -[0.08-0.02] | lower |

Note. Countries are ordered from the highest to the lowest mean value. The mean value of each country was also compared to the average value of all the remaining countries (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the country had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other countries; Comparison (lower) $=$ the country had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other countries; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the country did not differ from the other countries.

Table S 12. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of broadness, separated by participants' age group and colour.

| Age | Colour | $M$ | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Comparison |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16-19$ years old | Red | 5.19 | $[4.83-5.54]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Red | 4.59 | $[4.45-4.72]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Red | 3.84 | $[3.66-4.02]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Red | 3.57 | $[3.33-3.81]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Red | 3.29 | $[3.07-3.52]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Red | 3.48 | $[3.14-3.82]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Red | 2.98 | $[2.54-3.42]$ | lower |
| $16-19$ years old | Orange | 4.57 | $[4.2-4.94]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Orange | 3.80 | $[3.67-3.94]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Orange | 3.09 | $[2.92-3.26]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Orange | 2.94 | $[2.71-3.17]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Orange | 2.84 | $[2.63-3.05]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Orange | 3.13 | $[2.8-3.46]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Orange | 2.81 | $[2.38-3.25]$ | lower |
| $16-19$ years old | Yellow | 3.13 | $[2.77-3.49]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Yellow | 2.56 | $[2.43-2.68]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Yellow | 2.11 | $[1.97-2.26]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Yellow | 2.21 | $[1.99-2.42]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Yellow | 2.15 | $[1.94-2.36]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Yellow | 2.33 | $[2.01-2.64]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Yellow | 2.01 | $[1.6-2.42]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Green | 4.09 | $[3.73-4.45]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Green | 3.57 | $[3.44-3.7]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Green | 3.01 | $[2.85-3.17]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Green | 2.93 | $[2.71-3.16]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Green | 2.80 | $[2.59-3.01]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Green | 3.09 | $[2.76-3.41]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Green | 2.85 | $[2.4-3.29]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Turquoise | 4.29 | $[3.93-4.65]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Turquoise | 3.48 | $[3.35-3.61]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Turquoise | 2.71 | $[2.55-2.87]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Turquoise | 2.72 | $[2.5-2.95]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Turquoise | 2.57 | $[2.35-2.78]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Turquoise | 2.76 | $[2.44-3.08]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Turquoise | 2.55 | $[2.11-2.99]$ | lower |
| $16-19$ years old | Blue | 3.93 | $[3.57-4.29]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Blue | 3.27 | $[3.14-3.39]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Blue | 2.91 | $[2.74-3.07]$ | lower |
| 57 |  |  |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |


| 40-49 years old | Blue | 2.87 | [2.64-3.1] | lower |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50-59 years old | Blue | 2.74 | [2.54-2.94] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | Blue | 2.92 | [2.6-3.24] | no difference |
| 70-89 years old | Blue | 2.61 | [2.18-3.04] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | Purple | 4.46 | [4.11-4.81] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | Purple | 3.71 | [3.58-3.84] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | Purple | 3.11 | [2.95-3.28] | lower |
| 40-49 years old | Purple | 2.93 | [2.71-3.15] | lower |
| 50-59 years old | Purple | 2.78 | [2.58-2.98] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | Purple | 2.92 | [2.59-3.25] | lower |
| 70-89 years old | Purple | 2.73 | [2.29-3.18] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | Pink | 4.30 | [3.92-4.68] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | Pink | 3.39 | [3.25-3.52] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | Pink | 2.90 | [2.73-3.07] | lower |
| 40-49 years old | Pink | 2.78 | [2.55-3.01] | lower |
| 50-59 years old | Pink | 2.58 | [2.38-2.79] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | Pink | 2.80 | [2.46-3.13] | lower |
| 70-89 years old | Pink | 2.57 | [2.11-3.04] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | Brown | 5.11 | [4.74-5.48] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | Brown | 4.55 | [4.41-4.69] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | Brown | 3.88 | [3.7-4.07] | lower |
| 40-49 years old | Brown | 3.79 | [3.54-4.03] | lower |
| 50-59 years old | Brown | 3.30 | [3.08-3.52] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | Brown | 3.48 | [3.13-3.82] | lower |
| 70-89 years old | Brown | 3.22 | [2.78-3.67] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | White | 4.03 | [3.67-4.38] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | White | 3.33 | [3.2-3.46] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | White | 2.79 | [2.63-2.95] | lower |
| 40-49 years old | White | 2.66 | [2.44-2.88] | lower |
| 50-59 years old | White | 2.60 | [2.4-2.81] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | White | 2.66 | [2.34-2.98] | lower |
| 70-89 years old | White | 2.50 | [2.06-2.93] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | Grey | 3.85 | [3.49-4.22] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | Grey | 3.24 | [3.11-3.37] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | Grey | 2.72 | [2.55-2.89] | lower |
| 40-49 years old | Grey | 2.70 | [2.47-2.93] | lower |
| 50-59 years old | Grey | 2.63 | [2.41-2.84] | lower |
| 60-69 years old | Grey | 2.65 | [2.33-2.97] | lower |
| 70-89 years old | Grey | 2.42 | [1.97-2.86] | lower |
| 16-19 years old | Black | 4.52 | [4.16-4.89] | higher |
| 20-29 years old | Black | 3.76 | [3.63-3.89] | higher |
| 30-39 years old | Black | 3.19 | [3.02-3.35] | lower |


| $40-49$ years old | Black | 2.96 | $[2.73-3.18]$ | lower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $50-59$ years old | Black | 2.80 | $[2.6-3.01]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Black | 3.01 | $[2.68-3.34]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Black | 2.73 | $[2.28-3.19]$ | lower |

Note. The mean value of each age group was also compared to the average value of all the remaining age groups, always per colour (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the age group had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (lower) $=$ the age groups had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (no difference) = the value of the age group did not differ from the other age groups.

Table S 13. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of emotion intensity, separated by participants' age group and colour.

| Age | Colour | M | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Comparison |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16-19$ years old | Red | 4.10 | $[4.04-4.16]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Red | 4.11 | $[4.08-4.14]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Red | 4.10 | $[4.05-4.15]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Red | 4.19 | $[4.14-4.25]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Red | 4.26 | $[4.21-4.31]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Red | 4.18 | $[4.1-4.25]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Red | 4.40 | $[4.3-4.51]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Orange | 3.62 | $[3.54-3.7]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Orange | 3.66 | $[3.62-3.69]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Orange | 3.72 | $[3.66-3.78]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Orange | 3.87 | $[3.8-3.93]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Orange | 3.97 | $[3.91-4.03]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Orange | 3.88 | $[3.8-3.97]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Orange | 3.95 | $[3.81-4.09]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Yellow | 3.89 | $[3.82-3.97]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Yellow | 3.84 | $[3.81-3.87]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Yellow | 3.88 | $[3.83-3.94]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Yellow | 3.95 | $[3.88-4.01]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Yellow | 3.96 | $[3.9-4.02]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Yellow | 3.94 | $[3.85-4.02]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Yellow | 4.10 | $[3.96-4.24]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Green | 3.77 | $[3.69-3.84]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Green | 3.79 | $[3.75-3.82]$ | lower |


| $30-39$ years old | Green | 3.84 | $[3.79-3.9]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40-49$ years old | Green | 3.96 | $[3.89-4.02]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Green | 4.08 | $[4.02-4.13]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Green | 4.01 | $[3.93-4.1]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Green | 4.21 | $[4.09-4.33]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Turquoise | 3.72 | $[3.64-3.8]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Turquoise | 3.74 | $[3.7-3.77]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Turquoise | 3.76 | $[3.7-3.82]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Turquoise | 3.89 | $[3.82-3.95]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Turquoise | 3.93 | $[3.87-3.99]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Turquoise | 3.90 | $[3.82-3.99]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Turquoise | 3.99 | $[3.84-4.14]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Blue | 3.75 | $[3.68-3.83]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Blue | 3.78 | $[3.74-3.81]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Blue | 3.81 | $[3.76-3.87]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Blue | 3.88 | $[3.82-3.95]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Blue | 3.92 | $[3.86-3.98]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Blue | 4.00 | $[3.92-4.08]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Blue | 4.08 | $[3.95-4.21]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Purple | 3.55 | $[3.47-3.63]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Purple | 3.58 | $[3.54-3.62]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Purple | 3.63 | $[3.57-3.69]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Purple | 3.77 | $[3.7-3.84]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Purple | 3.80 | $[3.74-3.87]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Purple | 3.73 | $[3.64-3.82]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Purple | 3.91 | $[3.77-4.05]$ | higher |


| $16-19$ years old | Pink | 3.72 | $[3.63-3.8]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-29$ years old | Pink | 3.72 | $[3.69-3.76]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Pink | 3.67 | $[3.61-3.73]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Pink | 3.76 | $[3.69-3.82]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Pink | 3.87 | $[3.81-3.93]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Pink | 3.81 | $[3.72-3.9]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Pink | 3.90 | $[3.75-4.04]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Brown | 3.47 | $[3.38-3.57]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Brown | 3.37 | $[3.33-3.41]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Brown | 3.37 | $[3.3-3.43]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Brown | 3.45 | $[3.37-3.53]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Brown | 3.45 | $[3.38-3.53]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Brown | 3.46 | $[3.36-3.57]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Brown | 3.62 | $[3.44-3.8]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | White | 4.00 | $[3.91-4.08]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | White | 3.95 | $[3.92-3.99]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | White | 3.99 | $[3.93-4.05]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | White | 4.08 | $[4.01-4.15]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | White | 4.04 | $[3.97-4.11]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | White | 4.05 | $[3.96-4.14]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | White | 4.13 | $[3.99-4.28]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Grey | 3.69 | $[3.61-3.77]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Grey | 3.56 | $[3.52-3.6]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Grey | 3.51 | $[3.44-3.57]$ | lower |
| $40-49$ years old | Grey | 3.66 | $[3.59-3.73]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Grey | 3.65 | $[3.58-3.72]$ | no difference |


| 60-69 years old | Grey | 3.60 | $[3.51-3.7]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-89$ years old | Grey | 3.77 | $[3.62-3.92]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Black | 3.96 | $[3.89-4.03]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Black | 4.00 | $[3.97-4.03]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Black | 3.97 | $[3.92-4.02]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Black | 4.02 | $[3.96-4.09]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Black | 4.07 | $[4.01-4.13]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Black | 4.03 | $[3.95-4.11]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Black | 4.17 | $[4.05-4.29]$ | higher |

Note. The mean value of each age group was also compared to the average value of all the remaining age groups, always per colour (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the age group had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (lower) $=$ the age groups had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the age group did not differ from the other age groups.

Table S 14. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of valence bias, separated by participants' age group and colour.

| Age | Colour | $M$ | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Comparison |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16-19$ years old | Red | 0.06 | $[0.01-0.11]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Red | 0.15 | $[0.12-0.17]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Red | 0.21 | $[0.17-0.25]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Red | 0.24 | $[0.2-0.29]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Red | 0.29 | $[0.24-0.34]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Red | 0.25 | $[0.18-0.32]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Red | 0.57 | $[0.47-0.66]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Orange | 0.43 | $[0.38-0.49]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Orange | 0.50 | $[0.47-0.53]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Orange | 0.58 | $[0.55-0.62]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Orange | 0.65 | $[0.61-0.7]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Orange | 0.72 | $[0.69-0.76]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Orange | 0.67 | $[0.62-0.72]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Orange | 0.69 | $[0.61-0.77]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Yellow | 0.50 | $[0.44-0.56]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Yellow | 0.50 | $[0.48-0.53]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Yellow | 0.53 | $[0.49-0.57]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Yellow | 0.55 | $[0.5-0.6]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Yellow | 0.55 | $[0.51-0.6]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Yellow | 0.51 | $[0.44-0.57]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Yellow | 0.53 | $[0.44-0.63]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Green | 0.38 | $[0.32-0.44]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Green | 0.49 | $[0.47-0.52]$ | lower |


| $30-39$ years old | Green | 0.67 | $[0.63-0.7]$ | higher |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40-49$ years old | Green | 0.70 | $[0.66-0.74]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Green | 0.74 | $[0.7-0.77]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Green | 0.73 | $[0.68-0.77]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Green | 0.82 | $[0.75-0.88]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Turquoise | 0.59 | $[0.54-0.64]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Turquoise | 0.68 | $[0.66-0.7]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Turquoise | 0.75 | $[0.72-0.78]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Turquoise | 0.74 | $[0.71-0.78]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Turquoise | 0.75 | $[0.71-0.78]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Turquoise | 0.74 | $[0.7-0.78]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Turquoise | 0.68 | $[0.6-0.76]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Blue | 0.21 | $[0.15-0.27]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Blue | 0.38 | $[0.36-0.41]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Blue | 0.54 | $[0.51-0.58]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Blue | 0.52 | $[0.47-0.56]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Blue | 0.61 | $[0.57-0.65]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Blue | 0.65 | $[0.6-0.71]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Blue | 0.61 | $[0.52-0.71]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Purple | 0.22 | $[0.16-0.28]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Purple | 0.23 | $[0.2-0.26]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Purple | 0.33 | $[0.28-0.37]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Purple | 0.31 | $[0.25-0.36]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Purple | 0.34 | $[0.29-0.39]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Purple | 0.23 | $[0.16-0.3]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Purple | 0.33 | $[0.22-0.44]$ | no difference |


| 16-19 years old | Pink | 0.74 | $[0.7-0.78]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-29$ years old | Pink | 0.75 | $[0.73-0.77]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Pink | 0.75 | $[0.72-0.78]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Pink | 0.75 | $[0.71-0.78]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Pink | 0.77 | $[0.73-0.8]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Pink | 0.75 | $[0.7-0.79]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Pink | 0.78 | $[0.71-0.85]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Brown | -0.46 | $[-0.51-0.4]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Brown | -0.43 | $[-0.46--0.41]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Brown | -0.32 | $[-0.36--0.28]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Brown | -0.33 | $[-0.38--0.28]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Brown | -0.29 | $[-0.34--0.24]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Brown | -0.31 | $[-0.37--0.24]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Brown | -0.27 | $[-0.38--0.16]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | White | 0.48 | $[0.43-0.53]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | White | 0.56 | $[0.54-0.59]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | White | 0.57 | $[0.54-0.61]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | White | 0.60 | $[0.56-0.64]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | White | 0.61 | $[0.57-0.65]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | White | 0.57 | $[0.52-0.63]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | White | 0.56 | $[0.47-0.65]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Grey | -0.67 | $[-0.71-0.62]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Grey | -0.65 | $[-0.67--0.62]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Grey | -0.53 | $[-0.57--0.5]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Grey | -0.52 | $[-0.57-0.47]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Grey | -0.49 | $[-0.53--0.44]$ | higher |


| $60-69$ years old | Grey | -0.52 | $[-0.58-0.46]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-89$ years old | Grey | -0.49 | $[-0.58-0.39]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Black | -0.68 | $[-0.72-0.64]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Black | -0.68 | $[-0.7-0.66]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Black | -0.59 | $[-0.63-0.55]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Black | -0.61 | $[-0.65-0.57]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Black | -0.62 | $[-0.66-0.58]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Black | -0.63 | $[-0.69-0.58]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Black | -0.62 | $[-0.71--0.53]$ | no difference |

Note. The mean value of each age group was also compared to the average value of all the remaining age groups, always per colour (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the age group had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (lower) $=$ the age groups had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the age group did not differ from the other age groups.

Table S 15. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of arousal bias, separated by participants' age group and colour.

| Age | Colour | $M$ | $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | Comparison |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16-19$ years old | Red | 0.60 | $[0.57-0.63]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Red | 0.62 | $[0.61-0.64]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Red | 0.61 | $[0.59-0.64]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Red | 0.63 | $[0.59-0.66]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Red | 0.58 | $[0.55-0.62]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Red | 0.60 | $[0.56-0.65]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Red | 0.65 | $[0.57-0.72]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Orange | 0.15 | $[0.11-0.2]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Orange | 0.22 | $[0.2-0.24]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Orange | 0.29 | $[0.25-0.32]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Orange | 0.24 | $[0.19-0.29]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Orange | 0.31 | $[0.27-0.36]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Orange | 0.24 | $[0.18-0.3]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Orange | 0.22 | $[0.11-0.32]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Yellow | 0.20 | $[0.15-0.24]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Yellow | 0.23 | $[0.21-0.26]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Yellow | 0.27 | $[0.23-0.31]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Yellow | 0.28 | $[0.23-0.33]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Yellow | 0.29 | $[0.24-0.33]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Yellow | 0.26 | $[0.2-0.32]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Yellow | 0.24 | $[0.14-0.34]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Green | -0.15 | $[-0.2--0.1]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Green | -0.18 | $[-0.21--0.16]$ | no difference |


| $30-39$ years old | Green | -0.17 | $[-0.21--0.13]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40-49$ years old | Green | -0.20 | $[-0.24-0.15]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Green | -0.19 | $[-0.24--0.15]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Green | -0.13 | $[-0.19-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Green | -0.08 | $[-0.19-0.02]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Turquoise | -0.07 | $[-0.12--0.02]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Turquoise | -0.05 | $[-0.07--0.03]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Turquoise | -0.05 | $[-0.08-0.01]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Turquoise | -0.03 | $[-0.07-0.02]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Turquoise | 0.02 | $[-0.03-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Turquoise | 0.07 | $[0.01-0.13]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Turquoise | 0.06 | $[-0.04-0.16]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Blue | -0.25 | $[-0.3--0.2]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Blue | -0.29 | $[-0.32--0.27]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Blue | -0.34 | $[-0.37-0.3]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Blue | -0.36 | $[-0.41-0.32]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Blue | -0.29 | $[-0.34--0.25]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Blue | -0.24 | $[-0.31--0.18]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Blue | -0.11 | $[-0.21-0.01]$ | higher |
| $16-19$ years old | Purple | -0.01 | $[-0.06-0.04]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Purple | -0.04 | $[-0.06-0.01]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Purple | -0.09 | $[-0.12-0.05]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Purple | -0.12 | $[-0.17--0.08]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Purple | -0.11 | $[-0.16-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Purple | -0.09 | $[-0.16-0.03]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Purple | -0.12 | $[-0.23--0.02]$ | no difference |


| $16-19$ years old | Pink | 0.37 | $[0.33-0.42]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-29$ years old | Pink | 0.40 | $[0.38-0.43]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Pink | 0.43 | $[0.39-0.46]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Pink | 0.35 | $[0.31-0.39]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Pink | 0.38 | $[0.34-0.43]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Pink | 0.32 | $[0.26-0.38]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Pink | 0.37 | $[0.27-0.46]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Brown | -0.40 | $[-0.45--0.35]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Brown | -0.41 | $[-0.43-0.39]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Brown | -0.43 | $[-0.47--0.4]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Brown | -0.43 | $[-0.47--0.39]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Brown | -0.43 | $[-0.47--0.39]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Brown | -0.44 | $[-0.5--0.39]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Brown | -0.42 | $[-0.51--0.33]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | White | -0.32 | $[-0.37--0.27]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | White | -0.30 | $[-0.33-0.28]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | White | -0.27 | $[-0.31--0.24]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | White | -0.30 | $[-0.34--0.25]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | White | -0.26 | $[-0.3-0.21]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | White | -0.19 | $[-0.25--0.13]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | White | -0.28 | $[-0.38-0.0 .19]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Grey | -0.31 | $[-0.36-0.27]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Grey | -0.38 | $[-0.4--0.36]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Grey | -0.45 | $[-0.48--0.42]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Grey | -0.42 | $[-0.46--0.38]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Grey | -0.43 | $[-0.48-0.39]$ | no difference |


| $60-69$ years old | Grey | -0.52 | $[-0.57--0.47]$ | lower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-89$ years old | Grey | -0.55 | $[-0.62-0.47]$ | lower |
| $16-19$ years old | Black | 0.02 | $[-0.02-0.06]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Black | 0.00 | $[-0.02-0.02]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Black | -0.07 | $[-0.1--0.03]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Black | -0.09 | $[-0.13-0.04]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Black | -0.13 | $[-0.18-0.09]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Black | -0.15 | $[-0.21-0.09]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Black | -0.23 | $[-0.32--0.13]$ | lower |

Note. The mean value of each age group was also compared to the average value of all the remaining age groups, always per colour (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the age group had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (lower) $=$ the age groups had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the age group did not differ from the other age groups.

Table S 16. Means and 95\% confidence intervals of power bias, separated by participants' age group and colour.

| Age | Colour | $M$ | $95 \% ~ C l$ | Comparison |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $16-19$ years old | Red | 0.13 | $[0.08-0.17]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Red | 0.14 | $[0.12-0.16]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Red | 0.18 | $[0.14-0.21]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Red | 0.19 | $[0.14-0.23]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Red | 0.17 | $[0.13-0.22]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Red | 0.17 | $[0.11-0.23]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Red | 0.11 | $[0.02-0.21]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Orange | 0.33 | $[0.29-0.38]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Orange | 0.38 | $[0.35-0.4]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Orange | 0.45 | $[0.41-0.49]$ | higher |
| $40-49$ years old | Orange | 0.40 | $[0.36-0.44]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Orange | 0.41 | $[0.37-0.45]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Orange | 0.43 | $[0.38-0.49]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Orange | 0.32 | $[0.22-0.41]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Yellow | 0.30 | $[0.25-0.35]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Yellow | 0.36 | $[0.34-0.38]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Yellow | 0.41 | $[0.37-0.44]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Yellow | 0.44 | $[0.39-0.48]$ | higher |
| $50-59$ years old | Yellow | 0.37 | $[0.33-0.42]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Yellow | 0.37 | $[0.32-0.43]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Yellow | 0.31 | $[0.22-0.41]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Green | 0.20 | $[0.15-0.25]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Green | 0.14 | $[0.12-0.17]$ | higher |


| $30-39$ years old | Green | 0.03 | $[-0.01-0.07]$ | lower |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $40-49$ years old | Green | -0.03 | $[-0.07-0.02]$ | lower |
| $50-59$ years old | Green | 0.01 | $[-0.04-0.06]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Green | -0.01 | $[-0.07-0.06]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Green | 0.08 | $[-0.02-0.19]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Turquoise | -0.01 | $[-0.06-0.04]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Turquoise | 0.04 | $[0.02-0.06]$ | no difference |
| $30-39$ years old | Turquoise | 0.03 | $[-0.01-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Turquoise | 0.03 | $[-0.02-0.08]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Turquoise | 0.11 | $[0.07-0.16]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Turquoise | 0.13 | $[0.07-0.19]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Turquoise | 0.03 | $[-0.08-0.13]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Blue | -0.28 | $[-0.33-0.23]$ | lower |
| $20-29$ years old | Blue | -0.20 | $[-0.23--0.18]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Blue | -0.19 | $[-0.22--0.15]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Blue | -0.18 | $[-0.22--0.13]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Blue | -0.08 | $[-0.13--0.04]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | Blue | -0.06 | $[-0.12-0.01]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Blue | -0.12 | $[-0.22-0.01]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Purple | 0.00 | $[-0.05-0.05]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Purple | 0.03 | $[0.01-0.06]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Purple | 0.00 | $[-0.04-0.04]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Purple | -0.03 | $[-0.08-0.02]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Purple | -0.05 | $[-0.1-0]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Purple | -0.04 | $[-0.1-0.03]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Purple | -0.06 | $[-0.17-0.04]$ | no difference |


| $16-19$ years old | Pink | -0.01 | $[-0.06-0.04]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $20-29$ years old | Pink | -0.03 | $[-0.05-0.01]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | Pink | 0.03 | $[0-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Pink | 0.03 | $[-0.01-0.08]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Pink | 0.02 | $[-0.02-0.07]$ | no difference |
| $60-69$ years old | Pink | 0.11 | $[0.05-0.17]$ | higher |
| $70-89$ years old | Pink | 0.11 | $[0.01-0.22]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Brown | 0.05 | $[-0.01-0.1]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Brown | 0.04 | $[0.02-0.07]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Brown | -0.05 | $[-0.09--0.01]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Brown | -0.05 | $[-0.1-0]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Brown | -0.13 | $[-0.18--0.08]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Brown | -0.16 | $[-0.23--0.1]$ | lower |
| $70-89$ years old | Brown | -0.14 | $[-0.24--0.04]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | White | -0.29 | $[-0.34--0.24]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | White | -0.32 | $[-0.35-0.3]$ | lower |
| $30-39$ years old | White | -0.31 | $[-0.35--0.27]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | White | -0.34 | $[-0.39--0.3]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | White | -0.23 | $[-0.27-0.19]$ | higher |
| $60-69$ years old | White | -0.24 | $[-0.3--0.18]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | White | -0.22 | $[-0.31--0.12]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Grey | -0.40 | $[-0.44-0.35]$ | no difference |
| $20-29$ years old | Grey | -0.38 | $[-0.4--0.36]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Grey | -0.45 | $[-0.49--0.42]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Grey | -0.43 | $[-0.47--0.39]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Grey | -0.46 | $[-0.5--0.42]$ | no difference |


| 60-69 years old | Grey | -0.48 | $[-0.54--0.42]$ | no difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70-89$ years old | Grey | -0.40 | $[-0.5--0.3]$ | no difference |
| $16-19$ years old | Black | 0.05 | $[0.01-0.1]$ | higher |
| $20-29$ years old | Black | 0.03 | $[0.01-0.06]$ | higher |
| $30-39$ years old | Black | 0.00 | $[-0.04-0.04]$ | no difference |
| $40-49$ years old | Black | -0.04 | $[-0.09-0]$ | no difference |
| $50-59$ years old | Black | -0.07 | $[-0.11--0.02]$ | lower |
| $60-69$ years old | Black | -0.08 | $[-0.14-0.01]$ | no difference |
| $70-89$ years old | Black | -0.12 | $[-0.22--0.02]$ | no difference |

Note. The mean value of each age group was also compared to the average value of all the remaining age groups, always per colour (deviation contrast, FDR corrected). Comparison (higher) = the age group had a significantly higher value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (lower) $=$ the age groups had a significantly lower value ( $p \leq .050$ ) than the other age groups; Comparison (no difference) $=$ the value of the age group did not differ from the other age groups.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Institute of Psychology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
    ${ }^{2}$ Faculty of Psychology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In most of the studied languages, there is only one basic term to denote the blue range. In these languages, in addition to using the translation of blue, we also used the direct equivalent of the English term turquoise. In some languages, however, there are two basic colour terms to denote different areas of the blue range (see empirical evidence in (Bimler \& Uusküla, 2017). For instance, goluboj in Russian, žydra in Lithuanian, yalazio in Greek (Androulaki et al., 2006; Lange et al., 2017; Morgan, 1993; Paramei, 2005; Uusküla \& Bimler, 2016). In these languages, we decided on using both basic terms, instead of the direct translation of the English term turquoise. Thus, for the translation of blue, we chose the basic term referring to darker shades of blue, and for the translation of turquoise, we chose the basic term referring to lighter shades of blue (sky blue, green-blue). We are aware that these colour terms might refer to slightly different shades across languages (Paramei et al., 2018). For the sake of simplicity, we continue referring to this colour category using the English term turquoise.

[^2]:    Note. $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}=95 \%$ confidence interval of the mean; $r=$ Pearson's $r$ correlation value.

