Revision of European Wormaldia species (Trichoptera, Philopotamidae): Chimeric taxa of integrative organisation
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Abstract. We have recognised significant incongruences among the most commonly used taxonomic characters in the European species of Wormaldia genus of the Philopotamidae caddisfly family. During taxonomical analysis and ranking procedures we have recorded incongruent, discordant characters also in the taxa in Rhacophoridae, Hydropsychidae and Limnephilidae caddisfly families. Based on theoretical background we concluded that taxa of examined caddisflies and probably all living creatures are chimeric entities composed of components of different origin. Genomes and phenomes are tree-like on the surface but more reticulated in the deep. We understand chimerism with universal consequences, expanding well beyond the evolutionary tree-thinking of reductionism and determinism. Taxa are chimeric or at least chimerical in a stochastic universe under the permanent fluxes of the external and internal impacts created by intervences between entropy and energy gradients. We have surveyed how to create and correct synonymies in the splitter/lumper perspectives along the principles of compositional and specification hierarchies understood as quantitative variance and energy gradients. We have recognised significant incongruences among the most commonly used taxonomic characters in the European species of Wormaldia: W. occipitalis species group; W. triplips species complex; W. charalambi species group; W. copiosa species group; W. triangulifera species group; W. bulgarica species complex, W. khourmai species complex, W. subnigra species complex; W. asteraia species clade, W. submagra species clade, W. virorica species clade; W. triangularia species complex, W. variseta species complex. Unplaced species: W. ambigua, W. algirica, W. sarda. In this revision we have described fourteen new species: W. longiseta, W. carpathica, W. karta, W. parba, W. fiaslana, W. kumanskii, W. libshova, W. silva, W. gomb., W. kera, W. rona, W. sima, W. granada, W. telva.
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INTRODUCTION

The European representatives of the Wormaldia genus are middle-sized or even smaller species of dull and drab-brown coloured animals, widely populating crenon, hypocrenon and ephirthon habitats of headwater streams. They are frequent, therefore important components of the ecological and nature protection studies. But we are unable to identify them reliably to phylogeneic species level that is to the basic autonomous unit producing and consuming energy in the ecosystems.

Early attempts on fine phenomics in caddisfly taxonomy go back to one of the teachers of the first author in trichopterology, to Dr. Lazare Botosaneanu, and to his studies on the European species of the genus Wormaldia. We dedicate this paper to him.
We had several stimuli to start a revision on the European *Wormaldia* species. (1) Evidenced by the very limited knowledge of this so distributed and so abundant genus. (2) Urged by practical need to identify species in environmental samples. (3) Empathized to remember Botosaneanu’s first trials in fine phenomics. (4) Scholarly supported by the comprehensive studies and achievement on the endothecal spine patterns of the taxa of “*Wormaldia occipitalis*” by Neu (2015). (5) Actualised by the outdated atlas of European Trichoptera (Malicky 2004). (6) Provoked by apophantic nature of synonymies created recently on some European *Wormaldia* species (Malicky 2018).

Our character selecting and analysis in the genus *Wormaldia* have found significant incongruences among the most commonly used characters. All taxa have mixtures in various rations of ancestral and derived characters (Omland et al. 2008). Endless character trees in a single species tree create radical incongruences between classification and cladistic systems (Grant 2003). Which character tree represents the species tree? We have found various kinds of incongruences in several other caddisfly groups as well. In the Limnephilidae family we have found the speciation trait of paramere to have the most reliable species tree function (Oláh et al. 2018). In Rhyacophilidae family the tree of epiproct shows dissimilar phylogenetic relations compared to gonopod tree. These discordant character trees obscure lineage sorting (Oláh & Kiss 2018). In a brief survey on character state transformations of the phallic head in the Hydropsychidae family it was confirmed that taxonomic incongruence seems to be a general principle. It is rather a rule, than an exception (Oláh & deVries 2019).

**THEORETICAL DISCOURSE**

According to the Greek myth the chimeric constructs are entities composed of components of different origin. Chimeric living entities have very disparate parts of different origins, like cells, organs, tissues or any structural units from different species. As adjectives the difference between chimeric and chimerical is that chimeric is like a chimera while chimerical is of or pertaining to a chimera.

Chimerism is supported both by morphological character analysis and by molecular taxonomy. The more we learn about genomes the less tree-like we find their evolutionary history due to mosaic pattern of relationships among taxa. They are more network-like expanding well beyond historic tree-thinking (Bapteste et al. 2013). Species are more chimerical than Darwinian, or at least chimeric. Chimerism of incongruences or discordances is created by complex pattern of gene evolution, including incomplete lineage sorting (deep coalescence), gene duplications, gene losses, genom fusion, and lateral gene transfer, admixture of hybridization, introgression and recombination. Incongruence can occur for artefactual reason or for biological reason when gene trees are distinct from each other and from the species tree as well. In prokaryote only a tiny fraction of 1 % of the reconstructed gene trees are congruent with the reconstructed species tree. The same value is roughly 30 % in human genes (Galtier & Daubin 2008). If chimerism is so common and cannot be dismissed then no any hierarchical universal classification can be taken as natural (Doolittle 1999).

**Incongruence between taxonomic and cladistic systems.** The dream of taxonomic congruence (Mickevich 1978) that is the similarity in groupings between classifications of the same organisms based on different characters is a naïve wishful byproduct of the evolutionary discourse between phenetics and phylogenetics. The practical consequences of the incongruences between taxonomic versus cladistic systems are deeply frozen in biological systematics (Grant 2003): (1) classification versus cladification; (2) grouping criteria: similarity and differences of taxa versus branching order of clades; (3) paraphyletic groups: accepted versus banned; (4) characters of chromosomal genome versus molecular evidences of cytoplasmatic organelles; (5) empirical versus virtual; (6) hermeneutical character weighting versus overall similarity without hermeneutical
weighting, especially in molecular cladistics; (7) character state identities versus character state transformations.

**Branching versus reticulation.** Clonal markers (mtDNA, Y chromosomal DNA) have always shown a high degree of robustness when analysed for hierarchical structures. Contrary, recombining genetic element like the X chromosome and the autosomes give conflicting information and incongruences from genome region to genome region or from character to character. As a result there is a tension between classifications in terms of nested hierarchies congruent with branching diagrams versus reticulated relations. Genes or characters of organism have different evolutionary history. In bacteria no single gene recovers the same branching pattern. Better to abandon the tree metaphor also in human taxonomy, in reality no such tree exists and theoretically it is useless to strive for one (DeSalle 2016). The tree metaphor of Darwinism, descent with modification, appears only as an apparent virtual tree, the real tree is uprooted, axed, annihilated, trashed or politely buried (Morrison 2014). Incomplete lineage sorting and other ‘rogue’ data fell the tree of life. There is, however strong belief that reticulation does not invalidate the concept of Tree of Life; in fact it was always reticulate (Mindell 2013). The vertical transfer in combination with speciation creates a tree-like organisal history, while horizontal transfer of hybridization, recombination, introgression, and gene transfer as well as genome fusion create reticulation in that tree. Therefore an emerging alternative metaphor is the network of tree with reticulation (Morrison 2014).

**Character trees in species tree.** In the persistent evolutionary discourse an inferred phylogeny based on one, more or multitude of characters are taken as given a priori and other neutral or adaptive characters were constrained to follow this branching path as confounding asymmetries of mere passengers (Maddison 2006). These inferred or resolved species trees even with high level of discordance (incongruence) among individual gene trees or trait trees ignore variations in the gene trees used to construct them. Resolution is realised by assuming that the most common topology represent the species tree applying concatenation or majority-rule methods. Their topology is discordant with the species topology. In hemiplasy the character mapping incorrectly infers the number of times a character has evolved (Hahn & Nakhleh 2015).

Various internal and external factors affect the vectors and rates of diversification with biases through adaptation and reproductive isolation in the integrative organisation (Oláh et al. 2017). Contrary to our clade constructions, the material organisation is not an inherently selective parsimonious process. Any entity from packages of a few quantums to the human body is composed of multitudes of incongruent trees in an apparent tree. Species trees are built under the permanent constructing/deconstructing/reconstructing processes by integrating innumerable and dynamic quantum/gene trees. Character evolution might be correlated with another trait due to shared developmental pathway, pleiotropic effects, linkage disequilibrium and the same trait appears many times in different lineages (Ng & Smith 2014). Discordance between incongruent gene trees and their containing species trees leads to the cloud concept of gene histories (Maddison 1997). It is rather a rule than an exception that the gene trees of gene copies sampled from various species disagree with species phylogeny. This is a direct evidence of chimerism. It seems that any phylogenetic tree is a broad-scale, low resolution view of fuzzy distribution, a cloud of gene histories.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We summarize briefly the taxonomic consequences of the apophaticity in creating and supporting synonymies. We detail our principles and applications of the character selection and lineage sorting applying in this revision of the European species of the Wormaldia genus. We have put together our materials and made significant activity to loan type materials available in type depositories listed below. We have organised collecting trips and realised significant sampling field collecting activities to have newly collected specimens available for population studies.
Creating and correcting synonymies

Our new phylogenetic species of incipient cadidly siblings (Oláh et al. 2012, 2013a, b; 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017), delineated by subtle but stable adaptive speciation traits, have inspired a number of papers to synomynize them with unjustified declaratory negation (Malicky 2014, 2018, Sipahiler 2017, 2018). Such ungrounded nomenclatural acts have value as particular personal opinions in science history and might be generated and grounded by various motivations. The resource-depleted and armless taxonomy is still unprepared and fails to realise the resolution power of fine phenomenics in searching and recognising speciation traits. These failures feed the pathetic debate of lumpers and frequently accompanied by apophantic synonyms.

Apophanticity. Aristotle’s apophantic term is a declaratory statement without examining and evaluating the entities in themselves. This scientific over-reduction in creating synonymies is produced by mixing of scalar compositional hierarchy with vectorial specification hierarchy (Salthe 1991). We revisit the fine phenomenics of our synonymised Wormaldia taxa with more comprehensive approach in order to present comparative evidences in more details, badly awaited in this highly neglected genus with unsettled taxa. However, apophanticity is a favourite method of Heidegger against the comparative methods of judging. Comparison obscures the truth, because of placing something in front of something else. His epistemic position is adequate even in the context of our practical problem in creating synonymies among living creatures. If we compare our Wormaldia species in a detailed comparative study to decide about their identity (synonymy) without distinguishing hermeneutically between adaptive and neutral traits we place neutrality in front of adaptability, blinding ourselves in such a way. Therefore, in the present revision we have examined all the available species (mostly types!) of the European Wormaldia genus and clearly distinguished the adaptive traits from the neutral traits that are the head of segment ten together with the endothecal spine pattern as adaptive speciation trait from the neutral traits of the body and other genitalic structures.

Vectorial divergence or scalar variance? Lumpers rely upon similarities and neglect incipient divergences while conflating minor variabilities of vectorial speciation hierarchies with stabilities of scalar hierarchies (Salthe 1991). They are unable to differentiate between adaptive speciation trait of vectorial speciation hierarchy and neutral characters of scalar compositional hierarchy. They rely on gross morphology and looking for similarities of scalar origin rather than for vectorial differences. Our lumper colleagues used to treat the well-discriminable contemporary divergences of reproductive barrier building, detected by fine phenomenics, routinely, as quantitative variability. Their gross-morphology based inferences are frequently misled and confused in contact zones and clines where various stages of reinforcements are detectable with intermediate forms produced by lateral transfer of crossing and enforced by character displacements. In the disease classification of nosology, the lumpers of psychologists find it easier to recognize similarities than differences, but splitter geneticists encounter with genetic heterogeneity behind. Lumpers in taxonomy focus our attention and provoke the science of fine phenomenics and genomics to realise more scientific effort. This is one reason why Darwin wrote: “It is good to have hair-splitters and lumpers” (Burkhardt & Secord 2016). In a wider and somehow different context
Sober (2015) exposed the dubious question is the scientific method a myth? Are there principles of scientific reasoning that transcend the boundaries of particular disciplines? Philosophers are mostly normative lumpers with their deductive validity of logical forms. Historians and scientists of various disciplines are more concern about observations, descriptions and conclusions applying different methodologies. Therefore, they are rather splitters, like geneticists as digging deep along particular small topics. But what is the theoretical background behind all of these misunderstandings of complexity?

Lumper/splitter’s debate is focused near at the initial splitting point of new emergences. Our new incipient sibling species were delineated mostly by speciation traits of adaptive origin characterized by subtle, but stable divergences enabling us to delineate precise and minute distinctions. Their adaptive shape stability is protected in organised complexes of integrative mechanisms as described in molecular genetics (Oláh & Oláh 2018). They are reliably detectable by applying the high resolution level of fine phenomics. In contrary, these incipient species have been synonymised just (1) by statements based on inadequate phenomics of gross morphology; (2) by lack of comparative examinations; (3) by declarations of personal opinion; (4) and without studies on type materials. This ungrounded negation is powered more by human hubris and less balanced by scientific devotion to discern the apparently discernibles even by the “lumpers”, who give more importance to similarities than to differences in classification.

Human hubris. “Abhimana” (pride, false prestige, selfish conviction) is the function of ahamkara (ego) in Sanscrit. In ancient Greece the “hubris” was one of the greatest human sins. It was understood somehow between overdosed pride and arrogance with abuse, transgression, and the superman attitude of intruding into someone else's sphere, the loss of contact with reality and an overestimation of one’s own competence, accomplishments or capabilities. Just opposite to the scientist’s attitudes of devotion and humility towards where to cutoff between reality and fantasy. In everydayness of the taxonomy there are few nomenclatural acts creating synonymies without examination of the holotype and without any comparative trait presentations reflecting only simple opinion of unknown grounding. The nomenclatural acts of empty “ex-cathedra” pronouncements are simply declarations without any factual-interpreted (structures, drawings) or without factical-interpreter (hermeneutics) supports. This apophtatic (declaratory) assertion, the apophticity stands blank without aletheia (disclosure, unclosedness, unconcealedness): however there are no facts that exist independent of how scientists perceiving them.

Underestimating biodiversity. Unfortunately this imbalance of lumpers in recognizing the least inclusive taxa, the lower bound of living hierarchy leads to the underestimation of biodiversity. The lumper’s attitude and their gross phenomics are not sensitive enough to recognise the most valuable endemic taxa in their homelands. Moreover, the resulted excuse concept of the “widely distributed and highly varying taxa” amplifies a relief background for the wasteful consumption of the biodiversity resources that produces ecosystem services: air, water, soil and food. Humans are accompanied by a biodiversity of over 100 million (Lee 2016), or including prokaryotes one to six billion (Larsen et al. 2017) species and so far we have succeeded to describe only less than two million (Oláh et al. 2015). In the last half century the taxonomy is immobilised and paralysed by the conundrum still dominating between the resolution-limits of DNA molecules and gross morphology. As a result most taxonomists miss to apply the high-tech and high throughput potential of fine phenomics in species delineation. They do not accept and apply in taxonomy the old principle of Liebniz’s identity of indiscernibles. Without applying the principles and practices of fine phenomics, we are unable to recognise phylogenetic incipient species; we neglect Liebniz’s principle and as a result we underestimate the biodiversity relying simply upon the outdated and overly lumped alpha taxonomy of “adult, “full” or “good” biological species. (Oláh et al. 2015, 2017). We are unable to utilise the adaptive superiority models of the most dynamic and information-rich components of local biodiversity entities.
Character selection and lineage sorting

In the common every-day practice of taxonomy the determination and classification of living organisms are based on principles, procedures and methods of semiotics, semiology, semantics and hermeneutics (Oláh et al. 2018). The traditional identification of a single Wormaldia specimen is carried out with arsenals of these sciences, although unconsciously and routinely. In the present taxonomic revision of the European species of the Wormaldia genus we have followed the traditional analytical procedure of character comparison used to delimit species and to delineate higher taxonomical hierarchies.

(1) Character analysis has focused on comparative empirical phenomics of gross and fine structures with simple visual trait matrices, without any statistics, without any modelling algorithms and without any clade computation and construction.

(2) Character selection. For the European species of the Wormaldia genus we have selected six historically studied structures of male genitalia (see below).

(3) Character typing by fixing attributes and recognising its transformational differences.

(4) Character splitting to follow early divergences of initial splits and older divergences of the higher hierarchies with adaptive speciation traits and with non-adaptive neutral traits and with their character combinations.

(5) Character ranking to establish incipient phylogenetic species, species clades, species complexes and species groups applying the principles of commonality, generality, locality, diversity, hierarchy, complexity and parsimony.

Character selection. McLachlan (1865) used the shape of mesal excision on the apical margin of tergite VIII and the form of gonopods as the distinguishing species characters in the Wormaldia genus. Kimmins (1953) has recorded the form of tergite X, cerci and gonopod as well as the armature of the penis as useful characters for the separation of species. In the revision of the Wormaldia occipitalis species group Neu (2015) has used the same characters to delimit species: (1) excision of tergite VIII, (2) form of tergite X, (3) form of cerci, (4) form of gonopods, (5) endothecal spine pattern. In this revision we have selected six characters to distinguish among taxa.

(1) Dorsal view of the mesoapical excision on tergite VIII, non-adaptive neutral traits with moderate variability. In practice it is difficult to draw the exact profile of the apical margin of the intact three-dimensional tergite VIII. A two-dimensional flattening of the entire tergite is difficult to realise.

(2) Lateral view of harpago, the second segment of the gonopod. It is non-adaptive neutral trait with small variability. According to the commonality, generality, diversity, locality, hierarchy and complexity principles the divergences of the gonopods are the oldest among the selected six genital characters. Probably the harpago is the most important ranking criteria to separate the species groups in the European representatives of the Wormaldia genus.

(3) Lateral view of cerci, non-adaptive neutral traits with small variability. Its trait, the extent of the ventromesad turning apex of the cerci is visible reliably in dorsal view.

(4) Dorsal view of cerci, non-adaptive neutral traits with small variability. Its trait, the extent of the ventromesad turning apex of the cerci is visible reliably in dorsal view.

(5) Lateral view of the head of segment X, the “head” is adaptive, non-neutral speciation trait, more diverse and stable than the endothecal spine pattern. The head of segment X is sensitive fine structure and supplied with highly specialised sensory structures of peg and pitted peg sensillae or simply alveoli. The mere presence of these sensory structures indicates the importance of this genital fine structure in sexual integration performing signal function in the development of early stages of reproductive isolation.

(6) Lateral view of the endothecal spine pattern in the phallic organ, adaptive, non-neutral speciation trait, rather stable, but not reliable in routine identification procedure due to the highly variable dispositions of the individual spines. The position of spines is very sensitive to the erection state of the phallic organ.
**Lineage sorting.** Due to the theoretically chimeric, reticulated nature of all the living entities any sorting trial in taxonomy is artificial. In reality the nature is chimeric with reticulation in the details, and only apparently diverging in taxonomic hierarchies as a result of the power of integrated organisation. The authorones of living creatures, maintained by integrative organisation, work against the disintegration of incongruent, discordant stochasticity as they are being-in-the-world. Every entity is only an ephemeral structural product of the interaction between disintegration and integration in the course of the accelerating universal expansion and the equilibrating entropy. These apparent divergences, with reticulation behind, create the biodiversity. Therefore in our taxonomical practice we have to continue the tree-like thinking both in classification and in cladistics, but bearing in mind that any trials of classification represent only the surface of reality. The practical solution to stochastic chimerisms is to rely upon various procedures of character combinations with probability perspectives applying majority, supermajority, supramajority or qualified majority rules or total evidence in molecular taxonomy and the old hermeneutics in classical taxonomy with phenomics. The growing interest in the inconsistency in science has reached already our understanding of inconsistent mathematics, paraconsistent logic, metaphysics of science and language (Bueno & Vickers 2014).

Lineage sorting of the European species of the *Wormaldia* genus was delineated by character ranking with various character combinations. Applying the selected six characters and their combinations and distinguishing between adaptive and non-adaptive traits, we have found four taxonomical nominal kinds in the the genus.

(1) Incipient phylogenetic species are delimited by the speciation trait that is by the lateral profile of the head of segment X.

(2) Species clades in the *Wormaldia subnigra* species complex of the *W. triangulifera* species group are distinguished by the combination of the lateral shape of the dorsal concavity of the head of segment X and by the endothecal spine pattern.

(3) Species complexes in the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group are distinguished by the combination of the lateral profile of the head of segment X and by the endothecal spine pattern.

(4) Species groups in the European species of the *Wormaldia* genus are distinguished by the lateral profile of the harpagoles.

**Established lineages.** Based upon the examination of types and freshly collected specimens as well as published drawings we have succeeded to establish the taxonomic position of all European species and included all of them into the lineage sorting. However, the placement of some poorly known species without proper drawings is uncertain and, needs future confirmation. Species whose types or freshly collected specimens have been examined directly are indicated by bold letters.

*Wormaldia occipitalis* SPECIES GROUP

*echinata, joosti, karystia, longiseta* sp. nov., *taganana.

*Wormaldia occipitalis* species complex: *bosniaca, carpathica* sp. nov., *cianficconiae, hellenica, juliani, meridionalis, morettii, occipitalis, serratosoi, subterranea, trifida.

*Wormaldia charalambi* SPECIES GROUP

*charalambi, gardensis, kurta* sp. nov., *yavuzi.*

*Wormaldia copiosa* SPECIES GROUP

*artillac, botosaneanui, copiosa, corvina, dizkiran, hemsinensis, kakopetros, marlieri, parba* sp. nov., *pulla, vargai.*

*Wormaldia triangulifera* SPECIES GROUP

*Wormaldia bulgarica* species complex: *albanica, bulgarica, daga, erzincanica, foslana* sp. nov., *graeca, kimminsi, humanskii* sp. nov., *libhova* sp. nov., *silva* sp. nov.

*Wormaldia khourmai* species complex: *balcanica, busa, gorba* sp. nov., *kera* sp. nov., *khourmai, mahiri, rona* sp. nov., *siman* sp. nov.

*Wormaldia subnigra* species complex: *Wormaldia asterusia* species clade: *asterusia, homora.*
Wormaldia subnigra species clade: granada sp. nov., mediana, nielseni, subnigra.
Wormaldia vercorsica species clade: gattolliati, ikizdere, malikyi, sukraane, telva sp. nov., vercorsica.
Wormaldia triangulifera species complex: beaumonti, cantabrica, langohri, laticerca, lusitanica, moselyi, saldética, schmidtii, triangulifera.
Wormaldia variegara species complex: ariba, corsicana, maclachlani, mattheyi, numidica, variegata.

Unplaced (incertae sedis): ambigua, algirica, sarda

**TAXONOMY**

**Wormaldia McLachlan, 1865**

*Wormaldia* McLachlan, 1865: 140–141: “This genus I have named after Mr. P.C. Wormald, one of the few Entomologists who have paid attention to the Trichoptera. It is allied to Philopotamus, but differs in the form of palpi and in the neuration of the wings, as was pointed out by Dr. Hagen in the Stettin “Entomologische Zeitung” for 1860, p. 279. The species are small and unicolorous.”

The *Wormaldia* genus, a distinctly apomorphic lineage in the ancestral Philopotaminae subfamily has all trace of 2A on hindwing almost disappeared and resulted in the appearance of anal veins as a divergent fork (Ross 1956). Its representatives are widespread both in the Old and the New Worlds. In the present survey we have established the lineage position of all the known European species of the *Wormaldia* genus, except three unplaced species, with brief characterization of the newly established species groups, species complexes and species clades. New drawings were prepared without any further taxonomical evaluation for all the examined species applying identical drawings style and drawing details including (1) left lateral profile of the entire genitalia without phallic organ; (2) left lateral profile of the head of the segment X for several specimens or several drawings from the single specimen; (3) lateral view of the phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern; (4) dorsal view of the segment X and the cerci; (5) dorsal view of the apical margin of tergite VIII with the mesal excision. Besides the description of new species and taxonomical treatment of little-known ones we have evaluated and treated all the apomorphic and invalid synonymies.

**Wormaldia occipitalis species group**

This species group is characterized by the combination (1) of the parallel-sided, not tapering harpago with rounded head, (2) of the terminal of segment X with capitate “head” and with pronounced dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern with the presence of various clusters of small spines and with variously shaped and sized spines.

**Wormaldia echinata Tobias, 1995**

(Figures 1–3)


*Remarks. Based on the older divergences of gonopod *Wormaldia echinata* having parallel-sided harpago belongs to the *W. occipitalis* species group. However, the “head” is more elongated compared to *W. occipitalis* species complex, almost similar to the *W. subnigra* complex of the *W. triangulifera* group. There is an elongated, very long cluster of small spines, a character present only in the *W. bulgarica* and *W. khourmai* species complexes of the *W. triangulifera* species group. There are three different incongruent, discordant or chimeric character trees of the harpago, the head and the long small spine cluster. The ranking principles of generality, diversity and locality relate *W. echinata* to the *W. occipitalis* species group by the parallel-sided harpago.
Figures 1-3. Wormaldia echinata Tobias, 1995. 1 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 2 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 3 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Wormaldia joosti Kumanski, 1980
(Figures 4–6)

Material examined. Holotype: Russia, Western Caucasus, northern slopes, basin of Teberda river, spring brooklets, tributaries of the Karakel lake, 17.VI.1976, leg. Mrs. and Mr. Wolfgang Joost (1 male, NMNHS). Allotype: same as holotype (1 female, NMNHS). Paratype: same as holotype (1 female, NMNHS). Georgia, Svanetia, brook, left tributary of Mulkhura riv. SE of Mestia, 43°02.5′N 42°45.8′E; 1500 m, 5.VII.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (1 male, NMPC).

Remarks. Based on the older divergences of gonopod Wormaldia joosti having parallel-sided harpago belongs to the W. occipitalis species group. The “head” is elongated, very long and concave dorsad compared to the W. occipitalis species complex. The elongate and concave head is similar to the W. subnigra complex of the W. triangulifera group. There are two different incongruent, discordant or chimeric character trees of the harpago and the head. The ranking principles of generality, diversity and locality relate W. joosti to the W. occipitalis species group by the parallel-sided harpago.

Wormaldia longiseta Coppa & Oláh, sp. nov.
(Figures 7–9)

Material examined. Holotype, France, Lozère department, Cocures, river le Briançon, 3°36’45”E; 44°21’28”N, 600 m, 27.V.2017, leg. G. Coppa (1 male, CPC).

Diagnosis. Having parallel-sided harpago W. longiseta sp. nov. belongs to the Wormaldia occipitalis species group and having no complex endothecal spine system this new species is not a member of the W. occipitalis species cluster. It is a unique species characterized by the elaborated network of small spine clusters composed of thin and long setae.

Distinguishing diagnosis. This species is delineated by the character combination detailed below. Easily delimited and recognised by the unique innovation of the dense and extended clusters of slender, elongated setae in the endothecal spine pattern of the phallic organ.

Description. Male (in alcohol). Medium-sized light brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

Male genitalia. Segment X characterized by narrow parallel-sided apex in dorsal view, and by a dorsal small pointed subapical process visible in lateral view; apex elongated plum-shaped in lateral view; the ending is armed with sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs) both on the very dorsal
ending of the narrowing apex as well as on the sublateral broadening. Cerci slender with slightly pointed apex. Gonopods very produced, coxo-podite and harpago with equal length; harpagones parallel-sided in lateral view. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing an elaborated network of clusters composed of very thin and long setae.

Figures 4-6. Wormaldia joosti Kumanski, 1980. 4 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 5 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 6 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 7-9. Wormaldia longiseta Coppa & Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 7 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 8 = segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 9 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
Character combination. (1) Dorso-subapical point of segment X tiny, visible in lateral profile as the top point formed by the apical right-angle of the dorsal concavity. (2) Apex of segment X perfectly horizontal and elongated semicircular, plum-shaped. (3) Apex of cerci pointed. (4) Ventromesal projection of cerci less developed. (5) Harpagones parallel-sided. (7) Slender and long basal spines lacking. (8) Proximal pair of clusters of small spines lacking. (9) Distal pair of clusters lacking. (10) Two stout spines present. (11) Arching cluster of small spine developed.

Etymology. longiseta, with reference to the presence of elongated slender spines composing the elaborated spine clusters in the endotheca of the phallus.

Wormaldia occipitalis species complex

In Europe every trichopterologists has treated Wormaldia occipitalis as a highly variable and widely distributed species. Most of us have identified this very abundant component of headwaters with some hesitation and put aside them for a more detailed future study in order to find reliable character states for species delineation.

This species complex is characterized with rather complicated pattern of spine system organised in integrative processes on the everted surface of the eversible endotheca as detailed already by Botosaneanu more than half century ago (1960a,b). Recently Neu (2015) has given particular attention to the character state transformations of the endothecal spine pattern participating in species divergences and helping taxonomists in species delineations. Here we follow his grounding discoveries.

Species delineation by endothecal spine pattern. In practice, after clearing and cleaning, the endotheca are visible usually in virgin or various non-virgin stages of reverted, intruded, invaginated, retracted position depending on the individual functional mating and/or copulating histories. The endotheca resting in the retracted position inside the phallotheca is only very seldom visible in a fully everted, extruded, protruded, evaginated position. Most frequently the position of endotheca is unstable varying around reverted condition, partially invaginated or evaginated. The everted tip slender spines are basal spines in reverted position. The everted basal stout spines are tip spines in reverted position. The everted middle clusters of small spines remain middle also in reverted position. Neu (2015) applied the everted position however, in practice usually we face the reverted position; therefore here we apply the reverted position to locate the components of the endothecal spine system. We have to emphasize that the position of spines are very dynamic depending on the actual condition of the endotheca. Every individual specimen has its own arrangement pattern of the same five spine components. The particular locations of the individual spines or the degree of disintegration of spine clusters are highly sensitive to the copulation history as well as to the invaginated-devaginated state of the endotheca. Beside, the location and desintegration instability, the diagnostic application of the endothecal spine pattern is further complicated by the spine losses occurring during functional copulatory processes. Moreover, to locate successfully the particular component of the spine system requires proper clearing and cleaning procedure, high microscope resolution and experienced careful examination with several viewing angles. Bearing in mind all of these shortcomings, the endothecal spine pattern has primary diagnostic value.

Coding the reverted pattern of endothecal spine components. There are four types of spines. (1) Slender spines: they are long and basal in the anterior part of the reverted endotheca, located very near to the apical opening of the short tube-like endothecal sclerites; in most species (bosniaca, carpathica, occipitalis, subterranea) they are represented by a pair or three (only at hellenica) of slender long spines, occasionally duplicated, seldom triplicated, but variously adhering basad; in hellenica the three slender basal spines (triplet) also prone or liable to duplicate or triplicate; juliani and moretti lack distinct slender spines; these slender and long basal spines are the most...
variable components of the spine systems. (2) Clusters (bundle, bunch) of small spines located usually in middle position; there are four such clusters: a pair of proximal (anterior) clusters and a pair of distal (posterior) clusters; the number of small spines in the clusters is variable with specific ranges; the clusters are liable to disintegrate, depending on the intensity of copulations; (3) Stout spines: there are three spines (triplet), usually one long and two short located middle or apicad or in tip position; the most stable component of the spine system. (4) Specialised cluster of small spines; the fifth cluster present in 3 species; discovered by Neu (2015) as appearing during eversion on the tip of a small endothecal side lobe (occipitalis) or apical lobe (juliani) as well as a single cluster composed of many densely packed very thin black spines (bosniaca).

**Wormaldia bosniaca** Botosaneanu, 1960

(Figures 10–12)

*Wormaldia occipitalis bosniaca* Botosaneanu, 1960a: 273–274, “s’en distingue pourtant par la présence de 5 touffes d’épines (celle apicale, k, composée d’un très grand nombre de très fines épines, manque chez *occipitalis occipitalis*, et, semble-t-il aussi chez *o. subterranea* Rad.), puis par le grand nombre d’épines composant les autres 4 touffes - d,c,f,e - (environ 10-17 pour chaque touffée); quant à l’aspect et à la position des grosses épines (a,b,g,h,i), ils correspondent assez bien à ce que nous savons d’*occipitalis occipitalis* (observer pourtant des trois branches de l’épine a). Gono-podes comme chez *o. occipitalis*, X° segment comme chez *o. subterranean*, mais appendices supérieurs arrondis à l’apex. Je pense qu’il s’agit d’une nouvelle sous-espèce, que je vais dénommer *bosniaca* n. ssp. 1♂ et 1♀ de Trebevic ont été désignés comme holotype et allotype (Fernand Schmid); 1♂ parat.: Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Berlin; 1♀ parat.: Lazare Botosaneanu.”


**Distinguishing diagnosis.** This species is delineated by the character combination detailed below. Easily delimited by the combination of the perfectly horizontal and plum-shaped semicircular slightly elongated apex of segment X and of the pronounced presence of the fifth specialized spine cluster composed of many and densely packed small black spines.

**Character combination.** (1) Excision on tergite VIII deep and wide. (2) Dorso-subapical point of segment X tiny, visible in lateral profile as the top point formed by the apical right-angle of the dorsal concavity. (3) Apex of segment X semicircular. (4) Apex of cerci rounded. (5) Ventromesal projection of cerci lacking. (6) Harpagoes parallel-sided. (7) Slender and long basal spines organized in a pair, each frequently doubled or tripled. (8) Proximal pair of clusters of small spines short. (9) Distal pair of clusters longer. (10) Three stout spines present. (11) Specialised fifth cluster of small spines very produced.

**Variability.** We have examined six populations from the Sutjeska National Park and found the lateral profile of the apex of segment X rather stable. However, we have collected three specimens from near the locus typicus representing a mixed population with highly varying apex of segment X and spine pattern.

**Contact zone.** As detailed at *Wormaldia subterranea* presentation we have found mixed populations of *W. bosniaca* and *W. subterranea* in Bosnia-Herzegovina along the Neretva Valley with intermediate hybrids having shortening head of segment X and without the fifth dense cluster composed of many thin spines.
Oláh et al.: Revision of European Wormaldia species

Figures 10-12. Wormaldia bosniaca Botosaneanu, 1960. 10 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 11 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 12 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Wormaldia carpathica Oláh, sp. nov.

(Figures 13–15, 16–67)


Figures 13-15. Wormaldia carpathica Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 13 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 14 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 15 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.


Diagnosis. Having parallel-sided harpago W. carpathica sp. nov. belongs to the Wormaldia occipitalis species group and having very complex endothecal spine system belongs to the W. occipitalis species cluster. It is most close to W. hellenica, but differs by having more elongated apex of segment X; having only a pair, not three basal slender spines and the pair of posterior endothecal spine cluster is highly reduced both in number and length. Moreover, W. carpathica sp. nov. is larger and lighter species.

Distinguishing diagnosis. This species is delineated by the character combination detailed below. Easily identified by the combination of (1) the perfectly horizontal, elongated plum-shaped semicircular apex of segment X, (2) the absence of the fifth specialized spine cluster composed of many and densely packed small black spines, (3) the absence of the third slender basal endothecal spine, and (4) the highly reduced pair of posterior endothecal spine clusters.

Description. Male (in alcohol). Medium-sized light brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

Male genitalia. Tergit VIII with shallow and wide mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by triangular apex in dorsal view, and by a dorsal small pointed subapical process visible in lateral view; the ending is armed with sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs) both on the very dorsal ending of the narrowing apex as well as on the sublateral broadening. Cerci slender, slightly clavate with blunt apex. Gonopods very produced, coxopodite and harpago with equal length; harpagones parallel-sided in lateral view. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing a pair of slender basal spines each frequently doubled or tripled adhering basad; two pairs of spine clusters composed of small spines; posterior spine cluster reduced; one long and two short stout spines present.
Character combination. (1) Excision on tergite VIII shallow and wide. (2) Dorso-subapical point of segment X tiny, visible in lateral profile as the top point formed by the apical right-angle of the dorsal concavity. (3) Apex of segment X perfectly horizontal and plum-shaped elongated semicircular. (4) Apex of cerci rounded. (5) Ventromesal projection of cerci lacking. (6) Harpagones parallel-sided. (7) Slender and long basal spines organized in a pair each frequently doubled or tripled. (8) Proximal pair of clusters of small spines short. (9) Distal pair of clusters reduced in number and length. (10) Three stout spines present. (11) Specialised fifth cluster of small spines lacking.

Variability. Examining over six hundred specimens we have found only a single male with a triplet of basal slender spine pattern. It was possibly the result of desintegration due to copulation or during the preparation.

Contact zone. We have found mixed populations of W. carpathica and W. subterranea Northwest of the distributional area in the White Carpathians of Czech Republic and Southwest in Bulgaria with intermediate hybrids having less elongated head of segment X and/or abbreviated spines of posterior clusters.

Etymology. This new species populates all ranges of the Carpathian Mountains. The specific epithet refers to this distribution.

Wormaldia cianficconiae Neu, 2017
(Figures 68–70)

Wormaldia cianficconiae Neu, 2017:114, „differentiated from Wormaldia occipitalis Pictet, 1834 and Wormaldia subterranea Radovanovic, 1932 by the combination of the following characters: (1) in lateral view, a distinct hump in the middle of segment X before the saddle-shaped depression in the distal half, (2) aedeagus without long, needle-like spines, but with a diffuse group of about 25 short spines, two groups of 4–8 long spines and three or four strong thorns.”


Wormaldia hellenica Jacquemart, 1962
(Figures 71–73)

Wormaldia hellenica Jacquemart, 1962:3–4, „Materiel. – Récoltés par la mission E. Janssens-R Tollet, Mont Pélon W. Drakia (Khani Zisi) (1.200 m), 28/30-VII-1953, 5 preparations microscopiques, Mont Alympe E Stavros a Prioni, 1.000 m, 21/23– VII–1953, 1 preparation microscopique.”


Figures 68-70. *Wormaldia cyanficconiae* Neu, 2017. 68 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 69 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 70 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 71-73. *Wormaldia hellenica* Jacquemart, 1962. 71 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 72 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 73 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Material examined. Albania, Malësia e Tiranës (Highlands of Tirana), below Maja e Fekenit (=Feken peak), west from Qafa e Selitës pass, N41°22'12", E019°59'11", 1100 m, 12.VIII.2018, leg. S. Beshkov A. Nahirnic & C. Plant (2 males, OPC).

Character combination. (1) Excision on tergite VIII deep and narrow. (2) Dorso-subapical point of segment X tiny, visible in lateral profile as the top point formed by the apical right-angle of the dorsal concavity. (3) Apex of segment X horizon

Remarks. The two W. hellenica specimens were collected by light from the same habitat in the same evening and preserved in alcohol together with two W. carpatica sp. nov. specimens. This identical treatment permits a comparative gross visual examination of the two species. The general colour and the size of the two species are strikingly different as visible without any microscope. The colour contrast of the cleared abdomens of the two species is remarkable. However, identically collected, prepared and cleared specimens of each species is available for such a comparative study. We need to find fine phenomics to distinguish the two sibling species.

**Wormaldia juliani** Kumanski, 1979

(Figures 74–76)


**Wormaldia occipitalis vaillantorum** Botosaneanu, 1980a:168–169, „Holotype ♂ de l’ile grecque de Kérkira (=Corfou). Or. (=Mt.) Pantokrator, 900 m alt., Mai (‘16/30”) 1971, coll. B. van Aartsen. J’ai trouvé cet exemplaire dans les collections entomologiques de notre Musée; il y est conservé à sec, abdomen dans un petit tube à glycérine.”


Remarks. No real clusters of small spines, but a number of single and/or twined scattered spines present. Distal margin of tergite VIII straight without any excision. Spices of cerci rounded, not truncate. Harpago parallel-sided as long as coxo-podite.

**Wormaldia meridionalis** Vaillant, 1974 stat. nov.

_Wormaldia occipitalis meridionalis_ Vaillant, 1974:980, „Un seul exemplaire examiné. Petite source se déversant dans la Vésubie, au-dessus de Notre-Dame-de-Fenestre (Var), 1950 m, 30.VIII.1968.” Raised hereby to species rank.
Figures 74—76. *Wormaldia juliani* Kumanski, 1979. 74 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 75 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 76 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 77—79. *Wormaldia morettii* Vigano, 1974. 77 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 78 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 79 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.


*Wormaldia morettii* Vigano, 1974

(Figures 77–79)

Italy, Toscana, Pistola dintorni Collina, 44.03°N 10.94°E, 17.VII.1966, leg. A. Viganò, det. M. Valle as *W. occipitalis* (4 males, 6 females, CNSMB; 2 males, 2 females, OPC).

### Wormaldia occipitalis (Pictet, 1934)

( Figures 80–82 )


### Variability. Examining specimens from over the entire distribution range we have found rather stable lateral profiles of the head of segment X. Its downward sloping oblique dorsum seems very stable as a speciation trait.

50
Figures 80-82. Wormaldia occipitalis (Pictet, 1934). 80 = male genitalia in left lateral view, with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X from various populations. 81 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 82 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Wormaldia subterranea Radovanovic, 1932

(Figures 83–85)

Wormaldia subterranea Radovanovic, 1932:104, “Appendices praecanales einfach; sie erstrecken sich nach hinten beiderseits des umgewandelten 10. Abdominalsegmentes: Genitalfüße zweigliedrig, Glieder ungefähr gleich lang.”

Wormaldia occipitalis subterranea Radovanovic, 1932: Kimmins 1953, reduced to subspecies rank.

Wormaldia subterranea Radovanovic, 1938: Botosaneanu 1989:165–166, W. subterranea and W. occipitalis subterranea were synonymized with W. occipitalis occipitalis.


Figures 83–85. Wormaldia subterranea Radovanovic, 1932. 83 = male genitalia in left lateral view, with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X from various populations. 84 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 85 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.


Character combination. (1) Excision on tergite VIII medium shallow and wide. (2) Dorsosubapical point of segment X most pronounced in the occipitalis complex (Kimmins 1953, Botosaneanu 1989), very protrusive visible in lateral profile as turning anterad. (3) Apex of segment X horizontal and very elongated ovoid. (4) Apex of cerci rounded. (5) Ventromesal projection of cerci slightly produced. (6) Harpagones parallel-sided. (7) Slender and long basal spines organized in a pair each could be doubled or tripled. (8) Proximal pair of clusters of small spines short. (9) Distal pair of clusters elongated. (10) Three stout spines present. (11) Specialised fifth cluster of small spines lacking.

Contact zone. We have found mixed populations of W. subterranea and W. carpathica northwest of the distributional area in the White Carpathians of Czech Republic and Southwest in Bulgaria with intermediate hybrids having less elongated head of segment X and/or abbreviated spines of posterior clusters. In Bosnia-Herzegovina along the Neretva Valley we have found mixed population of W. subterranea and W. bosniaca with intermediate hybrids having shortening head of segment X and without the fifth characteristic dense cluster composed of many thin spines.

Wormaldia trifida Andersen, 1983 stat. nov.

(Figures 86–88)

Wormaldia occipitalis trifida Andersen, 1983:202–204.

Material examined. Holotype: Norway, inner Hordaland, Ullensvang, Hovland (UTM: 32VLM
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Remarks. This species is distinguished from its sibling species, Wormaldia occipitalis clearly by the speciation trait of the head of segment X. Apex of segment X characterized by a downward sloping oblique dorsum at W. occipitalis, but characterized by semicircular configuration at W. trifida. Divergence seems subtle, but stable. Here we reinstate its taxon status and elevate it to species rank; stat. nov.

Figures 86–88. Wormaldia trifida Andersen, 1983. 86 = male genitalia in left lateral view, with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X from various populations of Norway and Sweden. 87 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 88 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
**Wormaldia charalambi species group**

This species group is characterized by the combination (1) of the parallel-sided, not tapering harpago with pointed head, (2) the terminal of segment X with capititate “head” and with dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) the endothecal spine pattern without clusters of small spines and with 3–4 variously shaped and sized spines.

**Wormaldia kurta Oláh, sp. nov.**

(Figures 89–91)


**Diagnosis.** Having harpago with pointed apex *W. kurta* sp. nov. belongs to the *Wormaldia charalambi* species group and is most close to *W. yavuzi* Sipahiler, 1996 described from the middle of South Turkey. But it differs by having very short, abbreviated coxopodite of gonopod, not long; tergite VIII with smooth flat apical margin without any setae armed with bunch of sensory setae; the endothecal spine is stout, not slim slender.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII smooth plane without any discernible mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by triangular apex in dorsal view, and by a dorsal small pointed subapical process visible in lateral view; the ending is armed with sensory structures of *sensilla basiconica* (pegs) or *sensilla coeloconica* (pitted pegs) both on the very dorsal ending of the narrowing apex as well as on the sublateral broadening. Cerci with blunt apex. Gonopods, both coxopodite and harpago short and high (broad); harpagones with pointed apex as visible in lateral view. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing a single long spine as stout as the short two stout spines; short spines are with enlarged rounded basement and slightly arching body.

**Figures 89-91.** *Wormaldia kurta* Oláh sp. nov. Holotype: 89= male genitalia in left lateral view, 90= mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 91= phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
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Etymology. *kurta*, from “kurta”, short, curt, cutty in Hungarian, refers to the abbreviated coxopodite of the gonopod.

**Wormaldia copiosa species group**

This species group is characterized by the combination (1) of the abbreviated parallel-sided, not tapering harpago, (2) the terminal of segment X without formal capitate “head” that is the ending of segment X is not forming an enlarged rounded variously shaped apical head and (3) the endothecal spine pattern without clusters of small spines and with 3–4 variously shaped and sized spines in various numbers.

**Wormaldia botosaneanui Moretti, 1981**

(Figures 92–94)

*Wormaldia copiosa* *botosaneanui* Moretti, 1981:172–173: „Wide-based superior appendages which tape abruptly after the outer medial angle. In *W. copiosa* these appendages are cylindrical-conical and do not form a medial angle. The phallus endotheca has a comb of a dozen short spines. In *W. copiosa* the proximal spines are longer, more supple and closer packed than the apical ones.”

**Material examined.** Italy, Toscana-Lucca, Minucciano Pesciola, torrente, affluente destro torrente Acquabianca, in bosco, N44.141429° E10.254128°, 680m, 28.VI.2011, light trap, leg. O. Lodovico, P. Pantini & M. Valle (2 males, 7 females, CNSMB; 1 male, OPC).

**Wormaldia copiosa** (McLachlan, 1868)

(Figures 95–97)


**Wormaldia kakopetros Malicky, 1972**

(Figures 98–100)

**Material examined.** Paratype: Greece, Crete, Kakopetros, 3.V.1971, leg. H. Malicky (1 male, NMNHS).

**Wormaldia marlieri Moretti, 1981**

( Figures 101–103)

*Wormaldia pulla marlieri* Moretti, 1981:174, „Wide-based cerci with squat apical upturned hook, which is absent in *W. pulla* (a). Phallus endotheca terminates in a large curved spine and a fine dorsal sclerite.”


**Wormaldia parba Oláh, sp. nov.**

( Figures 104–106)

**Material examined.** Holotype: Albania, Elbasan County, Librazhd municipality, forest brook SW of Fushë Studë 1030m, N41°17.453’ E20°22.103’ leg. P. Juhász, T. Kovács, D. Murányi, 30.VI.2018 (1 male, OPC).

**Diagnosis.** This new species belongs to the *Wormaldia copiosa* species group with short and high harpagones. *Wormaldia parba* sp. nov. is most close to *W. kakopetros*, but differs by having tergite VIII without mesal excision, harpagones without middle constriction; the dorsal triangular hump is very pronounced; apex of cerci are blunt, not pointed; there are only two equally shaped large spine present in the endotheca, not one long and two small spines.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII smooth flat without rounded triangular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by a middle dorsal pronounced triangular hump visible in lateral view; the ending is armed with sensory structures of *sensilla basiconica* (pegs) or *sensilla coeloconica* (pitted pegs) both on the very dorsal ending of the narrowing apex as well as on the sublateral broadening. Cerci with blunt apex.
Figures 92-94. *Wormaldia botosaneanui* Moretti, 1981. 92 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 93 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 94 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 95-97. *Wormaldia copiosa* (McLachlan 1868). 95 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 96 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 97 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 98-100. *Wormaldia kakopetros* Malicky, 1972. 98 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 99 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 100 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
Figures 101-103. *Wormaldia marlieri* Moretti, 1981. 101 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 102 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 103 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 104-106. *Wormaldia parba* Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 104 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 105 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 106 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Gonopods, both coxopodite and harpago short and high (broad); harpagones without middle constriction as visible in lateral view. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing two stout spines only; these spines are with enlarged rounded basement and arching body.

Etymology. parba, from “párban”, in couple in Hungarian, refers to the two identically shaped large spine present in the endotheca of the phallos.

Wormaldia pulla (McLachlan, 1878)
(Figures 107–109)


Wormaldia vargai Malicky, 1981
(Figures 110–112)


Wormaldia triangulifera species group

This species group is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) of the terminal of segment X with capitate “head” and with dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern with clusters of small spines and with variously shaped and sized spines.

Wormaldia bulgarica species complex

This species complex is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) of the terminal of segment X with capitate “head” and with dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern with clusters of small spines and with a single spine.

Wormaldia albanica Oláh, 2010 stat. restit.
(Figures 113–115)

Wormaldia albanica Oláh, 2010:68–69, “It is closest to W. bulgarica described from Bulgaria, but differs by having (1) conspicuous basolateral flange of sclerites present on Xth segment and well visible both in lateral and dorsal view; (2) In lateral view Xth segment has no dorsal excision and no any dorsal subapical hook, tooth or elevation, both present and very conspicuous on W. bulgarica; (3) cerchi slightly S-forming tapering in dorsal view, not straight and clavate; (4) harpagones longer than coxopodite, not shorter; (5) harpagones slender, tapering and down-curving apically; (6) endotheca with a large spine and a group of four smaller spines besides the long microspine cluster, not only with a single large spine.”

Wormaldia bulgarica Novák, 1971: Malicky 2018:43, “Wormaldia albanica Oláh, 2010 was described from one male from Albania and has the typical single spine, but a slightly variable end of segment 10. The type locality is close to the records in the Greek Pindos Mountains: Wormaldia albanica Oláh, 2010 = Wormaldia bulgarica Novák, 1971, nov. syn.”


Remarks. Malicky has synonymised Wormaldia albanica with W. bulgarica based on the presence of a single spine in the endothecal spine pattern. However, the single-spined pattern is a character for the entire species complex of W. bulgarica. This complex is rather large with eight known and probably many more unknown species. The speciation trait of the head of segment X is completely different: W. albanica has no head at all with subapical dorsal pointed process so pronounced and specifically abbreviated at W. subnigra. There are divergences in the endothecal spine pattern as well: the single spines split apically, there are two small spines with split apex differentiated from the long small-spine cluster at W. bulgarica. Moreover, there are several neutral traits diverged: very pronounced basolateral flanges are present on segment X at W. albanica and completely lacking at W. bulgarica; harpago longer than coxopodite at W. albanica, not shorter; harpago downward curving apicad. Actually W. albanica diverged rather far from W. bulgarica. Here we reinstate the species status of Wormaldia albanica. stat. restit.
Oláh et al.: Revision of European Wormaldia species

Figures 110-112. *Wormaldia vargai* Malicky, 1981. 110 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 111 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 112 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 113-115. *Wormaldia albanica* Oláh, 2010. 113 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 114 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 115 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

*Wormaldia bulgarica* Novák, 1971 stat. nov.

(Figures 116–118)


Remarks. According to the Institute of Entomology, Czech Academy of Sciences, České Budějovice, Czech Republic, the holotype of *W. bulgarica* is damaged and incomplete. The four parts are in one tube: head, pronotum, mesonotum, metasternum with proximal abdominal segments. Terminalia are missing. Regarding terminalia there is a letter from Karel Novák wrote to Pavel Chvojka dated November 1992: "I have only the holotype of *W. bulgarica*, unfortunately I have found out now, that it is incomplete - terminalia are missing. But the phallus is mounted on a microscopic slide. ... All paratypes are in the collections of Botosaneanu and Kumanski."

However, repeated search for the slide with the phallus of *W. bulgarica* in the Institute of Entomology was unsuccessful. There is only very small probability that the slide could be discovered in Novák's estate (among documents, papers etc.) in the future.
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Unfortunately after repeated search the para-
types of *W. bulgarica* have not been found neither in the Kumanski’s collection of the National Mu-
seum of Natural History, Sofia, Bulgaria, nor in the Botosaneanu’s collection of Naturalis Biodi-
versity Center, Zoological Museum, Amsterdam, Netherlands. It means, there is only an incomplete 
holotype of *W. bulgarica* labeled “Wormaldia khourmai / bulgarica Novák / TYPUS / Bach bei 
Rilki (sic!) Monastir / Rila - Bulgaria leg. Novák / 14.7.1962” remained exist from the entire type 
material, all collected in the Rila Mountains at around the Rilski Monastir (18 males, 5 females!). The incomplete holotype without genitalia is de-
posited in the National Museum in Prague hence-
forward (it was transferred from the Institute of Entomology). Terminalia was lost (Novák 1992 in 
litt.) and a slide with mounted phallus is missing at 
present.

**Rediagnosis.** Fortunately the original drawing 
prepared from the holotype and redrawn here is 
detailed enough to distinguish *W. bulgarica* clear-
ly from *W. silva* sp. nov. reported originally as *W. 
bulgarica* by Kumanski (1979). The speciation trait that is the lateral profile of the head of seg-
ment X is much abbreviated (most abbreviated in 
the species complex!). It is apple-shaped or even a 
half-cut apple-shaped, not elongated plum-shaped 
like at *W. silva* sp. nov.

**Notes.** Kumanski (1979) has raised *Wormaldia 
khourmai bulgarica* ssp. to species rank, but his 
nomenclatural act was based on misidentified 
specimens, on those specimens that we describe 
here as a new species *Wormaldia silva* sp. nov.

*Wormaldia daga* Oláh, 2014 stat. restit.

(Figures 119–121)

*Wormaldia daga* Oláh, 2014:99–100. “This species with characteristic endothecal spine clusters and 
narrowing harpagones belongs to the *W. khourmai*, 
*W. bulgarica*, *W. balcanica*, *W. mahiri* and *W. 
erzincanica* group of species and most close to *W. 
khourmai*, but differs by having more swollen apex 
of segment X, rounded, not truncate apex of cerci 
and only a single endothecal spine, not three 
spines.”

*Wormaldia cf. khourmai* Schmid, 1959 (subsp.?): 
Kumanski 1985:167–168. A single male was de-
scribed from Bulgaria, Strandzha Mts. as a possible 
subspecies of *W. khourmai*. According to Kumans-
ki this male from Strandzha is very similar to the 
single male from Ardesen, Eastern Anatolia.

*Wormaldia daga* Oláh, 2014: Malicky 2018:43, syno-
nymised *W. daga* with *W. bulgarica*: “Wormaldia 
*Wormaldia daga* Oláh, 2014 has the typical distal spine in the 
phallus. The end of segment 10 is rounded similar to 
*W. khourmai*, but the area of *khourmai* is far 
away, and the individual variation is to be expected. 
*w. daga* was described from Bulgarian mountains 
where bulgarica is well known. *Wormaldia daga* 
Oláh 2014 = *Wormaldia bulgarica* Novák 1971, 
nov. syn.

Material examined. Holotype: Bulgaria, Bosna 
Mts. Dudenovo, Dudenska Reka, between Vizitza and 
Novo Panicharevo, 249m, N42°10’25" E27°34’07", 
26.VII.2012 at light leg. S. Beshkov & M. Beshkova 
(1male, NMNHS). Bulgaria, Strandzha Mts. Malko 
Tuinovo, 30.VII.1983, leg. K. Kumanski (1 male, 
NMNHS).

**Remarks.** Malicky has synonymised *Worm-
aldia daga* with *W. bulgarica* based on the 
presence of a single spine in the endothecal spine 
pattern. However, the single-spined pattern is a 
character for the entire species complex of *W. 
bulgarica*. This complex is rather large with ten 
known and probably many more unknown spe-
cies. The speciation trait of the head of segment X 
is completely different: *W. daga* has very large 
rounded head without subapical dorsal pointed 
process so pronounced and specifically abbre-
viated at *W. subnigra*. There are divergences in 
the endothecal spine pattern as well: small-spine 
cluster is very much reduced, not so long elabo-
rated. Moreover, there are several neutral traits 
diverged: apicomical excision on tergite VIII very 
deep, not shallow, cerci with ventromesal turning 
apex, lacking at *W. bulgarica*. *W. daga* is most 
close to *W. kumanskii* sp. nov., but differs by the 
lateral profile of the head of segment X rounded 
at *W. daga* and hook-forming at *W. kumanskii*. 
Here we reinstate the species status of *Wormaldia 
daga*. stat. restit.

Figures 119-121. *Wormaldia daga* Oláh, 2014. 119 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 120 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 121 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

*Wormaldia foslana* Chvojka & Oláh, sp. nov.

(Figures 122–124)

*Material examined.* Holotype: **Georgia**, Imereti district, Kutaisi, motel, spring area, 42°15′27″N, 42°42′35″E, 158 m, 15.ix.2018, J. Oboňa leg. (1 male, NMPC).

*Diagnosis.* This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and its elaborated small-spine cluster without even a single individual spine relates it to the *W. bulgarica* species cluster, but more characterized and unique by the disintegration of individual spine into spine clusters.

*Description.* Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.
Male genitalia. Tergite VIII with narrow and deep triangular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by lateral profile of rather elongated head, pronounced dorso subapical pointed process; supplied with shallow and short subapical excision; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with rounded apex in lateral view; in dorsal view without mesad turning apices. Gonopods with coxopodite equal of harpago tapering gradually. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing only clusters of spines, one larger basal, one middle with four spines and two small apical clusters.

Etymology. foslana, from “foszló”, disintegrated in Hungarian, refers to the disintegrated state of individual spines in the endotheca.

Wormaldia graeca Oláh, 2014 stat. restit.

(Figures 125–127)

Wormaldia graeca Oláh, 2014:100–102, „This new species differs from Wormaldia kimminsi by having completely different segment X: (1) the dorsal subapical tooth is large rounded, not just visible small and pointed; (2) the middle depression is present and significant, not absent; (3) basolateral pair of flange sclerites well developed, not lacking. Moreover as emphasized by Botosaneanu in his original description the apicomeral excision on tergite VIII is shallow trapezoïd. The same excision is deep triangular in W. graeca sp. nov. There are significant divergences between the two species also in the endothecal spine systems. The primary large spine is longer, not doubled; there are two cluster of secondary spines, not only a single.”


Wormaldia kimminsi Botosaneanu, 1960: Malicky 2018:43, “The figure of Wormaldia graeca by Oláh (2014) corresponds well with those of W. kimminsi” (Malicky 2004:83), except Segment 10 which is broader in his figure. This may be caused by a different position of the preparation under the microscope, or by individual variability. The phallosome includes the typical large, slightly bent sclerite which is accompanied by two bunches of fine spines. I see no reason to make a separate species from this variation. Wormaldia graeca Oláh, 2014 = Wormaldia kimminsi Botosaneanu, 1960, nov. syn.


Remarks. In his European Trichoptera Atlas Malicky (2004) has replaced Botosaneanu’s original precise drawings of Wormaldia kimminsi of Perister Mts. in Macedonia with his own drawings prepared from W. graeca of Pendayi in Greece. Beside the complete drawings from W. graeca (Fig. 14–17) he has redrawn the cerci, segment X and the large endothecal spine of W. kimminsi holotype (Fig. 18–20). Even on his own drawings both the segment X and the endothecal spine of W. kimminsi differ very clearly from the same structures of W. graeca (Malicky 1977).

Our new diagrammatic drawings indicate the divergences between the two species: the speciﬁcation trait of the head of segment X is clearly diverged, its dorsum is concave at Wormaldia graeca and convex at W. kimminsi; the dorsal subapical tooth is large rounded at W. graeca, not just visible small and pointed as at W. kimminsi; the middle depression is present at W. graeca, absent at W. kimminsi. There is shape divergence between the two species in the enlarged single spine. Moreover, there are several neutral traits diverged: apicomeral excision on tergite VIII is rounded deep and wide at W. graeca, but very shallow and subquadrangular at W. kimminsi; very pronounced basolateral flanges are present on segment X at W. graeca and completely lacking at W. kimminsi. Here we reinstate the species status of Wormaldia graeca. stat. restit.
Figures 122–124. *Wormaldia foslana* Chvojka & Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 122 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 123 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 124 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 125–127. *Wormaldia graeca* Oláh, 2014. 125 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 126 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 127 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

**Wormaldia kimminsi** Botosaneanu, 1960

(Figures 128–130)


**Material examined.** Macedonia, Pelagonia region, Pelister Mts, Nižepole, forest brook below the ski station, N40°58.889', E21°15.246', 1370 m, 7.05.2014, leg. T. Kovács, D. Murányi (1 male, OPC).

**Wormaldia kumanskii** Oláh & Chvojka, sp. nov.

(Figures 131–133)

*Wormaldia khourmai* Schmid, 1959 (subsp.?): Kuman- ski 1979:62–63. A single male from Ardesen, East-
ern Anatolia was described and drawn as a possible subspecies of *W. khourmai*. Misidentification

**Material examined.** Holotype, **Georgia**, Adjaria, Mtirala NP, Chakvistavi ca. 20 km NE of Batumi, left tributaries of Chakvistskali riv., 41°40.7'N 41°51.8'E; 280 m, 30.vi.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (1 male, NMPC). Paratypes: same as holotype (20 males, NMPC; 6 males OPC). **Turkey**, Trabzon province, Sumela, brooks and springs, 5.vii.1993, leg. P. Chvojka 15 males, 3 females, NMPC, 4 males, OPC). Artvin province, tributary of Murgul Deresi between Borçka and Murgul, 6.vii.1993, leg. P. Chvojka (10 males, NMPC; 2 males, OPC)

**Diagnosis.** *W. kumanskii* sp. nov. is most close to *W. daga*, but differs by the lateral profile of the head of segment X; it is hook-forming at *W. kumanskii* and rounded at *W. daga*.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244. **Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with deep semicircular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by short hook-forming lateral profile of the head; supplied with deep and short subapical excision; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of *sensilla basiconica* (pegs) or *sensilla coeloconica* (pitted pegs). Cerci with truncate apex in lateral view; in dorsal view pronounced mesad turning apices well visible. Gonopods, with coxopodite having equal length with harpago. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing two short small-spine clusters and a single short stout spine; spine is with enlarged rounded base and slightly arching body.

**Etymology.** We dedicate this new taxon to the Bulgarian trichopterologist K. P. Kumanski who has first recognised and identified it as *Wormaldia khourmai* Schmid ssp.

**Figures 128-130.** *Wormaldia kimminsi* Botosaneanu, 1960. 128 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 129 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 130 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

**Figures 131-133.** *Wormaldia kumanskii* Oláh & Chvojka, sp. nov. Holotype: 131 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 132 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 133 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
**Wormaldia libohova** Chvojka & Oláh, sp. nov.  
(Figures 134–136)

**Material examined.** Holotype: **Albania**, Gjirokastër distr., springs near Libohovë, 6.x.1992, leg. P. Chvojka (1 male, NMPC).

**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and related to the *W. bulgarica* species complex with single large stout spine and long small-spine cluster. The concave dorsum of the head of segment X is an incongruent, discordant, chimeric character of the *W. subnigra* species complex. Having elongated head of segment X this new species is most close to *W. foslana* sp. nov. of the *W. bulgarica* species complex, but the endothecal spine pattern is completely different.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with shallow and wide mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by an elongated plum-shaped lateral profile of the head exhibiting some dorsal concavity; dorsal subapical pointed process pronounced; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of *sensilla basiconica* (pegs) or *sensilla coeloconica* (pitted pegs). Cerci with rounded truncate apex in lateral view; in dorsal view without mesal turning apices. Gonopods, with coxopodite equal with harpago tapering. Phallic organ with eversible apices. View; in dorsal view without mesad turning appendages before the apex. Misidentification!

**Material examined.** Holotype: **Bulgaria**, Blagoevgrad province, Belasica Mts. streamlet with hydropetric zone, confluent of the Cherna River above Smolyan, 17.VII.1971, leg. and det. K. Kumanski (1 male genitalia in permanent slide, NMNHS).

**Diagnosis.** The new species is close to *Wormaldia bulgarica*, but differs by the pronounced divergence of the speciation trait that is the lateral profile of the head of segment X. The head of the segment X has elongated plum-shaped lateral profile, not abbreviated and not apple-shaped profile of *W. bulgarica*. The plum-shaped lateral profile seems rather stable on the Kumanski’s drawings and on the examined and drawn specimens from the Rhodope and Belasica Mountains. *W. bulgarica* is described and known only from the Rila Mountains. We have found similar divergences between the Rila and Belasica populations in the genera of *Chaetopteroides* (Oláh et al. 2013a) and *Drusus* (Oláh et al. 2017a).

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with shallow and narrow triangular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by an elongated plum shaped lateral profile of the head; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory

---

**Wormaldia siva** Oláh, sp. nov.  
(Figure 116)

*Wormaldia bulgarica* Novák, 1971: Kumanski 1979: 61–62, “The following differences separate these two species: *bulgarica* is evidently smaller (length of fore wing 5 mm) than *khourmai* (7–8 mm); its dorsal margin of 8th tergite almost without the characteristic for *khourmai* lateral “epaulets”; no medial dent at the terminal end of the App. superiors; only a single spine in the apex of penis instead of three in *khourmai*; two groups of spines in the basal part of the penis (indistinctly separated from each other) in addition to the simple (*khourmai*) range of spines; 10th segment triangular (viewed dorsally), with a rather broad base and feeble lateral enlargements before the apex.” Misidentification!

**Material examined.** Holotype: **Albania**, Blagoevgrad province, Belasica Mts. streamlet with hydropetric zone, confluent of the Cherna River above Smolyan, 17.VII.1971, leg. and det. K. Kumanski (1 male genitalia in permanent slide, NMNHS).

**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and related to the *W. bulgarica* species complex with single large stout spine and long small-spine cluster. The concave dorsum of the head of segment X is an incongruent, discordant, chimeric character of the *W. subnigra* species complex. Having elongated head of segment X this new species is most close to *W. foslana* sp. nov. of the *W. bulgarica* species complex, but the endothecal spine pattern is completely different.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with shallow and wide mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by an elongated plum-shaped lateral profile of the head; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory...
Figures 134-136. Wormaldia-libohova Chvojka & Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 134 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 135 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 136 = phallic organ with the endotheca spine pattern in left lateral view.

structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with rounded truncate apex in lateral view; in dorsal view without mesad turning apices. Gonopods, with coxopodite equal with harpago tapering. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing long microspine cluster and a single short stout spine.

Etymology. silva, from “szilva”, plum in Hungarian, refers to the elongated plum-shaped lateral profile of segment X.

Wormaldia khourmai species complex

This species complex is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) the terminal of segment X with capitate “head” and with less pronounced dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) the endothecal spine pattern with clusters of small spines and with three spines.

Wormaldia balcanica Kumanski, 1979

(Figures 137–139)

Wormaldia khourmai balcanica Kumanski, 1979:63–65: partim, specimens from Bulgaria, Strandzha Mts., hygropetric biotope near Katun-dere stream, not far from the bridge on the road Malko Tarnovo-Zvezdetz (about 100 m a.s.l.) 11.VII.1976, 16♂ and 12 ♂ (leg. Kumanski). There were only 9 males and 8 females among the paratypes deposited in NMNHS.

Lectotype designation and deposition. Holotype male was selected from “among the Bulgar-rian specimens” however without designating any particular specimen. Here we have designated a syntype as the single name-bearing type specimen subsequent to the establishment of the species. Lectotype: Bulgaria, Strandzha Mts., hygropetric biotope near Katun-dere stream, not far from the bridge on the road Malko Tarnovo-Zvezdetz (about 100 m a.s.l.) 11.VII.1976, leg. Kumanski (1 male, NMNHS). Paralectotypes: same as lectotype (4 males, 8 females, NMNHS; 4 males, OPC).

Wormaldia busa Oláh, 2014 stat. restit.

(Figures 140–141)

Wormaldia busa Oláh, 2014:98–99, “closest to W. ba-lcanica, but differs by having more slender gono-pod, harpagones clavate not narrowing, apical head of segment X without subapical pointed process, but with dorsoapical projection in lateral view;
Figures 137-139. Wormaldia balcanica Kumanski, 1979. 137 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of six paralectotypes, 138 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 139 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 140-141. Wormaldia busa Oláh, 2014. 140 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of two more specimens from another population, 141 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

cerci with ventromesal pointed tooth just visible, not produced.”

Wormaldia balcanica Kumanski, 1979: Malicky 2018: 43, “Wormaldia busa Oláh, 2014 has the three typical straight and sturdy spines in the phallus. The end of segment 10 falls within the variation for W. balcanica. W. busa was described from the island of Rhodos where W. balcanica was already known (Malicky 2005:74) Wormaldia busa Oláh, 2014 = Wormaldia balcanica Kumanski, 1979, nov. syn.” Misidentification!


Remarks. Malicky has synonymised Wormaldia busa with W. balcanica based on the presence of three spines in the endothecal spine pattern. However, the three-spined pattern is a character for the entire species complex of W. khourmai. This complex is rather large with eight known and probably many more unknown species. The speciation trait of the head of segment X is completely different: W. busa has the head without subapical dorsal pointed process. There are divergences in the endothecal spine pattern as well: the tree spines are more slender, not stout as redrawn here from the holotype and from the two males col-
lected and identified by Malicky as *W. balcanica*. Moreover, there are neutral traits diverged: the ventromesad turning apex of cerci is tiny pointed, almost lacking; the harpago almost parallel-sided, not tapering. Here we reinstate the species status of *Wormaldia busa*. stat. restit.

**Wormaldia gorba** Oláh, sp. nov.

(Figures 142–144)


**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and having long small-spine cluster with three stout spines belongs to the *Wormaldia khourmai* species group. *Wormaldia gorba* sp. nov., an allopatric species known only from Lesbos Island, Greece was listed by Kumanski as paratypes of *Wormaldia khourmai balcanica* subspecies, a subspecies described with holotype from Stransha Mts., Bulgaria. *Wormaldia gorba* sp. nov. is most close to *W. rona* sp. nov. but differs by having shallow, rounded excision on tergite VIII, not deep triangular; lateral profile of segment X is upward curving, with slight and long subapical dorsal excision, not simply flat with short subapical dorsal excision; cerci pointed ventrad, not truncate; harpago tapering, not parallel-sided.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with very shallow rounded mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by a rather upward curving configuration in lateral view; supplied with shallow and long subapical excision; rounded apex upward arching; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of *sensilla basiconica* (pegs) or *sensilla coeloconica* (pitted pegs). Cerci with ventrad pointed apex in lateral view; in dorsal view small mesad turning apices visible. Gonopods, with coxopodite slightly longer than harpago that is tapering, not parallel-sided. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing long microspine cluster and three short stout and almost equal spines; these spines are with enlarged rounded basement and arching body.

**Etymology.** *gorba*, from “görbe”, curved, crooked in Hungarian, refers to the shape of segment X with upward arching apical region.

**Wormaldia kera** Oláh, sp. nov.

(Figures 145–147)

Material examined. Holotype. Georgia, Racha-Lechkhumi & Kvemo Svaneti region, Svaneti range, Benieri, spring outlet and open brook, N42°48.638′ E43°06.654′, 1335m, leg. D. Murányi et al., 16.IX. 2018 (1 male, OPC).

**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and having long small-spine cluster with three stout spines belongs to the *Wormaldia khourmai* species complex. Most close to *W. sima*, but the speciation trait that is the head of segment X is almost regularly rounded at *W. kera*, not with triangular dorsal subapical pointed process of *W. sima*. The spine shapes of the endotheca are different. The apicosmal excision on tergite VIII is deep and wide subquadrangular with pronounced lateral lobes, not triangular without lateral lobes.
Oláh et al.: Revision of European Wormaldia species

Figures 142-144. Wormaldia gorba Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 142 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 143 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 144 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 145-147. Wormaldia kera Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 145 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 146 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 147 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Description. Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

Male genitalia. Tergite VIII with deep and wide mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by a rather rounded head configuration in lateral view; supplied with deep and short sub-apical excision; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with truncate apex in lateral view; in dorsal view pronounced mesad turning apices well visible. Gonopods, with coxopodite longer than harpago that is tapering and slightly downward curving. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing two short small-spine clusters and three spines; these spines are differently shaped.

Etymology. kera, from “kerek”, circular in Hungarian, refers to the shape of the head of segment X.

Wormaldia khourmai Schmid, 1959

(Figures 148–150)

Material examined. Iran, Gilan Province, Elburz Mts. Talysh Mt. Masula River, small left side tributary, 12.VIII.1990, singled leg. J. Oláh (3 males, OPC). Iran, North Iran, Mazandaran province, stream 10 km S of
Wormaldia rona Oláh, sp. nov.

(Figures 151–153)

Wormaldia khourmai balcanica Kumanski, 1979:63–65, 5 paratypes from Chios Island, Greece. Misidentification!


Diagnosis. This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the Wormaldia triangulifera species group and having long small-spine cluster with three stout spines belongs to the Wormaldia khourmai species complex. Wormaldia rona sp. nov., an allopatric species known only from Chios Island, Greece was listed as paratypes of Wormaldia khourmai balcanica subspecies, a subspecies described with holotype from Strandzha Mts., Bulgaria. Wormaldia rona sp. nov. differs from W. balcanica by having deep triangular excision on tergite VIII, not just discernible shallow; lateral profile of segment X simply flat with slight and short subapical dorsal excision, without pronounced capitrate ending, not without subapical dorsal excision and not with pronounced capitrate head; cerci more truncate, not rounded; harpago almost parallel-sided, not tapering.

Description. Male (in alcohol). Small castaneous brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

Male genitalia. Tergite VIII with deep triangular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by a rather flat configuration in lateral view; supplied with shallow and short subapical excision; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with truncate apex in lateral view; in dorsal view pronounced mesad turning apices well visible. Gonopods, with coxopodite longer than harpago tapering only slightly, almost parallel-sided. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing long microspine cluster and three short stout and almost equal spines; these spines are with enlarged rounded basement and arching body.

Etymology. rona, from “róna”, flat in Hungarian, refers to the flat lateral profile of segment X without upward arching apical region.

Wormaldia sima Oláh & Chvojka, sp. nov.

(Figures 154–156)

Material examined. Holotype. Georgia, Imereti region, Racha range, Tkibuli, karst spring in forest below Nakerala Pass, 42°22.928', 43°01.070', 995 m, 18.IX.2018, leg. D. Murányi et al. (1 male, OPC). Paratype: Georgia, Imereti district, Pereval Nakerašski pass, tributary of Tkibuli River, 42°22'55"N, 43°01'07"E, 1016 m, 18.IX.2018, J. Oboňa leg. (1 male, NMPC). Georgia, Svanetia, stream N of Mestia, 43°03'N, 42°43.1'E, 1510–1700 m, 5.VII.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (3 males, NMPC; 2 males, OPC). Georgia, Svanetia, brook, left tributary of Mulkhura riv. SE of Mestia, 43°02.5'N, 42°45.5'E, 1490 m, 5.VII.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (3 males, NMPC; 2 males, OPC). Georgia, Svanetia, brook, left tributary of Mulkhura riv. SE of Mestia, 43°02.5'N, 42°45.8'E, 1500 m, 5.VII.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (4 males, NMPC). Georgia, Svanetia, brook, left tributary of Mulkhura riv. SE of Mestia, 43°02.5'N, 42°46.3'E, 1510 m, 5.VII.2013, leg. P. Chvojka (4 males, NMPC; 3 males, OPC).
Figures 148-150. Wormaldia khourmai Schmid, 1959. 148 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 149 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 150 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 151-153. Wormaldia rona Oláh, sp. nov. Holotype: 151 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 152 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 153 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 154-156. Wormaldia sima Oláh & Chvojka, sp. nov. Holotype: 154 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 155 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 156 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and having long microspine cluster with three stout spines belongs to the *Wormaldia khourmai* species complex. *W. sima* sp. nov. Most close to *W. kera*, but the speciation trait that is the head of segment X is arching not regular rounded. The spine shapes of the endotheca are different. The apicomesal excision on tergite VIII triangular without lateral lobes, not deep and wide subquadrangular with pronounced lateral lobes.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

**Male genitalia.** Tergite VIII with triangular mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by a smoothly arching dorsum of the head in lateral view; this arching is a continuation of the subapical excision; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with rounded apex in lateral view; in dorsal view pronounced mesad turning apices well visible. Gonopods, with coxopodite longer than the tapering harpago. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing short small-spine cluster and three spines; one spine is large robust, other two spines are small.

**Etymology.** *sima*, from “sima”, in Hungarian refers to smooth arching dorsum of the lateral profile of the head on segment X.

**Wormaldia subnigra species complex**

According to our ranking criteria *Wormaldia subnigra* species complex having narrowing harpagones belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group. This species complex is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) the terminal of segment X with elongated and dorsally concave capitate “head”, with pronounced dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) the endothecal spine pattern with just discernible cluster of small spines and with 2–4 individual spines.

**Wormaldia asterusia Malicky, 1972**

(Figures 157–159)


**Wormaldia homora Oláh, 2014 stat. restit.**

(Figures 160–162)

*Wormaldia homora* Oláh, 2014:102–103: “most close to *W. asterusia*, but differs by having apex of seg-
Figures 157-159. *Wormaldia asterusia* Malicky, 1972. 157 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 158 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 159 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 160-162. *Wormaldia homora* Oláh, 2014. 160 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 161 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 162 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

ment X high, not low, apical portion of cerci truncate, not rounded in lateral view and the subapical mesal projection triangular, not rounded lobe in dorsal view; apices of harpago narrowing and downward curving, not broad; endothecal spine structure different."

*Wormaldia triangulifera* McLachlan, 1878: Kumanski 1969:177, Bulgaria, Pirin, below Vichren, 21.XI. 1967, (1 male, NMNHS). Having only a single male it was not possible to determine the subspecies. Misidentification!


**Wormaldia asterusia**, a species which lives from Crete in the south to the Bulgarian mountains (Malicky 2005:179), and is common in many sites. Its variability is well known. *Wormaldia homora* Oláh, 2014 = *Wormaldia asterusia* Malicky 1972, nov. syn.


Remarks. We have examined the type material of *Wormaldia asterusia* Malicky, 1972 together with newly collected material from two other regions of Crete and and compared again with the holotype of *W. homora* Oláh, 2014 and with other specimens collected from various mountains in Bulgaria: Pirin, Stara Planina, Strandzha, Alibotush Mountains.

The speciation trait that is the head of segment X is very stable in all the populations examined from various regions in Crete and from various mountains in Bulgaria. The head of segment X is short, high with very concave dorsum at *W. homora* and long, low and flat at *W. asterusia*. The endothecal spine pattern is composed of four stout spines in *W. homora* and composed only of two stout spines in *W. asterusia*. There are divergences in neutral traits as well: the apicomesal excision is wide without lateral lobes in *W. homora* and triangular with lateral lobes in *W. asterusia*; apices of harpago narrowing and downward curving in *W. homora* and broad in *W. asterusia*. Here we reinstate the species status of *Wormaldia homora* Oláh, 2014. *Stat. Restit.*

**Wormaldia subnigra clade**

This species clade is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago; (2) the terminal of segment X with elongated and dorsally concave capitata “head”, with pronounced dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern with just discernible cluster of small spines and with doubled and variously sized spines.

**Wormaldia granada Oláh & Zamora-Muñoz, sp. nov.**

(Figures 163–165)


**Diagnosis.** This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group and having the terminal of segment X with elongated and dorsally concave capitata “head” with pronounced dorsal subapical pointed process belongs to *W. subnigra* species complex, and having of rather specialised spine pattern of doubled spines belongs to *W. subnigra* species clade. Close to *W. subnigra*, but differs by having the speciation trait that is the head of segment X elongated very much, especially its dorsal concavity. This concavity is highly stable in all the examined populations both of *W. granada* and of *W. subnigra*.

**Description.** Male (in alcohol). Small castanean brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.
Male genitalia. Tergite VIII with deep rounded mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by elongated head with long and deep dorsal concavity; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with downward tapering apex in lateral view; in dorsal view apices slightly mesad directed. Gonopods, with coxopodite equal length to tapering harpago. Phallic organ with eversible membrano endotheca containing adhering doubled stout spines and two pairs of smaller spines.

Etymology. Named after the type locality.

Wormaldia mediana McLachlan, 1878

(Figures 166–168)


Wormaldia nielseni Moretti, 1981 stat. nov.

(Figures 169–171)

Wormaldia mediana nielseni Moretti, 1981:173–174, „Differs from W. mediana in its longer harpago which is less curved at the lower margin and more densely spiny in the inner apical surface (a). The phallic endosoma has ten apical spines arranged in the form of a hair-pin, the last four being longer and irregularly oriented (b). The other spines and the basal indented sclerites as in W. mediana mediana (c).“


Wormaldia subnigra McLachlan, 1865

(Figures 172–174)


Wormaldia triangulifera thasica Malicky, 1983. Wormaldia asterusia is a sibling in the W. asterusia
clade. This clade differs from the *W. subnigra* clade by having elongated concave apex of segment X and endothecal spine pattern without the twin of large spines. Moreover, the shape of the lateral profile of segment X and the endothecal spine pattern are identical with those of *Wormaldia subnigra*. *Wormaldia triangulifera thasica* is a synonym of *W. subnigra*. **New Synonym.**


**Remarks.** It is remarkable to recognise how stabl e is the lateral profile of the head of segment X that is the speciation trait on the entire distributional area sampled here in thirteen countries: Albania, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, England, Greece, Italy, Montenegro, Norway, Serbia, Spain, and Sweden. Of course every population or even every specimen has its own individual shape, but in a strictly integrated specific range of the species. In *Wormaldia subnigra* the dorsum of the head is short concave!
Oláh et al.: Revision of European Wormaldia species

Figures 169-171. Wormaldia nielseni Moretti, 1981. 169 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of five more specimens from another populations, 170 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 171 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 172-174. Wormaldia subnigra McLachlan, 1865. 172 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of populations from the entire distributional area, 173 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 174 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

**Wormaldia vERCORSICA clADE**

This species clade is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) the terminal of segment X with abbreviated, but dorsally concave capitate “head”, with dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) the endothecal spine pattern without any cluster of small spines and with 4–6 individual stout spines. This clade is rather incongruent, discordant, chimeric, therefore uncertain to classify. Even the combination of tapering harpago and the concave dorsum of the head of segment X is not stable. *Wormaldia ikizdere*, *W. malickyi*, *W. sucranae* and the nominal species *W. vERCORSICA* are with concave dorsum of the head of segment X. The other two species *W. gattolliati* and *W. telva* sp. nov. are almost without any concavity, but has the combination of tapering harpago and four individual spines in the endotheca without any cluster of small spines.
Wormaldia gattolliati Malicky & Graf, 2017  
(Figures 175–177)


Wormaldia telva Oláh & Johanson, sp. nov.  
(Figures 178–180)


Diagnosis. This new species having tapering harpago belongs to the *Wormaldia triangulifera* species group. The combination of tapering harpago and four individual spines in the endotheca without any cluster of small spines relates this new species to *W. versorsica* species clade. It is very close to *W. gattolliati* but distinguished by the higher lateral profile of the head of segment X, the different shape pattern of the four spines as well as the shape of cerci.

Description. Male (in alcohol). Small castaneous brown animal. Sclerites medium brown, setal warts both on head and thorax and legs brown. Maxillary palp formula is I-II-IV-III-V. Forewing length 4 mm. Spur formula is 244.

Male genitalia. Tergite VIII with very shallow mesal excision on the apical margin. Segment X characterized by narrowing apex in dorsal view, and by the rounded elongated head rather high and with pronounced subapical dorsal pointed process; the ending is armed with probably specific pattern of sensory structures of sensilla basiconica (pegs) or sensilla coeloconica (pitted pegs). Cerci with downward tapering apex in lateral view; in dorsal view apices mesad directed. Gonopods, with coxopodite equal length to tapering harpago. Phallic organ with eversible membranous endotheca containing one stout, longer, simple spine and three stout and shorter spines with longitudinal edge.

Etymology. telva, from “telve”, full of in Hungarian, refers to the convex dorsum of the apex of segment X.

Wormaldia triangulifera species complex

This species complex is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) of the terminal of segment X with abbreviated capitate “head”, with dorsal subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern with a pronounced basal spine cluster accompanied by some individual variously sized spines.

---

**Figures 175-177.** *Wormaldia gattolliati* Malicky & Graf, 2017. 175 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of populations from France and Italy, 176 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 177 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
Figures 178-180. *Wormaldia telva* Oláh & Johanson, sp. nov. Holotype: 163 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X redrawn five times, 164 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 165 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 181-183. *Wormaldia cantabrica* Gonzalez & Botosaneanu, 1983. 181 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of two more specimens from another populations, 182 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 183 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

*Wormaldia cantabrica* Gonzalez & Botosaneanu, 1983
(Figures 181–183)


*Wormaldia langohri* Botosaneanu & Giudicelli, 2001
(Figures 184–186)


*Wormaldia lusitanica* Gonzalez & Botosaneanu, 1983
(Figures 187–189)


*Wormaldia moselyi* Kimmins, 1953
(Figures 190–192)

Oláh et al.: Revision of European Wormaldia species

Figures 184-186. *Wormaldia langohri* Botosaneanu & Giudicelli, 2001. 184 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 185 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 186 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 187-189. *Wormaldia lusitanica* Gonzalez & Botosaneanu, 1983. 187 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of specimens from Spain and Portugal, 182 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 183 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 190-192. *Wormaldia moselyi* Kimmins, 1953. 190 = male genitalia in left lateral view, 191 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 192 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
**Wormaldia triangulifera** McLachlan, 1878

(Figures 193–195)


**Wormaldia variegata species complex**

This species complex is characterized by the combination (1) of the tapering harpago, (2) of the terminal of segment X with less capitate, more hook-shaped head, with long or deep subapical depression without pronounced subapical pointed process and (3) of the endothecal spine pattern without spine cluster with some individual variously sized spines.

**Wormaldia maclachlani** Kimmins, 1953

(Figures 196–198)


*Wormaldia viganoi* Moretti & Taticchi, 1992: Malicky 2002:5, removed from *W. mediana* and raised to species rank with stat. nov.


**Wormaldia variegata** Mosely, 1930

(Figures 199–201)


Figures 196-198. *Wormaldia maclachlani* Kimmins, 1953. 196 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of specimens from two populations, 197 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 198 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.

Figures 199-201. *Wormaldia variegata* Mosely, 1930. 199 = male genitalia in left lateral view with lateral profile of the speciation trait that is the head of segment X of specimens from France and Italy with redrawing, 200 = mesal excision on tergite VIII and segment X with cerci in dorsal view, 201 = phallic organ with the endothecal spine pattern in left lateral view.
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