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## Introduction

Although the precise date of the Christianization of the island of Euboea is not known, ${ }^{1}$ it is possible that the message of Christianity was preached on the island at the time of Apostle Paul and his successors. This is a plausible assumption, based on the location of Euboea in the vicinity of Thebes ${ }^{2}$ and Athens, where there is evidence of early apostolic preaching, and also based on the existence of a Jewish community and probably of a synagogue in the city of Chalcis. ${ }^{3}$

## The structure of the Church establishment

Demand for an authentic apostolic succession was the reason behind the composition of episcopal lists. Another matter of importance was the order of precedence of the ecclesiastical sees, which was laid down in the so-called 'Notitiae Episcopatuum' or Taktika. The whole structure of the Church establishment was based depends on these documents, which for historical purposes constitute a unique source, as they contain geographical and historical data from many centuries. They are also important

[^0]for the history and evolution of the sees of the Ecumenical Patriarchate, regarding their order of precedence and jurisdiction, as they reflect every change that took place from the 5th century to the time of the Ottoman Empire. Their contribution to the evolution of the administrative structure of the Church, as well as to the canons of the councils and the provisions of imperial legislation, is also undeniable. ${ }^{4}$

Christianity in the Greek Peninsula developed around the big urban centres, Thessalonica, Nicopolis of Epirus, Corinth ${ }^{5}$ and Gortys on Crete, where Paul's preaching had quite an impact. ${ }^{6}$ The bishop of Corinth rose above the other bishops for three reasons: his city was the capital of the Roman province; he possessed a powerful apostolic tradition; and he was entitled-under the authority of the Pauline epis-tles-to deal with matters of heresy and to keep the peace in the Christian Church in the whole Greek area. ${ }^{7}$

The administrative structure of the Church in the Greek Peninsula followed closely that of the civil division. Under the Roman Empire, from the time of Augustus, the province of Achaia had been separated from that of Macedonia. Achaia as a province included the Peloponnese and part of central Greece. After Diocletian's reformation, the number of the provinces was raised, and they were grouped together in larger entities called dioeceses. The fifth such dioecesis of Moesia, or eastern Illyricum, comprised the provinces of Achaia, Thessaly, Macedonia, Epirus (together with the Ionian islands) and Crete (with the rest of the islands). Southern Greece continued to be governed as before by a proconsul, and by the time of the Tetrarchy the whole dioecesis fell to the portion of Galerius Caesar. When Constantine became monarch he rearranged the division of the Roman Empire into prefectures, establishing three for the Western Empire and two for the Eastern Empire. ${ }^{8}$ Eastern Illyricum belonged to the Western Roman Empire and, upon the civil division, the ecclesiastical division was modelled sometime between the end of the 3rd and the beginning of the 4th centuries. All the churches of the Greek Peninsula were grouped together and placed under the West.

Initially, the area of eastern Illyricum comprised the ecclesiastical dioceses of: a) Macedonia, with Thessalonica as its metropolis; b) Thessaly, with Larissa; c) Achaia, with Corinth, including the diocese of Athens and the sees of the island of Euboea: Chalcis (Xa入кiסoc), Karystos ${ }^{9}$ (Kapúбтov) and Porth$\operatorname{mos}^{10}(\Pi о \rho \theta \mu \circ \dot{v}) ;$ d) Epirus, with Nicopolis as metropolis; and e) Crete, with Gortys. ${ }^{11}$ Until the Second Ecumenical Council (in 381) all metropolitan sees were independent. Every metropolitan see was autocephalous and presided over the local synod. From 395 onwards, the prefecture of Illyricum (Praefectura Praetorio per Illyricum) had its capital in Thessalonica, and accordingly its bishop, as archbishop of Illyricum, held the office of a head of the Church, similar to that of the Patriarch of Alexandria. ${ }^{12}$ According to the Synecdemus of Hierocles (527-535), 'the province of Greece, namely Achaia, included 79 cities
 listed the island of Euboea as a whole (v $\dot{\prime} \sigma \circ \varsigma$ Eúßoıa), and particularly Aedipsos ( ${ }^{\prime} \delta \varepsilon \psi \circ \varsigma$ ), Chalcis on
 the metropolis of Attica. ${ }^{13}$ After the 4th century, the moral authority ${ }^{14}$ of the Pope of Rome in eastern Illyricum began to be transformed into supervisory power, and this change became permanent until

[^1]the provinces passed under the Patriarchate of Constantinople. Roman authority over eastern Illyricum is the reason for the lack of written records concerning Greek metropolises in the lists of the East, and particularly in the Notitiae of the Patriarchate of Constantinople. ${ }^{15}$ With the civil administration of the region being dependent on the Western Roman Empire, the Church of eastern Illyricum was governed similarly by Rome, through a vicarius residing in Thessalonica (Vicariatum Thessalonicensis). ${ }^{16}$ Gradual alienation between East and West, and the acknowledged precept that the boundaries of ecclesiastical jurisdictions had to coincide with those of the civil divisions, led to the adoption of ad hoc measures, which meant that the archbishop of Thessalonica was entrusted with even wider authority and weightier tasks. These provisional arrangements led to the final solution, provided in 733 by Emperor Leon III the Isaurian. Reacting to the disorder caused by the issue of the icons, the emperor detached the churches of eastern Illyricum and the churches of southern Italy from Rome, placing them under the patriarch of Constantinople. ${ }^{17}$ In this way, and from that time, the Greek area was unified; shortly after that even the most inaccessible fringes of the Greek peninsula were Christianized. ${ }^{18}$

Concerning the island of Euboea, there is an early mention of a similarly titled bishopric there ('of Euboea', Evßoiac ${ }^{19}$ ); it is probably the same as that attested later, during the 9th century, which was named after its capital city 'of Chalcis' (X $\alpha \lambda \kappa i \delta o \varsigma^{20}$ ). The two names denote often the same thing, as Emperor Constantine VII Porphyrogenitus confirms in his De Thematibus, ${ }^{21}$ and Stephanus of Byzantium in his Ethnica. ${ }^{22}$ The name of a diocese of Euripus ${ }^{23}$ (Evpirov ${ }^{24}$ ) is attested for the first time in $869,{ }^{25}$ and appears later, denoting different entities: a diocese, an archdiocese or a metropolis.

Equally important is the fact that when the 'church of Euboea' is mentioned in the sources, it does not denote the area of the island as a whole, since there were other lesser bishoprics on the island.

The diocese of Euboea was initially a suffragant of the metropolis of Corinth, and through this it came under the archbishop of Thessalonica until the transfer of the churches of eastern Illyricum from Rome to Constantinople. ${ }^{26}$

From the 9th century onwards, ${ }^{27}$ the bishopric titled 'of Euripus' (Evpitou) ${ }^{28}$ was a suffragant of the metropolis of Athens, as were the other bishoprics of the island, Oreioi, ${ }^{29}$ Karystos, ${ }^{30}$ Porthmos ${ }^{31}$ and Avlon, ${ }^{32}$ which shared the same history in the ecclesiastical establishment. Later, with the appoint-

[^2]ment of a primate (protos) among the island's bishoprics, the see of Euripus was given precedence over the others, and its bishop ${ }^{33}$ took the title protepiskopos ('head bishop'). The bishopric of Aedipsos (E $\delta \dot{\varepsilon} \psi o u)^{34}$ seems at first (early in the 9th century) to hold eighth place among the 39 suffragants of the metropolitan of Athens, although later it disappears from the sources. A puzzling piece of evidence comes from the documents of the Seventh Ecumenical Council (787), where the see of Oreoi ( $\Omega \rho \omega 0$ v, $\left.\Omega \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} 0 v, \Omega \rho \varepsilon o v i\right)$ appears as a suffragant of the bishop of Cephalonia, together with the see of Porthmos, ${ }^{35}$ the fact is explained by assuming confusion in the acts of the council. The fact is that the bishopric of Oreoi was established before the 5th century, and was initially under the metropolis of Corinth, later under Athens and finally, from the 13th century onwards, under the metropolis of Euripus. ${ }^{36}$

The see of Euripus was detached from the metropolis of Athens and upgraded to metropolis in the second half of the 13th century, and all of the other sees of the island were suffragants. ${ }^{37}$

## Notitiae Episcopatuum

It is now possible to reconstruct the history of the Euboean bishoprics by following the course of the lists that set the order of precedence of the bishops. ${ }^{38}$ In the 'list of Epiphanius, bishop of Salamis
 no reference to a see of the island, no doubt because it did not belong to the jurisdiction of the Patriarchate of Constantinople. ${ }^{39}$

In a later list, recording 'the precedence of bishops' sees' ( $\pi \rho о к \alpha \theta \dot{\delta} \delta \rho \alpha \varsigma \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \dot{\omega})$ (Notitia 2), composed between the middle of the 8th century and the first quarter of the 9th, the following archbishops appear: of Crete, in the diocese of the islands; Corinth (Kopiv $\theta \mathrm{ou}$ ), in the diocese of Peloponnese ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho \chi i \alpha ~ П \varepsilon \lambda о \pi о v v \eta \dot{\sigma о v) ; ~ S i c i l y, ~ i n ~ t h e ~ d i o c e s e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ i s l a n d s ; ~ a n d ~ T h e s s a l o n i c a ~(~} \Theta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \lambda о v i \kappa \eta \varsigma)$, in the diocese of Illyricum Primum of Macedonia ( $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \rho x i \alpha ~ I \lambda \lambda \cup \rho ı к о и ́ ~ \alpha^{\prime}$ Maк\& $\left.\delta о v i \alpha \varsigma\right)$ ). There follows a
 in rank, under the metropolitan of Athens. ${ }^{40}$

Soon after, in a 'precedence list' ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi ı v ~ \pi \rho о к \alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho i \alpha c, ~ N o t i t i a ~ 3) ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e a r l y ~ 9 t h ~ c e n t u r y, ~ a ~ m e t r o-~$ politan of 'Athens in the diocese of Greece' appears in 48th place among the metropolitans of the Patriarchate of Constantinople, although further on the same diocese is placed 44th, with the metropolis
 (Портivov, ПорӨнóc) 9th, of Karystos (Kaлоíac, Kapuбtiac-Kápuøtoc) 10th, of Avlon ('Oגac, Eúßoıa - Au入̀́voc) 24th, and of Euripus (Etiac, E<vpı $\quad$ tiac) 25th. ${ }^{41}$

In other taktika of the middle of the 9th century (Notitia 4, Notitia 5) the metropolitan of 'Athens in the diocese of Greece' is mentioned among those metropolitans and suffragant bishops who 'were detached from the Roman administration, and were at that time under the See of Constantinople'
 $\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda i t a ı ~ к а ı ~ o ı ~ v \varphi ’ ~ \varepsilon a v t o v ́ s ~ o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon \pi i \sigma к о \pi о{ }^{4}{ }^{42}$ ).

[^3]To the 9th century belongs the＇list of names of the holy metropolitans＇（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi ı \varsigma ~ o v o \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu$ oбi $\omega v$ $\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \dot{\omega} v)$（Notitia 6），on which the metropolitan of Athens is among those placed under Rome．${ }^{43}$

In another taktikon ascribed to Patriarch Nikolaos（Nıкo入áov tatpıáp ou tov ta入aıov́）（Notitia 7），dated to the 10th century，Athens is placed among the metropolises of the Patriarchate of Constan－ tinople，28th in rank．To this metropolis belong ten bishoprics，with that of Euripus（Evpitov）com－ ing 1st，while Oreoi（ $\Omega \rho \varepsilon o v \dot{\prime}$ ）is 5th，Karystos（Kapúotov）7th，Porthmos（Пор $\theta \mu$ ov́）8th，and Avlon （Av入 $\omega v o \varsigma) 9$ th．${ }^{44}$

In the＇list of suffragant metropolises＇（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi I v \tau \omega \nu \nu \pi о к \varepsilon \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi o \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon \omega \nu$ ）（Notitia 8），also of the end of the 10th century，＇Athens in the diocese of Greece＇appears，holding the same place（28th）．${ }^{45}$

Similarly，in the next list，the so－called＇New Taktika＇（Notitia 9）from the time of Emperor Con－ stantine VII Porphyrogenitus，＇Athens in Greece＇keeps the same rank；under its metropolitan is the bishop of Euripus（o Evpírov）1st in rank，the bishop of Oreoi（o $\Omega \rho \varepsilon o v ́, \Omega \rho a i o v) 5$ th，that of Karystos （o Kapúбтov）7th，that of Porthmos（o Пор $\theta \mu$ ov́）8th，and that of Avlon（o Au入فvos）9th．${ }^{46}$

The same order is kept in the taktikon of the time of Emperor John Tsimiskes（Notitia 10），and in another taktikon of the 10th－11th century（Notitia 11）．

Similarly，in lists composed shortly before the Fourth Crusade（Notitia $12^{47}$ ），even during Frankish－Ve－ netian domination，${ }^{48}$＇Athens of Greece＇keeps its rank（28th or 29th），with 12 suffragants（Notitia 13）．${ }^{49}$

A list（Notitia 14）was composed in the middle of the 12th century by Neilos Doxapatris（ $\sigma \dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha$ Neỉou tov $\Delta \mathrm{o} \xi \alpha \pi \alpha \tau \rho \eta$＇），and there＇Athens of Greece＇has 10 suffragants and is 28th in rank．${ }^{50}$

Much later，during the reign of Emperor Michael VIII Palaiologos，on the＇list of metropolises＇ （ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi เ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi o \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon \omega \nu$ ，Notitia 15），Athens remains 28th in the order of precedence．${ }^{51}$ It is believed that this was the time when the emperor，aiming to reinforce Orthodox presence on the island of Eu－ boea，detached the diocese of Euripus from the metropolis of Athens and upgraded it to archdiocese or metropolis with the rest of the sees of the island coming under it．
 tov $\operatorname{\Sigma o\varphi ou}$ ）（Notitia 16），but really of the 14th century，there is no mention of the island of Euboea．${ }^{52}$

In a subsequent list，however，called＇of the Emperor Andronicus II Palaiologos＇（ $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v . .$. тov
 of Athens is downgraded to 35th in rank．An accompanying explanatory note states that，＇he was for－ merly 28 th in order，though now relegated to the 35 th＇．Exactly the same thing happened to the bishop of Euripus（Evpitov），who is now placed 109th，according to a similar notice（ $\omega \sigma \alpha u ́ \tau \omega \varsigma ~ \kappa \alpha \iota ~ \alpha v \tau \eta, ~ \nu \beta '$ ov́ $\sigma \alpha, \rho \theta^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \circ v \varepsilon v$ ）．${ }^{53}$

Surprisingly，in the next list，under the name of Emperor Andronikos III Palaiologos（ $\varepsilon \kappa \theta \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \tau$ ．．．Avסpoviкоv трitov тov Пa入aıо入óүov）（Notitia 19），the metropolitan of Athens appears again in his proper place（28th），but the bishop of Euripus is absent．${ }^{54}$

The same is repeated in the so－called＇Taktikon on［ecclesiastical］order＇（ $\pi \varepsilon \rho i \not \tau \eta \varsigma \tau \alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \xi \omega \varsigma)$（Noti－

[^4]tia 20），from the beginning of the 15th century，where only＇the metropolitan of Athens and of all of Greece＇appears，as expected，ranked 28 th．${ }^{55}$

According to the taktika，the metropolis of Euripus reappears after the Fall of Constantinople （1453）．An＇order of precedence＇（ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi เ v \pi \rho о к \alpha \theta \varepsilon \delta \rho i \alpha c) ~(N o t i t i a ~ 21) ~ f r o m ~ t h e ~ e n d ~ o f ~ 15 t h ~ c e n t u r y ~ s i t u-~$ ates Athens（al AӨウ́val）21st and Euripus（ $\eta$ Ev́pıroc）48th，with the added note that the metropolitan of Euripus in Euboea governed these suffragants；Oreoi，Karystos，Porthmos，Avlon and Kanalia（ótı
 Kava $\lambda i \omega v) .{ }^{56}$ The see of Kavádıa $\alpha^{57}$ appears some time after the reconquest of Constantinople by Em－ peror Michael VIII Palaiologos，although these suffragants previously appeared as a suffragant of the metropolitan of Thebes in Boeotia．After the fall of Constantinople to the Turks（1453），the metropoli－ $\tan$ of Euripus was accorded the title hypertimos（＇egregious＇）and exarchos（＇legate＇）of the whole island
 to 45th in rank．${ }^{59}$ There are occasional references concerning the see of Euripus during Frankish and Venetian domination，implying that it was upgraded to archdiocese，which if true would mean that it became an independent see without suffragants，subject immediately to the authority of the Ecumeni－ cal Patriarchate．This conjecture，however，is false，and probably originates from a misunderstanding of the Latin sources，which use lightly the term arcivescovo to refer to a metropolitan

## Orthodox hierarchy ${ }^{60}$

Initially，as mentioned above，the church of Achaea included southern Greece，with the metropolis of Corinth first in seniority．Subject under Corinth was a bishop of Voea（ $\langle\mathrm{Ev}>$ Boi $\alpha \varsigma$ ）named Marcus， who accompanied Bishops Pistus of Athens and Cleonicus of Thebes to take part in the First Ecumen－ ical Council（325）of Nicaea．

A bishop of Euboea Anatolius（Evßoiac Avató入los）took part，along with Zoilus of Andros，in a council in Alexandria（362）which was convened by Athanasius the Great against Arianism．

To the above are added often a bishop of Chalcis named Apriggius（Aлрi $\gamma \gamma$ юс $\mathrm{X} \alpha \lambda \kappa i \delta o \varsigma$ ），who took part in the Third Ecumenical Council（431）of Ephesus，and likewise the bishops of Chalcis Iam－
 Ecumenical Council（451）of Chalcedon．All of them are mistakenly placed as coming from Chalcis in Euboea，but they were in fact bishops of Chalkis in Syria（Qinnasrin），belonging to the jurisdiction of the Patriarchate of Antioch．
 the bishop of Athens，Athanasius and of Karystos，Cyriacus，at a local council in Corinth（458）which was convened by Peter of Corinth，to confirm the decisions of the Fourth Ecumenical Council．

There is a questionable reference to a Bishop Dometius in the acts of the Fifth Ecumenical Council of Constantinople（553）．

A John of Euboea is placed to Chalcis around the year 744．He was a scholar of notable works ${ }^{61}$ and defender of the icons，and for this reason he is often confused with John of Damascus．

[^5]In the council convened in Constantinople to condemn Photius in 869-870, numbered the Eighth Ecumenical Council by the Latin Church, a bishop of Euripus, Theodore I, ${ }^{62}$ participated and signed its
 belongs to him.

Ten years later (in 879), a new council in Constantinople restored Photius. In its acts, Theoph-
 етібкотос).

In a seal dated to the 10th century the name of a Lucas, bishop of Euripus, can be noted. He may be identified as one of those bishops who are mentioned in the Synodicon of the Church of Greece, alongside the abovementioned bishops, John of Euboea, Michael of Oreoi and Leon of Porthmos. ${ }^{63}$

George, primate (protepiskopos) of Euripus, ordered a codex ( $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \pi \mu \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma ~ \Gamma \varepsilon \omega \rho \gamma i o u$ tou


In a letter by Michael Psellos, an anonymous reference is made to a former bishop of Euripus who had retired to the monastery of Artigenes.

A letter by an anonymous bishop of Euripus discussing illicit marriage ( $\alpha \theta \varepsilon \mu \tau \tau \gamma \alpha \mu i \alpha c)$ was read among other treatises, including those written by the metropolitans of Athens and Thebes, at a council convened by the ecumenical patriarch Eustratios Garidas (1081-1084) in Constantinople. It is possible to identify him with a certain Constantine whose name can be read on a seal of that era (11th-12th century).

A sentence by the ecumenical patriarch Michael III (1169-1177), dated to 1170, refers anonymously to a bishop of Chalcis, but this has nothing to do with Chalcis in Euboea: it is a suffragant bishopric of Heraclea in Propontis (Sea of Marmara).

Valsam or Varlaam of Euripus is well known for his dispute with the erudite metropolitan of New Patrai, Euthymios Malakes. Euthymios, using strong language, accused Valsam of being greedy, gathering and hiding wheat in his own barns, and treating his clerks harshly. By order of the ecumenical patriarch Basil II (1183-1187), the scholar metropolitan of Athens Michael Choniates, in whose jurisdiction Euripus lay, assumed the task of resolving the situation in 1184-1185. First, he invited both disputants to Athens and later went himself to Chalcis. Valsam was forced to confess his guilt, but Euthymios was not satisfied with Michael's reconciliation efforts.

Later, in a letter of Michael Choniates (1195) to the monk Loukianos, abbot of the Stoudios Monastery in Constantinople, we read of the candidacy of Loukianos for the see of Euripus.

Another known figure is Theodore II, ${ }^{64}$ who was bishop of Euripus during the Frankish occupation. Wishing to remain in his see, he accepted the Latin doctrine in 1206. ${ }^{65}$ In 1208, Berardus, the Latin archbishop of Athens, considered his profession unsatisfactory, put him under suspension and tried to nominate another to the see. Pope Innocent III asked that Theodore not be disturbed again. From the correspondence of Michael Choniates we learn both of Theodore's and of Demetrius of Karystos' superficial confessions of faith to the Latin Church. ${ }^{66}$

After the abolition of the Orthodox establishment on Euboea, the pastoral care of the Orthodox population devolved to the immediately senior metropolitan of Athens; repeatedly, bishops neglected to present themselves in their diocese, preferring to reside in Constantinople, especially after its reconquest. ${ }^{67}$

The scholar Anthimus, metropolitan of Athens and Euripus and president of Crete ('Av $\theta$ н $\mu \mathrm{o}$


[^6]and 1366. As immediately senior prelate, he assumed also the pastoral care of the Orthodox people of the island under Latin occupation. After the suppression of the revolt of Saint Titus in Crete by the Venetian authorities, Emperor John V Palaiologos asked for Anthimus to be transferred to Crete, to reinforce the Orthodox bishoprics which were headless there. For his anti-Latin and anti-Venetian activity, Anthimus was imprisoned and died in Crete in 1371. ${ }^{68}$

In 1365 , by decision of the synod of the ecumenical patriarch Philotheos (2nd patriarchate 13641376), Neophytos was sent to Athens as patriarchal procurator, to take care of the affairs of the metropolises of Athens and Euripus ( $\varepsilon \tau \iota \tau \varepsilon$ кaı $\tau\rceil \varsigma$ Evpínou), until a new metropolitan be duly appointed.

By pittakion ('letter') of 1395, the ecumenical patriarch Antonius IV (2nd patriarchate 1391-1397), informed the inhabitants of Euboea, especially the protopapas and the other clerics, that 'there was no other senior archpriest for them but the metropolitan of Athens'. This happened because Makarios of Athens, who had been accused of collusion with the Ottomans, did not live in Athens. For that reason the people of Euboea stopped memorizing his name and Makarios replied by anathematizing them. The people appealed to the patriarch, only to receive the patriarchal answer.

The last bishop before the Ottoman occupation was the metropolitan of Euripus Lazarus (o талєıvóя $\mu \eta \tau \rho о \pi о \lambda i \tau \eta \varsigma$ Evpítov $\Lambda \dot{\alpha} \zeta \alpha \rho о \varsigma)$, who apparently resided in Constantinople, probably as a regular member of the synod, for in October 1474 he signed a synodical act of acquittal of Symeon I and of his recognition as ecumenical patriarch (1st patriarchate 1472-1475). ${ }^{69}$

## Latin hierarchy—Latin bishoprics

That which followed the fall of Constantinople to the armies of the Fourth Crusade (1204) is well known. The island of Euboea, belonging at that time to the theme of Hellas, passed first to the rule of the Franks and then to Venetian rule (definitively from 1258 and with hereditary rights from 1391). ${ }^{70}$ The ecclesiastical policy followed on the island by the Venetians was the standard one imposed on Venetian colonies in the East, which was closely connected to the commercial and financial interests of Venice. ${ }^{11}$ Ruling over a heterodox population, Venice tried to control the Orthodox in their performance of religious duties, and for that reason incidents of oppression of the Orthodox clergy were not infrequent. ${ }^{72}$ Measures consisted mainly of the abolition of the Orthodox hierarchy and the assignment of the pastoral care of the inhabitants to protopapades ${ }^{73}$ and protocantors trusted by the Venetians. ${ }^{74}$ The Latin Church in Euboea claimed for itself the right to rule the area both spiritually and economically, as the sole lawful administrator of church property, which had passed to it by right of conquest.

The renegade Theodore II of Euripus continued to govern the diocese of the island, after he pledged faith to the Latin Church. The Latin bishopric of Euboea, called bishopric of Negroponte (Nigripontensis ${ }^{75}$, ${ }^{76}$ became suffragant of the Latin metropolis of Athens, ${ }^{77}$ when Bernard was archbishop there. ${ }^{78}$

[^7]The established view is that Pope Innocent III (1198-1216) subjected the sees of Euripus, Karystos, Avlon and Oreoi to the jurisdiction of Athens. ${ }^{79}$

A new, but validly disputed, bishopric is mentioned in 1209 on the island, called Zarconensis (Zג́ $\rho \kappa \omega v$, Zopкóvŋ¢), ${ }^{80}$ which was also suffragant of Bernard of Athens.

In 1222, when Conrad was archbishop of Athens, ${ }^{81}$ Pope Honorius III (1216-1227) united all the sees of Karystos (Calistensis, Caristensis), ${ }^{82}$ Avlon (Abilonensis, Abelonensis), ${ }^{83}$ and Oreoi (Loretensis) $)^{84}$ under the see of Negroponte. ${ }^{85}$ Soon after, in 1224 , the union of Oreoi was recalled. ${ }^{86}$

After the reconquest of Constantinople by the Byzantines of Nicaea (1261), the Latin Patriarch of Constantinople, by then only a titular holder, sought refuge in Negroponte, ${ }^{87}$ a sign of the political importance of the island. ${ }^{88}$ In 1314, Pope Clement V (1305-1314) joined the Latin Patriarchate of Constantinople to the diocese of Negroponte 'in perpetuity'. ${ }^{89}$ From then on, until the Ottoman conquest of the island (1470), the titular Latin patriarch had his seat in Chalcis, with all the rights and duties pertaining to his double office (as bishop-patriarch). ${ }^{90}$ The Latin titular patriarchs of Constantinople (Constantinopolitanus) ${ }^{91}$ did not reside for the most part in their see, not even caring to set foot on the island. Their representatives were governing church affairs and looking after their property, endowed simultaneously with spiritual and secular authority.

An important issue during this period (1261-1470) was the property of the Latin Patriarchate. ${ }^{92}$ Of its estates two manors are known, villa Prino (possibly in the area of Pournos village) and quoddam castellum Castro Valla (lands in the area of Kalimeriani), a portion no doubt of an extensive landed property on the island. The revenues of the Latin patriarchate in Euboea, mainly from rented property, were important for covering its basic needs, although complaints for mismanagement arose at times. ${ }^{93}$

During the Frankish-Venetian occupation of Euboea (1205-1470), spiritual duties were performed by the Latin bishops, ${ }^{94}$ but unfortunately the list of Latin prelates presents significant gaps.

The names of bishops, successors of Theodore the renegade, appear only after 1222. There is mention of a John in 1223-1224, and of an anonymous procurator, an archbishop of Thessalonica, in 1233. John, from a mendicant order, when elected, was already destined for the Holy See. ${ }^{95}$ There is a subsequent mention of a certain someone $P,{ }^{96}$ who was transferred from the diocese of Negroponte to the archdiocese of Athens.

By 1313 Galterus, who had made himself independent from the Latin patriarch of Constantinople and the archbishop of Athens in 1296-1297, had stayed away from his see for three years. He is to be

[^8]identified probably with Walter, a prelate who signed a procuratorial document together with Archbishop Thomas of Davlia and other Franks (1305). In 1307 he put the life of Patriarch Athanasius of Alexandria at risk, when the patriarch allowed himself to become involved in dogmatic controversy; Patriarch Athanasius was then saved just in time by taking refuge in Thebes. ${ }^{97}$

Nicolas, archbishop of Thebes, was elected Latin patriarch of Constantinople, and thus bishop of Negroponte, in 1308. His successor in 1332 was a cardinal (or maybe his name was Cardinal) who was a descendant of the noble Venetian family of Morosini. These two were signatories of three documents (dated 26 July, 1326; 24 April, 1324; and 11 June, 1334) drafted in the patriarchal palace of Negroponte, ${ }^{98}$ this is proof of their actual presence on the island. ${ }^{99}$

The last two significant names connected with Negroponte are the two apostates, the former Orthodox metropolitans Isidore of Kiev and Bessarion of Nicaea, who both signed the acts of the Council of Ferrara-Florence (1438-1439); they were honoured with the title of cardinal and later were named titular patriarchs of Constantinople, without ever setting foot in Chalcis. ${ }^{100}$

## Orthodox bishoprics

I now present a concise assemblage of what is known about the other Orthodox bishoprics of Euboea.
First in order of precedence came Oreoi; ${ }^{101}$ its bishop Theophilus, who has already been mentioned, participated in the Fourth Ecumenical Council of Nicaea (451).

Philetus or Philip of Oreoi ( $\Omega \rho \varepsilon \sigma v v^{\prime}$ ) signed the acts of the Seventh Council of Nicaea (787).
In the acts of the council of 879 in Constantinople, which restored Photius, appears a certain Basil, bishop of 'Oreianites' ( $\Omega \rho \varepsilon \iota \alpha v \iota \tau \dot{\omega} v)$, but his association with Oreoi is doubtful.

A lead seal from the second half of the 10th century belonged to 'Constantine, bishop of Oreoi' (K $\omega v \sigma \tau \alpha v \tau i v \omega$ عпıбкó $\pi \omega \Omega \rho \varepsilon \sigma v ́)$.

Another seal, dated to the 12th century, bears the inscription 'Seal of Michael bishop of Oreoi'


Another seal, bearing the same inscription, is dated from 1204 to the 14th century, or more precisely between 1268 and 1380, and possibly concerns another bishop with the same name.

From the see of Karystos, ${ }^{102}$ Bishop Cyriacus is known. He took part in a local council in Corinth (458), to confirm the decisions of the Fourth Ecumenical Council.

Next to Cyriacus was Joel of Karystos, about whom we have no further information, sat.
The presence of Demetrius Vardanes in the bishop's seat of Karystos at the time of the Frankish conquest is implied by a letter from Michael Choniates, metropolitan of Athens. Together with Theodore of Euripus, Demetrius of Karystos was forced to confess faith to the Latin Church. Demetrius' son George Vardanes later became metropolitan of Corfu (1219-c.1238).

At the Fifth Ecumenical Council (553) Theodore of Porthmos ${ }^{103}$ and Soter of Avlon ${ }^{104}$ participated. Leon of Porthmos took part in the Seventh Ecumenical Council (787). ${ }^{105}$

A reference about one Theodore in the see of Avlon (1208) is doubtful, as is also the reference about a bishop of Aedipsos ${ }^{106}$ from the mid-8th century.

[^9]
## Important ecclesiastical men

Important figures of the Church originated from Euboea，for example Theophylact of Ohrid （ $\Theta$ вочи́入актоৎ Aхрiסoc），the scholar ${ }^{107}$ archbishop of Bulgaria（Chalcis in 1030－1055；died Thessa－ lonica c．1126），who became a saint honoured in the Slavic calendar（31 December）．${ }^{108}$ Gerasimos of
 tury，died Thessalonica before 1325－1326），may have been of French origin，as he was a relative ${ }^{110}$ of the family of Bonifacio dalle Carceri da Verona，lord of Karystos．Gerasimos was one of the students of Gregorius and his missionary activity took place in central and southern Greece，whence he must have originated．Benefiting from his local ties and mother tongue（Greek，particularly the local dialect），he preached to the Orthodox population of the Frankish－held areas who were suffering the oppression of foreign occupation，admonishing them to return to the Orthodox dogma，teaching also silence and devotional prayer to the monks．Gerasimos＇s student was his compatriot Joseph from Euboea ${ }^{111}$（I $\omega \sigma \mathfrak{\eta} \varphi$ o Eußozúc）（14th century）．

The island of Euboea served as a place of refuge to saints of the Orthodox Church，some of whom ended their lives there．Among them was Saint Christodoulos ${ }^{112}$（Xpıбтóסou入os o $\varepsilon \nu$ Пát $\mu \omega$ ）（died at Limni，16．3．1093），the founder of the monastery of Saint John the Evangelist in Patmos，who had composed his will and its codicil in Euboea．Christodoulos＇relic was taken from Limni（on 21 Octo－ ber）to the monastery of Patmos and translated．Numerous saints preached in Euboea，such as Saint Nikon ${ }^{113}$（Niк $\omega \nu$ o＇Metavositє＇）who performed miracles during his stay on the island．Nikolas from Sicily ${ }^{114}$（Nıкó入oos o $\left.\Sigma_{ı \kappa \varepsilon \lambda} \iota^{\prime} \dot{\tau} \eta \varsigma\right)$ retired to the island，where he also established a monastery named Netakos or Etakos（Né $\tau \alpha \kappa о \varsigma-E \tau \alpha \kappa о \varsigma)$ ．Among those who led an ascetic life were Saint Euthymius，${ }^{115}$ the


 $A \theta \omega)$ ，who remained there for two years．Around the 11th century Saint Gregory came from Mistra to
 he retired for good to the lonely islet of Strongyle．

## Relationships

The geographical location of Euboea was a major reason for many outstanding personalities to visit it．Among these personalities were emperors such as Constantine the Great（324－337）and Theodosius

[^10]the Great (379-395); ${ }^{119}$ John VIII Palaiologos (1425-1448) also visited during his travels to and from the Council of Ferrara-Florence. ${ }^{120}$ The reception accorded by the Latins to the imperial delegation and the Orthodox clergy upon their arrival from Constantinople was a token of good intentions. Another reason for this reception was that the presence of an ecumenical patriarch and his retinue would have had to be dealt with diplomatically, keeping the possible union of the churches in mind. The emperor, however, chose to remain off Chalcis, to avoid his movement being misunderstood by the Orthodox of the island. When the imperial delegation returned from Italy at the beginning of 1440, after the Union Agreement, the Latin and Orthodox clergy undertook a joint procession and celebrated Mass together in a Latin church, with a prominent cleric, the metropolitan of Mytilene, officiating. ${ }^{121}$ The Orthodox clergy of Euboea was greatly vexed: problems arose mainly from the rapaciousness of the Latins, and they believed that these problems would be aggravated after the union. That was a sign that the Orthodox population was in favour of those who were against the union, and this fact explains the flourishing at that time of local church iconography which enhanced people's loyalty to Orthodox dogma. ${ }^{122}$

Information on the relations between the two dogma faiths is provided in the letters of the scholar metropolitan of Athens, Michael Choniates (1182-1222). He had property in Euboea, had visited the island and had formed his own opinion of the political, social and ecclesiastical affairs going on there. ${ }^{123}$

Under Venetian rule, there is evidence of the well-known 'religious tolerance' on the part of the Venetians. The sources reveal that the Orthodox Church, though deprived of bishops, enjoyed special privileges. ${ }^{124}$ Economic prosperity is also evident for the whole island, which became a hub of commerce. ${ }^{125}$ There is also abundant information on the Venetian church of Saint Mark in the centre of the city. ${ }^{126}$ Close by was the convent of the Dominicans, who took shelter in Chalcis after the Byzantine reconquest of Constantinople. ${ }^{127}$ Most probably their church was the present church of Saint Paraskevi. ${ }^{128}$

## Conclusions

The Ottoman occupation (1470) was a catalyst ${ }^{129}$ for the Latin Church of Euboea, which fell into ruins. The Latin habitants of the island abandoned their possessions and took shelter in other areas under Latin rule. ${ }^{130}$ Those who were Orthodox were placed again under the Ecumenical Patriarchate, which had already extracted privileges from the sultan. A new Orthodox prelate was appointed for the island with the title of metropolitan of Euripus, who could reside in his see and assume pastoral care of the Christians.

[^11]
## Abbreviations

$P G=$ Migne, J-P., ed. (1857-1886) Vols. 1-162 of Patrologia Graeca, Paris: J. P. Migne’s Imprimerie Catholique.
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## Tables

## A

Orthodox hierarchy of the diocese - archdiocese - metropolis of Euboea - Chalcis - Euripus

| Мג́¢ко¢ (Mark) | 325 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Avató入ıo¢ (Anatolius) | 362 |
|  | 458 |
|  | 553 |
| IWávvท¢ (John) | 744 |
| $\Theta \varepsilon o ́ \delta \omega \rho o \varsigma ~ A ' ~(T h e o d o r e) ~$ | 869 |
| Өعo¢úl $\alpha \kappa \tau о \varsigma$ (Theophylactus) | 879 |
| イovкá¢ (Lucas) | 10th century |
| Гє'́pyıos (George) | 943 |
|  | between 11th and 12th centuries |
| Anonymous | 1018-1078 |
| Anonymous | 1081-1084 |
| $B \alpha \lambda \sigma \alpha \dot{\mu}$ (Valsam) or B $\alpha \rho \lambda \alpha \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu$ (Varlaam) | second half of 12th century |
| $\Theta \varepsilon o ́ \delta \omega \rho o \varsigma \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}$ (Theodore II) | 1205 |
| 'Av $ө \iota \mu$ ¢ A' (Anthimus the Confessor) | 1339-1366 |
| N\&ó¢vtoc A' (Neophytos patriarchal procurator) | 1365 |
| M $\alpha \kappa \alpha$ рıos of Athens (Makarios of Athens) | 1394-1404 |
| $\Lambda \alpha \dot{\zeta} \alpha \rho \circ \varsigma$ (Lazarus) | 1474/1475 |

## B

Latin hierarchy
NIGRIPONTENSIS (Nigripontis)

|  | Өródwpoc B' (Theodore II) | $1208-1222$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Joannes | $1223-1224$ |
|  | Anonymous, archbishop of Thessalonica | 1233 |
|  | (reference) | 1235 |
|  | (reference) | 1237 |
|  | (reference) | 1245 |
|  | (reference) | 1250 |
|  | (reference) | 1252 |
|  | (reference) | $1262-1264$ |
|  | (reference) | 1266 |
|  | P. later archbishop of Athens | 1268 |
|  | Joannes | $1272-1274$ |
|  | Galterus | $1291-1314$ |

CONSTANTINOPOLITANUS

|  | Nicolaus, archbishop of Thebes | 1308 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Cardinalis Mauroceno | 1332 |
|  | Gotius | 1335 |
|  | Rolandus de Ast | 1339 |
|  | Henricus de Ast | $1339-1345$ |


|  | Stephanus (de Pinu) | 1346 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Guilelmus (Pusterla) | $1346-1361,1361-1364$ |
|  | s. Petrus (de Thomas), archbishop of Crete | $1364-1366$ |
|  | Paulus, archbishop of Thebes, procurator of Patras | $1366-1367$ |
|  | Ugolinus (Malabranca) de Urbeveteri | $1371-1373$ |
|  | Jacobus de Itro, archbishop of Hydruntum (Otranto) | $1376-1378$ |
|  | Guilelmus | 1379 |
|  | Paulus Paleologus Tagaris | 1380 |
|  | Angelus Corarius | $1390-1405$ |
|  | Ludovicus, archbishop of Mytilene | 1405 |
|  | Alfonsus | 1408 |
|  | Joannes de Contareno | 1409 |
|  | Franciscus Lando | $1409-1411$ |
|  | Joannes de Ruppescicca | $1412-1423$ |
|  | Joannes de Contareno | 1424 |
|  | Isidorus Kiowiensis | $1459-1463$ |
|  | Bessarion Trapezuntinus | $1463-1472$ |

ABELONENSIS (Abilonensis, Abelonensis, Abinonensis,
Avalonensis, Avelensis, Ebelonensis)

|  | Anonymous | $1210-1211$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | (mention) | 1215 |
|  | Nicolaus, episcopus Vallonensis | $1303-1304$ |
|  | Albertus, episcopus Avalonensis | 1304 |
|  | Iacobus de Venetiis, bishop of Vallona | $1337-1345$ |
|  | Petrus, episcopus Valonen[ensis] | $1354-1362$ |
|  | Guilelmus |  |
|  | Goswinus de Lubecke | 1359 |
|  | Franciscus |  |
|  | Nicolaus de Boleslavia | 1390 |
|  | Ioannes Rafanelli | $1425-1434$ |
|  | Guilelmus Aucupis | 1437 |
|  | Guilelmus le Bas | 1463 |

## CARISTENSIS

## LORETENSIS (Reonensis)

|  | Anonymous | $1222-1224$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Anonymous | 1308 |
|  | Simon de Bonomia | 1332 |

## ZARCONENSIS



## C

Orthodox bishops of Euboea
AIAHYOY (AEDIPSOS)

|  | Anonymous | mid-8th century |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

AYA ONOE (AVLON)

|  | $\sum \omega \tau \dot{\prime} \rho$ (Soter) | 553 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Oعód $\omega \rho o \varsigma$ (Theodore) | 1208 |

KANAAIIN (KANALIA)
KAPYETOY (KARYSTOS)

| Kvpıккós (Cyriacus) | 458 |
| :---: | :---: |
| I $\omega \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ( Joel ) | (458?) |
| $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \stackrel{\text { c }}{ }$ B $\alpha \rho \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \eta \varsigma$ (Demetrius Vardanis) | c. 1204 |

תPERN (OREOI)

| $\Theta \varepsilon o ́ \varphi i \lambda o s ~(T h e o p h i l u s) ~$ | 451 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Фı入१tó¢ (Philetus) or Фìıt兀o¢ (Philip) | 787 |
| Bxoìzıo¢ (Basil) | 879 |
| Kıvotavtivo¢ (Constantine) | second half of 10th century |
| Mıх $㇒ \dot{\gamma} \lambda$ ( (Michael) | 12th century |
| Mıх $㇒ \dot{\sim} \lambda$ ( (Michael) | 13th century |

ПOP@MOY (PORTHMOS)

|  | Oعó $\delta \omega \rho o \varsigma$ (Theodore) | 553 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\Lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega v$ (Leo) | 787 |
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