	Power [% selected for inclusion, bias]			
Selection strength	Strong**		Moderate***	
Range of variances	Large [†]	Small [‡]	Large†	Small‡
Treatment effect (δ)				
.0	40% [37%, .47]	17% [37%, .86]	25% [57%, .34]	$\frac{11\%}{[57\%, .63]}$
.5	39% [48%, .28]	$\frac{16\%}{[50\%, .67]}$	$\frac{22\%}{[69\%, .17]}$	$\frac{10\%}{[71\%, .43]}$
1.0	35% [56%, .18]	$\begin{array}{c} 14\% \\ [63\%, .48] \end{array}$	$\frac{17\%}{[76\%, .11]}$	9% [82%, .28]
1.5	33% [64%, .13]	12% [75%, .33]	$\frac{12\%}{[82\%, .07]}$	7% [90%, .16]
2.0	26% [70%, .10]	9% [85%, .22]	8% [85%, .05]	5% [95%, .09]
2.5	20% [74%, .07]	6% [91%, .14]	6% [89%, .03]	4% [97%, .05]
3.0	15% [79%, .06]	5% [95%, .08]	$5\% \\ [91\%, .03]$	$5\% \\ [99\%, .02]$

Additional file 2 — Power for the Begg and Mazumdar test for publication bias, employing a somewhat more realistic variance distribution: Small meta-analyses*

* k=25 studies; nominal significance level 0.05 ** a = 1.5, *** a = 3.0

_

† $v = 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, \ddagger v = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0$

For each range of variances, 13 studies have the largest variance, 9 studies have variance 1.0 and 3 studies have the smallest variance