Selection strength Range of variances	Power [% selected for inclusion, bias]			
	Strong**		Moderate***	
	Large [†]	Small [‡]	Large [†]	Small [‡]
Treatment effect (δ)				
.0	100% $[36%, .34]$	63% [36% , .74]	95% $[56%, .25]$	40% [56%, .54]
.5	99% $[53%, .16]$	62% [52%, .54]	$\frac{88\%}{[74\%, .09]}$	34% [73%, .34]
1.0	98% [64%, .07]	54% [67%, .36]	$71\% \\ [82\%, .04]$	24% [85%, .20]
1.5	93% [71%, .04]	40% [79%, .22]	52% [86%, .02]	14% [92%, .10]
2.0	84% [77%, .03]	25% [88%, .13]	36% [90%, .02]	8% [96%, .05]
2.5	71% [81%, .02]	$\begin{array}{c} 14\% \\ [93\%, \ .07] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25\% \\ [92\%, \ .01] \end{array}$	6% [98%, .03]
3.0	56% [85%, .02]	8% [96%, .04]	$\frac{18\%}{[94\%, \ .01]}$	5% [99%, .01]

Additional file 3 — Power for the adjusted Begg and Mazumdar tes	t
based on Kendall's tau: Large meta-analyses [*]	

* k = 75 studies; nominal significance level 0.05 ** a = 1.5, *** a = 3.0† v = 0.1, 1.0, 10.0, ‡ v = 0.5, 1.0, 2.0